1 WORKFLOW FIRST CHARACTERISATION

By attempting to understand the kinds of stipulation included in the Git workflows' descriptions, we can begin to create a common framework in which they can all be described. Once characterised in that common framework, their differences and similarities would become much easier to see. Gaps in the descriptions of individual workflows would be more apparent, and families of workflows that might exist, and be useful, but which at present are troublesome to be identified, would be discovered more easily.

Having gathered the specifications for the workflows identified for the research, the next step is to describe each workflow using a common set of features that can be applied to all the workflows in the list.

Following this process, a number of groups of features was identified, i.e., general, branching, operations and others, that provide the initial structure for our framework. The result is presented in Table 1. In this table, a \checkmark indicates a type of Git interaction that must be followed if the workflow is to be adhered to, a \checkmark indicates an interaction with the Git repository that is proscribed by the workflow, a combined \checkmark indicates that any of the available options are acceptable, and a ? symbol means that no information is given about the use of this kind of interaction in the workflow documentation.

1

Table 1: Workflow characteristics

Workflow		Branching model	hing del			Operations	ıtions		Tags	Other	Recommended
	Single (S) / Multiple (M) branches	Change branch	Release branch	Naming convention	Clone / Fork	Merge	Rebase	Cherry pick		features	scenarios
Backcountry	M	Feature	Production	•	С	•	.?	×	•		Large projects, mul-
BOINC	M	Feature	Release	•	C/F	•	?	?	.9		Continuous deploy
Cactus model	M	Any	Release, Master	*	C/F	*	•	•	<		Not open source nor
Centralised	S	Master	Master	*	С	*	•	×	.2		Small projects
Dictator and	М	Topic	Master	*	?	•	•	?	?		Large, highly-
Lieutenants											hierarchical projects
Feature branch	M	Any	Master	×	C/F	•	•	√×	<×		Large projects, CI
Fork & merge	M	Feature	Master	*	Ħ	•	?	?	×		Open source
Git Common	M	Feature	Release	•	С	•	•	×	•		Continuous develop-
GitFlow	M	Feature, Topic	Master, Release	<	C/F	✓(no-ff)	×	×	`		Scheduled projects,
GitHub	M	Any but Master	Master	*	C/F	•	×	×	×		Continuous deplöy
GitLab	M	Feature	Release	√(link issues)	С	<	?	×	<		Large projects, multiple versions
GitWaterFlow	M	Feature	Release	•	С	•	•	?	?	Forward porting	Continuous develop- ment, many versions
IGSTK Flow	M	Topic	Master	*	С	•	?	?	?	Agile-based	Open source
OneFlow	М	Feature	Master	•	С	<	?	×	<	Extensive use of tags	Single version, programmed releases
PLEFlow	M	Develop	Baseline	•	С	<	?	?	•	Parallel development	Multiple levels and domains
Release	M	Feature	Release	*	С	*	*	•	*		Large projects, multiple versions
Simple Git	W	Feature	Master	*	С	✓(no-ff)	•	*	×	Clean merges	One version, small projects
Skullcandy's	M	Feature	Master	•	С	<	?	×	<	Scrum	Continuous develop- ment, large projects
Three-Flow	M	Candidate	Release	•	С	✓(no-ff)	<	×	<	Feature tog- gles	Not open source nor embedded prog.
Trunk-based development	X	Any	Release, Master	*	С	<	×	<	×	No pull requests	Experienced, seasoned developers
WunderFlow	X	Feature	Production	<	?	<	<	?	<	Testing on	Multiple parallel ver-