

Notes on “Optimal Bayesian Design for Model Discrimination via Classification”

- Setup

- K candidate statistical models, one of them is true
- Models indexed by M , a random variable in $\{1, \dots, K\}$
- For $M = m$, model is $p(y|\theta_m, m, d)$
 - * $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is data vector
 - * $\theta_m \in \Theta_m$ is parameter vector
 - * $d \in \mathcal{D}$ is design vector, the variables governing the experiment
- Prior on θ_m is $p(\theta_m|m)$, given for each possible model
- Prior on m is $p(m)$

- Picking d . Can use loss function that might depend on m , θ_m , and y , write it as

$$l(d) = E_{\theta_m, y, M|d}[l(d, \theta_m, y, M)].$$

Optimal d is $d^* = \arg \min_{d \in \mathcal{D}} l(d)$. We want to use an l that captures entropy around the distribution of M (which we then minimize). So we want to pick a d , which produces data y , that leads to the most information about the distribution of M . This will help us pick the correct model.

- Choice of loss function.

- Shannon entropy (MD stands for multinomial deviance).

$$l_{MD} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \\ (d, y) \mapsto -\sum_{m=1}^K p(m|y, d) \log p(m|y, d) \end{cases}.$$

y is observed after the experiment, so we don't actually know l_{MD} . So we'll integrate it out: they rewrite l_{MD} as

$$\begin{aligned} l_{MD}(d) &= - \int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(y|d) \sum_{m=1}^K p(m|y, d) \log p(m|y, d) dy \\ &\stackrel{\text{Bayes' Rule}}{=} - \sum_{m=1}^K p(m) \int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(y|m, d) \log p(m|y, d) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- 0-1 loss. Use a loss matrix for all combinations of true and selected models:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{01}(d) &= \int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(y|d) \sum_{m=1}^K p(m|y, d) \{1 - I[\hat{m}(y|d) = m]\} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(y|d) \{1 - p[\hat{m}(y|d) = y, d]\} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The loss functions above can be hard to compute analytically, so we can use Monte Carlo integration, by sampling from $p(m|y, d)$. Some common issues:

- Need to draw a lot of samples from $p(m|y, d)$
- $p(m|y, d)$ is not always available and needs to be estimated
- The likelihood $p(y|\theta_m, m, d)$ is intractable
- Need a lot of data/Monte Carlo samples for reasonable accuracy

Some remedies:

- using conjugate priors so integrals can be computed analytically
- quadrature
- sequential Monte Carlo (only applicable to sequential experimental designs, where design space is small)
- Gaussian-based posterior approximation
- ABC

Some shortcomings of ABC are addressed by classification method.

- Classification approach.