



**TÉCNICO**  
LISBOA

# **Deep Neural Network on the Versat Reconfigurable Processor**

**João Pedro Costa Luís Cardoso**

Thesis to obtain the Master of Science Degree in

**Electrical and Computer Engineering**

Supervisor(s): Prof. José João Henriques Teixeira de Sousa

**October 2022**



## **Declaration**

I declare that this document is an original work of my own authorship and that it fulfills all the requirements of the Code of Conduct and Good Practices of the Universidade de Lisboa.



Dedicated to my fiancée and soon-to-be-born son.



## **Acknowledgments**

I want to thank my supervisor and Professor José Teixeira de Sousa for his eternal patience with me finishing this dissertation and the opportunity to work on the Versat CGRA. I would also like to acknowledge my friends and my parents who are always there for me and a special mention to my fiancée who has supported me all the way through my Bachelor and Masters and has pushed me to finally finish this document.





## Resumo

Esta tese apresenta uma solução para simular o Deep Versat, uma CGRA que é acupulada de um processador RISC-V. Também é apresentada nesta tese ferramentas para o Deep Versat correr Redes Neurais Convolucionais. Estas cargas de trabalho são usadas em diversos algoritmos de Inteligência Artificial como a detecção de objetos em imagens. As vantagens da ferramenta são várias. Primeiro, a escrita das configurações do Versat é preciso conhecimento da arquitetura a nível detalhado e das suas APIs. Segundo, a escrita de algoritmos complexos para o Versat é preciso muitas horas de desenvolvimento e mais outras quantas para testar em hardware, ou seja o custo de usar o Versat baixa consideravelmente e a performance é otimizada à configuração do Versat escolhida podendo testar centenas de configurações de Hardware para otimizar a performance de uma rede em específico.

**Palavras-chave:** CGRA, Versat, Darknet, Redes Neurais



## **Abstract**

This thesis presents a solution to simulate Deep Versat, a CGRA, which is coupled to a RISC-V CPU. It is also presented in this thesis the tools for Deep Versat to run any Convolutional Neural Network with any configuration of datapaths. These workloads are used in Machine Learning algorithms with object detection in images. The tool has several advantages. Firstly, in the configuration writing to the Registers, there's a need for a high degree of knowledge of the architecture of the CGRA and its software APIs. Secondly, the writing of complex algorithms on this hardware needs long hours of debugging and development, meaning by the use of the tools presented in this thesis, the development time can be reduced and performance can be automatically optimized. Finally, the tools can be adapted to changes in the hardware by changing a few software functions at most.

**Keywords:** CGRA, Versat, Darknet, Convolutional Neural Networks, Deep Neural Networks



# Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Acknowledgments . . . . .                           | vii       |
| Resumo . . . . .                                    | ix        |
| Abstract . . . . .                                  | xi        |
| List of Tables . . . . .                            | xv        |
| List of Figures . . . . .                           | xvii      |
| List of Acronyms . . . . .                          | xix       |
| Glossary . . . . .                                  | 1         |
| <b>1 Introduction</b>                               | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1 Motivation . . . . .                            | 1         |
| 1.2 Objective . . . . .                             | 2         |
| 1.3 Thesis Outline . . . . .                        | 2         |
| <b>2 Background</b>                                 | <b>3</b>  |
| 2.1 Deep Neural Networks . . . . .                  | 3         |
| 2.1.1 Convolutional Neural Networks . . . . .       | 4         |
| 2.1.2 Frameworks for Neural Networks . . . . .      | 7         |
| 2.2 Deep Versat . . . . .                           | 9         |
| 2.2.1 Versat Architecture . . . . .                 | 9         |
| 2.2.2 Configuration Module . . . . .                | 11        |
| 2.2.3 Deep Versat Architecture . . . . .            | 13        |
| 2.3 CNN Compiling in FPGAs . . . . .                | 15        |
| 2.3.1 Toolflows for Mapping CNNs in FPGAs . . . . . | 15        |
| <b>3 Darknet Lite</b>                               | <b>19</b> |
| 3.1 Porting Darknet to an embedded CPU . . . . .    | 19        |
| 3.2 Parsing CFG Files into the program . . . . .    | 21        |
| <b>4 Deep Versat Software Simulator</b>             | <b>25</b> |
| 4.1 Architecture and Object Relation . . . . .      | 25        |
| 4.1.1 Functional Units . . . . .                    | 27        |
| 4.2 Simulation . . . . .                            | 27        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 4.2.1    | Run() Function . . . . .                        | 29        |
| 4.2.2    | Start() Method . . . . .                        | 30        |
| 4.2.3    | Databus . . . . .                               | 30        |
| 4.2.4    | Update() and Output() Method . . . . .          | 30        |
| 4.2.5    | Copy() and Info() Method . . . . .              | 32        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Versat API 2.0</b>                           | <b>33</b> |
| 5.1      | API Architecture . . . . .                      | 34        |
| 5.2      | Memory Operations API . . . . .                 | 35        |
| 5.3      | Matrix Multiplication and Dot Product . . . . . | 37        |
| 5.4      | Generic Convolution . . . . .                   | 38        |
| 5.4.1    | Loading Data . . . . .                          | 39        |
| 5.4.2    | Convolution Scenarios . . . . .                 | 41        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Results</b>                                  | <b>45</b> |
| 6.1      | Simulator Testing . . . . .                     | 45        |
| 6.2      | Testing the new API . . . . .                   | 46        |
| 6.2.1    | Testbench for Matrix Multiplication . . . . .   | 46        |
| 6.2.2    | Testbench for Generic Convolution . . . . .     | 46        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Conclusions</b>                              | <b>49</b> |
| 7.1      | Achievements . . . . .                          | 49        |
| 7.2      | Future Work . . . . .                           | 49        |
|          | <b>Bibliography</b>                             | <b>51</b> |

# List of Tables

|     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Popular activation functions . . . . .   | 7  |
| 2.2 | Deep Versat Memory Map . . . . .   | 14 |
| 2.3 | CNN to FPGA Toolflows, adapted from [21] . . . . .                               | 15 |
| 4.1 | Versat Simulator Functional Units . . . . .                                      | 27 |
| 6.1 | CNN Layer on the testbench . . . . .   | 47 |
| 6.2 | CNN Layer on the testbench with several Versat hardware configurations . . . . . | 48 |





# List of Figures

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 2.1  | Deep Neural Network Structure . . . . .                                       | 3  |
| 2.2  | CNN architecture example, taken from [8] . . . . .                            | 4  |
| 2.3  | 2D convolution with stride = 1 and without zero padding . . . . .             | 5  |
| 2.4  | Simple example of a maxpool layer, taken from [9] . . . . .                   | 6  |
| 2.5  | Dropout if applied to all layers, adapted from [12] . . . . .                 | 6  |
| 2.6  | Versat Topology, taken from [15] . . . . .                                    | 10 |
| 2.7  | Versat Data Engine Topology, taken from [16] . . . . .                        | 10 |
| 2.8  | Versat Memory Unit with one AGU per port, taken from [18] . . . . .           | 11 |
| 2.9  | Configuration Module,taken from [15] . . . . .                                | 11 |
| 2.10 | Deep Versat Architecture, taken from [1] . . . . .                            | 13 |
| 2.11 | Deep Versat System using a RISC-V RV32IMC soft core, taken from [1] . . . . . | 14 |
| 2.12 | fpgaConvNet Architecture. Taken from [22] . . . . .                           | 16 |
| 4.1  | Class Structure for the Versat Simulator . . . . .                            | 26 |
| 4.2  | Sequence Diagram of a Program using Versat Simulator . . . . .                | 28 |
| 5.1  | Graphic representation of the new Versat API and its connections . . . . .    | 34 |
| 5.2  | Versat Configuration goal in Graphical form . . . . .                         | 40 |
| 5.3  | Convolution Scenarios that Versat will have . . . . .                         | 41 |
| 5.4  | Configuration Flowchart for the different scenarios . . . . .                 | 42 |
| 6.1  | Simulator test output in terminal . . . . .                                   | 46 |
| 6.2  | Matrix Multiplication Testbench Outputs . . . . .                             | 46 |
| 6.3  | Generic Convolution Testbench Outputs . . . . .                               | 47 |



# List of Acronyms

|             |  |
|-------------|--|
| <b>AGU</b>  | Address Generation Unit                        |
| <b>ALU</b>  | Arithmetic Logic Unit                          |
| <b>API</b>  | Application Programming Interface              |
| <b>ASIC</b> | Application-Specific Integrated Circuit        |
| <b>ASIP</b> | Application Specific Instruction Set Processor |
| <b>BRAM</b> | Block Random Access Memory                     |
| <b>CGRA</b> | Coarse-Grain Reconfigurable Array              |
| <b>CM</b>   | Configuration Module                           |
| <b>CNN</b>  | Convolutional Neural Network                   |
| <b>CPI</b>  | Cycles Per Instruction                         |
| <b>CPU</b>  | Central Processing Unit                        |
| <b>DE</b>   | Data Engine                                    |
| <b>DMA</b>  | Direct Memory Access                           |
| <b>DSP</b>  | Digital Signal Processor                       |
| <b>FPGA</b> | Field-Programmable Gate Array                  |
| <b>FU</b>   | Functional Unit                                |
| <b>HDL</b>  | Hardware Description Language                  |
| <b>ISA</b>  | Instruction Set Architecture                   |
| <b>LED</b>  | Light Emitting Diode                           |
| <b>LUT</b>  | Lookup Table                                   |
| <b>RTL</b>  | Register-Transfer Level                        |
| <b>UART</b> | Universal Asynchronous Receiver-Transmitter    |
| <b>UUT</b>  | Unit Under Test                                |
| <b>VCD</b>  | Value Change Dump                              |
| <b>VPI</b>  | Verilog Procedural Interface                   |



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

In this thesis, the problem of accelerating the execution of Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) using Coarse Grained Reconfigurable Arrays (CGRAs) are studied, with special emphasis on compiling a DNN description into code that runs on CPU/CGRA system. The Deep Versat Architecture [1] CGRA is used as an implementation tool in this work.

### 1.1 Motivation

Neural Networks have been an object of study since the 1940s, but until the beginning of this decade their applications were limited and did not play a major role in computer vision conferences. With its meteoric rise in research, several solutions to accelerate this algorithm have appeared, from Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) to Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASIC) implementations.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are a particular kind of DNN where the output values of the neurons in one layer are convolved with a kernel to produce the input values of the neurons of the next layer. This algorithm is compute bound, that is, its performance depends on how fast it can do certain calculations, and depend less on the memory access time. Namely, the convolutional layers take approximately 90% of the computation time.

The acceleration of these workloads is a matter of importance for today's applications such as image processing for object recognition or simply to enhance certain images. Other uses like instant translation and virtual assistants are applications of neural networks and their acceleration is of vital importance to bring them into the Internet of Things.

A suitable circuit to accelerate DNNs in hardware is the CGRA. A CGRA is a collection of Functional Units and memories with programmable interconnections to form computational datapaths. A CGRA can be implemented in both FPGAs and ASICs. CGRAs can be reconfigured much faster than FPGAs, as they have much fewer configuration bits. If reconfiguration is done at runtime, CGRAs add temporal scalability to the spacial scalability that characterizes FPGAs. Moreover, partial reconfiguration is much easier to do in CGRAs compared to FPGAs which further speeds up reconfiguration time. Another advantage of CGRAs is the fact that they can be programmed entirely in software, contrasting with the

large development time of customized Intellectual Property (IP) blocks. The Coarse Grain Reconfigurable Array (CGRA) is a midway acceleration solution between FPGAs, which are flexible but large, power-hungry and difficult to reprogram, and ASICs, which are fast but generally not programmable.

However, mapping a specific DNN to a CGRA requires knowledge of its architecture, latencies and register configurations, which may become a lengthy process, especially if the user wants to explore the design space for several DNN configurations. An automatic compiler that can map a standard DNN description into CPU/CGRA code would dramatically decrease the time to market of its users. Currently, there are equivalent tools for CPUs and GPUs and even for FPGAs.

## 1.2 Objective

The main objective of this thesis is to take an established Neural Network Framework, in this case Darknet[2]. A tool will transform Caffe prototext files into CFG files which are read by Darknet, so if a user has a DNN in Caffe, it can be used by the system. Afterward, the CFG file can be parsed by the tool to create the layer and data structures needed for Darknet.

The Versat CGRA is the DNN accelerator to improve the performance of the DNNs in embedded hardware. This work presents a software simulator for Versat so the development can be simultaneous and to write the configurations of said hardware. Another objective is to increase the versatility of the Versat API and offer new functions to simplify the development of new software. One of these functions is a generic convolution for Versat which can, independently of the hardware configuration, configure the convolution to have the highest performance possible on the available functional units while being dynamic and to avoid developer work to adapt to new convolutions.

## 1.3 Thesis Outline

The document has the following chapters:

- Chapter 2 introduces the background needed to understand the work presented in other chapters relating to neural networks and the Versat CGRA.
- Chapter 3 describes the Darknet framework and its embedded implementation, the tool to transform Caffe to CFG and to transform CFG to C++ code with the layers and data structures needed
- Chapter 4 talks about the Deep Versat Simulator and how the simulator structure and architecture is designed and implemented.
- Chapter 5 explains the new functions that the Versat API has that are used for development
- Chapter 6 presents the results of the work explained in the previous chapters as well and the expected performance that the Versat CGRA has with several convolutions using the simulator.
- Chapter 7 is the final remarks of this thesis, explains the shortcomings and what's missing from this thesis and possible future work.

# Chapter 2

## Background

### 2.1 Deep Neural Networks

A Neural Network (NN) is an interconnected group of nodes that follow a computational model that propagates data forward while processing. The earliest NNs were proposed by McCulloch and Pitts [3], in which a neuron has a linear part, based on aggregation of data and then a non-linear part called the activation function, which is applied to the aggregate sum. The issue with using only one neuron is that it is not able to be used in non-linear separable problems. By aggregating several neurons in layers and the input of each neuron as in figure 2.1 being based on the previous layers, that problem can be eliminated.




Figure 2.1: Deep Neural Network Structure

Each input to a neuron contributes differently to the output. The share is dependent on the weight value. These are obtained by training the network through various techniques, one of which is called Deep Supervised Learning [4]. For a certain input, there is an expected output and the real output of the

NN. Then the loss function (the difference) is calculated and the weight values are iteratively modified for improving the outputs of the NN.

A Deep Neural Network (DNN) is a Neural Network that uses this approach for learning. It has multiple hidden layers and it can model complex non-linear relationships. If the activation function is non polynomial, it satisfies the Universal approximation problem [5].

One of the limitations of traditional NNs is the complexity of layer interconnections. Using as example the hand digit recognition problem and MNIST data set, composed of 28x28 grayscale images [6], in a traditional fully connected NN, a neuron from the second layer would have 28x28 weights. That is 3.136 kiloBytes per neuron of weight values while using 32-bit floating-point numbers (FP32). When building a more complex network for image recognition, the computational complexity grows quadratically with the number of neurons per layer.

## 2.1.1 Convolutional Neural Networks

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are a class of DNNs used in Image and Video recognition due to their shift invariance characteristic. They were first proposed in the 1980s but it was not until 2012 with AlexNet [7] that CNNs really took off. Fundamentally, CNNs are a regularized version of Multilayer Perceptrons (MLP). These networks fix the complexity issue discussed as each neuron is only connected to a few neurons of the previous layer.




Figure 2.2: CNN architecture example, taken from [8]

### 2.1.1.1 Architecture Overview

#### 2.1.1.1.1 Convolutional Layer

In a typical CNN not all layers are convolutional, but the convolutional layers are the most compute intensive ones. CNNs take input images with 3 dimensions (width, height and color space); for the following convolutional layers 3D arrays are used (width, height and number of channels). For the earlier example of the MNIST data set, the input would have dimensions 28x28x1 as it is a 2D image in grayscale.

To compute a neuron in the next layer we use the convolution equation 2.1 aided by Figure 2.3.



$$x_j^{l+1} = \delta\left(\sum_{i \in M_j} x_i^l * k_{ij}^{l+1} + b_j^{l+1}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $x_j^{l+1}$  is the output,  $\delta$  is the activation function, which depends on the architecture,  $x_i^l$  is the input of the convolution layer,  $k_{ij}^{l+1}$  is the kernel of said layer which is obtained by training the network, and  $b_j^{l+1}$  is the bias.

Thus an output neuron depends only on a small region of the input which is called the local receptive field.




Figure 2.3: 2D convolution with stride = 1 and without zero padding

The output's dimensions depend on several parameters of the convolution such as zero-padding and stride. The former means to add zeros around the edges of the input matrix. The latter means the step used for the convolution, if the value is e.g 2, it will skip a pixel each iteration of the convolution. Equation 2.2 can be used to calculate the output size.

$$n^{l+1} = \frac{n^l - b^l + 2 \times p}{s} + 1 \quad (2.2)$$

where  $n$  is the width/height of the input of layer  $l$ ,  $b$  is the width/height of the kernel,  $p$  is zero-padding while  $s$  is the stride.

The number of channels of the output is equal to the number of filters in the convolutional layer.

#### 2.1.1.1.2 Pooling Layer

The MaxPool or AvgPool are layers used in Convolutional Neural Networks to downsampling the feature maps to make the output maps less sensitive to the location of the features.

Maximum Pooling or MaxPool, like it is suggested in its name groups  $n \times n$  points and outputs the pixel with highest value. The output will have its size lowered by  $n$  times. The Average Pooling or AvgPool, instead takes all of the input points and calculates the average. Downsampling can also be achieved by

using convolutions with stride 2 and padding equal to 1. Upsample layers can be also used that turn each pixel into  $n^2$ , where  $n$  is the amount of times the output will be bigger than the input.




Figure 2.4: Simple example of a maxpool layer, taken from [9]

#### 2.1.1.1.3 Fully Connected Layer

The fully connected layer is mostly used for classification in the final layers of the NN. It associates the feature map to the respective labels. It takes the 3D vector and outputs a single vector thus it is also known as flatten. Equation 2.3 describes the operation.

$$x_j^{l+1} = \delta\left(\sum_i (x_i^l \times w_{ji}^{l+1}) + b_j^{l+1}\right) \quad (2.3)$$

where  $w_{ji}^{l+1}$  are the weights associated with a specific input for each output.

#### 2.1.1.1.4 Route & Shortcut Layer

The Shortcut layer or skip connection was first introduced in Resnet [10]. It allows to connect the previous layer to another to allow the flow of information across layers. The Route layer, used in Yolov3 [11], concatenates 2 layers in depth (channel) or skips the layer forward. This is used after the detection layer in Yolov3 to extract other features.

#### 2.1.1.1.5 Dropout Layer

This type of layer was conceived to avoid overfitting [12] by dropping the neurons with probability below the threshold. In Figure 2.5, there is a graphical representation.




Figure 2.5: Dropout if applied to all layers, adapted from [12]

| Activation Functions | Computation Equation  |
|----------------------|---|
| Sigmoid              | $f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$   |
| Tanh                 | $f(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$  |
| Softmax              | $f(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_j e^{x_j}}$   |
| ReLU                 | $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$              |
| LReLU                | $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \alpha x & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases}$       |
| ELU                  | $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \alpha e^x - 1 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ |

Table 2.1: Popular activation functions

#### 2.1.1.1.6 Activation Functions

Activation Functions (AF) are functions used in each layer of a NN to compute the weighted sum of input and biases, which is used to give a value to a neuron. Non-linear AFs are used to transform linear inputs to non-linear outputs. While training Deep Neural Networks, vanishing and exploding gradients are common issues, in other words, after successive multiplications of the loss gradient, the values tend to 0 or infinity and thus the gradient disappears. AFs help mitigate this issue by keeping the gradient within specific limits. The most popular activation functions can be found in table 2.1.

### 2.1.2 Frameworks for Neural Networks

To run a Neural Network model there are several popular frameworks like Tensorflow, PyTorch, Caffe and Darknet. Their purpose is to offer abstraction to software developers that want to run these networks. They also offer programming for different platforms like Nvidia GPUs by using the CUDA API.

#### 2.1.2.1 Darknet

Darknet [2] is an open-source neural network framework written in C and CUDA. It is used as the backbone for Yolov3 [11] and supports several different network configurations such as AlexNet and Resnet. It utilizes a network configuration file (.cfg) and a weights file (.weights) as input for inference.

Listing 2.1: cfg code for a Convolutional Layer used in Yolov3 [11]

```
[convolutional]
batch_normalize=1
filters=32
size=3
stride=1
pad=1
activation=leaky
```

In Listing 2.1, there is a snippet of the file featuring a convolution layer with 32 kernels of size 3x3. It has stride 1 and zero padding of 1, meaning the output size equals the input size. The input size can be calculated by analyzing the previous layers and the network parameters. The network parameters in Listing 2.2 includes data to be used for training while only the first three parameters are needed for inference.

Listing 2.2: cfg code for the network parameters

```
[net]
width=608
height=608
channels=3

learning_rate=0.001
burn_in=1000
max_batches = 500200
policy=steps
steps=400000,450000
scales=.1,.1
```

### 2.1.2.2 Caffe

Convolutional Architecture for Fast Feature Embedding (Caffe) [13] is also an open-source framework written in C++ with a Python interface. Caffe exports a neural network by serializing it using the Google Protocol Buffers (ProtoBuf) serialization library. Each network has 2 prototxt files:

- deploy.prototxt- File that describes the structure of the network that can be deployed for inference.
- train\_val.prototxt- File that includes structure for training. it includes the extra layers used to aid the training and validation process.

The Python interface helps generate these files. For inference only the deploy file matters. In Listing 2.3, there is a snippet of a deploy file.

Listing 2.3: prototxt file for the input data and the first convolution layer of AlexNet [7]

```
name: "AlexNet"
layer {
  name: "data"
  type: "Input"
  top: "data"
  input_param { shape: { dim: 10 dim: 3 dim: 227 dim: 227 } }
}
layer {
```

```

name: "conv1"
type: "Convolution"
bottom: "data"
top: "conv1"
param {
    lr_mult: 1
    decay_mult: 1
}
param {
    lr_mult: 2
    decay_mult: 0
}
convolution_param {
    num_output: 96
    kernel_size: 11
    stride: 4
}
}

```

## 2.2 Deep Versat

Versat is a Coarse Grained Reconfigurable Array (CGRA) Architecture. CGRAs are in-between Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) and general purpose processors (GPP). The former is fully reconfigurable and the highest performance for a workload can be achieved as the Architecture is tailored to the workload. GPPs on the other hand, are not reconfigurable and thus slower but are more generic and can process different workloads. While FPGAs have granularity at the gate level, CGRAs have granularity at the functional unit level. They are configurable at run-time and the datapath can be changed in-between runs.

In this chapter, the base Versat Architecture will be explained and then the Deep Versat Architecture and its improvements.

### 2.2.1 Versat Architecture

The Versat Architecture [14–17] is depicted in Figure 2.6. It is composed of the following modules: DMA, Controller, Program Memory, Control File Registry, Data Engine and Configuration module. The Controller accesses the modules through the control bus. The code made in assembly or C is loaded into the program Memory (RAM) where the user can write to the configuration module for the Versat runs. Between runs of the Data Engine, the Controller can start doing the next run configuration and calculations.




Figure 2.6: Versat Topology, taken from [15]

### 2.2.1.1 Data Engine

The Data Engine which is represented in Figure 2.7 carries out the computation needed on the data arrays. It is a 32-bit architecture with up to 11 Functional Units (FU): Arithmetic and Logic Unit (ALU), stripped down ALU (ALU-Lite), Multiplier and Accumulator (MAC) and Barrel Shifter. Depending on the project and calculations, a new type of FU or the existing ones can be altered to support the algorithm. The DE has a full mesh topology, which means that each FU can be the output to another, which leads to a decrease in operating frequency.

Each Input of a Functional Unit has a Mux with 19 entries, 8 of which are from the memories (2 from each Mem out of 4 total units) and the rest from the Functional Units (11).




Figure 2.7: Versat Data Engine Topology, taken from [16]

The 4 Memories are dual port and for the input of both ports, there is an Address Generation Unit (AGU) that is able to reproduce two nested loops of memory indexes. The AGUs control which MEM data is the input of the FUs and where to store the results of the operation. Also, the AGUs support delayed start to line up timings due to latencies. The memory module is represented in Fig 2.8.




Figure 2.8: Versat Memory Unit with one AGU per port, taken from [18]

## 2.2.2 Configuration Module

Versat has several configuration spaces devised for each Functional Unit, with each space having multiple fields to define the operation of the Functional unit (e.g which op for the ALU). These are accessed before the run by the controller to define the datapath.




Figure 2.9: Configuration Module, taken from [15]

The Configuration Module (CM), depicted in figure 2.9, has three components: configuration memory, variable length configuration register file and configuration shadow register. The latter holds the current configuration so the controller can change the values of the configuration file in-between runs. The decode logic finds which component to write or read, if it's the registers, it ignores read operations. Meanwhile, the configuration memory interprets both write and reads. When it receives a read, it writes into the register configuration data, when it's a write, it stores the data instead.



### 2.2.3 Deep Versat Architecture




Figure 2.10: Deep Versat Architecture, taken from [1]

The Deep Versat Architecture [1], in figure 2.10, decouples the Data Engine (DE) from all control and as such, it can be used with any CPU. It can be paired with hard cores in FPGA boards like the ZYNQ board with its A9 ARM dual-core CPUs or pair it with a soft core.

Its principle is to create the concept of a Versat Core: Configuration Module (CM) and its Functional Units (FU) connected with a control bus and a data bus. Instead of writing to memory, there is the option to write for the next Versat Core to create more complex and more complete Datapaths, to avoid having to reconfigure the cores.

The number of Layers and FUs are reconfigurable pre-silicon with the only limitation that each layer is identical. To program Deep Versat, an API is generated from the Verilog .vh files.

### 2.2.3.1 Deep Versat System




Figure 2.11: Deep Versat System using a RISC-V RV32IMC soft core, taken from [1]

To make a complete system, a new controller is needed with a more robust toolchain. In a recent dissertation [1], the IOB-RV32 processor was used which uses the RISC-V Instruction Set (ISA) with 32-bit Integer base alongside Multiplication and Division extension and Compact Instruction extension. The core is derived from the open-source PicoRV32 CPU [19]. The IOB-RV32 uses its memory bus to access peripherals in which Deep Versat and the UART module are connected as such. The control bus is used to access the configuration modules of Deep Versat. The data bus is used to read and write a large amount of data into Deep Versat. The data flow bus is reserved for inter-Versat Core communication.

| Peripheral              | Memory address |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| UART module             | 12'h100xxxxx   |
| Deep Versat control bus | 8'h11xxxxxx    |
| Deep Versat data bus    | 8'h12xxxxxx    |

Table 2.2: Deep Versat Memory Map

The memory map to address the peripherals, including deep Versat, is in table 2.2. Each Versat has 15 bits of address while the CPU addresses the peripherals with 32 bits, with 8 of those occupied to choose the peripheral in question. That leaves 9 bits to address several Versat Cores which brings the theoretical maximum Versat cores to 512. The IOB-RV32 is compatible with the GNU toolchain to offer better portability of code and alongside the C++ Versat API the difficulty to code for the System diminishes.

## 2.3 CNN Compiling in FPGAs

This chapter presents an overview of tool flows that map convolutional neural networks into FPGA using the frameworks presented in Section 2.1.2. Next, the concepts for mapping CNNs into CGRAs are introduced.

### 2.3.1 Toolflows for Mapping CNNs in FPGAs

Several software frameworks have been developed to accelerate development and execution of CNNs. The neural networks frameworks discussed in section 2.1.2 provides high-level APIs together with high performance execution on multi-core CPUs, GPUs, Digital Signal Processors (DSPs) and Neural Processing Units (NPU)s [20]. FPGAs provide an alternative to these architectures as they provide high performance while also being low-power. FPGAs can meet several requirements including throughput and latency in the diversity of applications. Thus, several toolflows that map CNN descriptions into hardware to perform inference have been created. In table 2.3, a list of notable ones is presented.

| Toolflow Name | Interface                | Year           |
|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| fpgaConvNet   | Caffe & Torch            | May 2016       |
| DeepBurning   | Caffe                    | June 2016      |
| Angel-Eye     | Caffe                    | July 2016      |
| ALAMO         | Caffe                    | August 2016    |
| Haddoc2       | Caffe                    | September 2016 |
| DNNWeaver     | Caffe                    | October 2016   |
| Caffeine      | Caffe                    | November 2016  |
| AutoCodeGen   | Proprietary Input Format | December 2016  |
| Finn          | Theano                   | February 2017  |
| FP-DNN        | Tensorflow               | May 2017       |
| Snowflake     | Torch                    | May 2017       |
| SysArrayAccel | C                        | June 2017      |
| FFTCCodeGen   | Proprietary Input Format | December 2017  |

Table 2.3: CNN to FPGA Toolflows, adapted from [21]

#### 2.3.1.1 Supported Neural Network Models

These toolflows support the most common layers in CNNs, which are discussed in section 2.1. The acceleration target changes depending on the toolflow. For example, the fpgaConvNet [22] tool flow focuses more on feature extraction while offering nonaccelerated support for fully connected layers.

#### 2.3.1.2 Architecture & Portability

As shown in figure 2.12, the fpgaConvNet architecture consists of a Front-End Parser that reads a (ConvNet) description of the network and a description of the target platform and produces, on the one hand, a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG), which is then converted to a Synchronous Data Flow (SDF) hardware model, and on the other hand, a model of the target platform from which resource constraints are derived. The hardware model thus obtained goes into an Optimiser procedure, which produces a hardware mapping. Using hardware and software templates, a Code Generator procedure, generates




Figure 2.12: fpgaConvNet Architecture. Taken from [22]

both the High Level Synthesis (HLS) input files and the software binaries that will run on the control CPU embedded in the FPGA. The HLS files go into the Xilinx (FPGA manufacturer) tools so that the configuration bitstream of the FPGA is produced.



## Chapter 3

# Darknet Lite

As mentioned in Section 2.2, the Deep Versat system includes a RISC-V CPU to take out generic code and to write the configuration runs into Versat's memories. This means the first step into implementing software that can run any convolutional neural network on this system, the software must first run on the CPU then we offload Fixed Functions to Versat such as the convolutional layers, maxpool etc.

### 3.1 Porting Darknet to an embedded CPU

As mentioned in Section 2.1.2 is a framework for Neural Networks on C++ that uses dynamic memory and GPU acceleration option to get faster outputs. Also, the use of floats is prohibited in the embedded code as the RISC-V CPU only supports the extensions IM. I for Integer and M for multiplication. It also has a lot of features that are not needed in this work, such as training the CNN. By stripping the features of Darknet we get a much simpler code framework appropriately named Darknet lite.

In the following figure, the data structure for a layer is shown. A CNN on Darknet lite is just an array of layers in which each has input, output and layer parameters. Usually, the input is a past layer output or an image input.

Listing 3.1: Layer Struct Yolov3 [11]

```
struct layer{
    //Generic
    LAYER_TYPE type; //identifies layer's type
    ACTIVATION activation; //identifies layer's activation function
    void (*forward) (struct layer, struct network); //associated with forward method of
        each type of layer
    int groups;
    // Convolutional
    int batch_normalize; //indicates layer output must be normalized before applying
        activation function
    int batch; //always 1
}
```

```

int inputs; //size of layer input
int outputs; //size of layer output
int h,w,c; //input dimensions
int out_h, out_w, out_c; //output dimensions
int n; //number of filters
int size; //size of filter
int stride; //indicates how many positions kernel moves
int pad; //indicates size of padding sorrounding image

//Shortcut
int index; //used in shortcut layer

int classes; //used in yolo layer
int *mask; //used in yolo layer
int total; //used in yolo layer
int * input_layers; //used in route layer
int * input_sizes; //used in route layer
fixed_t * biases; //used for convolutional and yolo layers
fixed_t * scales; //used for convolutional layers with batch_normalize
fixed_t * weights; //convolutional layer weights
fixed_t * output; //layer output /result
fixed_t * rolling_mean; //used for normalize_cpu
fixed_t * rolling_variance; //used for normalize_cpu
size_t workspace_size; //indicates max output size among all layers

//Generic Var
fixed_t f1; // float->fixed 32 bit
};

```

By Parsing the .cfg file, a configuration file is written in C with the layer array and static position of the data for each layer. Each Layer has its definition in C to be run by the embedded CPU but for the sake of this project, several layers can be replaced by Functions that utilize Versat, the same way that the original Darknet framework had its functions written for CPU or GPU usage.

The following figure is an example of a CPU layer that computes the convolutional layer while using Fixed Point Logic.

Listing 3.2: Convolutional Layer using only CPU and fixed memory

```

void forward_convolutional_layer(layer l, network net) {

    int m = l.n; //number of filters
    int k = l.size*l.size*l.c; //filter dimensions * number of colours

```



```

    int n = l.out_w*l.out_h; //output dimension

    fixed_t *a = l.weights; //weight base address
    fixed_t *b = net.workspace; //max network's layer size
    fixed_t *c = l.output; //layer output
    fixed_t *im = net.input; //layer input

    //Unroll image
    if (l.size == 1) b = im;
    else im2col_cpu(im, l.c, l.h, l.w, l.size, l.stride, l.pad, b);
    //Perform convolution
    gemm(0, 0, m, n, k, POINT, a, k, b, n, POINT, c, n);

    //Normalize, scale and add bias
    if(l.batch_normalize) forward_batchnorm_layer(l, net);
    else add_bias(l.output, l.biases, l.batch, l.n, l.out_h*l.out_w);

    //Apply activation method
    activate_array(l.output, l.outputs*l.batch, l.activation);
    //printf("max=%f,min=%f\n",fixed_to_float(max),fixed_to_float(min));
}

```

## 3.2 Parsing CFG Files into the program

Caffe [13] is a deep learning framework as shown in chapter 2, using an open source tool [23], the output can be set to CFG. By using the network parser of darknet, an array of layers is created with all its required parameters.

Listing 3.3: For Loop for writing darknet layers

```

for(int i=0;i<net->n;i++)
{
    layer cur=net->layers[i];
    if (cur.workspace_size > workspace_size) workspace_size = cur.workspace_size;
    if (cur.outputs > outputs) outputs = cur.outputs;
}

```

```

switch (cur.type)
{
case CONVOLUTIONAL:write_convolutional_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case CONNECTED:write_connected_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case MAXPOOL:write_maxpool_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case DROPOUT:write_dropout_layer(yoloc,i,cur);
    break;
case SOFTMAX:write_softmax_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case AVGPPOOL:write_avgpool_layer(yoloc,i,cur);
    break;
case SHORTCUT:write_shortcut_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case ROUTE:write_route_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case RNN:write_rnn_layer(yoloc,i,cur);
    break;
case YOLO:write_yolo_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
case UPSAMPLE:write_upsample_IO(yoloc,i,cur,&base);
    break;
// Other layers needed to be addressed
case GRU:
case CROP:
case REGION:
case DETECTION:
case COST:
default:    printf("\nThis layer is not yet supported.Bye!\n");
            exit(0);
            break;
}
}

```

Afterwards, by going through each layer, "yolo.c" will be written with all the data darknet lite will need. In listing 3.4, the addresses of the data needed for the layer. In 3.5, the static parameters are defined as well.

Listing 3.4: For Loop for writing darknet layers

```
/*Layer 2-CONVOLUTIONAL*/  
#define FOUTPUT_2 BASE+3461616  
#define FSCALES_2 BASE+4846064  
#define FR_MEAN_2 BASE+4846096  
#define FR_VARIANCE_2 BASE+4846128  
#define FWEIGHTS_2 BASE+4846160  
#define FBIASES_2 BASE+4850768
```

Listing 3.5: For Loop for writing darknet layers

```
/*GENERIC PARAMS-Layer 2*/  
[2].type=0, [2].activation=7, [2].batch_normalize=1, [2].batch=1,  
[2].inputs=692224, [2].outputs=1384448, [2].n=32,  
[2].h=208, [2].w=208, [2].c=16,  
[2].out_h=208, [2].out_w=208, [2].out_c=32,  
[2].size=3, [2].stride=1, [2].pad=1,  
[2].index=0, [2].classes=0, [2].total=0,
```



## Chapter 4

# Deep Versat Software Simulator

The need for a software simulator comes from the complexity of the configurations being written into Versat and the hardware simulation faults of taking too much time and being hard to debug.

The goal is to emulate what the hardware is doing much more efficiently than a simple Hardware simulation as the time of development for hardware is much higher than for simple software. The Simulator executes clock iteration per iteration getting the same results in each clock as the hardware. As Versat is a CGRA, different functional unit configurations are easy to accomplish in the simulator and the time to get results on performance for a specific program is a lot faster.

In this chapter, we will explore the software architecture, object relation and explain in detail each method used to emulate Versat clock by clock.

### 4.1 Architecture and Object Relation

The Simulator is made up of the Parent Class called Versat, which will be simulated itself, as each Versat instance is independent of the others, the simulations are also independent. The Versat is made up of 2 CStage Arrays, one is the "live" while the other is the shadow registers, where the configurations are held before the simulator is run. Each Stage is made up of its Functional Units, of which each is connected to the Databus. As it happens in the hardware, functional units can access the database which has the output of the current stage and the previous one.




Figure 4.1: Class Structure for the Versat Simulator

### 4.1.1 Functional Units

The following table contains the functional units present in the simulator and is represented by "CFU" in figure 4.1. VI and VO represent CRead and CWrite classes respectively.

| Functional Unit     | Porpuse  |
|---------------------|--|
| Read (VI) Mem Unit  | Reads from DDR and sends Data to databus   |
| Write (VO) Mem Unit | Reads from databus and sends Data to DDR   |
| MulAdd (MAC)        | Multiplication and Accumulate  |
| Mul                 | Multiplication   |
| Alu                 | Standard algorithmic and logic unit  |
| AluLite             | Stripped down algorithmic and logic unit   |
| Barrel Shifter (BS) | Shifts to the right (division by 2) or to the left (multiplication by 2)             |
| Memory (Mem)        | Sends/Receives data to/from the pipeline. Data is inserted through CPU communication |

Table 4.1: Versat Simulator Functional Units

To add a new FU, it's as easy as creating a new class that will be used by CStage with a run(),update(),output(),copy() method. Of course, if it has variables needed to be defined by the program, set param functions are also needed. Using the simulator, hardware development and program development can be parallelized to output a new program with more optimized performance.

In the next section, these methods will be explained in detail and their importance to the simulator.

## 4.2 Simulation

After the program that is running on the CPU finishes writing the configurations, it will call the run method of Versat. In figure 4.2, a sequence diagram is presented with the rundown of a typical program that uses Versat Simulator.

[illegible]

28



## 4.2.1 Run() Function

In the software API for Embedded Versat, the run function would write to a shadow register, which we can call "start" changing the value from 0 to 1. Similarly, another register would change value to 0, which we can call "done". While this last register isn't turned to 1, Versat hasn't finished running with the previous configurations so all that can be done is to write configurations for future runs.

In the simulator, it works in a similar way to preserve compatibility as the goal is to have the same programs run on software simulator and the FPGA.

Listing 4.1: The Run function code

```
void CVersat::run()
{
    //MEMSET(base, (RUN_DONE), 1);
    run_done = 0;
    versat_iter = 0;

    //update shadow register with current configuration
    #if nVO > 0
        write_buffer_transfer();
    #endif
    #if nVI > 0
        FU_buffer_transfer();
    #else
        int i = 0;

        for (i = 0; i < nSTAGE; i++)
        {
            stage[i].reset();
            shadow_reg[i].copy(stage[i]);
        }
    #endif

    pthread_create(&t, NULL, run_simulator, (void*)this);
}
```

As we can see in the previous listing, we reset the state variables of the simulator, then shift the VO and FU shadow registers. This is done to simulate the pipeline delay in the FPGA. Because the data needs to come and go to the main memory (DDR), 1 run cycle is used just for fetching data and writing data. Using a small example: If a developer writes a configuration to do a 5x5 matrix multiplication, Versat will have to run 3 times. Once to fetch data from memory, the second for the actual use of Versat and the final one is to get data onto memory.

In the simulator, this is done using the same class instances and copying the configuration values. On the hardware, it's several flip-flop registers in a row. However, all these 3 stages can happen at once if you run multiple configurations in one program, e.g: running a CNN through Versat, will have at least 1 run per layer. So, if it has 5 layers, Versat will have to run 5+2 times, the last 2 times are done to flush the Versat of any data.

After the shift, a new thread is created to run the simulator in parallel with the configurations, having the same behavior as the hardware.

## **4.2.2 Start() Method**

At the beginning of the configuration run, the method "start run" of all FUs and memories are started. In this function, several functional units will have their state variables reset such as VI, VO and MAC FU.

## **4.2.3 Databus**

The databus on Versat is a simple array that holds all the outputs of the functional units. The data type (versat.t) of the array depends on the width of Versat, which is part of the configuration file. Using higher width, e.g: 64 bits, is useful for the same instruction, multiple data (SIMD) applications but requires the functional units to be adapted. For the purpose of this thesis, 16 bits and 32 bits are used depending on the neural network and how it is optimized.

When the Versat is instanced in the program, the functional units constructor will point to the correct position of the databus as it's referenced in the following figure.

As mentioned in figure 2.10 from chapter 2, section 2.2, each functional unit will be able to access the output from the functional units of the current stage and previous. Software-wise, each stage will be pointing to a part of the databus.

## **4.2.4 Update() and Output() Method**

The update method's goal is to update the functional unit's value on the databus. Each functional unit has a pipeline delay to output or has a run delay configured, like the memories or MAC.

Meanwhile, the output method's goal is to, based on the inputs from the databus, calculate the result from the functional Unit.

For a compute functional unit such as the MAC or the ALU, this means reading from the databus for operands A and B and performing the selected operation. For the read memory (VI), it will output an address on the mem and performs a read operation. For the write memory, it will output an address and performs a write operation.

In the listing 4.3, the code of the Mul functional unit is used as an example.

Listing 4.2: Update and Output method of Mul

```

void CMul::update()
{
    int i = 0;

    //update databus
    databus[sMUL[mul_base]] = output_buff[MUL_LAT - 1];
    //special case for stage 0
    if (versat_base == 0)
    {
        //2nd copy at the end of global databus
        global_databus[nSTAGE * (1 << (N_W - 1)) + sMUL[mul_base]] = output_buff[MUL_LAT - 1];
    }

    //trickle down all outputs in buffer
    for (i = 1; i < MUL_LAT; i++)
    {
        output_buff[i] = output_buff[i - 1];
    }
    //insert new output
    output_buff[0] = out;
}

versat_t CMul::output()
{
    //select inputs
    opa = databus[sela];
    opb = databus[selb];

    mul_t result_mult = opa * opb;
    if (fns == MUL_HI)
    {
        result_mult = result_mult << 1;
        out = (versat_t)(result_mult >> (sizeof(versat_t) * 8));
    }
    else if (fns == MUL_DIV2_HI)
    {
        out = (versat_t)(result_mult >> (sizeof(versat_t) * 8));
    }
    else // MUL_LO
    {
        out = (versat_t)result_mult;
    }
}

```

```
}  
  
    return out;  
}
```

## 4.2.5 Copy() and Info() Method

Finally, the last 2 functions of the simulator, `copy()` the main purpose is to copy the configuration parameters from one instance to another, used mostly at the beginning of the run to simulate the shadow registers. Meanwhile, the `Info` method is a State printing function that outputs a string with the full data of the current iteration, this way, you can check iteration by iteration the progress of the simulation, just like in hardware. At this moment, if debugging is activated, each clock iteration output and the state of Versat will be in a file.

Listing 4.3: Info output for the MAC functional unit

```
mul_add[0]  
OpA=    -7  
OpB=    -3  
SelA=     1  
SelB=     0  
Addr=     3  
Finished=  0  
Out=     61  
OUTPUT_BUFFER (LATENCY SIM)  
Output[0]=61  
Output[1]=40  
Output[2]=60  
Output[3]=45
```

## Chapter 5

# Versat API 2.0

The Versat API, developed in a previous thesis [1], has the ability to conceal the calls to the hardware to avoid changing the program when the hardware changes.

In this chapter, the new functions that are part of the Versat API will be discussed. The goal is to make development for Versat just like writing normal code and to be easy to port code the same way CUDA has done the same to run SIMD code on Nvidia GPUs.

Listing 5.1: Sample Versat API implementation for the Hardware for Mem functional unit

```
class CMemPort
{
public:
    int versat_base, mem_base, data_base;

    //Default constructor
    CMemPort()
    {
    }

    //Constructor with an associated base
    CMemPort(int versat_base, int i, int offset)
    {
        this->versat_base = versat_base;
        this->mem_base = CONF_BASE + CONF_MEMOA + (2 * i + offset) * MEMP_CONF_OFFSET;
        this->data_base = (i << MEM_ADDR_W);
    }

    //Methods to set config parameters
    void setIter(int iter)
    {
        MEMSET(versat_base, (this->mem_base + MEMP_CONF_ITER), iter);
    }
}
```

```

}
void setPer(int per)

```

## 5.1 API Architecture

In figure 5.1, a graphic representation of the new API is presented. It has 4 apparent layers (5 if you count the hardware):

1. Complex Mathematical API that is automatically optimized for the Versat Setup you chose. No dev work required
2. Read/Write using VI and VO for simpler setup of the data. Also includes easier FU functions to set up workloads.
3. Read/Write configurations for inside Versat Data (Int) or DDR to/from VI/VO (Ext).
4. Versat API 1.0 where each configuration variable needs to be set up individually
5. No API. Hardware registers where the values are used inside Versat.




Figure 5.1: Graphic representation of the new Versat API and its connections

## 5.2 Memory Operations API

When utilizing the VI instead of a MEM, the data transfer happens between the functional unit and direct memory access while on the mem, the CPU writes directly to Versat, wasting CPU cycles. For the API, this means going from a read method that is straightforward to more configuration methods to set up the read operation from DDR. The same happens to Write operations. To address this, 7 functions were created in 2 levels of abstraction: `load_data()`, `load_segmented_data()`, `write_data()` that use a lower level functions: `set_IntMem_Write()`, `set_ExtMem_Write()`, `set_IntMem_Read()` and `set_ExtMem_Read()`. The function of the higher abstraction memory functions is to abstract the parameters of the AGU. In the following listing, we have one of the implementations as an example.

Listing 5.2: Load Segmented Data code

```
int convolutional_layer_xyz(CVersat* Versat,int input_addr,int channels,int height, int width,
    int kernel_size, int stride, int pad,int weights_addr,int output_addr,int nkernels)
{
    Acumulator weights = Acumulator();
    Acumulator input[nOUTPUTS];
    Acumulator write_matrix[nOUTPUTS];

    int out_w=((width + 2*pad - kernel_size) / stride) + 1;
    int out_h=((height + 2*pad - kernel_size) / stride) + 1;
    int in_w=width+pad;
```

Although this means having to write code with the AGUs in mind and how they function. To avoid it, a new class was created, shown in listing 5.3, to also abstract how the AGU counts loops and approximate the code to simple C++ code that runs on a CPU.

Listing 5.3: Accumulator Class code

```
Acumulator()
{
    iter=per=shift=incr=iter2=per2=shift2=incr2=iter3=per3=shift3=incr3=nloops=delay=duty=start=extAddr=intAddr=0;
}
void add_loop(int per,int incr = 1 )
{
    switch(nloops)
    {
        case 5: this->iter3=this->per3;
                this->shift3=this->incr3;
        case 4: this->per3=iter2;
```

```

        this->incr3=shift2;
        if(this->iter3==0)
            this->iter3=1;
        case 3: this->iter2=this->per2;
            this->shift2=this->incr2;
        case 2: this->per2=iter;
            this->incr2=shift;
            if(this->iter2==0)
                this->iter2=1;
        case 1: this->iter=this->per;
            this->shift=this->incr;
        case 0: this->per=per;
            this->incr=incr;
            if(this->iter==0)
                this->iter=1;
        default: nloops++;
    }
}

void loop_settings(int start = 0, int duty = 0, int delay = 0,int extAddr = 0, int intAddr = 0)
{
    this->duty=duty;
    this->start=start;
    this->delay=delay;
    this->extAddr=extAddr;
    this->intAddr=intAddr;
}
};

```

To transform from AGU parameters to forloop, it depends on the number of loops pretended to be done. VI AGU is 3 cascade Accumulators and as such, the increment on the second and third accumulators needs to be adjusted, just as shown below.

Listing 5.4: AGU parameters to Simple forloop parameters transform

```

switch(loop.nloops)
{
    case 6:
    case 5: loop.incr2+=loop.shift*loop.iter+(loop.incr*loop.per)*loop.iter; // 4 + 2*2 = 8
        loop.incr3+=loop.shift2*loop.iter2+(loop.incr2*loop.per2)*loop.iter2;
        break;
    case 4:
    case 3: loop.incr2+=loop.shift*loop.iter+(loop.incr*loop.per)*loop.iter;

```



```

    default : break;
}

```

## 5.3 Matrix Multiplication and Dot Product

As part of the new API, a matrix multiplication function was added. In listing 5.5 the code is presented. First, 2 Accumulator class variables are initialized. Afterward, using the 2 arrays address in DDR, the AGU configurations of the VIs to read from the main memory are set, then the AGU configurations of VI for the data handling inside the Data Engine. Finally, the function that will write the configuration of a MAC and the store AGU configurations. This last step is optional as the result of this matrix multiplication can be used in the same run to make other operations e.g: adding a bias using one of the ALUs to the results.

Listing 5.5: Matrix Multiplication Configurations

```

int matrix_mult(CStage* Versat,int matrix_a,int matrix_b,int result_matrix,int r_a,int c_a,int
    r_b,int c_b,bool store)
{
    Accumulator store_matrix_A= Accumulator();
    Accumulator store_matrix_B= Accumulator();

    // Send Data from DDR to Versat Memory
    store_matrix_A.add_loop(r_a*c_a);
    store_matrix_A.loop_settings(0,0,0,matrix_a,0);
    store_matrix_B.add_loop(r_b*c_b);
    store_matrix_B.loop_settings(0,0,0,matrix_b,0);

    set_ExtMem_Read(Versat,0,store_matrix_A);
    set_ExtMem_Read(Versat,1,store_matrix_B);

    Accumulator read_matrix_A = Accumulator();
    Accumulator read_matrix_B = Accumulator();

    // Read from Matrix A in Versat
    read_matrix_A.add_loop(r_a,c_a);
    read_matrix_A.add_loop(c_b,-c_a);
    read_matrix_A.add_loop(c_a,1);
    read_matrix_A.loop_settings(0);
    set_IntMem_Read(Versat,0,read_matrix_A);

    // Read from Matrix B in Versat

```

```

    read_matrix_B.add_loop(r_a,-c_b);
    read_matrix_B.add_loop(c_b,-r_b*c_b+1);
    read_matrix_B.add_loop(r_b,c_b);
    read_matrix_B.loop_settings(0);
    set_IntMem_Read(Versat,1,read_matrix_B);

// Do multiplication of the values and accumulate.
muladd_operation(Versat,sVI[0],sVI[1],0,MULADD_MACC,r_a*c_b,c_a,MEMP_LAT,0);

// Store the results in Memory.
if(store==true)
{
    Acumulator write_matrix = Acumulator();
    write_matrix.add_loop(r_a*c_b,1);
    write_matrix.loop_settings(0,0,MEMP_LAT+MULADD_LAT,result_matrix,0);
    set_ExtMem_Write(Versat,0,write_matrix);
    write_matrix.add_loop(c_a,0);
    set_IntMem_Write(Versat,0,write_matrix,sMULADD[0]);
}

return sMULADD[0];
}

```

The Dot product function is very similar, the configurations are identical for the data transfer for the data from main memory to the VIs. In the inside loops of the VIs, instead of 3 loops, we only need to use 1.

## 5.4 Generic Convolution

As explained in chapter 2, convolutional neural networks are a type of neural nets that are used mostly in image and object recognition by using convolutional layers. To run a convolutional layer on Versat with optimized performance, the configurations must be written with regard to several parameters:

1. Memory Sizes used in VI and VO. The amount of data that can be stored at once. It determines the number of outputs done per run.
2. Functional Units used in the Data Engine. Here it's about the lowest common denominator, i.e the bottleneck in the Data Engine determines the number of outputs done simultaneously.

This function has a total of 20 variables calculated at the start before the Versat configurations are written. The most important variables are the following:

- output height (h) and width (w) of the resulting matrix from the convolution.
- Number of outputs done simultaneously, also known as pipeline width (nOutputs). This value is pre-compiled as it depends on only Versat Configurations.
- Number of outputs that can be done per VI (y) in a single run and its variations. Outputs total ( $y_2$ ), Output Lines per VI ( $y_3$ ) Output Lines total ( $y_4$ ). The value of  $y_4$  and  $y_2$  decide the different configuration scenarios.
- Resource Allocation Variables which are explained in subsection 5.4.2
- Address Variables
- AGU Configuration Variables

The hard part of the algorithm is to allocate the data in the most efficient way possible and to create the AGU configurations for the VIs and VOs. For this algorithm, the CGRA will act like a GPU pipeline where several "threads" will exist that will output 1 point every  $k^2$  cycles, where k is the kernel size used in the convolution.

### 5.4.1 Loading Data

Usually, when doing a convolution in CPU, the frameworks transform the convolution to a matrix multiplication by creating a new matrix that will multiply with a kernel vector. It's done this way as matrix multiply is a heavily optimized operation and can take advantage of a CPU's SIMD units or even call the GPU APIs and offset the workload there. On Versat, this is not needed as to calculate 1 output, we will need only enough space in mem to hold  $k^2 \cdot ch$  where ch is the channels of the input. And as such, it means 9216 bytes per VI at least for YoloV3 CNN when using 16-bit operands.

To load the data onto the mems in Versat, we will load segmented data. That is, for each mem, we will load the data needed to do y iterations or  $y_3$  iterations, depending on which convolution scenario it is. The more inputs are transferred to a VI mem, the more efficient it is as data doesn't need to be replicated as much between the instances, i.e for the first output, there's a need for  $k^2 \cdot ch$  inputs, but for other sequential outputs, if the stride is 1 only  $k \cdot ch$  more inputs are needed. of course, this is only true if the stride is lower than the kernel size.

On the code, this takes form in 1 single line, thus the importance of the previously written functions.

Listing 5.6: Load Input Matrix into VIs

```
load_segmented_data(stage,i+1,input_addr_new,size_per_channel,channels,in_w*in_h);
```

where the variable "size per channel" can be calculated with the following formula:

$$size = w * (k + stride * (iter - 1))$$




Figure 5.2: Versat Configuration goal in Graphical form

where  $w$  is the width of the input matrix,  $k$  is the kernel size and  $iter$  is the number of iterations that this mem will run.

## 5.4.2 Convolution Scenarios

When writing the configurations of the convolution runs, there are several cases the software needs to take into consideration. As explained in the previous subsection, the data that the VIs can handle and the number of datapaths that the data can have influenced the convolution scenarios. For this function, 4 were implemented and are presented in figure 5.3.




Figure 5.3: Convolution Scenarios that Versat will have

The different hardware configurations and the endless possibilities for convolutions mean that all possibilities are covered. The only limitation of this generic function is to make partial results which is the last possible case where the mem can't handle enough inputs for 1 output.

In figure 5.4, the flowchart of each case is presented.




Figure 5.4: Configuration Flowchart for the different scenarios

And on listing 5.7 the AGU configurations of the VIs that hold the input matrix, the MAC configuration and finally the VO AGU configuration.

Listing 5.7: Versat configurations for 1 datapath

```
// h1 and h2
input[i]= Accumulator();
input[i].add_loop(nkernels,-in_w*((num_iter)*stride));
input[i].add_loop(num_iter,(in_w*stride)-stride*out_w);
input[i].add_loop(out_w,-channels*size_per_channel+stride);
input[i].add_loop(channels,
    size_per_channel-line_plus_one*kernel_size+rewind_kernel);
input[i].add_loop(kernel_size,line_plus_one);
input[i].add_loop(kernel_size,1);
input[i].loop_settings(0);
set_IntMem_Read(stage,i+1,input[i]);
aux--;

muladd_operation(stage,sVI[i+1],sVI[0],i,MULADD_MACC,(num_iter)*out_w*nkernels,
    kernel_size*kernel_size*channels,MEMP_LAT,0);
```

```

write_matrix[i] = Acumulator();
write_matrix[i].add_loop(nkernels,out_w*(out_h+1)-(num_iter)*out_w);
write_matrix[i].add_loop((num_iter)*out_w,1);
write_matrix[i].loop_settings(0,0,MEMP_LAT+MULADD_LAT,output_addr_new,0);
set_ExtMem_Write(stage,i,write_matrix[i]);

    write_matrix[i] = Acumulator();
    write_matrix[i].add_loop(nkernels,0);
    write_matrix[i].add_loop((num_iter)*out_w,1);
    write_matrix[i].loop_settings(0,0,MEMP_LAT+MULADD_LAT,output_addr_new,0);
    write_matrix[i].add_loop(kernel_size*kernel_size*channels,0);
    set_IntMem_Write(stage,i,write_matrix[i],sMULADD[i]);

input_addr_new+=(in_w*(stride*(h1+aux_bool)))*(DATAPATH_W/8);
output_addr_new=output_addr_new+((h1+aux_bool)*out_w)*(DATAPATH_W/8);

```





# Chapter 6

## Results

In this chapter, the results from the simulator are presented and the new functions developed for Versat. In Section 6.1, a setup used by a testbench used by Deep Versat is used to prove the simulator is working according to predictions. Afterward, in section 6.2, a testbench is prepared to test the matrix multiplication and generic convolution with several hardware configurations available to test the performance of different scenarios in the simulator.

The tests were executed on a 64-bit machine, with an AMD Ryzen 7 5800H Processor and 16GB of RAM running Windows 11, version 22H2, WSL 2.0 with the image of Ubuntu 20.04. The compiler used is g++ version 9.4.0 .

### 6.1 Simulator Testing

To test the simulator, a testbench was created that will create a random input matrix of 5x5 with a kernel size of 3. For each Stage defined in the headers file, a channel will be added and the result of the convolution will propagate through the stages.

To be more specific in the beginning, the configurations of the VIs are written to transfer the data from the program to Versat. The data uses the rand() function with seed using current time so the result is different every time. Both the input matrix and kernel map are randomized. The former value varies from -25 to 25 while the kernel varies from -5 to 5. Using the data, we calculate the result of the convolution in CPU. Afterward, the configuration for the Bias mem is done and then stage by stage the configuration of the VI, MAC and ALU is done. Finally, the configuration of the VO is written.

The estimated iterations needed are the following:

$$Est = Delay + Iter_2 * Per_2 * Iter_1 * Per_1$$

Where these are the AGU configurations of the VO where the results are written. The Delay is accumulated through the several stages by adding two due to the MACs and ALUs.

```

jcardoso13@JPCardoso-Laptop:/mnt/c/Users/joaop/linux_work/thesis/deep-versat/software/pc/testbench$ ./firmware_PC.elf

VERSAT TEST

Deep versat initialized in 374433 us

Data stored in versat mems in 2 us

Expected result of 3D convolution
88      -23      -32
-129    -272    -129
73       51       75

3D CONVOLUTION WITH 4-LOOP ADDRGEN

Configurations (except start) made in 2 us
3D CONVOLUTION WITH 4-LOOP ADDRGEN

Configurations (except start) made in 0 us

Expected Versat Clock Cycles for this run 98

3D convolution done in 391 us
Simulation took 98 Versat Clock Cycles

Actual convolution result
88      -23      -32
-129    -272    -129
73       51       75

```

Figure 6.1: Simulator test output in terminal

## 6.2 Testing the new API

In this section, the same method for the previous testbench is made. While the previous one relies on using API v1 for the configuration, these testbenches run the new API.

### 6.2.1 Testbench for Matrix Multiplication

The Matrix Multiplication is a quite simple program. The only thing needed is to instance Versat, run `versat_init()`, create the matrixes and then use the function `matrix_multiplication()`. The data is also used to compute in CPU the result to verify the output which can be found in figure 6.2.

```

jcardoso13@JPCardoso-Laptop:/mnt/c/Users/joaop/linux_work/thesis/deep-versat/software/pc/testbench$ ./firmware_PC.elf

VERSAT TEST

Deep versat initialized in 1211 us

Expected result of Matrix Multiplication
21      24      27
47      54      61

Matrix Multiplication done in 1456 us
Simulation took 19 Versat Clock Cycles

Actual Matrix result
21      24      27
47      54      61

```

Figure 6.2: Matrix Multiplication Testbench Outputs

### 6.2.2 Testbench for Generic Convolution

Using the same method on the previous testbenches, the following Convolution Layer was used with several Versat Configurations.

| CNN Variable      | Value |
|-------------------|-------|
| Kernel Size       | 2     |
| Channels          | 2     |
| Number of Kernels | 2     |
| Input Height      | 12    |
| Input Width       | 12    |
| Stride            | 1     |
| Out Width         | 11    |
| Out Height        | 11    |
| Out Channels      | 2     |

Table 6.1: CNN Layer on the testbench

With this layer, figure 6.3 has the output result of the generic convolution testbench. For this specific Versat hardware configuration the number iterations needed is 711 using 3 Datapaths.

```
jcardoso13@JPCardoso-Laptop:/mnt/c/Users/joaop/linux_work/thesis/deep-versat/software/pc/testbench$ ./firmware_PC.elf
Testing Convolution Layer xyz on Deep Versat
Randomized Input 12x12

Randomized Kernel 2x2

VERSAT TEST

Deep versat initialized in 1141 us
Input ADDR=0
Kernel ADDR=288
Expected Result ADDR=296
Actual Result ADDR=538
Start Test -----
Running Convolution on Versat-----
ENTERED VERSAT CONV
INFO:
h1=3
h2=2
CONVOLUTION CASE - FITS EVERY DATA INTO MEM
CHECK FOR PREVIOUS RUN
VERSAT RUNNING
Versat finished the runs-----
Data Written into Memory-----

Matrix Multiplication done in 64121596 us
Simulation took 711 Versat Clock Cycles

Check Result for Errors:
-30  -4  -26  -180  -26  82  -36  40  176  78  66
22  152  -44  4  98  -14  160  72  -170  -140  -48
136  -120  -16  222  32  -60  -94  -8  128  -30  -192
-156  68  130  110  -64  -106  -106  -152  -160  148  156
-74  -100  -12  -66  58  132  -28  84  2  -56  -16
168  -26  4  90  126  -4  78  -34  152  94  160
-110  54  28  10  92  -34  -162  2  -46  16  -64
-68  -114  56  116  6  -66  -84  -32  4  20  50
-88  130  78  156  24  -72  130  -2  -32  36  -2
192  16  -60  -264  -172  74  22  -56  -60  68  52
6  -26  106  88  82  40  -94  -16  70  80  -70

-311  -24  -137  -36  -115  85  24  192  -14  -83  133
-115  120  -10  -84  -137  187  164  -6  17  -236  166
-120  120  40  97  126  -156  43  206  108  -273  -340
8  114  -61  325  94  -209  -165  -294  102  156  62
-381  14  -92  215  189  -24  -98  -12  -319  112  10
-24  -121  -28  143  75  298  -153  159  38  111  194
139  5  -50  63  274  -21  -59  -127  111  34  54
-206  -85  138  20  197  57  -316  -50  -34  86  81
-76  -91  133  286  80  58  -89  -59  -68  82  -9
-66  114  -130  -84  34  -89  107  -16  -202  202  -16
155  61  199  -70  -133  20  -27  60  -143  126  123
```

Figure 6.3: Generic Convolution Testbench Outputs

In Table 6.2, the different Datapath numbers and how it affects performance. A datapath is a combination of 1 VI, 1 MAC and 1 VO. So the lower number in the Versat configuration file decides the number of valid datapaths, of course, VI needs +1 in numbers more than the functional units due to the Kernel memory.

| <b>Number of Datapaths</b> | <b>Iterations</b> |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1                          | 1943              |
| 2                          | 1063              |
| 3                          | 711               |
| 4                          | 535               |
| 6                          | 359               |
| 8                          | 359               |
| 11                         | 183               |
| 16                         | 183               |
| 22                         | 183               |

Table 6.2: CNN Layer on the testbench with several Versat hardware configurations

The reason for these results is quite simple. In total, 11 output lines are divided by the datapaths. When the division is not a whole number, the remainder gets distributed by available datapaths. The consequence of this, when changing from 6 to 8 datapaths, the performance doesn't get any better. Datapath 0 will have to run twice to (2 lines) while Datapath 8 will run 1 line. To increase further the performance, the output channels would have to be divided through more datapaths.

## Chapter 7

# Conclusions

In this thesis, several modules and tools were presented for neural networking development on Versat. The simulator is a significant improvement over normal hardware simulation for testing new software configurations and workloads. It also can predict the performance of the workloads and helps size how many functional units, stages or how much the size of the memories should be to achieve the highest performance. Furthermore, the new Versat API can bring new tools for development using the hardware and be able to write code for Versat akin to writing normal C++ code that runs on a CPU. Finally, the tools designed for Darknet give embedded development a boost by being able to run any CNN on embedded hardware, even if it's just a CPU. To test this, a suite of programs was planned and the results show the new software tools effectiveness.

### 7.1 Achievements

One achievement of this thesis was the development of the simulator. The simulator is able to successfully emulate the output of the hardware, where a new program written for versat can be tested in 5 seconds instead of several minutes to put the program into the FPGA. Another achievement is the generic convolution being able to run any type of convolution layer efficiently. By changing the Versat parameters, a new complete hardware configuration can be done and tested with the software to check new performance figures. Lastly, the darknet framework for embedded devices and the tools used to parse CFG are important for future work using the Versat CGRA.

### 7.2 Future Work

For future work, obvious sections need to be addressed. While darknet lite was developed, they were not linked with Versat and the simulator. For that, a maxpool generic function needs to be added and redirect the convolution layer to the generic convolution for Versat.

Other work includes improving the simulator by adding new FUs and new generic functions. On that topic, adding partial results to the convolution will also benefit possible Versat configurations.

Versat is a highly versatile CGRA but for deep neural networks, datapath width is needed, i.e more memories and MACs to add more MACs means increasing the propagation time and as such grouping VIs and MACs into a bigger functional unit to avoid the usage of a multiplexer in the entrance of the MACs. This could be called the SIMD path while the rest of the configuration could still be highly configurable and have the usual functional units to have the cake and eat it too. Highest performance and high configurability.

On the memory side, the ability to configure the size of each mem would give more flexibility and the configurations to be more data efficient. In this thesis, there were 2 types of VIs. One that holds the inputs and another that holds the kernels. The kernels don't use much space and thus the memory will hold a lot of empty values because both VIs have the same size.

# Bibliography

- [1] V. J. B. Mário. Deep versat: A deep coarse grain reconfigurable array. Master's thesis, Instituto Superior Técnico, November 2019.
- [2] J. Redmon. Darknet: Open source neural networks in c. <http://pjreddie.com/Darknet/>, 2013–2016.
- [3] G. Piccinini. The first computational theory of mind and brain: A close look at mcculloch and pitts's "logical calculus of ideas immanent in nervous activity". *Synthese*, 141, 08 2004. doi: 10.1023/B:SYNT.0000043018.52445.3e.
- [4] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521:436–44, 05 2015. doi: 10.1038/nature14539.
- [5] M. Leshno, V. Y. Lin, A. Pinkus, and S. Schocken. Multilayer feedforward networks with a nonpolynomial activation function can approximate any function. *Neural Networks*, 6(6):861 – 867, 1993. ISSN 0893-6080. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080\(05\)80131-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(05)80131-5). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0893608005801315>.
- [6] mnist database of hand-written digits. URL <http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/>.
- [7] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 25*, pages 1097–1105. 2012.
- [8] M. Tanomoto, S. Takamaeda-Yamazaki, J. Yao, and Y. Nakashima. A cgra-based approach for accelerating convolutional neural networks. pages 73–80, 09 2015. doi: 10.1109/MCSoc.2015.41.
- [9] Max-pooling / pooling. URL [https://computersciencewiki.org/index.php/Max-pooling/\\_/\\_Pooling](https://computersciencewiki.org/index.php/Max-pooling/_/_Pooling).
- [10] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition, 2015.
- [11] J. Redmon and A. Farhadi. Yolov3: An incremental improvement, 2018.
- [12] N. Srivastava, G. Hinton, A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and R. Salakhutdinov. Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15:1929–1958, 2014.

- [13] Y. Jia, E. Shelhamer, J. Donahue, S. Karayev, J. Long, R. B. Girshick, S. Guadarrama, and T. Darrell. Caffe: Convolutional architecture for fast feature embedding. *CoRR*, abs/1408.5093, 2014. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.5093>.
- [14] R. Santiago, J. D. Lopes, and J. T. de Sousa. Compiler for the versat reconfigurable architecture. REC 2017, 2017.
- [15] J. D. Lopes, R. Santiago, and J. T. de Sousa. Versat, a runtime partially reconfigurable coarse-grain reconfigurable array using a programmable controller. Jornadas Sarteco, 2016.
- [16] J. D. Lopes and J. T. de Sousa. Fast fourier transform on the versat cgra. Jornadas Sarteco, 09 2017.
- [17] J. D. Lopes and J. T. de Sousa. Versat, a minimal coarse-grain reconfigurable array. In D. I., C. R., B. J., and M. O., editors, *High Performance Computing for Computational Science – VECPAR 2016*, pages 174–187. Springer, 2016. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-61982-8\_17.
- [18] J. D. Lopes. Versat, a compile-friendly reconfigurable processor – architecture. Master’s thesis, Instituto Superior Técnico, November 2017.
- [19] Picorv32- a size-optimized risc-v cpu. URL <https://github.com/cliffordwolf/picorv32>.
- [20] A. Ignatov, R. Timofte, P. Szczepaniak, W. Chou, K. Wang, M. Wu, T. Hartley, and L. Van Gool. Ai benchmark: Running deep neural networks on android smartphones, 10 2018.
- [21] S. I. Venieris, A. Kouris, and C.-S. Bouganis. Toolflows for mapping convolutional neural networks on fpgas: A survey and future directions, 2018.
- [22] S. I. Venieris and C.-S. Bouganis. fpgaConvNet: A Framework for Mapping Convolutional Neural Networks on FPGAs. In *2016 IEEE 24th Annual International Symposium on Field-Programmable Custom Computing Machines (FCCM)*, pages 40–47. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), May 2016. doi: 10.1109/FCCM.2016.22. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/FCCM.2016.22>.
- [23] Caffe2darknet      python      tool.      URL      <https://github.com/vgsatorras/pytorch-caffe-darknet-convert>.