

15.1 Minimize  $f(x_1, x_2) = -2x_1 - x_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 - s_1 &= 2 \\x_1 + x_2 + s_2 &= 3 \\x_1 + 2x_2 + s_3 &= 5 \\x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, s_3 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

15.3 We can rewrite the original problem as follows

Minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i(x_i^+ - x_i^-)$  such that

$$A(x^+ - x^-) = b, x^+, x^- \geq 0$$

However for it to be true standard form we'll need to rewrite  $A$  and  $c$  as  $A' = [A, -A]$ ,  $c' = [c, c]$ .

15.5 Let number of units shipped be  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  respectively for AC, AD, BC, BD.

Minimize  $f(x) = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4$  s.t.

$$x_1 + x_2 + s_1 = 70 \quad x_3 + x_4 + s_2 = 80 \quad x_1 + x_3 = 50 \quad x_2 + x_4 = 60$$

15.7 Let  $x_i$  be the weight of item  $i$  used.

Then our goal is to minimize the total cost  $f(x) = 2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4$  such that.

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 &= 1000 \\3x_1 + 8x_2 + 16x_3 + 4x_4 &= 10000 \\6x_1 + 46x_2 + 9x_3 + 9x_4 &= 2000 \\20x_1 + 5x_2 + 4x_3 &= 5000\end{aligned}$$

However the only solution is  $\begin{bmatrix} 179 \\ -175 \\ 573 \\ 422 \end{bmatrix}$  which is infeasible, so there is no solution.

15.9 The matrix has full rank 3, so there are  $\binom{5}{3} = 10$  basic solutions.

They are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{1,2,3}^* &= \frac{1}{17} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -80 & 83 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{1,2,4}^* &= \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 49 & 0 & -83 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{1,2,5}^* &= \frac{1}{31} \begin{bmatrix} 105 & 25 & 0 & 0 & 83 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{1,3,4}^* &= \frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} -12 & 0 & 49 & -80 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{1,3,5}^* &= \frac{1}{35} \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 0 & 25 & 0 & 80 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{1,4,5}^* &= \frac{1}{18} \begin{bmatrix} 65 & 0 & 0 & 25 & 49 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{2,3,4}^* &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -6 & 5 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{2,3,5}^* &= \frac{1}{23} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -100 & 105 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{2,4,5}^* &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 13 & 0 & -21 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\x_{3,4,5}^* &= \frac{1}{19} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 65 & -100 & 12 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

15.10 TODO

16.2 (a)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) The tableau is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we need to pivot about (2, 3) and (1, 4) and to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) The basic feasible solution corresponding to this is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  with cost  $-1$ .

(d) The coefficients are  $[5 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$ .

(e) Yes it is optimal, as all the reduced row coefficients are positive.

(f) Yes, there is a basic feasible solution.

(g) TODO

16.3 The tableau for this problem is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

By  $R_3 + R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_3$  we can get the canonical form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

And then we pivot about  $-1$  by  $R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$ ;  $R_2 - R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the solution given is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for a total cost of 5.

16.4 We know there will be 3 slack variables because we have three inequalities. The tableau for this problem is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There's a negative reduced cost coefficient, so we pivot along (1, 1) via  $R_3 - R_1 \rightarrow R_3$  and  $R_4 + 2R_1 \rightarrow R_4$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Again we pivot along (3, 2) via  $R_2 + R_4 \rightarrow R_2$  and  $R_4 + R_3 \rightarrow R_4$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 17 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now our reduced row coefficients are all positive so our solution is  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  for a total cost of 14.

16.5 (a) TODO

16.6 TODO

16.8 (a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is the basic feasible solution for this tableau, with  $f(x) = 8$

(b)  $[0 \ 4 \ 0 \ 0 \ -4]$

(c) Yes, as we can get any negative value since the last column is all negative.

(d) We would need to pivot about  $(3, 2)$  to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 & \frac{8}{3} \\ 1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{4}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

(e) TODO

(f) Note from the tableau  $a_2 = a_4 + 2a_1 + 3a_3$  and  $a_5 = -a_4 - 2a_1 - 3a_3$  so therefore  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \in$

$\text{kernel}(A)$ . By RN theorem we know that  $\text{nullity}(A) = 2$  Since these two vectors are linearly independent, they form a basis for the kernel of  $A$ .

16.9

16.10

16.11

16.12

16.14