Fastai Lesson 10a review

Joseph Catanzarite
Fastai Deep Learning From The Foundations
TWiML Study Group Meetup
Saturday, 8/17/2019

Overview of Lesson 10a

- Software Engineering
- Softmax discussion
- Notebooks:
 - 05 anneal
 - 05a foundations
 - 05b_early_stopping
- We'll stop at 1:00:40 in Lesson 10 video
- We'll cover the rest of Lesson 10 next week

Softmax definition and implementation

Softmax

Cross-entropy loss is the usual loss function for multi-label classification problems. Since cross-entropy loss is computed from the probabilities of the predicted classes, we must first convert the output activations to probabilities; this is accomplished by applying the softmax function to the output activations.

Softmax is defined by:

softmax(x)_i =
$$\frac{e^{x_i}}{e^{x_0} + e^{x_1} + \dots + e^{x_{n-1}}}$$

or more concisely:

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{x})_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{0 < j < n-1} e^{x_j}}$$

In the above formulas, i indexes the output activation node.

In practice, we will need the log of the softmax when we calculate the loss.

```
# compute log(softmax)
def log_softmax(x):

return (x exp()/(x exp
```

return (x.exp()/(x.exp().sum(-1,keepdim=True))).log()



Found it! @jeremy discusses Softmax starting at 44:38 in Lesson 10 video, and ending at 52:44. He's discussing the entropy example.xlsx spreadsheet and the section labelled **Softmax** in the 05a foundations.ipynb notebook.

Two key points @jeremy makes are that Softmax operates under the assumption that each data point belongs to exactly one of the classes, and that Softmax works well when these assumptions are satisfied.

However, the assumptions are **not** satisfied for

- (1) **multi-class**, **multi-label** problems where a data point can be a member of more than one class (i.e. have more than one label), or
- (2) **missing label** problems where the identified classes do not provide a complete representation of the data, i.e. there are data points that belong to none of the classes.

So what to do about these cases?

@jeremy shows empirically that for multiclass, multilabel problems a better approach is to create a binary classifier for each of the classes.

When to use a binary classifier for each class instead of softmax

Auto	Save Off	8 9	C ₁	å↓ ä↓	B • •	ent	tropy_example.	xlsx - Ex	cel		Jerem	y Howard	囨
File	Home	Insert Dr	aw Pag	ge Layout F	ormulas Data	Review	View De	veloper	Add-ins	Help Team		6	Share
L6 \rightarrow : \times \checkmark f_x =H6/(1+H6)													
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	
1		Image 1					Image 2				binomial	binary	
2		output	ехр	softmax			output	ехр	softmax		Image 1	Image 2	
3	cat	0.02	1.02	0.08		cat	-1.42	0.24	0.08		0.51	0.19	
4	dog	-2.49	0.08	0.01		dog	-3.93	0.02	0.01		0.08	0.02	
5	plane	-1.75	0.17	0.01		plane _는	-3.19	0.04	0.01		0.15	0.04	
6	fish	2.07	7.93	0.62		fish	0.63	1.87	0.62		0.89	0.65	
7	building	1.25	3.49	0.27		building	-0.19	0.82	0.27		0.78	0.45	
8			12.70	1.00				3.00	1.00				
9													

What does softmax do when two samples have weights that differ by a constant?

Remember that the weights are not yet normalized before **Softmax** is applied. What happens when the weights of the two pixels differ by a constant offset? i.e. What happens when each pixel 2 weight is d units different from the corresponding pixel 1 weight, where d is a constant?

When you transform the weights by exponentiation, a **constant offset** d between two sets of weights becomes a **multiplicative factor**.

To see this, suppose that the weights of pixel 1 are

 w_1, w_2

and the weights of pixel 2 differ from those of pixel 1 by an offset d, so that they are

 $w_1 + d, w_2 + d$

What does softmax do when two samples have weights that differ by a constant? cont'd

The exponentiated weights for pixel 1 are

 $\exp w_1, \exp w_2$

And the exponentiated weights for pixel 2 are

 $\exp{(w_1+d)}, \exp{(w_2+d)}=k\exp{w_1}, k\exp{w_2},$

where $k=\exp d$.

Now for each pixel, **Softmax** normalizes the exponentiated weights by their sum, and since

the weights of pixel 2 **are proportional to** those of pixel 1 by a multiplicative factor of k,

the **normalized exponentiated weights** will be the same for the two pixels. This is exactly what happens in the example Jeremy discusses in Lesson 10.

(A) adding a category for none-of-the-above, or alternately(B) doubling the number categories by adding categories for not(each class).

For missing label problems, @jeremy says that some practitioners have tried

However, he says that both of these approaches are terrible, dumb and wrong, because it can be difficult to capture features that describe these 'negative' categories.

While I agree that the 'negative class' features could be hard to capture, I'm not convinced that either of the approaches (A) and (B) are wrong, since in each case, the classes satisfy the Softmax assumptions.

Case (A): if you can learn what features are present in a certain class K, you also know that when

these features are absent, the data is not likely to be a member of **class K**. This means that learning to recognize **class K** is implicitly learning to recognize **class not(K)**.

Case (B) I'd argue that **none-of-the-above**ness *can* be learned with enough examples.

So I don't see anything wrong with these approaches to handle the case of missing classes.

To summarize, Softmax works well when its assumptions are satisfied, and gives wrong or misleading results otherwise. An example of the former case: Softmax works well in language modeling when you are asking "what's the next word?" An example of the latter case is when there are missing classes and you don't account for this situation by using, say approach A or B above; in this case the output probabilities are entirely bogus. Multiclass, multilabel problems provide another example where Softmax is the wrong approach, because the class probabilities do not sum to one.

A Note on Software Engineering



Lesson 9 notes

Part 2 (2019)



icatanza Joseph Catanzarite

6 🧨 6d

A Note on Software Engineering

In the course **Deep Learning From the Foundations**, there is much to learn about software engineering from the Fastai team.

Software engineering – in a nutshell – is the art of writing and testing efficient, understandable, reliable, reusable, and maintainable code.

Here are a few simple rules to help set you on the road to good software engineering practice.

1. Use descriptive variable names. For example, instead of variables named n and m, use informative names such as n rows and n columns; instead of nh, use n hidden, instead of c, use n out.

Never use single character names for important variables.

Why? Suppose you have a variable named n, and you find that for some good reason, you need to rename n to n_iter. Imagine trying to do a 'find and replace' on n, one of the most commonly used characters in the English language?

Software Engineering, cont'd.

2. Pass numerical values into functions as keyword arguments.

For example, consider the following line of code:

```
s = Sampler(small_ds,3,False)
```

This code is not efficient for the reader, who will likely have no idea of what the values 3 and False represent. To find out, they'll have to spend extra time examining the code for the Sampler object.

On the other hand, consider an alternative form:

```
s = Sampler(small_ds,batch_size=3,shuffle=False)
```

Calling the inputs as *keyword arguments* gives the reader a good idea of how these values control the behavior of Sampler.

3. Document your code as you write. For each small block of code, provide a clear explanation of its function. Although it does slow you down a bit, documentation that records how your code was built and the decisions that went into its construction will be invaluable to you or anyone else who wants to understand, use, refactor, or repurpose your code at a later time.

Following rules such as these is well worth your while – especially when you come back to your code after a hiatus and try to remember what you did, or when you want to share your code with others.

Review notebooks (live, in Zoom chat)

Notebooks:

```
05_anneal05a_foundations05b_early_stopping
```

- We'll stop at 1:00:40 in Lesson 10 video
- We'll cover the rest of Lesson 10 next week