MATH 131 Homework 6

Jesse Cai

304634445

- 1. **Prove**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : x \in A = \left( \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : a_k := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |a_n x| < \frac{1}{k+1} \right\} \right)$
- 2. Prove  $b, c \in A$ .

We will prove this via contradiction. We know from Theorem 11.8 in the book that  $\sup A = \limsup a_n = b$  and likewise  $\inf A = \liminf a_n = c$ 

3. Prove  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy and hence convergent.

 $(s_n)$  is Cauchy if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall m, n > N : |s_m - s_n| < \epsilon$ 

WLOG assume m > n.

Then  $|s_m - s_n| = |s_m - s_{m-1} + s_{m-1} - s_{m-2} + \dots + s_{n+1} - s_n|$ . So by triangle inequality  $|s_m - s_n| \le |s_m - s_{m-1}| + |s_{m-1} - s_{m-2}| + \dots + |s_{n+1} - s_n|$ 

But we know  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : |s_{n+1} - s_n| < 2^{-n}$  so

 $|s_m - s_n| \le 2^{-m+1} + 2^{-m+2} + \dots + 2^{-n} = 2^{-m+1}$ 

So for any  $\epsilon$  choose  $N = \text{so } (s_n)$  is Cauchy and hence convergent.

Is this true if  $|s_{n+1} - s_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ 

No, take  $(s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i 1$ . Then  $|s_{n+1} - s_n| = \frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n}$  but  $(s_n)$  is not Cauchy.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For any N we pick,  $\exists m, n > N$ , such that /abs

4. Consider the following sequences

$$a_n = (-1)^n, b_n = \frac{1}{n}, c_n = n^2, d_n = \frac{6n+4}{7n-3}$$

Monotone subsequences:  $(a_{2n}), (b_n), (c_n), (d_n)$ .

Set of subsequential limits:  $\{-1,1\},\{0\},\{\infty\},\{\frac{6}{7}\}$ 

 $\limsup$  and  $\liminf$ :  $1,-1;0,0;\infty,\infty$ ;  $\frac{6}{7},\frac{6}{7}$ 

 $a_n$  does not converge, while  $c_n$  diverges to  $\infty$ .  $b_n, d_n$  both converge.

 $a_n, b_n, d_n$  are all bounded, while  $c_n$  is unbounded.

5. Consider the following sequences

$$w_n = (-2)^n, x_n = 5^{(-1)^n}, y_n = 1 + (-1)^n, z_n = n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{4}\right)$$

Monotone subsequences:  $(w_{2n}), (x_{2n}), (y_{2n}), (z_{4n-2}).$ 

Set of subsequential limits:  $\{-\infty,\infty\},\{\frac{1}{5},5\},\{0,2\},\{-\infty,0,\infty\}$ 

 $\limsup$  and  $\liminf: -\infty, \infty; \frac{1}{5}, 5; 0, 2; -\infty, \infty$ 

None of these 4 sequences converge

 $w_n, z_n$  are bounded, while  $x_n, y_n$  are unbounded.

6. Prove  $\liminf s_n = -\limsup(-s_n)$ 

We know from Theorem 10.6  $\liminf s_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf \{ s_n : n > N \}$ 

But from Exercise 5.6 we know that  $\inf\{s_n : n > N\} = -\sup\{-s_n : n > N\}$  so long as S is a nonempty subseto of  $\mathbb{R}$ , but this is exactly what  $\{s_n : n > N\}$  is.

So  $\liminf s_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} -\sup\{-s_n : n > N\} = -\limsup(-s_n)$ .

7. Determine which of the following series converge.

 $\sum \frac{n-1}{n^2}$  Note that  $n > 10 \implies fracn - 1n^2 > \frac{1}{2n}$ , a divergent harmonic series, so this sum diverges by the comparison test.

 $\sum (-1)^n$  Note that  $a_n = (-1)^n$  so  $\lim a_n \neq 0 \implies$  divergence.

 $\sum \frac{3n}{n^3} = 3 \sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  but this is a convergent harmonic series, so this sequence also converges.

 $\sum \frac{n^3}{3^n}$ . By the Ratio test,  $\lim \left|\frac{(n+1)^3}{3n^3}\right| = \frac{1}{3} < 1$ . so this series converges.

 $\sum \frac{n^2}{n!}$ . By the Ratio test,  $\lim |\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}| = 0 < 1$  so this series converges.

 $\sum \frac{1}{n^n}$ . By the Root test,  $\lim |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim |\frac{1}{n^n}|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim \frac{1}{n} = 0 < 1$  so this series converges.

 $\sum \frac{n}{2^n}$ . By the Ratio test,  $\lim \left|\frac{n+1}{2n}\right| = \frac{1}{2} < 1$  so this series converges.

8. Prove that if  $\sum |a_n|$  converges and  $(b_n)$  is bounded then  $\sum a_n b_n$  converges.

We just need to show that  $\sum a_n b_n$  is Cauchy to show that it converges.

## 9. Predicate Calculus

Let  $m|n = \exists k \in \mathbb{N} : (k)(n) = m$ 

- (a)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (n|3) \land (n|2) \implies (n|7)$
- (b)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (n|3) \land (n|2) \implies (n|7)$
- (c)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (n|6) \land (n|5) \implies (n|20)$
- (d)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (n|3) \land (n|2) \implies (n|7)$
- (e)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (n|3) \land (n|2) \implies (n|7)$