Problem Set 3

Due: 03/20

Part One: Hand-Written Exercise

1. We mentioned that the F statistic is given by:

$$F = \frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)},$$

where SSR_r and SSR_{ur} are the residual sums of squares of restricted and unrestricted regressions respectively. $(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})$ and SSR_{ur} are independent of each other.

(a) Given the fact that:

$$\frac{(n-k-1+q)\hat{\sigma}_r^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{(n-k-1)\hat{\sigma}_{ur}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(q),$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_r^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{ur}^2$ are the OLS estimators of σ^2 of the restricted and unrestricted regressions respectively. Please show that

$$\frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)} \sim F(q, n-k-1).$$

(b) Show that the F statistic can also be written as the R-squared form

$$F = \frac{(R_{ur}^2 - R_r^2)/q}{(1 - R_{ur}^2)/(n - k - 1)},$$

where R_r^2 and R_{ur}^2 are the R^2 s of the restricted and unrestricted regressions.

2. Abby and Bob are trying to understand the difference of the health expenditure, y, of a smoker and a non-smoker with different models. Abby adopts Model A while Bob adopts Model B:

Model A:
$$E[y] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1$$
, where $x_1 = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ for smokers,} \\ 0, \text{ for non-smokers} \end{cases}$
Model B: $E[y] = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_2$, where $x_2 = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ for smokers,} \\ 1, \text{ for non-smokers} \end{cases}$

(a) Please express β_0 and β_1 with α_0 and α_1 .

- (b) Are predictions, \hat{y} , the same for Model A and Model B? Discuss both \hat{y} for a smoker and a non-smoker.
- (c) Chris combines Model A and Model B and get Model C:

Model C:
$$E[y] = \delta_0 + \delta_1 x_1 + \delta_2 x_2$$
,

where
$$x_1 = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ for smokers,} \\ 0, \text{ for non-smokers} \end{cases}$$
, $x_2 = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ for smokers,} \\ 1, \text{ for non-smokers} \end{cases}$

Chris claims that Model C has more explaining power than Model A and Model B since it includes more explanatory variables. Is his statement true? Explain it.

3. The following model can be used to study whether campaign expenditures affect election outcomes:

voteA =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 ln(\text{expendA}) + \beta_2 ln(\text{expendB}) + \beta_3 \text{prtystrA} + u$$
,

where "voteA" is the percentage of the vote received by candidate A, "expendA" and "expendB" are campaign expenditures by candidates A and B, and "prtystrA" is a measure of party strength for candidate A (the percentage of the most recent presidential vote that went to A's party).

- (a) What is the interpretation of β_1 ?
- (b) In terms of the parameters, state the null hypothesis that the effect of the increase in A's expenditure will be offset by the increase in B's expenditure.
- (c) Write the detailed procedure to do the hypothesis testing in (b).
- (d) If someone claims that both candidates' expenditures do not have any effect on the outcome, how can you specify a testing null hypothesis?
- (e) Write the detailed procedure to do the hypothesis testing in (d).

Part Two: Computer Exercise

Following Question 2 of the computer exercise in Problem Set 2, consider the following model:

$$drat_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 wt_i + \beta_2 hp_i + \beta_3 qsec_i + \beta_4 vs_i + u_i,$$

- 1. Test the hypothesis $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$.
 - (a) Please construct the t statistic without the function lm().

- (b) Use the function lm() to directly obtain the t statistic. Verify that it's identical to (a).
- 2. Test the hypothesis $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$.
 - (a) Please construct the constrained and unconstrained model, obtain R_{ur}^2 and R_r^2 and construct the F statistic.
 - (b) Instead of R_{ur}^2 and R_r^2 , please obtain SSR_{ur} and SSR_r and recalculate the F statistic. Verify that it's identical to (a).
 - (c) Use the function linearHypothesis() to directly obtain the F statistic. Verify that it's identical to (a).