## **STAT 608 HW 5**

Jack Cunningham (jgavc@tamu.edu)

1)

The linear model is:

$$Y = X\beta + e$$

With design matrix X:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And response vector Y:

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

And coefficient vector  $\beta$ :

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

b)

$$X^T X = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X^T y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + y_4 + y_5 + y_7 \\ y_2 + y_4 + y_6 + y_7 \\ y_3 + y_5 + y_6 + y_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

c)

We know that  $(X^TX)(X^TX)^{-1} = I_3$  so  $A(X^TX)(X^TX)^{-1} = I_3$ :

$$A(X^TX)(X^TX)^{-1} = c \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = I_3$$

So  $c = \frac{1}{8}$ .

d)

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty = \frac{1}{8}\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + y_4 + y_5 + y_7 \\ y_2 + y_4 + y_6 + y_7 \\ y_3 + y_5 + y_6 + y_7 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{8}\begin{bmatrix} 3y_1 - y_2 - y_3 + 2y_4 + 2y_5 - 2y_6 + y_7 \\ -y_1 + 3y_2 - y_3 + 2y_4 - 2y_5 + 2y_6 + y_7 \\ -y_1 - y_2 + 3y_3 - 2y_4 + 2y_5 + 2y_6 + y_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

e)

If  $e_i = \sigma^2/n_i$ , then  $w_i = 1/n_i$ . Where  $n_i$  is the number of drugs in each measurement. Then weight matrix W is:

$$W = diag(1, 1, 1, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/3)$$

f)

The normal equation for weighted least squares is  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{WLS}} = (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W y$ .

```
W = diag(x = c(1,1,1,1/2,1/2,1/2,1/3))
X = matrix(c(1,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1),nrow = 7, ncol = 3)
XTWX = t(X) %*% W %*% X
XTWX_inv <- solve(XTWX)
XTWX_inv_X <- XTWX_inv %*% t(X)
colnames(XTWX_inv_X) <- c("y1", "y2", "y3", "y4", "y5", "y6","y7")
rownames(XTWX_inv_X) <- c("Beta 1", "Beta 2", "Beta 3")
XTWX_inv_X</pre>
```

g)

We can show that the estimates are unbiased for least square estimates:

$$E(\hat{\beta}_{WLS}) = (X^TWX)^{-1}X^TWE(y)$$

$$E(y) = X\beta$$

$$E(\hat{\beta}_{WLS}) = (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W X \beta = \beta$$

The individual measurements are now heavily weighted more to the individual measurements. This makes sense because the variance of those estimates are smaller than the combined measurements. They are a more accurate observation of the individual time to recoveries for each drug, and should be weighted as such.

2)

The linear model used is:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i}$$

Where:

$$x_{1i} = 1\{ \text{Treatment B} \}, x_{12} = 1\{ \text{Treatment C} \}, x_{3i} = 1\{ \text{Treatment D} \}$$

a)

 $\beta_0$  is the expected response for those undergoing treatment A.

 $\beta_1$  is the difference in response between treatment B and A on average.

 $\beta_2$  is the difference in response between treatment C and A on average.

 $\beta_4$  is the difference in response between treatment D and A on average.

b)

The coefficient of interest is  $\beta_1$ , so we construct a 95% confidence interval for it:

$$\hat{\beta_1} \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-p-1} SE(\hat{\beta_1})$$

Where  $\hat{\beta}_1 = -11.5$  and  $SE(\hat{\beta}_1) = 3.89$ . Then:

$$-11.5 \pm t_{\alpha/2.n-p-1}(3.89)$$

```
beta_1_hat <- -11.5
se_beta_1_hat <- 3.89
critical_value <- qt(1 - .05/2,200-3-1)
conf_int <- beta_1_hat+c(-1, 1)*critical_value*se_beta_1_hat
conf_int</pre>
```

## [1] -19.171629 -3.828371

We can say with 95% confidence that the difference between treatment groups B and A lies within (-19.1716294 and -3.8283706).

c)

We can represent the mean response in treatment group B as  $(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0)$ . The the 95% confidence interval is:

$$(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0) \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-n-1} SE(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0)$$

```
beta_0_hat <- 37.5
se_beta_0_hat <- 2.75
conf_int_beta_0 <- beta_0_hat + c(-1, 1)*critical_value*se_beta_0_hat
conf_int_beta_1_beta_0 <- conf_int_ - conf_int_beta_0
conf_int_beta_1_beta_0</pre>
```

## [1] -51.24824 -46.75176

We can say with 95% confidence that the mean response in treatment group B lies between (-51.248241, -46.751759).