# Laboratory Project- Regularized Regression Models & variable selection

Julien Chiquet & Anastase Alexandre Charantonis & Guillem Rigaill
October 20th, 2016

## Study of housing values

The Boston dataset from the MASS package reports the median value of owner-occupied homes in about 500 U.S. census tracts in the Boston area, together with several variables which might help to explain the variation in median value across tracts. The corresponding data.frame contains the following columns

- crim: crime rate
- zn: proportion of 25,000 square feet residential lots
- indus: proportion of nonretail business acres
- chas: is the tract bounds the Charles River?
- nox: annual average nitrogen oxide concentration in parts per hundred million
- rm: average number of rooms
- age: proportion of owner units built prior to 1940
- dis: weighted distances to five employment centers in the Boston area
- rad: index of accessibility to radial highways
- tax: full value property tax rate (\$/\$10,000)
- ptratio: pupil/teacher ratio
- black: proportion of blacks in the population
- 1stat: proportion of population that is lower status
- medv: median value of owner-occupied homes

The objective is to construct a linear model that has good predictive properties for the variable medv. Furthermore, we would prefer to only use predictors that are directly tied to the response of the model, and therefore we shall proceed to perform a selection of variables.

## First part: introduction

## 1.1 Preliminaries

• Load the Boston dataset

library(MASS)
data(Boston)

• Based on this table create two new matrices named Boston.train and Boston.test. The first one will be used to train your models and the second one will serve as a validation dataset.

## 1.2 Descriptive analysis

Briefly describe the data: give in broad strokes the main tendeces contained in the dataset, especially those connected to the variabe we are atempting to explain. Are there any predictors that appear redudnant?

## Second part: multilinear regression and variable selection

## 2.1 Multilinear regression

Generate a multilinear model containing all the prefictors (we will refer to this model as full). Run a diagnostic of the model. Do the same with the model containing only the intercept (we will refer to this model as null). Compare the two models and comment.

#### 2.2 Exhaustive search

Use the regsubset function of the leaps package to generate all the possible models containing up to 10 predictors. Represent, in relation to the number of predictors used, the evolution of the square error, of the adjusted  $R^2$ , the BIC and the  $C_p$  for the best 500 models. CThese mesures are accessible by using the summary function on the outputs of the regsubset function.

## 2.3 Stepwise Selection

Propose two models, named step.AIC and step.BIC, that use the stepwise regression procedure in the forward/backwards mode. Briefly study the outputs of these models (anova and summary functions).

## Third part: penalisation methods

In this part we are interested in the regularised methods ridge and lasso in order to constrain the variance of our estimator and control the variance of our estimator and -eventualy- improve our prediction error. To generate these models we shall use the glmnet package. You will mostly need the glmnet, predict, cv.glmnet and plot functions of this package. Typehelp(glmnet), help(plot.glmnet), help(cv.glmnet) and help(predict.glmnet) to get help on these functions.

## 3.1 Ridge Regression

Generate the Ridge regression model on the 10 predictors ensemble. Trace the obtained regularisation path and comment on it. Select a  $\lambda$  through 10-fold cross-validation with the minimum and a "1 standard error" rules (the most penalized model with a 1 std distance from the model with the least error). We shall name the corresponding models ridge.min and ridge.1se

Take care with the input format for the glmnet function.

## 3.2 Lasso Regression

Generate the Ridge regression model on the 10 predictors ensemble. Trace the obtained regularisation path and comment on it. Select a  $\lambda$  through 10-fold cross-validation with the minimum and a "1 standard error" rules (the most penalized model with a 1 std distance from the model with the least error).

Also trace the BIC et mBIC criteria, whose analytic expression is reminded to be:

$$BIC = n \log(err_D) + log(n)df(\lambda)$$

$$mBIC = n \log(err_{\mathcal{D}}) + (\log(n) + 2log(p))df(\lambda)$$

We name lasso.min, lasso.lse, lasso.BIC and lasso.mBIC the corresponding models.

## Forth part: Evaluating the quality of the models obtained

For each of your models, i.e.:

- The model corresponding to a constant (null),
- The model with all the predictors (full),
- The models obtained by using the stepwise methodology (step.AIC et step.BIC),
- The models obtained by using the ridge methodology (ridge.min et ridge.1se),
- The models obtained by using the lasso methodology (lasso.min, lasso.1se, lasso.BIC et lasso.mBIC),

Estimate its precition errors with the help of the test dataset. You can use the predict functions associated to the different objects you are manipulating.

For the ridge and lasso regressions, plot the evolution of the prediction error calculated over the test ensemble versus the values of the regularization parameters (use a logarithmic scale). Highlight the  $\lambda$  values corresponding to the cross-validation and penalization criteria.

Comment about the model finally retained.