Project 1 Exploratory Analysis

Jack Cunningham

library(tidyverse) -- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 -v dplyr 1.1.4 v readr 2.1.5 v forcats 1.0.0 v stringr 1.5.1 v ggplot2 3.5.2 v tibble 3.2.1 v lubridate 1.9.4 v tidyr 1.3.1 v purrr 1.0.4 -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() -x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter() x dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag() i Use the conflicted package (http://conflicted.r-lib.org/) to force all conflicts to become **Loading Data**

```
data <- readxl::read_excel("Project2_S25_Data.xlsx")[,1:12]

New names:
* ` ' -> ` . . .13`
* ` ' -> ` . . .14`
* ` ' -> ` . . .15`
* ` ' -> ` . . .16`
* ` ' -> ` . . .17`
* ` ' -> ` . . .18`
* ` ' -> ` . . .19`
* ` ' -> ` . . .20`
* ` ' -> ` . . .21`
```

```
# A tibble: 1,508 x 12
   Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality Weight_Replete_Female(g~1
   <chr>
             <dbl> <chr>
                                                   <dbl>
                                  <chr>>
                                                                               <dbl>
 1 792_01
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                              0.0682
                                                        0
2 792_02
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                                              0.178
                                                        0
3 792_03
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                                              0.035
4 792_04
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                              0.0368
5 792_05
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        1
                                                                              0.0263
6 792_06
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                              0.195
7 792_07
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        1
                                                                              0.0648
8 792_08
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                              0.0607
9 792 09
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        1
                                                                              0.0689
10 792 10
               792 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                              0.181
# i 1,498 more rows
# i abbreviated name: 1: `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
# i 6 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
    `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
#
    Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>
```

Missing Data

```
na_count <- sapply(data, function(y) sum(length(which(is.na(y)))))
na_count</pre>
```

Tick_ID	Deer_ID	Vaccine_Group
0	0	0
Infestation	Mortality	<pre>Weight_Replete_Female(g)</pre>
0	0	0
<pre>Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)</pre>	<pre>Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)</pre>	%_Hatched
0	0	4
Num_Eggs_laid	Num_Larvae	Comments
5	6	878

We can see that there does not appear to be a lot of missing data on first glance, but after looking at the excel we can see that there does appear to be many "N/A" values. I also filter out the Comments column, because after reviewing the excel it seems it was only populated when there were extreme circumstances.

```
data_no_coms <- data[,1:11]
na_count_manual <- sapply(data_no_coms, function(y) sum(length(which(y == "N/A"))))
na_count_manual</pre>
```

There appears to be a significant amount of data that is manually classified with "N/A". Lets see if they are often in the same row.

```
data$na_count <- apply(data_no_coms, 1, function(x) sum(x == "N/A"|is.na(x)))
table(data$na_count)</pre>
```

```
0 1 2 3 5
942 1 4 9 552
```

We can see that almost always missing values are grouped in the same row.

When reviewing the excel document it appears that when the five values are all N/As its due to the tick dying. Let's see:

```
data |> group_by(Mortality, na_count) |>
   summarise(n = n())
```

`summarise()` has grouped output by 'Mortality'. You can override using the `.groups` argument.

2	0	1	1
3	0	2	4
4	0	3	9
5	0	5	1
6	1	0	4
7	1	5	551

It appears this trend holds with the exception of 4 ticks who died but have no missing records. Lets look at those:

```
data |> filter(Mortality == 1 & na_count == 0)
```

A tibble: 4 x 13

Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)` <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> 1 800 14 800 P PRE 0.258 1 2 803 02 803 P PRE 1 0.252 805 P PRE 1 3 805 34 0.148 805 P PRE 4 805 35 0.270 # i 7 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>, `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,

Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>

Three out of the four "died during oviposition". That is a common comment in this data set. Lets see how many rows discuss a death during oviposition.

```
data |> filter(grep1('position|ovi&died', Comments, ignore.case = TRUE))
```

A tibble: 62 x 13

Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality Weight_Replete_Female(g~1 <chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr>> <dbl> <dbl> 1 793_05 793 P PRE 0 0.189 2 793_10 793 P PRE 0 0.247 793 P PRE 0 3 793_13 0.205 4 793_16 793 P PRE 0 0.184 0 5 793_17 793 P PRE 0.187 0 6 793 18 793 P PRE 0.151 7 793 24 793 P PRE 0 0.194 8 793 25 793 P PRE 0 0.195 9 800_15 800 P PRE 0 0.241

```
10 800_29 800 P PRE 0 0.157
# i 52 more rows
# i abbreviated name: 1: `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
# i 7 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
# `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
# Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>
```

Oviposition

Oviposition is the process of laying or depositing eggs. I deem this as a middle ground between an outright death for a tick and the tick surviving. In an ideal world a vaccinated deer would leave a tick dead, in the worst world the tick would survive. Maybe when a tick dies during oviposition the number of hatched eggs is smaller.

```
data$ovi_position <- grepl('position|ovi', data$Comments, ignore.case = TRUE)</pre>
```

Redefining The Mortality Variable

Lets handle the pre-processing of the mortality variable. I create a "result" feature, it is set as "death", "oviposition death", "no death".

```
data$result <- case_when(
  data$ovi_position == TRUE & data$Mortality !=1 ~ "Oviposition Death",
  data$Mortality == 1 ~ "Death",
  data$Mortality == 0 ~ "Lives")

table(data$result)</pre>
```

```
Death Lives Oviposition Death 555 889 64
```

Handling NAs

Now we have an idea of why we are getting NA values. They primarily come from situations where the tick dies and thus no outcome data is recorded. As seen below:

There is still one pesky row, lets take a look at it:

```
data |> filter(result == "Death"& na_count == 0)
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 15
```

```
Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
                                                 <dbl>
 <chr>
            <dbl> <chr>
                                 <chr>>
                                                                             <dbl>
1 800_14
              800 P
                                                                             0.258
                                 PRE
2 803 02
              803 P
                                 PRE
                                                     1
                                                                             0.252
3 805 34
              805 P
                                 PRE
                                                     1
                                                                             0.148
4 805_35
              805 P
                                PRE
                                                     1
                                                                             0.270
# i 9 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
    `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
   Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
    result <chr>>
```

Based on the information here, I think this is best categorized as an "Oviposition death".

Now lets set those NAs as zero:

```
data$`Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <- ifelse(data$result == "Death", 0, data$`Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)`
data$`Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <- ifelse(data$result == "Death", 0, data$`Bloodmeal_Conver
data$`%_Hatched` <- ifelse(data$result == "Death", 0, data$`%_Hatched`)
data$Num_Eggs_laid <- ifelse(data$result == "Death", 0, data$Num_Eggs_laid)
data$Num_Larvae <- ifelse(data$result == "Death", 0, data$Num_Larvae)</pre>
```

Now lets handle the remaining NAs. Lets first analyze those rows before deciding how to proceed:

```
data |>
    filter(na_count %in%c(1,2,3,4))
# A tibble: 14 x 15
   Tick ID Deer ID Vaccine Group Infestation Mortality Weight Replete Female(g~1
   <chr>
             <dbl> <chr>
                                  <chr>
                                                   <dbl>
                                                                               <dbl>
1 800_04
               800 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                               0.197
2 804_05
               804 P
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                               0.206
                                  PRE
                                                        0
                                                                               0.203
3 804 17
               804 P
4 804 45
                                                        0
               804 P
                                  PRE
                                                                               0.236
                                                        0
5 793 57
               793 C
                                  FIRST
                                                                               0.257
                                                        0
6 792_138
               792 C
                                  THIRD
                                                                               0.266
7 803_132
               803 C
                                  THIRD
                                                        0
                                                                               0.201
               803 C
                                                        0
8 803_153
                                  THIRD
                                                                               0.159
9 801_47
               801 L
                                  FIRST
                                                        0
                                                                               0.196
10 801 55
               801 L
                                  FIRST
                                                        0
                                                                               0.321
                                                        0
11 801 70
               801 L
                                  FIRST
                                                                               0.224
                                                        0
12 801 79
               801 L
                                  FIRST
                                                                               0.159
                                                        0
13 801 92
               801 L
                                  SECOND
                                                                               0.315
14 790 59
               790 H
                                  FIRST
                                                                               0.245
# i abbreviated name: 1: `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
# i 9 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
    `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
#
    Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
    result <chr>>
```

Since there are only 15 rows I feel its worth taking a look at each situation.

The first situation is "dram spilled no hatch data collected":

```
data |>
    filter(na_count %in%c(1,2,3,4) & grepl('Dram', data$Comments, ignore.case = TRUE))
# A tibble: 4 x 15
  Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
            <dbl> <chr>
                                 <chr>
                                                  <dbl>
                                                                              <dbl>
  <chr>
1 793_57
              793 C
                                 FIRST
                                                      0
                                                                              0.257
2 792_138
              792 C
                                 THIRD
                                                      0
                                                                              0.266
3 803_132
              803 C
                                 THIRD
                                                      0
                                                                              0.201
4 790 59
              790 H
                                 FIRST
                                                      0
                                                                              0.245
# i 9 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
```

```
# `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
# Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
result <chr>
```

In this case I think an acceptable approach is to use median imputation for the missing values from the group of surviving ticks.

```
hatched_data_lives = data |>
    filter(result == "Lives") |>
    select(Num_Eggs_laid, Num_Larvae)
  head(hatched_data_lives)
# A tibble: 6 x 2
 Num_Eggs_laid Num_Larvae
  <chr>
                <chr>
1 636
                452
2 1540
                1344
3 108
                4
4 128
5 772
                12
6 480
                212
  hatched_data_median_lives = lapply(hatched_data_lives, function(x) median(as.numeric(x), red))
Warning in median(as.numeric(x), na.rm = TRUE): NAs introduced by coercion
Warning in median(as.numeric(x), na.rm = TRUE): NAs introduced by coercion
  hatched_data_median_lives
$Num_Eggs_laid
[1] 2152
$Num_Larvae
[1] 1514
```

```
hatched_data_median_hatched_percent = hatched_data_median_lives$Num_Larvae/hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_lives$Num_Larvae/hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_median_hatched_data_m
```

Now we substitute in these values into the missing values from earlier:

```
data <- data |> mutate(Num_Eggs_laid = ifelse(na_count == "3" & grepl('Dram', data$Comment
  mutate(Num_Larvae = ifelse(na_count == "3" & grepl('Dram', data$Comments, ignore.case =
  mutate(`%_Hatched` = ifelse(na_count == "3" & grepl('Dram', data$Comments, ignore.case =
```

Let's see how many missing values still exist:

```
data$na_count_2 <- apply(data[,-12], 1, function(x) sum(x == "N/A"|is.na(x)))
table(data$na_count_2)

0    1    2    3    5
1497    1    4    5    1

data |> filter(na_count_2 != 0) |>
    View()
```

% Hatched

Lets try to understand the % hatched variable. It appears it is a computation of num_larvae/num_eggs_laid.

```
data <- data |>
    mutate(percent_hatched = ifelse(Num_Eggs_laid != "0",100*as.numeric(Num_Larvae)/as.numer
Warning: There were 2 warnings in `mutate()`.
The first warning was:
```

i In argument: `percent_hatched = ifelse(...)`.
Caused by warning in `ifelse()`:

! NAs introduced by coercion

i Run `dplyr::last_dplyr_warnings()` to see the 1 remaining warning.

I want to see if these calculations always match up:

```
data |>
  filter(percent_hatched != 0) |>
  mutate(percent_compare = abs(percent_hatched - as.numeric(`%_Hatched`))) |>
  filter(percent_compare > .05) |>
  select(Num_Eggs_laid,Num_Larvae,percent_hatched,`%_Hatched`,percent_compare)
```

A tibble: 43 x 5

	${\tt Num_Eggs_laid}$	${\tt Num_Larvae}$	${\tt percent_hatched}$	`%_Hatched`	<pre>percent_compare</pre>
	<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	1608	1469	91.4	93.03	1.67
2	1992	1992	100	97.59	2.41
3	572	412	72.0	27.97	44.1
4	864	708	81.9	84.26	2.32
5	2116	1768	83.6	85.55	2.00
6	2636	3592	136.	98.33	37.9
7	988	800	81.0	80.900000000000006	0.0717
8	1820	804	44.2	41.18	3.00
9	2152	1514	70.4	0.703531598513011	69.6
10	6204	2232	36.0	69.66	33.7

i 33 more rows

We can see that generally speaking the calculated formula is in line with %_Hatched. There are some instances of large differences, but I'd rather trust the count of num larvae than rely on the percentage which could be a manual error.

With this in mind, there are some instances where the %hatched was estimate at 2 weeks instead of waiting. And it seems those values were not recorded. So I will take the median of our percent hatched variable and impute them into those values, and then calculate the num larvae.

```
Warning: There was 1 warning in `mutate()`.
i In argument: `Num_Larvae = ifelse(...)`.
Caused by warning in `ifelse()`:
! NAs introduced by coercion
```

Lets now see the remaining NA values:

```
#data <- data |> select(-`%_Hatched`)
data$na_count_3 <- apply(data[,-c(9,12)], 1, function(x) sum(x == "N/A"|is.na(x)))
table(data$na_count_3)</pre>
```

```
0
                  5
1500
                  1
  data |>
    filter(na_count_3 != 0)
# A tibble: 8 x 18
  Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
  <chr>
            <dbl> <chr>
                                 <chr>
                                                  <dbl>
                                                                              <dbl>
1 800_15
              800 P
                                                                              0.241
                                 PRE
                                                      0
2 804 05
              804 P
                                 PRE
                                                      0
                                                                              0.206
3 803_153
              803 C
                                 THIRD
                                                      0
                                                                              0.159
4 801 47
              801 L
                                 FIRST
                                                      0
                                                                              0.196
5 801_55
              801 L
                                 FIRST
                                                      0
                                                                              0.321
6 801_70
              801 L
                                 FIRST
                                                      0
                                                                              0.224
7 801_79
              801 L
                                 FIRST
                                                      0
                                                                              0.159
8 801_92
              801 L
                                 SECOND
                                                      0
                                                                              0.315
# i 12 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
    `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
    Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
    result <chr>, na_count_2 <int>, percent_hatched <dbl>, na_count_3 <int>
```

Since there is no mention of measurement error on the remaining missings that have no data on num eggs laid and num larve and no recorded % Hatched, I will set them to zero.

Lets do a final check on NAs:

```
0 2 3
1505 2 1
```

Looking at those rows:

1506

1

```
data |> filter(na_count_4 != 0)
# A tibble: 3 x 19
 Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
 <chr>
            <dbl> <chr>
                                                 <dbl>
                                                                             <dbl>
                                <chr>
1 800 15
              800 P
                                PRE
                                                     0
                                                                             0.241
2 803_153
                                                     0
              803 C
                                THIRD
                                                                             0.159
3 801 92
              801 L
                                SECOND
                                                     0
                                                                             0.315
# i 13 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
    `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
   Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
   result <chr>, na_count_2 <int>, percent_hatched <dbl>, na_count_3 <int>,
   na_count_4 <int>
```

There is one situation where we have % Hatched and Num Eggs Laid, but no record on larvae hatched and percent hatched. Lets use a computation to fix that:

```
data |>
    filter(na_count_5!=0)
# A tibble: 2 x 21
  Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
            <dbl> <chr>
                                 <chr>
                                                  <dbl>
                                                                              <dbl>
  <chr>
1 800 15
              800 P
                                                      0
                                                                              0.241
                                 PRE
2 803_153
              803 C
                                 THIRD
                                                      0
                                                                              0.159
# i 15 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
    `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
    Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
   result <chr>, na_count_2 <int>, percent_hatched <dbl>, na_count_3 <int>,
    na_count_4 <int>, mark <lgl>, na_count_5 <int>
For tick 800_15 it appears this should be treated as a usual tick death, there is no evidence
of eggs being laid.
  data <- data |>
    mutate(mark = Tick_ID == "800_15") |>
    mutate(`Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` = ifelse(mark,0,`Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)`)) |>
    mutate(`Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` = ifelse(mark,0,`Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)`))
The final NA check:
  data na_count_6 \leftarrow apply(data[,c(-9,-12)], 1, function(x) sum(x == "N/A" | is.na(x)))
  table(data$na_count_6)
        3
   0
1507
        1
  data |>
    filter(na_count_6 != 0)
# A tibble: 1 x 22
  Tick_ID Deer_ID Vaccine_Group Infestation Mortality `Weight_Replete_Female(g)`
            <dbl> <chr>
                                                  <dbl>
                                                                               <dbl>
  <chr>
                                 <chr>
                                 THIRD
                                                                              0.159
1 803_153
              803 C
# i 16 more variables: `Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)` <chr>,
```

```
# `Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)` <chr>, `%_Hatched` <chr>, Num_Eggs_laid <chr>,
# Num_Larvae <chr>, Comments <chr>, na_count <int>, ovi_position <lgl>,
# result <chr>, na_count_2 <int>, percent_hatched <dbl>, na_count_3 <int>,
# na_count_4 <int>, mark <lgl>, na_count_5 <int>, na_count_6 <int>
```

In this case we have evidence of hatching, but we don't know the number of eggs laid or larvae. I choose a median imputation for num eggs laid given the tick lives, then computing the num larvae/

```
med_imput_data = data |> filter(Mortality == 0) |> select(Num_Eggs_laid)
  med_imput = as.numeric(median(as.numeric(med_imput_data$Num_Eggs_laid),na.rm = TRUE))
  data <- data |>
   mutate(Num_Eggs_laid = ifelse(na_count_6 != 0, med_imput, Num_Eggs_laid)) |>
   mutate(percent_hatched = ifelse(na_count_6 != 0,as.numeric(`%_Hatched`), percent_hatched
   mutate(Num_Larvae = ifelse(na_count_6 != 0, percent_hatched/100 * as.numeric(Num_Eggs_la
Warning: There was 1 warning in `mutate()`.
i In argument: `percent_hatched = ifelse(na_count_6 != 0,
 as.numeric(`%_Hatched`), percent_hatched)`.
Caused by warning in `ifelse()`:
! NAs introduced by coercion
Final NA check:
  table(data$na_count_7)
  0
1508
```

Great, there are no more missings. Lets convert our data to the correct types:

Data Conversion

First lets drop columns that won't be needed moving forward.

colnames(data)

```
[1] "Tick_ID"
                                  "Deer_ID"
 [3] "Vaccine_Group"
                                  "Infestation"
                                  "Weight_Replete_Female(g)"
 [5] "Mortality"
 [7] "Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)"
                                  "Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)"
 [9] "%_Hatched"
                                  "Num_Eggs_laid"
[11] "Num_Larvae"
                                  "Comments"
[13] "na_count"
                                  "ovi_position"
[15] "result"
                                  "na count 2"
[17] "percent_hatched"
                                  "na_count_3"
[19] "na_count_4"
                                  "mark"
[21] "na_count_5"
                                  "na_count_6"
[23] "na_count_7"
  data \leftarrow data[,-c(9,13,16,18,19,20,21,22,23)]
Now we need to convert our data into the correct types per variable:
  colnames(data)
 [1] "Tick_ID"
                                  "Deer_ID"
 [3] "Vaccine_Group"
                                  "Infestation"
 [5] "Mortality"
                                  "Weight_Replete_Female(g)"
 [7] "Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)"
                                  "Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)"
 [9] "Num_Eggs_laid"
                                  "Num_Larvae"
[11] "Comments"
                                  "ovi_position"
[13] "result"
                                  "percent_hatched"
  numeric_variables = colnames(data)[c(6,7,8,9,10,14)]
  numeric_variables
```

```
factor_variables = colnames(data)[c(2,3,4,5,13)]
factor_variables
```

[1] "Weight_Replete_Female(g)" "Weight_ Egg_Mass(g)"

[3] "Bloodmeal_Conversion(%)"

[5] "Num_Larvae"

"Num_Eggs_laid"

"percent_hatched"

```
[1] "Deer_ID" "Vaccine_Group" "Infestation" "Mortality"
[5] "result"

char_variables = colnames(data)[c(1,11)]

data_processed = data |>
    mutate(across(all_of(numeric_variables),as.numeric)) |>
    mutate(across(all_of(factor_variables),as.factor)) |>
    mutate(across(all_of(char_variables),as.character)) |>
    mutate(Comments = ifelse(is.na(Comments),"",Comments))

lets see if there are NAs that occurred here:

anyNA(data_processed)

[1] FALSE
```

Fixing when Number Larvae is greater Num Eggs Laid

```
data_processed = data_processed |>
  mutate(mark = Num_Larvae > Num_Eggs_laid) |>
  mutate(correct_larve = ifelse(mark,Num_Eggs_laid,Num_Larvae)) |>
  mutate(correct_eggs = ifelse(mark,Num_Larvae,Num_Eggs_laid)) |>
  mutate(Num_Larvae = correct_larve) |>
  mutate(Num_Eggs_laid = correct_eggs) |>
  mutate(percent_hatched = ifelse(mark,Num_Larvae/Num_Eggs_laid,percent_hatched)) |> select
```

Leveling Factors

Saving down processed data:

save(data_processed, file = "data_processed.RData")