
# COMP 4332 / RMBI 4310 Big Data Mining (Spring 2022)

**Project 3 Rating Prediction** 


TA: Jiaxin Bai (jbai@connect.ust.hk)

## Recommendation Systems



#### In Previous Tutorial


### Neural CF



Xiangnan He, Lizi Liao, Hanwang Zhang, Liqiang Nie, Xia Hu and Tat-Seng Chua (2017). <u>Neural Collaborative</u> Filtering. In Proceedings of WWW '17, Perth, Australia, April 03-07, 2017.

#### In Previous Tutorial

### Wide & Deep Learning



#### Memorization

#### Generalization

Heng-Tze Cheng, Levent Koc, Jeremiah Harmsen, Tal Shaked, Tushar Chandra, Hrishi Aradhye, Glen Anderson, Greg Corrado, Wei Chai, Mustafa Ispir, et al. 2016. Wide & deep learning for recommender systems. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Deep Learning for Recommender Systems. ACM, 7–10.

### Rating Prediction

 Predict users' ratings on items given some known ratings. The prediction would be evaluated by Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)

	iı	i <sub>2</sub>	i3	i <sub>4</sub>	i <sub>5</sub>	i <sub>6</sub>
U1	4	?	3	?	5	?
U2	?	2	?	?	4	1
U3	?	?	1	?	2	5
U <sub>4</sub>	?	?	3	?	?	1
U <sub>5</sub>	1	4	?	?	2	5
U <sub>6</sub>	5	?	2	1	?	4
	?	2	3	?	4	5

### Dataset

- User ratings
- Extra user information
- Extra business information

## User ratings:

<b>\$</b>	user_id <b>\$</b>	business_id <b>\$</b>	stars 🕏
0	ec8f38aa91755dcf5837020d022ad384	ecaa90564e18dca1c7b653038f71d6bf	1.0
1	64fe4dd0a489c9b96a3e8d7fbd337888	ef118bb0ae1fc369e1f47d1b34f6acee	5.0
2	a49909b39426ebb3538aa837b5b88840	e8b182a923810d52981aa02d56dde799	5.0
3	a56726d5676d647e42e2aca54f21b075	250040e979eae9ef5912aa5a1d285e4e	5.0
4	3e19d8260e655ba87bea0922bac92266	e02880faf4d42fe1df7bd370fb1c787b	4.0

#### Extra user information

Techniques for using this information through Wide and Deep Learning model will be introduced in tutorial 8

```
{ □
   "average_stars":3.63,
   "compliment_cool":1,
   "compliment_cute":0.
   "compliment_funny":1,
   "compliment_hot":1,
   "compliment_list":0,
   "compliment_more":0,
   "compliment_note":0,
   "compliment_photos":0,
   "compliment_plain":0,
   "compliment_profile":0,
   "compliment_writer":0,
   "cool":16.
   "elite":"",
   "fans":4.
   "funny":22.
   "name": "Jenna".
   "review_count":33,
   "useful":48.
   "user_id": "88422913727e71e88611fdfe3512fa03",
   "yelping_since": "2013-02-21 22:29:06"
```

### Extra business information

Techniques for using this information through Wide and Deep Learning model will be introduced in tutorial 8

```
"address": "4075 S Durango Dr, Ste 105B",
"attributes":{ ⊕ },
"business_id": "c7d693d13177b9839d89f277e5280315",
"categories": "Mobile Phones, Mobile Phone Repair, Shopping,
"city":"Las Vegas",
"hours":{ ∃ },
"is_open":1,
"latitude":36.115305,
"longitude": -115.280737,
"name": "Computer Doctor BG",
"postal_code": "89147",
"review_count":211,
"stars":5.0,
"state": "NV"
```

### We provide:

- Rating data (rating scale is 1.0-5.0):
  - 'train.csv': 60080 ratings
  - 'valid.csv' : 7510 ratings
  - 'test.csv': 7510 ratings (entries of 'stars' column in 'test.csv' are all set to 0.0)
- User information :
  - 'user.csv': 2980 users
- Business information
  - 'business.csv': 5964 businesses
- Code for evaluating predictions: 'evaluate.py'

#### Submission

- Predictions on test data (please make sure you can successfully evaluate your validation predictions on the validation data with the help of evaluate.py)
- Report (1~2 pages)
- Code (Frameworks and even programming languages are not restricted.)
- DDL: 11:59 pm, May 23, 2022
- Submission:
  - Each **team leader** is required to submit the <u>groupNo.zip</u> file that contains pre.csv and your team's code on canvas.
  - Each student is required to submit his/her own project report individually (All members in a group can choose to submit the same project report. But the submission still need to be done individually)
- we will check your report with your code and the RMSE.

## Grading Rule

Grade	Model (80%)	Report (20%)	Baseline (RMSE on test set)
60%		submission	1.20
80%	an easy baseline that most students can outperform	detailed explanation	1.15
90%	a competitive baseline that about half students can surpass	detailed explanation and analysis	1.12
100%	a very competitive baseline	excellent visualization and analysis	1.09

#### Other information:

- 1. You are welcome to use any methods to make the prediction.
- 2. The methods taught in the class/tutorial (including previous ones) + some parameter tuning + some feature engineering are enough for you to get the full marks.
- 3. Late submission policy is the same as project 1.
- 4. Peer evaluation is **not** required.

### Thank You