IX. APPENDIX

The following section presents the remaining production rules for conditional structures.

A. Conditional Structures

For blocks production rule (c), we have:

$$\langle Blocks \rangle \rightarrow [\langle SB \rangle]$$

The derivation of production rule (c) creates a subgraph G_i^{BLKS} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{SB} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \Phi_i^{SB}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (14)

The associated set of selected preemption points function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{SB}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (15)

For blocks production rule (d), we have:

$$\langle Blocks \rangle \rightarrow [\langle CB \rangle]$$

The derivation of production rule (d) creates a subgraph G_i^{BLKS} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{CB} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \Phi_i^{CB}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (16)

The associated set of selected preemption points function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{CB}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (17)

For aggregate blocks production rule (e), we have:

$$\langle Blocks \rangle \rightarrow \langle SB \rangle \langle Blocks \rangle$$

The derivation of production rule (e) creates a subgraph $G_i^{BLKS'}$ concatenating a previously created aggregate blocks basic block subgraph G_i^{SB} in series with a previously created subgraph G_i^{BLKS} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_{i}^{BLKS'}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = min_{r,s} \{ (\Phi_{i}^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_{r}}) + \max_{\delta_{i}^{m}, \delta_{i}^{n}} [\xi_{i}(\delta_{i}^{m}, \delta_{i}^{n})] + \Phi_{i}^{SB}(\zeta_{pred_{s}}, \zeta_{succ}) \}$$

$$(18)$$

where ζ_{succ_r} , and ζ_{pred_s} represent the values where the function $\Phi_i^{BLKS'}(\zeta_{pred},\zeta_{succ})$ is minimized and valid solutions are subject to the following constraints:

$$(\zeta_{succ_r} + \max_{\delta_i^m, \delta_i^n} [\xi_i(\delta_i^m, \delta_i^n)] + \zeta_{pred_s}) \leq Q_i$$

$$\delta_i^m \in \rho_i^{succ}(G_i^{BLKS}, \zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_r}) \qquad (19)$$

$$\delta_i^n \in \rho_i^{pred}(G_i^{SB}, \zeta_{pred_s}, \zeta_{succ})$$

The associated preemption point function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{BLKS'}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_r}) \cup \rho_i^{SB}(\zeta_{pred_s}, \zeta_{succ})$$
(20)

Theorem 2. Given Φ_i and ρ_i functions for each substructure of BLKS where each $\rho_i^A(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$ represents a feasible solution for substructure A given preemptions ζ_{pred} before, ζ_{succ} after, and Φ_i^A is a safe upper bound on the total WCET and preemption cost of that solution. Applying production (e) over a feasible G_i , G_i^{BLKS} and Q_i results in a feasible solution ρ_i^{BLKS} and a safe upper bound Φ_i^{BLKS} given by Equations 18. 19, and 20 respectively.

Proof: The proof is by direct argument. The proof structure and line of reasoning are identical to the proof for Theorem 1, hence we omit the details here.

For aggregate blocks production rule (f), we have:

$$<$$
Blocks $> \rightarrow <$ CB $> <$ Blocks $>$

The derivation of production rule (f) creates a subgraph $G_i^{BLKS'}$ concatenating a previously created conditional block subgraph G_i^{CB} in series with a previously created aggregate blocks subgraph G_i^{BLKS} . Production rule (f) exhibits the maximum time complexity for our algorithm executing in $O(N_i log(N_i)Q_i^4)$ time. Each $<\!SB\!>$ contains Q_i solutions with each $<\!Blocks\!>$ and $<\!CB\!>$ structure containing Q_i^2 solutions. The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_{i}^{BLKS'}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = min_{r,s}\{(\Phi_{i}^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_{r}}) + max_{\delta_{i}^{m}, \delta_{i}^{n}}[\xi_{i}(\delta_{i}^{m}, \delta_{i}^{n})] + \Phi_{i}^{CB}(\zeta_{pred_{s}}, \zeta_{succ})\}$$
(21)

where ζ_{succ_r} , and ζ_{pred_s} represent the values where the function $\Phi_i^{BLKS'}(\zeta_{pred},\zeta_{succ})$ is minimized and valid solution combinations are subject to the following constraints:

$$(\zeta_{succ_r} + max_{\delta_i^m, \delta_i^n} [\xi_i(\delta_i^m, \delta_i^n)] + \zeta_{pred_s}) \leq Q_i$$

$$\delta_i^m \in \rho_i^{succ}(G_i^{BLKS}, \zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_r}) \quad (22)$$

$$\delta_i^n \in \rho_i^{pred}(G_i^{CB}, \zeta_{pred_s}, \zeta_{succ})$$

The associated preemption point function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{BLKS'}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_r}) \cup \\ \rho_i^{CB}(\zeta_{pred_s}, \zeta_{succ})$$
(23)

Theorem 3. Given Φ_i and ρ_i functions for each substructure of BLKS where each $\rho_i^A(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$ represents a feasible solution for substructure A given preemptions ζ_{pred} before, ζ_{succ} after, and Φ_i^A is a safe upper bound on the total WCET and preemption cost of that solution. Applying production (e) over a feasible G_i , G_i^{BLKS} and Q_i results in a feasible solution ρ_i^{BLKS} and a safe upper bound Φ_i^{BLKS} given by Equations 21, 22, and 23 respectively.

Proof: The proof is by direct argument. The proof structure and line of reasoning are identical to the proof for Theorem 1, hence we omit the details here.

The grammar we have presented thus far are focused on the production rules for conditional structures. Non-unrolled loops and functions are structured programming constructs that are also prevalent in real-time code. We present the production rules supporting these structured programming elements in the following subsections.

B. Non Unrolled Loops

For **loops production rule (g)**, we have:

$$<$$
Loop $> \rightarrow [$ $<$ Blocks $> <$ MaxIter $>$]

The derivation of production rule (g) creates a subgraph G_i^{LOOP} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{BLKS} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_i^{LOOP}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \Phi_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) \times MaxIter$$
(24)

where valid solution combinations are subject to the following constraints:

$$(\zeta_{succ} + \zeta_{pred}) \le Q_i \tag{25}$$

The associated set of selected preemption points function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{LOOP}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (26)

For blocks production rule (h), we have:

$$\langle Blocks \rangle \rightarrow [\langle LOOP \rangle]$$
 (27)

The derivation of production rule (h) creates a subgraph G_i^{BLKS} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{LOOP} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \Phi_i^{LOOP}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (28)

The associated set of selected preemption points function is given by:

$$\rho_{i}^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_{i}^{LOOP}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (29)

C. Inline Functions

Functions are split into two grammar elements, namely, function definition and function invocation. The conditional PPP algorithm generates solutions for the function definition blocks consistently with the main task function. The generated function definition preemption solutions are combined with the function invocation preemption solutions at each graph location where the function is called.

For function definition production rule (i), we have:

$$<$$
Function $> \rightarrow [<$ Blocks $> <$ Function $Name>]$

The derivation of production rule (i) creates a subgraph G_i^{FUNC} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{BLKS} . The

associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_i^{FUNC}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \Phi_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (30)

The associated set of selected preemption points function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{FUNC}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (31)

For function call production rule (j), we have:

$$<$$
FunctionCall $> \rightarrow [$ $<$ Blocks $> <$ FunctionName $>]$

The derivation of production rule (j) creates a subgraph G_i^{FCALL} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{BLKS} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_{i}^{FCALL}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = min_{r,s} \{ (\Phi_{i}^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_{r}}) + max_{\delta_{i}^{m}, \delta_{i}^{n}} [\xi_{i}(\delta_{i}^{m}, \delta_{i}^{n})] + \Phi_{i}^{FUNC}(\zeta_{pred_{s}}, \zeta_{succ}) \}$$
(32)

where ζ_{succ_r} , and ζ_{pred_s} represent the values where the function $\Phi_i^{FCALL}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$ is minimized and valid solution combinations are subject to the following constraints:

$$(\zeta_{succ_r} + max_{\delta_i^m, \delta_i^n} [\xi_i(\delta_i^m, \delta_i^n)] + \zeta_{pred_s}) \leq Q_i$$

$$\delta_i^m \in \rho_i^{succ}(G_i^{BLKS}, \zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_r}) \quad (33)$$

$$\delta_i^n \in \rho_i^{pred}(G_i^{FUNC}, \zeta_{pred_s}, \zeta_{succ})$$

The associated preemption point function is given by:

$$\rho_{i}^{FCALL}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_{i}^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ_{r}}) \cup \rho_{i}^{FUNC}(\zeta_{pred_{s}}, \zeta_{succ})$$
(34)

Theorem 4. Given Φ_i and ρ_i functions for each substructure of FCALL where each $\rho_i^A(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$ represents a feasible solution for substructure A given preemptions ζ_{pred} before, ζ_{succ} after, and Φ_i^A is a safe upper bound on the total WCET and preemption cost of that solution. Applying production (e) over a feasible G_i , G_i^{FCALL} and Q_i results in a feasible solution ρ_i^{FCALL} and a safe upper bound Φ_i^{FCALL} given by Equations 32, 33, and 34 respectively.

Proof: The proof is by direct argument. The proof structure and line of reasoning are identical to the proof for Theorem 1, hence we omit the details here.

For blocks production rule (k), we have:

$$\langle Blocks \rangle \rightarrow [\langle FunctionCall \rangle]$$

The derivation of production rule (k) creates a subgraph G_i^{BLKS} that is equivalent to the subgraph G_i^{FCALL} . The associated WCET cost function is given by:

$$\Phi_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \Phi_i^{FCALL}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (35)

The associated set of selected preemption points function is given by:

$$\rho_i^{BLKS}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ}) = \rho_i^{FCALL}(\zeta_{pred}, \zeta_{succ})$$
 (36)