# Error Analysis for Euler's Method

Math 131: Numerical Analysis

J.C. Meza

April 23, 2024

#### Section 1

## Introduction

#### Introduction

In order to discuss the error in Euler's method as well as its convergence, we will need to define a few terms.

First let's recall that we are seeking to approximate the solution to the IVP at a set of discrete points in time or **mesh points** typically of the form:

$$t_i = a + ih, \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

We start with a few definitions.

#### **Definitions**

#### The difference method

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \alpha \\ y_{i+1} &= y_i + h\phi(t_i, y_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \end{aligned}$$

has local truncation error

$$d_{i+1} = \frac{y_{i+1} - (y_i + h\phi(t_i, y_i))}{h},\tag{1}$$

where  $y_i$  denotes the solution of the difference equation at  $t_i$  , and  $\phi(t,y)$  is a given function.

We call  $d_{i+1}$  *local* because it measures the accuracy of the solution at a specific point (step) in time. It is the error in 1 step if all previous values were exact and there's no roundoff error.

# Definitions (cont.)

We say that a method is  $\emph{consistent}$  (or  $\emph{accurate}$ ) of  $\emph{order}$  q if q is the lowest positive integer such that

$$\max_{i} |d_i| = O(h^q). \tag{2}$$

Finally, the global error is defined as

$$e_i = y(t_i) - y_i \quad i = 0, 1, \dots N,$$
 (3)

where  $y(t_i)$  is the true solution at time,  $t_i$ .

Gloabl error can be > sum of local errors if ODE is unstable, or it could be < sum of local errors if ODE is stable.

#### Example

#### Example

Show that Euler's method has local truncation error of O(h).

For Euler's method  $\phi(t_i,y_i)=f(t_i,y_i).$  As such we can write the local truncation error as:

$$d_{i+1} = \frac{y_{i+1} - (y_i + f\phi(t_i, y_i))}{h} = \frac{\frac{h^2}{2}y^{\prime\prime}(\xi_i)}{h},$$

$$= \frac{h}{2}y^{\prime\prime}(\xi_i), \quad \xi_i \in (t_i, t_{i+1}),$$
(4)

where the second equation is as a result of Taylor's theorem.

If we assume that the second derivative of  $\boldsymbol{y}$  is bounded by some constant M, then we have:

$$|d_{i+1}| \le \frac{M}{2}h \implies d_{i+1} = O(h)$$

By Equation 2 Euler's method is *first order accurate*, i.e q=2.

#### Remarks

- $\bullet$  This example shows that the local truncation error for Euler's method is O(h).
- Notice also that the error will depend on 1) the ODE, and 2) the step size.
- By the same argument, it is easy to see that Backward Euler is also first order accurate.

We now state a theorem that provides error bounds on the approximations generated by Euler's method and requirements for convergence.

#### Section 2

# Convergence Theorem

## Convergence and Global Error Estimates

#### Theorem (Euler Method Convergence.)

Suppose f(t,y) is continuous and Lipschitz continuous in y, with constant L on a region  $D=\{(t,y)|\ a\leq t\leq b,\ -\infty < y<\infty\}$ . Let  $y_1,\dots,y_N$  be approximations generated by Euler's method for some integer N>0.

# Then Euler's method converges and its global error decreases linearly in h.

Furthermore if a constant M exists with  $|y''| \leq M, \ \forall t \in [a,b],$  then the global error satisfies

$$|e_i| \le \frac{hM}{2L} \left[ e^{L(t_i - a)} - 1 \right] \ \forall i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N \tag{5}$$

#### Interpretation

Let's take a quick look to see what the error bound is saying.

#### Note that:

- The bound is exactly zero for  $t_i=a$ , which makes sense since  $y_0=y(a)$ , the given initial condition.
- ullet The last term depends on the Lipschitz constant, as well as the term  $t_i-a$ . But  $t_i-a$  is bounded by b-a, so the entire term is also bounded.
- The bound depends on both the Lipschitz constant as well as the bound, M, on the second derivative of y(t).
- the error bound is linear in h.

# **Key Points**

- The good news is that we can bound the error at each time step.
- ullet Nonetheless it is clear that the error bound will increase at each time step  $t_i$ .
- Our hope is that by choosing a small enough  $h(\Delta t)$  we can compensate for the other terms and make the error bound small enough to generate an accurate approximation to y(t).

#### Remark

Note that the theorem requires a bound on the second derivative. We can sometimes use knowledge of the partial derivatives to obtain an error bound. The important aspect is that the **error bounds are linear in** h. Not surprisingly, as the number of computations grow so will the roundoff error.

#### Section 3

Roundoff Error Analysis (Highlights)

## Roundoff Error Analysis

As in the numerical differentiation lectures, we can derive a bound on the roundoff error:

$$|y(t_i)-y_i| \leq \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{hM}{2} + \frac{\delta}{h}\right) \left[e^{L(t_i-a)} - 1\right] + |\delta_0|e^{L(t_i-a)},$$

where  $\delta, \delta_0$  are constants representing the amount of roundoff error incurred at each time step.

Notice that we have the same situation as before with numerical differentiation – one of the terms is going to 0 while the second term blows up as  $h\to 0.$ 

$$\mathsf{error} \approx \left(\frac{hM}{2} + \frac{\delta}{h}\right).$$

# Roundoff Error Analysis (Optimal h)

ullet A similar type of calculation as in the case of numerical differentiation, yields an optimal h:

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{2\delta}{M}}$$

that will depend on both  $\delta$  and M.

- If we assume that  $\delta \approx \epsilon$ , i.e machine epsilon, then depending on the value of M, this implies h should be roughly the square root of machine epsilon.
- ullet For IVPs, the more important question is **stability**, which will depend on choosing an appropriate h.

#### Stability

- While we can usually get a more accurate solution as h decreases, that means we will also necessarily increase the computational cost.
- ullet If we increase h, however we run against another problem one of stability
- ullet It can be shown that for forward Euler, there is an upper bound for h determined by a condition known as **absolute stability**.
- This requirement does not hold for Backward Euler!
- Unfortunately, we don't have time to cover that topic here.

# Brief Introduction to Stability

#### Consider the test equation

$$y' = \lambda y, y(0) = y_0$$

for which the solution is given by  $y(t) = e^{\lambda t}y(0)$ .

- for  $\lambda>0$  the exact solution increases, for  $\lambda<0$  the exact solution decreases.
- $\bullet$  For Euler's method it would make sense that  $|y_{i+1}| \leq |y_i|$
- Given that  $y_{i+1} = (1 + h\lambda)y_i$  it can be shown that this requires that:

$$h \le \frac{2}{|\lambda|}$$

## Estimating the order of a method

- Suppose the error term is given by  $e(h) \approx Ch^q$ , C independent of h.
- As before, we can then estimate the error at 2h, so that  $e(2h) \approx C(2h)^q \approx 2^q e(h)$ .
- ullet We can the approximate the rate, q, by:

$$\mathsf{rate} = \log_2 \left( \frac{e(2h)}{e(h)} \right)$$

#### Section 4

Demo

#### Section 5

# Supplementary Materials (Proofs)

## Convergence for Euler's Method

We had to bypass the proof of the convergence of Euler's method. It is not a difficult proof and it uses standard techniques. If you're interested this section will provide a brief overview of the proof.

First, we will need a few lemmas that are used in the proof of the convergence of Euler's method. They are included here for completeness.

#### Lemma 5.7

**Lemma 5.7.** For all  $x \ge 1$  and any positive m we have

$$0 \le (1+x)^m \le e^{mx} \tag{6}$$

**Proof.** Straightforward application of Taylor's Theorem to  $f(x)=e^x$  about  $x_0=0$ .

#### Lemma 5.8

#### Lemma 5.8. If

- ullet s,t are positive real numbers
- $\bullet \ \{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is a sequence satisfying  $a_0 \geq -\frac{t}{s}$
- $\bullet \ a_{i+1} \leq (i+s)a_i + t \quad i=0,1,\ldots,k-1$

Then  $a_{i+1} \leq e^{(i+1)s}(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}) - \frac{t}{s}.$ 

**Proof.** Left as an exercise. If you're interested in trying to prove it, the idea is to use a geometric series to show that

$$a_{i+1} \leq (i+s)^{i+1}(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}) - \frac{t}{s}$$

followed by an application of Equation 6, with x=s to show result.

## Convergence for Euler's method Theorem 2.1.

- A method is said to converge if the maximum global error tends to 0 as h tends to 0 (assuming that an exact solution exists and is sufficiently smooth.
- $\bullet$  For Euler' method, which is O(h), we would then expect that  $e_i=y(t_i)-y_i$  should be of the same order.
- Let's consider the local truncation error first:

$$\begin{split} d_i &= \frac{y(t_{i+1}) - y(t_i)}{h} - f(t_i, y(t_i)), \\ 0 &= \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{h} - f(t_i, y_i), \end{split}$$

Subtracting the two, gives us a difference formula for the local truncation error:

$$d_i = \frac{e_{i+1}-e_i}{h} - \left[f(t_i,y(t_i)) - f(t_i,y_i)\right]$$

Solving for the error at  $t_{i+1}$ , we have:

$$e_{i+1} = e_i + h\left[f(t_i,y(t_i)) - f(t_i,y_i)\right] + hd_i, \quad i = 0,\dots,N$$

Taking absolute values:

$$|e_{i+1}| \leq |e_i| + h|\left[f(t_i, y(t_i)) - f(t_i, y_i)\right]| + |hd|,$$

where d is the maximum of  $\left|d_{i}\right|$  over all time steps.

Since f is Lipschitz continuous with constant L, we can simplify the error difference equation to:

$$\begin{split} |e_{i+1}| &\leq |e_i| + hL|e_i| + |hd|, \\ &\leq (1+hL)|e_i| + hd. \end{split}$$

Now note that we can repeat this process with  $\boldsymbol{e}_i$ , which would gives us:

$$\begin{split} |e_{i+1}| & \leq (1+hL)|e_i| + hd, \\ & \leq (1+hL)[(1+hL)|e_{i-1} + hd| + hd = (1+hL)^2|e_{i-1}| + (1+hL) \\ & \leq \cdots \leq (1+hL)^{i-1}|e_0| + hd \sum_{j=0}^i (1+hL)^j \\ & \leq d \left[ e^{L(t_i-a)} - 1 \right] / L \end{split}$$

where we've used the Lemmas above to compute the sum and the fact that  $e_0=0.$ 

J.C. Meza

The final step is to note that by definition of we have that:

$$\begin{split} d &\geq \max_{0 \leq i \leq N-1} |d_i| \\ d_i &= \frac{h}{2} y^{\prime\prime}(\xi_i) \quad i = 0, \dots, N \end{split}$$

So if we can bound the second derivative such that:

$$M = \max_{a \le t \le b} |y''(t)| \implies d = \frac{h}{2}M.$$

which gives us our desired error bound:

$$|e_i| \leq \frac{Mh}{2L} \left[ e^{L(t_i-a)} - 1 \right], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

J.C. Meza