

Detailed Summary of “The Political Role of Business Leaders”

Article Citation: Hersh, Eitan. 2023. “The Political Role of Business Leaders.” *Annual Review of Political Science* 26(1): 97–115. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051921-102505>

Part 1: Introduction

The Two Narratives

- **First Narrative:** Economic elites have too much influence, undermining democracy.
- **Second Narrative:** Economic elites can contribute positively to governance.

Goal of the Article

- Reveal how each narrative stands up to scholarly scrutiny and public opinion.

Part 2: Dominance of Economic Elites

Evidence Supporting the Narrative

1. Gilens & Page (2014)
 - Data suggest the affluent have disproportionate influence.
2. Multiple Surveys
 - Public largely views business influence as negative.

Counterpoints

- Economic elites are not monolithic.
- Policy influence is complex and varies across sectors.

Part 3: The Under-Engagement of Business Elites

Alternative Viewpoints

1. Mizruchi (2013)
 - Business leaders are less politically active than assumed.
2. Evidence from Surveys
 - Economic elites show reformist tendencies (Porter et al. 2019).

Policy Influence by Economic Elites

- Business leaders who do engage in politics are not always successful (Smith 2018).

Part 4: Business Elites Should—and Can—Lead Again

Problem Areas

1. Particularism
 - Public and elites both disapprove (Hersh & Schaffner 2017).
2. Elitism and Conservatism
 - Public is not largely concerned; they even approve of corporate leadership (Graham & Svolik 2020).

Benefits of Corporate Political Leadership

- Aligned with public policy that is beneficial for the economy.
- More favorable public perception compared to politicians.

Risks and Challenges

- Historical cases where elites acted against public interest.
- Need for responsible leadership, not domination.

Conclusions

- Second narrative should not be dismissed.
- Importance of understanding why business leaders have disengaged from politics.