Student Name JoonHyuck Grader Name TK

Score 90 (661's max points: 120, 461's max points: 105)

	Points Worth	Full Credit
README	5	Submission contains a README with detailed explanation where necessary.
P1	5	• Cleanly thresholds both two_objects.pgm and many_objects_2.pgm. A reasonable threshold value is 100~140.
P2	20	 Correctly labeled mask generated. Test on two_objects.pgm and many_objects_2.pgm. Visualization is clear and correct.
P3	15	 Correct database returned using the label mask. Test on two_objects.pgm and many_objects_2.pgm. Visualization is clear and correct (ie. Centroid and orientation look reasonable)
P4	5	 Use two_objects.pgm to generate the database Test recognition on many_objects_2.pgm. A correct algorithm should at least always be able to find the object on the right in two_objects.pgm in the many_objects_2.pgm Comparison criteria is reasonable
P5	10	• Finds edges in the image using SG-op or Laplacian. Using built-in convolutions functions are ok.
P6	20	 README clearly states the correct constrains to limit the size of accumulator array and the ranges of possible theta and rho values. (rho = bounded by image diagonal length, theta = -90~90 degrees) README clearly states the choices of accumulator resolution, voting scheme and edge threshold. These choices should be reasonable. Hough_image_out displays sinusoids with intersections.
P7	10	Visualization clearly picks out strong edges in both hough_simple_2.pgm and hough_complex_1.pgm.
P8	10	• Lines are pruned into segments cleanly. Reasonable things to do here include looking at line intersections or finding segment end points using gradient information along

WRITTEN PORTION

	Points WorthFull Credit			
1.a	5	Refer to the solution		
1.b	5			
1.c	5			
2	5	Refer to the solution		

Sheet1

Partial Credit	No Credit
	No README included in the submission
 (-3) Objects are lost or artifacts introduced due to a bad threshold value. (-2) Column major traversal 	 Uses matlab/python's built-in threshold functions (cv2.threshold, otsu's thr Crashes
 (-5) Wrong number of labeled objects detected. (-5) Small edges of 'wrongfully labeled objects' exist. This is mostly due to buggy equivalence tables. 	 Built-in region-proposal functions used. Hard coded outputs Crahses
 (-1) for each attribute not included. (object_label, (x,y) centroid, min_moment, orientation, roundness must be included. (-5) x and y (col and row) flipped. (-5) Reported values and visualization do not make mathematical/intuitive sense. 	 Built-in descriptors used. Hard coded outputs Crahses
 (-2) Unstable recognition: algorithm does not always recognize the objects in the query image. (-3) Visualization does not display only the recognized objects. 	Built-in matching functions used.Hard coded outputsCrashes
	Hard coded outputsCrashes
• (-5) No discussion of theta/rho range	Lines are not found consistently
 (-5) No discussion of design choices for accumulator resolution, voting scheme and edge threshold. (-10) Detected lines are not in line with real lines in the image 	Hard codedCrahses
 (-3) No thresholding which results in many noisy lines in the visualization (-2) Error in plotting even with the correct accumulator 	 Hard coded outputs Crashes
 (-5) Inconsistency in pruning (some are pruned, some arn't) (-5) Pruned lines do not correspond to the actual line segments in the image. 	Hard coded outputsCrashes

Partial Credit	No Credit
Give partial credits where applicable.	

Points earned	Comments
5	
5	
20	
15	
5	
10	
20	
0	Error in visualization
0	

Points earned	Comments
5	
0	Should be ¼
5	
0	