Object Oriented Programming – 2018/2019 – 2nd Semester Self-evaluation form

Group: 1 1	Oral discussion date:	Penalization (days):
Number: 8 4 1 3 7	Name: Matilde Pereira Moreira	Expected mark: 1 9
Number: 8 4 0 9 6	Name: João Pedro Costa Luís Cardoso	Expected mark: 1 9
Number: 7 9 6 5 6	Name: Carolina Coelho Martins de Almeida Cunha	Expected mark: 1 7
Number:	Name:	Expected mark:
1(4)11001.		Emperior mark.
Please fill the following for	orm relative to the implementation of the project:	
General aspects:	IDMI tool word (identify the only a set of the	Cond Drin Dod
	UML tool used (identify it)? ObjectAid se any external library, besides that provided within JDK	
✓ No ☐ Yes (which one	• •	\ !
		<u>√</u> ≥ 3:4
	es your application have? $\Box 1$ $\Box 2$	
1	asible to further developments? Yes No	$\bigvee \geq 3$. Partialy
	ave at least one polymorphic invocation?	y I diddiy
	?): ExecutaEvent	
1	stanceof operator is used in your application (really co	ount them)? 2
	blic void simulacao();	
	ed to parse the input file? <u>Document</u> Object	
_	een required? No Yes (which ones?):	
Do you provide a DTD?	✓ Yes No When parsing, is XML validated ag	ainst it? ✓ Yes ☐ No
Concerning visibility of	the fields, check visibilities that are used in the code:	
	- -	✓ Protected
	the methods, check visibilities that are used in the code:	
		Protected
	the classes, check visibilities that are used in the code:	
	ontain any static field? $\sqrt{2}$ Yes (how many?): 4	□No
	ontain any static method? Ves (how many?): 1	
Does your application co	ontain any user defined exceptions? Yes (how many?):1 _ _No
Simulation problem:		
Data structure of the ever	nts (PEC): PriorityQueue From	java.util? □No ☑Yes
Is it ordered? ☐ No	✓ Yes, with a: ☐ Comparable ✓ Comparator	Other
Are all events implemen	ted as described in the project description and the FAQ?)
Ant Move:		mplemented
Edge evaporation: \(\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \) Ye		mplemented
	nented as events? ✓ Yes ☐ No All 20 at once in the	
Data structure of the col	· ·	ra.util? □ No ☑ Yes
Is it ordered? ✓ No	☐ Yes, with a: ☐ Comparable ☐ Comparator	Other
	ph: Vertex Array with Adjacency List From	
Is the best path stored in	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
is the best path always for	ound when you run the xml file provided in the Project	webpage? ✓ Yes ☐ No

Global evaluation:					
What was the degree of participation of each element in the group? (% should sh	sum 10	00%)?			
Num <u>84137: 35</u> % Num <u>84096: 35</u> % Num <u>79656: 30</u> %	Num		<u> :-</u>	%	
In the extent of your perception of the developed work, fill the following tables:					
Project documentation					
Is the project correctly documented through comments in the source code?					
Was the javadoc tool used to build the documentation of the developed packages?					
Is it complete, with:					
- overview of packages?					
- summary of classes, interfaces and exceptions?					
- brief description of classes, interfaces and exceptions?			\checkmark		
- summary of fields, constructors and methods?			\checkmark		
- detail of fields, constructors and methods?					
Project compilation					
Does the project compile without errors?			abla		
Does the project compile without warnings?					
If the answer is no, are all these warnings unchecked warnings?					
Running Yes No			With	faults	
Is the jar file runnable from the shell? \Box					
Does the project read correctly the parameters?					
Does the project run with the input given in the project webpage?					
Does the project generate any supplementary information (status, debug, etc)?		\square			
Development environment used? ✓ Linux ✓ Windows ☐ Unix				Mac/OS	
Java version used: <u>JavaSE-11</u>					
Was the final program tested in the laboratory workstations? ✓ Yes □ No					
The following table is to be filled by the professor :					
1	/Bad	Incomp	olete/F	air	
Cover identifies the course, authors and group number					
Goals of the work are very succinct but clearly stated					
Intelligibility of the document					
Structure of the document					
Clear/concise justification of main data structures used					
OO solution (extensibility, polymorphism, etc.)]				
Critical evaluation of the application performance					
Description of functionalities beyond requested ones Conclusions					