Jesse Cai MATH 164 Homework 3 304634445

15.1 Minimize  $f(x_1, x_2) = -2x_1 - x_2$  such that

$$x_1 - s_1 = 2$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + s_2 = 3$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + s_3 = 5$$

$$x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, s_3 \ge 0$$

15.3 We can rewrite the original problem as follows

Minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(x_i^+ - x_i^-)$  such that

$$A(x^{+} - x^{-}) = b, x^{+}, x^{-} \ge 0$$

However for it to be true standard form we'll need to rewrite A and c as A' = [A, -A], c' = [c, c].

15.5 Let number of units shipped be  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  respectively for AC, AD. BC, BD.

Minimize 
$$f(x) = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4$$
 s.t.

$$x_1 + x_2 + s_1 = 70 \ x_3 + x_4 + s_2 = 80 \ x_1 + x_3 = 50 \ x_2 + x_4 = 60$$

15.7 Let  $x_i$  be the weight of item i used.

Then our goal is to minimize the total cost  $f(x) = 2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4$  such that.

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1000$$

$$3x_1 + 8x_2 + 16x_3 + 4x_4 = 10000$$

$$6x_1 + 46x_2 + 9x_3 + 9x_4 = 2000$$

$$20x_1 + 5x_2 + 4x_3 = 5000$$

However the only solution is  $\begin{bmatrix} 179 \\ -175 \\ 573 \\ 422 \end{bmatrix}$  which is infeasible, so there is no solution.

15.9 The matrix has full rank 3, so there are  $\binom{5}{3} = 10$  basic solutions.

They are as follows:

$$x_{1,2,3}^* = \frac{1}{17} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -80 & 83 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{1,2,4}^* = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 49 & 0 & -83 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{1,2,5}^* = \frac{1}{31} \begin{bmatrix} 105 & 25 & 0 & 0 & 83 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{1,3,4}^* = \frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} -12 & 0 & 49 & -80 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{1,3,5}^* = \frac{1}{35} \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 0 & 25 & 0 & 80 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{1,4,5}^* = \frac{1}{18} \begin{bmatrix} 65 & 0 & 0 & 25 & 49 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{2,3,4}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -6 & 5 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{2,3,5}^* = \frac{1}{23} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -100 & 105 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{2,4,5}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 13 & 0 & -21 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{3,4,5}^* = \frac{1}{19} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 65 & -100 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) The tableau is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we need to pivot about (2,3) and (1,4) and to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) The basic feasible solution corresponding to this is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  with cost -1.

(d) The coefficients are  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(e) Yes it is optimal, as all the reduced row coefficients are positive.

(f) Yes, there is a basic feasible solution, since the phase I of the two-phase method returned a valid basic solution.

(g)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

16.3 The tableau for this problem is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

By  $R_3 + R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_3$  we can get the cannonical form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

And then we pivot about -1 by  $R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$ ;  $R_2 - R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the solution given is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for a total cost of 5.

16.4 We know there will be 3 slack variables because we have three inequalities. The tableau for this problem is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There's a negative reduced cost coefficient, so we pivot along (1,1) via  $R_3-R_1\to R_3$  and  $R_4+2R_1\to R_4$ 

2

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Again we pivot along (3,2) via  $R_2 + R_4 \rightarrow R_2$  and  $R_4 + R_3 \rightarrow R_4$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 17 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now our reduced row coefficients are all positive so our solution is  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  for a total cost of 14.

16.5 (a) We can get the basis from the cannonical tableau

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we can try to get the identity matrix on the right via  $R_2-2R_1\to R_2$  and  $R_1-R_2\to R_2$  and  $R_2/2\to R_2$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 1\\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so 
$$B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) We know that  $c_D^T = r_D^T + c_B^T B^{-1} D$ 

$$= [-1, 1] + [8, 7]^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = [30, 47]$$

so the missing part of  $c = [30, 47]^T$ .

(c) The basic feasible solution is given by  $B^{-1}b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 38 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(d) The first two elements are given above and the last element is given by  $-c_B^T B^{-1} b = -\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 38 \end{bmatrix} = -426$  The missing values are  $\begin{bmatrix} 16, 38, 416 \end{bmatrix}^T$ .

16.6 The columns in the constraint matrix A corresponding to  $x^+, x^-$  are linearly dependent. Hence they cannot both enter a basis at the same time. This means that only one variable, can assume a nonnegative value; the nonbasic variable is necessarily zero.

16.8 (a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is the basic feasible solution for this tableau, with f(x) = 8

- (b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$
- (c) Yes, as we can get any negative value since the last column is all negative.
- (d) We would need to pivot about (3, 2) to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 & \frac{8}{3} \\ 1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -1 & \frac{7}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{4}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

3

(e) 
$$x = [52, 0, 76, 28, 23]^T$$

(f) Note from the tableau 
$$a_2 = a_4 + 2a_1 + 3a_3$$
 and  $a_5 = -a_4 - 2a_1 - 3a_3$  so therefore  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}$ 

kernel(A). By RN theorem we know that nullity(A) = 2 Since these two vectors are linearly independent, they form a basis for the kernel of A.

- 16.9 (a) We can rewrite this as minimize  $f(x) = x_1 + 2x_2$  s.t.  $x_2 s_1 = 1$ 
  - (b) First we create our tableau

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then for phase 1 we try to minimize  $f(x) = y_1$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We zero out the bottom row via  $R_2 - R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we pivot about (1,2) via  $R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  and get back our original matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The we start phase 2 by pivoting about (1, 2) to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

so our solution is  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ .

- 16.10 (a) A basic solution is found when  $x_2 = 0$  which forces  $x_1 = 1$  for  $[1,0]^T$ .
  - (b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
  - (c) The algorithm terminates since the problem is unbounded, as the element at (1,2) < 0
  - (d) Note that any  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  the vector of the form  $[x_1, x_1 1]$  is feasible, so the objective function can take any arbitrary value in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 16.11 First we construct the cannonical tableau

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

With  $x_1, x_2$  as basic variables we get  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies B^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

so then 
$$\lambda_d = \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}-1 & 2\\2 & -1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{3}\\\frac{1}{3}\end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $r_d = c_d^T - \lambda^T D = 0 - \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{3}\\\frac{1}{3}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}-1 & 0\\0 & -1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\end{bmatrix}$ 

Since these are all non-negative, this is an optimal solution  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$  with cost 2.

16.12 (a) The cannonical tableau has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 11 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -8 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 7 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There is no basic feasible solution, so we can add in  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  to get an updated tableau

4

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 11 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our 
$$B^{-1} = I_3, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 11\\8\\7 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  as our basic variables we get  $\lambda = [1, 1, 1]^T$  and  $r_D = [-8, -4, 1, 1, 1]^T$ . So we pivot about (1, 1) to bring  $x_1$  into the basis.

After this our 
$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{5} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{11}{5}, \frac{18}{5}, \frac{24}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $x_1, y_2, y_3$  as our basis we get  $\lambda = [-\frac{3}{5}, 1, 1]^T$  and  $r_D = [-\frac{12}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, 1, 1, \frac{8}{5}]^T$ . So we pivot about (2,3) to bring  $x_2$  into the basis.

After this our 
$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{9} & 0 & -\frac{1}{9} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{5}{9} \end{bmatrix}, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{3}, 2, \frac{8}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $x_1, x_2, y_3$  as our basis we get  $\lambda = [-\frac{1}{3}, 1, -\frac{1}{3}]^T$  and  $r_D = [-\frac{1}{3}, 1, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}]^T$ .

So we pivot about (3,2) to bring  $x_3$  into the basis.

After this our 
$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  as our basis we get  $\lambda = 0$  and  $r_D = [0, 0, 1, 1, 1]^T$ .

So our initial solution =  $[3, 2, 6, 0, 0]^T$ 

Going back to our original tableau with  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  as our basis we get  $\lambda = [0, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$  which are the same ad  $r_d > 0$ 

so the optimal solution is  $[3, 2]^T$ .

(b) The cannonical tableau for this problem is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 20 \\ 6 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 100 \\ 3 & 4 & 9 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 75 \\ -6 & -4 & -7 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our 
$$B^{-1} = I_3, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 20\\100\\75 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  as our basic variables we get  $\lambda = 0$  and  $r_D = [-6, -4, -7, -5]^T$ . So we pivot about (3, 2) to bring  $x_2$  into the basis.

After this our 
$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{9} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{9} \end{bmatrix}, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{35}{3}, 75, \frac{25}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $x_1, x_2, y_3$  as our basis we get  $\lambda = [0, 0, -\frac{7}{9}]^T$  and  $r_D = [-\frac{11}{3}, -\frac{8}{9}, \frac{13}{3}, \frac{7}{9}]^T$ .

5

So we pivot about (2,1) to bring  $x_1$  into the basis.

After this our 
$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}, y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  as our basis we get  $r_D = [\frac{27}{15}, \frac{43}{15}, \frac{11}{15}, \frac{8}{15}]^T$ . so the optimal solution is  $[15, 0, \frac{10}{3}, 0]^T$ .

16.14 (a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- (b) any vector in the  $span(\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix})$  will be a valid  $c_1, c_2$ .
- (c) These are all 0 since the basic feasible solutions are optimal.