

Problem Set 5

Due: 03/28

Part One: Hand-Written Exercise

1. Verify the statement on slide 39, Lecture 4. That is, write down the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{R}'$

using the notation d_{ij} , where $\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & \cdots & d_{1(k+1)} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \cdots & d_{2(k+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{(k+1)1} & d_{(k+1)2} & \cdots & d_{(k+1)(k+1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. Verify the statement on slide 51, Lecture 4. That is, $\hat{\beta}_{1,IV} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z})(x_i - \bar{x})}$.
3. Verify the statement on slide 56, Lecture 4. That is, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} - \beta_o) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{D}_o)$.
4. Answer the following question with “True” or “False” and briefly explain it. All notations are defined as in our lecture slides.
- (a) Using the OLS method, $\mathbf{X}'\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is no longer equal to $\mathbf{0}$ when \mathbf{X} is endogenous.
5. Consider the model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i$$

that includes an endogenous regressor \mathbf{x} . To solve the endogeneity, we find an instrumental variable \mathbf{z} . Let $\tilde{\beta}_1$ denote the IV estimation of β_1 and $\hat{\beta}_1$ denote the OLS estimation of β_1 with the endogenous regressor \mathbf{x} .

- (a) Show that the condition $\rho_{zu} = 0$ ensures that $\tilde{\beta}_1$ is consistent for β_1 .
- (b) When will the bias of $\hat{\beta}_1$ be less than $\tilde{\beta}_1$? Express your answer with ρ_{zu}, ρ_{zx} and ρ_{xu} .

Part Two: Part Two: Computer Exercise

1. Please load the dataset `SchoolingReturns` in R, which is a cross-section data from the U.S. National Longitudinal Survey of Young Men (NLSYM) in 1976, containing 3,010 observations on 22 variables. The variable we are interested in modelling is “wage”. However, using the variable “education”, the years of education, to explain “wage” is problematic because it can be argued that schooling is endogenous (and thus “experience”

is also endogenous since it equals to `age - education - 6`). Thus, we conduct 2SLS estimations with the outcome `log(wage)`, endogenous regressors `education`, `experience` and the square of `experience` with their IV `nearcollege`, `age` and the square of `age`. Other exogenous regressors are `ethnicity`, `smsa` and `south`.

- (a) Perform the first stage of 2SLS.
- (b) Perform the second stage of 2SLS. Show the estimated coefficient for `education`.
- (c) Perform 2SLS with the function `ivreg` and show the estimated coefficient for `education`. Verify that it's identical to (b).