

Quantitative Analysis HW2

R11922045 陳昱行

(1)

Let $\hat{r}_{i,1}$ be the OLS residual of regressing x_1 on the constant 1 and x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k

We can write the Regression model as:

$$x_{i,1} = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_{i,2} + \beta_3 x_{i,3} + \dots \beta_k x_{i,k} + r_{i,1}$$

let $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k$ and $\hat{r}_{i,1}$ be the OLS estimates of this model.

By the FOCs of OLS algebraic properties

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \hat{r}_{i,1}^2 &= \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} \cdot \hat{r}_{i,1} \\ &= \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} (x_{i,1} - \hat{\beta}_0 \cdot 1 - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i,2} - \dots \hat{\beta}_k x_{i,k}) \\ &= \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} x_{i,1} - \hat{\beta}_0 \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} x_{i,2} - \dots \hat{\beta}_k \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} x_{i,k} \\ &= \sum \hat{r}_{i,1} x_{i,1} - 0 - 0 - \dots 0 \\ &= \sum_{i,1} x_{i,1} \end{aligned}$$

(2)

If we observe the first two columns of this matrix, it is obvious that there exist multicollinearity among regressors. That is,

$$\mathbf{X}_{i,2} = 2\mathbf{X}_{i,1}, \quad \forall i \in 1, 2, 3, 4$$

Since \mathbf{X} is not full rank, we cannot solve the inverse matrix of $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})$ and thus we can not calculate the OLS estimators.

(3)

(a)

From the first order condition of OLS estimators with special condition $\tilde{\beta}_0 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \sum (y_i - \tilde{\beta}_1 x_i)^2}{\partial \tilde{\beta}_1} = 0 \\
& \implies \sum (y_i - \tilde{\beta}_1 x_i) x_i = 0 \\
& \implies \sum y_i x_i - \tilde{\beta}_1 \sum x_i^2 = 0 \\
& \implies \tilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum y_i x_i}{\sum x_i^2} \\
& \implies \tilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i) x_i}{\sum x_i^2} \\
& \implies \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}_1] = \frac{\sum (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) x_i}{\sum x_i^2} \\
& \implies \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}_1] = \frac{\sum \beta_0 x_i}{\sum x_i^2} + \beta_1
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

Obviously, if $\beta_0 \neq 0$, $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}_1] \neq \beta_1$. Therefore, $\tilde{\beta}_1$ is not an unbiased estimator.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}_1) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum y_i x_i}{\sum x_i^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\sum \text{Var}(y_i) x_i^2}{(\sum x_i^2)^2} \\
&= \sigma^2 \frac{\sum x_i^2}{(\sum x_i^2)^2} \\
&= \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2}
\end{aligned}$$

(d)

Yes, in general

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}_1) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$$

given that

$$\frac{1}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \geq \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2}$$

and they only equals when $\bar{x} = 0$.

(e)

No, it doesn't violate the Gauss-Markov Theorem since the theorem states that $\hat{\beta}_1$ is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE), whereas $\tilde{\beta}_1$ is a biased estimator of β_1 .