Quantitative Analysis HW7

R11922045 陳昱行

1.

Let the expected value of Hessian matrix $H(m{ heta}_0) = \mathbb{E}[
abla^2 \ln l(m{ heta}_0)]$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}[\nabla^{2} \ln l(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\nabla\left[\frac{[y_{i} - \Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})]\phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})}{\Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})[1 - \Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})]}x_{i}\right]\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\phi'(x_{i}'\theta_{0})\Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})y_{i} - \phi'(x_{i}'\theta_{0})\Phi^{2}(x_{i}'\theta_{0}) - \phi'(x_{i}'\theta_{0})\Phi^{2}(x_{i}'\theta_{0})y_{i} + \phi'(x_{i}\theta_{0})\Phi^{3}(x_{i}'\theta_{0})}{(\Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})[1 - \Phi(x_{i}\theta_{0})])^{2}} \\ & - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{[y_{i} - 2y_{i}\Phi(x_{i}\theta_{0}) + \Phi^{2}(x_{i}'\theta_{0})]\phi^{2}(x_{i}\theta_{0})}{(\Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})[1 - \Phi(x_{i}\theta_{0})])^{2}}x_{i}x_{i}'\right] \\ &= 0 - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{[y_{i} - 2y_{i}\Phi(x_{i}\theta_{0}) + \Phi^{2}(x_{i}'\theta_{0})]\phi^{2}(x_{i}\theta_{0})}{(\Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})[1 - \Phi(x_{i}\theta_{0})])^{2}}x_{i}x_{i}'\right] \\ &= - \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\phi^{2}(x_{i}'\theta_{0})}{\Phi(x_{i}'\theta_{0})[1 - \Phi(x_{i}\theta_{0})]}x_{i}x_{i}'\right) \end{split}$$

by law of iterated expectation and $\mathbb{E}(y_i|x_i) = \mathbb{P}(y_i=1|x_i) = \Phi(x_i' heta_0)$

which is the specification of the probit model.

For the information matirx $m{B}(heta_0)$

$$oldsymbol{B}(heta_0) = \mathbb{E}\left(rac{1}{N}(
abla L_n(heta_0))(
abla L_n(heta_0))'
ight)$$

Since (y_i, x_i^\prime) are i.i.d data, the above expectation could be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{E}\left(rac{[y_i-\Phi(x_i' heta_0)]^2\phi^2(x_i' heta_0)}{(\Phi(x_i' heta_0)[1-\Phi(x_i' heta_0)])^2}x_ix_i'
ight)$$

By the property of binary variable:

$$Var(y_i|x_i) = \Phi(x_i\theta_0)(1 - \Phi(x_i'\theta_0))$$

and definition of variance

$$egin{aligned} Var(y_i|x_i) &= \mathbb{E}(y_i^2|x_i) - \mathbb{E}^2(y_i|x_i) \ &= \mathbb{E}(y_i^2|x_i) - \Phi^2(x_i heta_0) \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\mathbb{E}(y_i^2|x_i) = \Phi(x_i' heta_0) = \mathbb{E}(y_i|x_i)$$

The above expectation could be futher reduce to

$$\mathbb{E}\left(rac{\phi^2(x_i heta_0)}{\Phi(x_i' heta_0)[1-\Phi(x_i' heta_0)]}x_ix_i'
ight)$$

It follows that the information equality holds: $m{H}(heta_0) + m{B}(heta_0) = 0$

2.

For probit model, the NLS estimator is given by solving the following F.O.C:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - \Phi(x_i' heta)]^2 \ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - \Phi(x_i' heta)] \phi(x_i heta) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is not the same as the ML method:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n rac{y_i - \Phi(x_i' heta)}{\Phi(x_i' heta)[1 - \Phi(x_i heta)]} \phi(x_i' heta)x_i = 0$$

For the logit model, the NLS estimator is given by solving the following F.O.C:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - G(x_i' heta)]^2 \ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - G(x_i' heta)] G'(x_i' heta) x_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is not the same as the ML method:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - G(x_i' heta)]x_i = 0$$

3.

(a)

The pdf of Bernoulli distribution is

$$f(y_i) = G(x_i'\theta)^{y_i} (1 - G(x_i\theta))^{1-y_i}$$

where $y_i = 0, 1$.

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} L_n(heta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \ln G(x_i' heta) + (1-y_i) \ln (1-G(x_i' heta))] \ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \ln (1+e^{-x_i' heta}) - \sum_{i=1}^n (1-y_i) \ln (1+e^{x_i' heta}) \ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \ln (1+e^{-x_i' heta}) - y_i \ln (1+e^{x_i' heta}) + \ln (1+e^{x_i' heta})] \ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \ln \left(rac{1+e^{-x_i' heta}}{1+e^{x_i' heta}}
ight) + \ln (1+e^{x_i' heta})] \ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n [-y_i (x_i' heta) + \ln (1+e^{x_i' heta})] \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i (x_i' heta) - \ln (1+e^{x_i' heta})] \end{aligned}$$

and $\nabla L_n(\theta)$ is defined by:

$$egin{aligned}
abla L_n(heta) &= egin{bmatrix} rac{\partial L_n(heta)}{\partial heta_0} \ rac{\partial L_n(heta)}{\partial heta_1} \ rac{\partial L_n(heta)}{\partial heta_n} \end{bmatrix} \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - G(x_i heta)] x_i \end{aligned}$$

(b)

if X contains intercept and let $\tilde{\theta}$ be the solution such that $\nabla L_n(\tilde{\theta}) = 0$, then the above gradient could be written as:

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - G(x_i ilde{ heta})] egin{bmatrix} 1 \ x_{i,1} \ x_{i,2} \ dots \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \ \implies \sum_{i=1}^n egin{bmatrix} y_i - G(x_i' ilde{ heta}) \ (y_i - G(x_i' ilde{ heta})) x_{i,1} \ (y_i - G(x_i' ilde{ heta})) x_{i,2} \ dots \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \ \implies \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n G(x_i' heta) \end{aligned}$$

from the first row of the matrix equality.