REVIEW

Public Health



The social origins of obesity within and across generations

Erik Hemmingsson¹ | Paulina Nowicka² | Stanley Ulijaszek³ | Thorkild I. A. Sørensen⁴

⁴Department of Public Health and Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence

Erik Hemmingsson, Department of Physical Activity and Health, The Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, Box 5626, 114 86 Stockholm, Sweden.

Email: erik.hemmingsson@gih.se

Summary

We propose a model for obesity development that traces a considerable part of its origins to the social domain (mainly different forms of prolonged social adversity), both within and across generations, working in tandem with a genetic predisposition. To facilitate overview of social pathways, we place particular focus on three areas that form a cascading sequence: (A) social adversity within the family (parents having a low education, a low social position, poverty and financial insecurity; offspring being exposed to gestational stress, unmet social and emotional needs, abuse, maltreatment and other negative life events, social deprivation and relationship discord); (B) increasing levels of insecurity, negative emotions, chronic stress, and a disruption of energy homeostasis; and (C) weight gain and obesity, eliciting further social stress and weight stigma in both generations. Social adversity, when combined with genetic predisposition, thereby substantially contributes to highly effective transmission of obesity from parents to offspring, as well as to obesity development within current generations. Prevention efforts may benefit from mitigating multiple types of social adversity in individuals, families, and communities, notably poverty and financial strain, and by improving education levels.

KEYWORDS

chronic stress, genetics, social adversity, social transmission, weight stigma

1 | INTRODUCTION

There has been little progress in the prevention of obesity through conventional diet and exercise programs, which are primarily founded on a simplified causal interpretation of excess calorie intake. Mounting evidence suggests that various psychosocial aspects, including social adversities, may play a more profound role in obesity development than by just being associated with excess calorie intake induced by abundance of food in the so-called obesogenic environments.

Given that human life is fundamentally performed in a social context, it is entirely plausible that social factors influence the development of obesity, provided there is sufficient dietary energy in the surrounding environment to satisfy the energy needs in the concomitantly growing, metabolically active lean body mass (an energy requirement that far exceeds the minute increment of energy stored in the fat tissue).² Indeed, the close link between social factors such as poverty and inequality with obesity has been documented at least since the mid-1960s,³ notably first by the work of Albert J. Stunkard and coworkers.^{4,5}

In this paper, we argue that excessive body fatness may be due to prolonged exposure to social adversity,⁶ especially if social challenges are present early in life, when cell biology is at peak malleability.⁷ Indeed, evidence has accumulated over many decades linking different types of social adversity, such as poverty, low socioeconomic status, neglect, maltreatment, and abuse with higher rates of obesity,^{3,8–10} especially in market liberal countries.¹¹

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Obesity Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of World Obesity Federation.

¹Department of Physical Activity and Health, The Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Food Studies, Nutrition, and Dietetics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

³Unit for Biocultural Variation and Obesity, School of Anthropology and Museum Ethnography, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

2 | AIMS AND RATIONALE

The overall aim is to provide an integrating model of social factors, predominantly relating to adversity, in the origins (here defined as early, or upstream, influences on psychological, emotional, and biological processes that promote a growing adipose mass) of obesity, coacting with genetic influences. A secondary aim, conditional upon the first, is to identify clear opportunities for opposing social exposures of relevance to obesity prevention.

Although there is a considerable body of theoretical and synthesizing literature that can help to frame the impact of social adversity on health and body weight, for example, within the fields of developmental psychology, social ecology, and behavior, 12-22 we have primarily based our selection of factors on the available empirical evidence from studies of the association of such adversities with obesity development, such as neglect, maltreatment, abuse, and weight stigma, but also other psychosocially challenging or traumatic life events. 8.23-27

Although our model encompasses all life stages, we place particular emphasis on childhood. The rationale for doing this is threefold: Firstly, children with increased body weight are at increased risk of obesity later in life.²⁸ Secondly, adipocyte quantity appears to be largely determined during childhood.²⁹ Thirdly, we wish to explore the phenomenon of how obesity in parents transmits to their offspring with a very high degree of probability.^{30–32} Finally, it must be emphasized that we consider this model to be applicable only under food abundant conditions, because obesity development is much less likely during conditions of food scarcity for the reasons mentioned above.

3 | THE INTEGRATING MODEL

3.1 | Genetic and environmental influences

It has been known for over a century that obesity "runs in families." An integrating model of obesity (Figure 1) must necessarily therefore include familial influences. Generally, the body mass index (BMI) as a continuous trait exhibits familial correlation, which means that when investigating numerous families within a population, the BMI of one member of a nuclear family, constituted by parents and their offspring, correlates with the BMI of the other family members.

Thus, there is consistent evidence showing that obesity in the parents substantially increases the risk of offspring obesity, ^{30–32} a key factor in cross-generational transmission. This includes a clear graded increase in the risk of childhood obesity depending on parental weight status, with much increased risk if both parents had developed obesity (compared with normal weight and overweight parents) and, in particular, severe obesity. Part of this effect, especially for children with severe obesity, might be attributed to assortative mating behavior (phenotypic assortment). ^{35,36} There is some indication that the intergenerational transmission effect may be more pronounced in girls than boys, although still very strong in boys. ³⁷

The origin of such familial trait correlations is due to the common genetic and/or shared environmental influences on the trait. During the last several decades, multiple studies have aimed at disentangling the role of genes transmitted among biological family members from the role of the environment shared by family members in creating familial similarities in BMI and obesity. The main approach in resolving this issue has been the application of adoption and twin studies that complement each other by resting on different assumptions. Resemblance in BMI between adopted-away individuals and BMI of their biological family members likely expresses genetic influence, and resemblance in BMI with adoptive family members reflects the influence of shared environment. In twin studies, the difference in resemblance of BMI between monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs is considered to be due to genetic effects, whereas what remains of resemblance between twin pairs is considered to be due to their shared environment. Both methods also provide estimates of the contribution of the non-shared environment to differences between individuals in the same population.

The key message emerging from a small number of adoption studies and many twin studies is that resemblance in BMI of adult biological family members no longer sharing a common household environment is almost entirely attributable to genetic influences. 34,38-40 However, shared environment does have some, though weaker, influence when family members are living together in the same household. 33,34,41-45 This is in contrast to the prevailing expectation that habits are adopted in the shared environment and are presumed to be responsible for the effects that are carried through to later life outside the family environment.

Given this pattern, the question is whether genetic predisposition, as assessed by family relationships of adoptees and of twins, is dependent on the social environment.⁴⁶ A twin study on the influence of education on BMI has shown a slight weakening of the effects of individual genetic differences by higher education in women, but not among men.⁴⁷ A larger multi-cohort twin study indicates that higher parental education weakly suppresses such genetic effects.⁴⁸

One adoption study has shown that the social position of adoptive parents, assessed by ranking their occupation, maintains an influence on the BMI of offspring in adulthood, although there is no relation to the BMI of the adoptive parents.⁴⁹ This finding suggests that parental social factors may have an influence on BMI, which operates independently of genetic transmission of predisposition to obesity.⁴⁹ On the other hand, further analyses of this and another adoption study suggest that there are two pathways of effect of parental social factors on offspring BMI.⁵⁰ The analyses confirm that there is an environmental effect of parental social factors operating irrespective of the BMI of the parents. However, the finding that social factors of the biological parents irrespective of their BMI remains associated with offspring BMI suggests that there is in addition a common genetic influence-a pleiotropic effect-on parental social factors and offspring BMI. In addition, genetic factors have been found to influence social factors such as education and social class, suggesting that genetic factors are (indirectly) involved in the



Genetic predisposition

Parents with obesity High presence of obesity genes Genetic influence on social class and education

Assortative mating

Part A

Family social adversity

- Pre-gestational period
- Gestational period
- Infancy
- Childhood

Family social adversity

Offspring:

Parents:

Low social position Gestational stress

Financial strain and poverty Unmet social and emotional needs

Low education Relationship discord Social discord Social deprivation

Part B

Insecurity, stress and disruption in energy homeostasis

- Infancy
- Childhood

Insecurity

Abuse and maltreatment Trauma and negative life events

Insecure attachment Neglect
Food insecurity Abandonment

Chronic stress

Negative affect Anxiety

Poor coping Increased stress reactivity
Allostatic load Hormonal changes

Disruption in energy homeostasis

Excessive energy intake Increased appetite Reduced energy expenditure Blunted satiety

Part C

Emerging obesity and weight stigma

- Childhood
- Teen years
- Adult years

Overweight



Severe obesity



Social stress and weight stigma

Guilt Self-judgement
Shame Low self-worth
Social stigma Discrimination
Excessive dieting Eating pathology

Transmission of obesity across generations

FIGURE 1 Overview of the integrating model of the social origins of obesity through a combination of genetic predisposition with social adversity in both parents and their offspring, which cascade towards the promotion of insecurity, chronic stress, weight gain and obesity, and finally weight stigma. While the model is presented as being approximately linear, that is, cascading from Part $A \rightarrow Part B \rightarrow Part C$, there are likely to be several (and potentially synergistic) co-acting feedback mechanisms involved (circular causality processes), which will require much additional scientific scrutiny to establish (or disprove). Social adversity pathways to obesity apply both to a potent intergenerational transmission from parents to offspring (Part A only) and to obesity development within current generations (some of Part A [offspring] and all of Parts B and C).

promotion of social adversity.^{49,51–56} These complex relationships require replication and further investigation.

There is also evidence of a purely social "transmission" of obesity, that is, social exposures seemingly operating outside the genetic domain. This claim is based on findings that obesity tends to develop more in some social clusters than others,⁵⁷ both inside and outside the family. Associations in BMI tend to be somewhat stronger for social ties outside the family, suggesting that this effect is not due to shared genetics. Indeed, the risk of developing obesity is much increased if a friend (57% increased risk) or spouse (37%) develops obesity,⁵⁷ possibly through shared norms and other social ties.⁵⁸ Social network analyses show adults and adolescents with overweight or obesity are more likely to have friends with overweight or obesity. 57,59 Both stigmatization by institutions and from those without obesity²³ and mimicking of others with obesity⁶⁰ are potential mechanisms whereby obesity can spread within a family or a social network.⁶¹ Given that such social transmission can take place at various stages of life. Warin et al⁶² have developed the framework of biohabitus for understanding how social and biological environments interact across the life course and may be transmitted and transformed across generations.

Although there has been much discussion of epigenetic influences on body weight, ⁶³ little progress has been made in identifying the specific epigenetic modulations by genomic methylation studies that relate to childhood obesity. Those found suggest that the observed methylation profile is rather an accompanying or resulting alteration. ^{64–70}

To summarize, both the individual genetic profile and the environment that the individual is exposed to strongly influence the development of BMI and obesity. Arguably, one of the strongest documented risk factors for childhood obesity is when both parents have obesity. The shared environment of a family plays a role in creating resemblances in BMI and obesity among family members as long as they live together, but it vanishes when they live apart. However, studies suggest that parental social factors may have lasting influence on offspring BMI both as purely environmental effect and through common genetic effects on social factors and BMI.

3.2 | Part A: Family social adversity

Social family factors, broadly defined as repeated social interactions in the family environment, share several similarities to the transmission of a genetic predisposition from the biological parents: both are active from early in life and both have a strong influence on psychological, emotional and physiological factors pertinent to obesity development (Figure 1). Although a healthy and supportive family environment can play a protective role against obesity, ¹⁸ there is ample evidence of the destructive influence of social adversity within the family. ^{8,24,25}

Some key social adversity indicators of the parent include being of low social class and low subjective social status, living under economic strain and poverty, living in a deprived area, and having low education status, all of which have been linked with obesity. ^{3,71} Additional aspects of low social class include uncertain employment, uncertain accommodation (immediate security, future security, discounting the future), effort-reward imbalance, and low social and cultural capital (education, occupational prestige, authority, community standing, knowledge, intellect), ⁷² resulting in increased risk of parental chronic stress and psychological strain. ⁷³ Moreover, prolonged social adversity in adults also increases the likelihood of unhealthy behaviors such as smoking and excessive alcohol consumption, further contributing to a possible buildup of an insecure social environment when starting a family.

Prolonged social adversity is in turn associated with several debilitating psychological and emotional consequences in offspring: insecurity, chronic stress, and mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, negative belief systems, and negative affect (anger, apathy, hopelessness, frustration, distress, shame, guilt). There is increasing evidence that parental social adversity affects weight gain in the children. The control of the control of

The gestational period exerts considerable influence on the general health of offspring, ^{75,76} including obesity. ⁷⁷ Studies indicate that gestational weight gain is of relatively small importance relative to maternal BMI. ⁷⁸ Indeed, maternal influence on long-term BMI of offspring appears to be no different from the paternal-offspring BMI association. ⁷⁹ This suggests that fetal overnutrition may be of minor importance, especially when compared to maternally transmitted genetic risk. ⁸⁰

There may be an important influence of maternal stress during the gestational period, ⁸¹ leading to increased stress vulnerability and obesity in offspring. ⁸² Furthermore, children whose mothers have been exposed to considerable stress before and during the gestational period (as determined by loss of close family members during the months before conception and during gestation) have significantly greater risk of becoming overweight both during childhood and at age around 20 years, apparently with stronger effects of the exposure before than during gestation. ⁸³

3.3 | Part B: Insecurity and chronic stress

A central idea of our proposed model, largely derived from developmental psychology, is the understanding that children have basic social and emotional needs, such as sensory stimulation, safety, warmth, and emotional bonding, with parents acting as main providers. Persistent failures to meet such needs increases the risk of insecurity, chronic stress and negative affect (Figure 1). ^{20,84,85}

Indeed, when comparing normal weight children with those having overweight and obesity, there is a graded increase in psychosocially stressful life events. The same pattern has also been noted in adults. Other factors relating to childhood insecurity and a lack of basic needs include having a missing or disinterested parent, and having divorced or single parents. Insecure attachment has been linked with both eating pathology and excessive weight gain in preadolescents. Particularly harmful social exposures are

childhood abuse, maltreatment, neglect and trauma, which strongly promote insecurity and chronic stress, and which are consistently linked with obesity and a plethora of health-damaging issues. 9.24,25,91 Furthermore, children, unlike adults, have yet to develop adequate strategies for coping with social adversity, making them more vulnerable to developing insecurity and heightened stress reactivity. 92,93

3.4 Stress-mediated pathways to obesity

There are several proposed pathways from social adversity to weight gain and obesity, for example via an increase in energy intake resulting in a positive energy balance. 94-96 a so-called "push" mechanism of energy storage.⁹⁷ There is also a link between poverty and chronic stress with consuming food with a high caloric density, 10 potentially mediated by the sympathetic nervous system and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which becomes hyperactive when living in stressful circumstances. 91,98 or through neurobiological adaptations that increase food reward while also reducing inhibition.⁹⁹ However, the direction of association between an excessive energy intake and obesity is not always clear. It remains a distinct possibility that changes in food intake may also be a consequence of a high or increasing body weight (mainly driven by an increase in lean body mass) rather than a cause, a "pull" mechanism, due to the increased energy needs to develop and maintain a larger body mass, 97 or other mechanisms such as insecurity and chronic stress. 100,101

Chronic stress and allostatic load, modulated by neuropeptide Y and glucocorticoids, is also associated with important changes in metabolism, such as decreased post-meal energy expenditure, lower fat oxidation, and increased insulin production. 100-102 Moreover, individuals with obesity tend to preserve more energy in the post-physical activity phase than normal weight individuals, 103 suggesting that energy expenditure is negatively affected. Findings such as these indicate that individuals with obesity may have altered their defended body weight set point upward, 104 presumably regulated in the brain, conceivably through prolonged social adversity, insecurity, chronic stress and negative affect, 18 or other adverse environmental factors. 105

Another hormone mediating the effects of social factors on weight gain is oxytocin. During infancy, there is a high degree of cortical reorganization, via oxytocin pathways, from non-social to social functions. A central force behind such evolutionary repurposing is the use of social mechanisms to manage stress, particularly in adapting to harsh ecologies and in managing life as part of large social groups. 106,107 Oxytocin is key in the development of human social behavior, in social stress regulation and in the development of trust. Among the functions of maternal oxytocin during breastfeeding is infant regulation of HPA activity, contributing to health, cognitive function, and social adaptation. Positive attachment is associated with higher oxytocin levels and lower HPA responses to stress. Doxytocin is also implicated in satiety and in ameliorating stressful experiences.

3.5 | Part C: Obesity and weight stigma

Once a child has developed overweight or obesity, a new and highly debilitating type of social adversity starts to operate in the form of weight stigma (Figure 1). Girls and women are particularly affected, ^{23,111} likely due to greater slim-ideal internalization, concerns about body shape and image, and social pressure to conform to cultural norms. ^{112,113}

Weight stigma in many ways contributes to a circular causal process, whereby stigma results in greater stress levels, further weight gain, and further stigma in turn. ^{23,26,114–116} Weight stigma has been found to be associated with an elevated risk of several obesity comorbidities and mortality independent of BMI, highlighting its profound psychological and physiological influence. ^{23,26,95,114} An additional consequence of weight stigma is a tendency to promote excessive dieting, eating disorders, mental health problems, loss of lean tissue, and worsening obesity. ^{23,117–120}

Crucially, of the many and various stressors that humans encounter, the social and negatively evaluative ones (including rejection) most engage the HPA axis. 121 Weight stigma therefore adds considerably to the already high social adversity burden experienced by most individuals with obesity 115 and is pervasive at all levels of society. 23 If anything, social pressure to be thin has increased across different societies during the last decades. 23,115 Part of this effect can likely be traced to the cultural shift away from social cohesion toward values of individual responsibility, self-evaluation, and competitiveness, 122,123 where failure to conform to body weight norms is generally met with socially punitive actions. 111,113 This not only promotes further insecurity and stress but also debilitating affective states such as shame, guilt, and lower self-worth, common in individuals with obesity. 18

4 | MODEL BOUNDARIES AND APPLICATIONS

An important task in obesity research is to identify how an increasing number of biological predispositions and environmental exposures might operate together. 124 The case for such a shift in approach to understanding obesity as involving complex systems, as opposed to the more classical approach of identifying independent risk factors, has been argued persuasively. 125-127 The present model is one such attempt, which forms a subsystem of a more complete system of obesity causation. 124 The rationale for this approach is to facilitate wider understanding of the multitude of involved social factors, mainly related to adversity and insecurity, and their interconnectedness with human genetics (i.e., the likely modulation by genetic predisposition of prolonged exposure to social adversity to promote obesity development).¹²⁸ However, other potential subsystems of obesity causation also need to be integrated, notably behaviors (diet, sleep, physical activity), health care (access to prevention, medication, etc.), and macro-environmental factors (policies, economics, social structures, etc.). 97,104,127,129 Moreover, we also need to identify new and more

system-based areas for prevention that allow for upstream interventions that have multiple impacts to be identified. 125-127,130

Experimental studies show that early childhood investments in deprived areas to enrich the social and educational environment of children results both in short-term and in long-term health benefits, including lower rates of obesity in adult years. 131 An experiment relating to the promotion of greater social capital and cohesion within financially deprived neighborhoods has shown that help with moving from an area with a high level of poverty to one with less poverty results in a reduction in severe obesity (BMI \geq 35 kg/m²) and type 2 diabetes. 132 There is also the possibility of adding social media networks to prevention initiatives. 133 Strategies such as these are seemingly much aligned with the abovementioned principles of social contagion pathways. 57,134

The lack of data from countries outside Europe and North America is of particular concern, because the association between socioeconomic status and obesity in higher income countries tends to be the opposite to lower income countries, ⁴ differences possibly explained by the relative food abundancy in different population segments in these countries. Finally, although a few studies are based on quasi-experimental design, ^{49,50} or a truly experimental design, ^{131,132} indicating causality, we acknowledge that the majority of studies on the role of social adversity in obesity causation are studies of association, susceptible to well-known scientific limitations.

5 | POSITIONING OF THE MODEL WITHIN THE EXISTING LITERATURE

It is much beyond the scope of this paper to review all pertinent theoretical models of obesity development, for example, interactions of social adversity with other subsystems, such as behavior. Alternatively, we provide some positional context of how this model compares to previous efforts within the social domain. The present model is largely an attempt to expand on earlier studies within the specific area of childhood abuse, maltreatment, neglect, and weight stigma. 8,9,23-25 Since these earlier studies, there has been a steady increase in the number of studies linking such social exposures to obesity development, for example, by Schroeder et al and Wiss et al, including a discussion of plausible mechanisms (social disruption, health behaviors, and chronic stress response). 135,136 The realization that there is a consistent association between childhood abuse and obesity development has spurred an attempt to describe the role of psychological and emotional distress, a common consequence of childhood abuse, in weight gain and obesity. 18 This previous model does not, however, include any thorough discussion of the tendency of obesity to be transmitted from parents to offspring (particularly the genetic influence). Moreover, there is no description of how social consequences of obesity, mainly weight stigma, appear to be a key part of obesity development, 23,26,115 fueling the vicious circle both across and within generations, illustrating the changing nature of social adversity throughout the life course. Speaking more conceptually, the proposed model may be considered akin to a biopsychosocial

approach to understanding disease etiology, involving a complex sequence of cascading social, psychological, emotional, and physiological events, eventually resulting in an excessive accumulation of adipose tissue, ²⁰ provided there is a biological foundation for this process. Clearly, the great variation in genetic predisposition to obesity must be integrated in the model, both because of the direct effects on these biological processes and because of the possible modulation of the effects of the exposures. ^{128,137}

We acknowledge that there is considerable overlap between our proposed model with models such as the Health Capabilities approach by Prah-Ruger, 127 that focuses more broadly on health through the combined influence of biology/genetics, intermediate social contexts, public health and health care systems, and the macro social, political, and economic climate. Our proposed model may be viewed as a more in-depth exploration of the link between biology and the intermediate social environment specifically for obesity while also recognizing that this is done in the context of other important macro and health care influences. 127

6 | MODEL-BASED SUGGESTIONS FOR PREVENTION

Although there are no simple solutions to obesity, we argue that there is nevertheless considerable potential to improve the current situation. This will likely require a concerted systems-based approach to improve overall social living conditions, especially for families, but also at the level of the individual and communities. 138,139 Although such initiatives may be laudable, they are unlikely to succeed unless accompanied by many other improvements to reduce the obesogenic nature of many societies now, including changes to food systems, urban planning, cultural and behavioral norms and values, health care provision, and market regulation, among many other contributing factors. 124,140,141 Concerted policy changes are therefore a likely prerequisite for reaping lasting and meaningful benefits (Table 1). It is also important to recognize that such changes expectedly will have beneficial effects on public health extending much beyond the prevention of obesity, including the prevention of many common chronic diseases.

In terms of strategies for mitigating social adversity, the natural experiment study by Barcellos et al suggests that additional education levels can be an effective strategy for reducing obesity inequality, ¹³⁰ as is reducing financial strain and poverty. ¹⁴² Although politically and culturally challenging, this could potentially facilitate improved overall social living conditions, which in turn can prevent many of the more downstream psychological, emotional, behavioral, and physiological aspects of obesity development, consistent with complexity theory. ¹²⁶ Another strategy to improve the social environment is to use participatory action research. ¹⁴³ This is a "bottom-up" strategy where researchers and participants jointly seek to understand history, culture, and local contexts to shape new action-oriented research on how to improve obesity prevention outcomes. ¹⁴⁴ This could also be tailored more to subgroups of

TABLE 1 Model-derived proposals for mitigating social adversity relevant to obesity prevention at the level of populations, communities, families, and individuals

confinitiones, families, and individuals		
Level	Factors	Mitigating interventions
Population/ country	 Poverty and financial insecurity Lack of parental education Inequality Unsafe cities Neoliberal values Pervasive weight stigma 	 Financial support Expanded social investments Facilitation of higher education Taxation of obesogenic food products Promotion of healthy schools and cities Greater emphasis on prevention in health care Antidiscrimination laws
Local community	 Neighborhood deprivation Low social capital Low social cohesion and crime 	 Neighborhood safety and social enrichment Building community social capital
Family/ household	 Parents with obesity Financial insecurity Low education Poor family dynamics Housing quality 	 Financial support for vulnerable families Paid parental leave Maternal and child health
Individual	 No breast feeding Neglect Lack of support Lack of social security Food insecurity Mental health problems 	 Maternal and child/ school health Support for education Healthy lifestyle choices Promotion of balanced norms and ideals

individuals where social adversity is likely to play a greater part than for other subgroups, where other exposures than social adversities may be more harmful.

7 | SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

To improve understanding of how social factors influence obesity development, we suggest three main areas of future research, which we were not able to incorporate in our review. Firstly, empirical investigations into obesity etiology would benefit from a wider selection of variables to include multiple subsystems of obesity causation. Examples include markers of genetic predisposition and social factors but also diet, nutrition, sleep, physical activity, metabolism, mental health, amongst many others. Given the strong tendency of obesity to transition from parents to offspring, data on parents (BMI, education level,

income, etc.) will likely be highly informative. Secondly, there is a need to advance the understanding of the biological mechanisms linking the psychosocial domain to the fat accretion in adipose tissue, which may be facilitated by employment of the various "-omics" techniques

(genomics, metagenomics, epigenomics, metabolomics). 96,128 Finally, there is also a need to perform a comparative, comprehensive review of theory-based literature on proposed models of obesity development. A related priority is to assess the positioning and predictive ability of the current model in relation to other obesity development models and to develop methods of testing the validity of the respective models. This would require a separate publication, and therefore beyond the scope of the current paper. We fully recognize that the generation of new models of obesity development pertaining to the social domain is both an iterative and incremental process, where the final test is to empirically assess how such models perform in a real-life environment, although there are many scientific, practical, political, cultural, and ethical challenges of doing so. Our expectation is that the presented model offers a parsimonious explanation of the observed strong associations of social adversities across and within generations but other model elements will likely be needed to explain the differences over time and between geographical areas in occurrence of obesity.

8 | CONCLUSION

Social factors (mainly different forms of prolonged social adversity), especially when combined with genetic predisposition, play an important upstream role in obesity development, by creating a cascade of psychological, emotional, behavioral, and physiological processes, such as insecurity, negative emotions, and chronic stress, that are either directly or indirectly involved in weight gain and obesity. Once obesity is manifest, social adversity is further compounded by debilitating weight stigma, fueling a vicious circle of toxic stress and further weight gain. Genetic factors influence both social adversity and physiological pathways to obesity (genetic pleiotropy), greatly contributing to a highly probable transmission of obesity from parents to offspring, but also to obesity development within current generations. Prevention efforts may be best placed in targeting multiple aspects of social adversity in individuals, families, and communities, notably by mitigating financial strain and increasing education levels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EH conceived the study, provided critical input, and drafted the majority of the manuscript; PN provided critical input and wrote parts of the manuscript; SU provided critical input and wrote parts of the manuscript; TIAS provided critical input and wrote a large part of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ORCID

Erik Hemmingsson https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7335-3796

REFERENCES

- Brown T, Moore TH, Hooper L, et al. Interventions for preventing obesity in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;7:CD001871.
- Sorensen TI. Challenges in the study of causation of obesity. Proc Nutr Soc. 2009;68(1):43-54. doi:10.1017/S0029665108008847
- Goldblatt PB, Moore ME, Stunkard AJ. Social factors in obesity. *Jama*. 1965;192(12):1039-1044. doi:10.1001/jama.1965. 03080250017004
- Sobal J, Stunkard AJ. Socioeconomic status and obesity: a review of the literature. *Psychol Bull*. 1989;105(2):260-275. doi:10.1037/ 0033-2909.105.2.260
- Pavela G, Lewis DW, Locher J, Allison DB. Socioeconomic status, risk of obesity, and the importance of Albert J. Stunkard. Curr Obes Rep. 2016;5(1):132-139. doi:10.1007/s13679-015-0185-4
- Wells JC. The evolution of human fatness and susceptibility to obesity: an ethological approach. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc.* 2006;81(2): 183-205. doi:10.1017/S1464793105006974
- Wells JC. Adaptive variability in the duration of critical windows of plasticity: implications for the programming of obesity. Evol Med Public Health. 2014;2014(1):109-121. doi:10.1093/emph/equ019
- Lissau I, Sorensen TI. Parental neglect during childhood and increased risk of obesity in young adulthood. *Lancet.* 1994; 343(8893):324-327. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(94)91163-0
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The adverse childhood experiences (ACE) study. Am J Prev Med. 1998;14(4):245-258. doi:10.1016/S0749-3797(98) 00017-8
- Drewnowski A, Specter SE. Poverty and obesity: the role of energy density and energy costs. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79(1):6-16. doi:10. 1093/aicn/79.1.6
- Offer A, Pechey R, Ulijaszek S. Obesity under affluence varies by welfare regimes: the effect of fast food, insecurity, and inequality. *Econ Hum Biol.* 2010;8(3):297-308. doi:10.1016/j.ehb.2010.07.002
- Bronfenbrenner U, Ceci SJ. Nature-nurture reconceptualized in developmental perspective: a bioecological model. *Psychol Rev.* 1994;101(4):568-586. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.101.4.568
- Davison KK, Birch LL. Childhood overweight: a contextual model and recommendations for future research. *Obes Rev.* 2001;2(3): 159-171. doi:10.1046/j.1467-789x.2001.00036.x
- Dodge KA, Pettit GS. A biopsychosocial model of the development of chronic conduct problems in adolescence. *Dev Psychol.* 2003; 39(2):349-371. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.39.2.349
- Sameroff A. A unified theory of development: a dialectic integration of nature and nurture. *Child Dev.* 2010;81(1):6-22. doi:10.1111/j. 1467-8624.2009.01378.x
- Harrison K, Borst KK, McBride EA, et al. Toward a developmental conceptualization of contributors to overweight and obesity in childhood: the six-Cs model. *Child Development Perspectives*. 2011;5(1): 50-58. doi:10.1111/j.1750-8606.2010.00150.x
- Skelton JA, Irby MB, Grzywacz JG, Miller G. Etiologies of obesity in children: nature and nurture. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 2011;58(6): 1333-1354.ix. doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2011.09.006
- 18. Hemmingsson E. A new model of the role of psychological and emotional distress in promoting obesity: conceptual review with

- implications for treatment and prevention. *Obes Rev.* 2014;15(9): 769-779, doi:10.1111/obr.12197
- Zhou N, Cheah CS. Ecological risk model of childhood obesity in Chinese immigrant children. Appetite. 2015;90:99-107. doi:10. 1016/j.appet.2015.02.028
- Smith JD, Egan KN, Montano Z, et al. A developmental cascade perspective of paediatric obesity: a conceptual model and scoping review. *Health Psychol Rev.* 2018;12(3):271-293. doi:10.1080/17437199.2018.1457450
- Russell CG, Russell A. A biopsychosocial approach to processes and pathways in the development of overweight and obesity in childhood: insights from developmental theory and research. *Obes Rev.* 2019;20(5):725-749. doi:10.1111/obr.12838
- Liew J, Zhou Z, Perez M, Yoon M, Kim M. Parental child-feeding in the context of child temperament and appetitive traits: evidence for a biopsychosocial process model of appetite self-regulation and weight status. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11):3353. doi:10.3390/ nu12113353
- 23. Brewis AA. Stigma and the perpetuation of obesity. *Soc Sci Med*. 2014;118:152-158. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.08.003
- Danese A, Tan M. Childhood maltreatment and obesity: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2014;19(5):544-554. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.54
- Hemmingsson E, Johansson K, Reynisdottir S. Effects of childhood abuse on adult obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2014;15(11):882-893. doi:10.1111/obr.12216
- Tomiyama AJ. Weight stigma is stressful. A review of evidence for the cyclic obesity/weight-based stigma model. *Appetite*. 2014;82:8-15. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2014.06.108
- Block JP, He Y, Zaslavsky AM, Ding L, Ayanian JZ. Psychosocial stress and change in weight among US adults. Am J Epidemiol. 2009; 170(2):181-192. doi:10.1093/aje/kwp104
- Simmonds M, Llewellyn A, Owen CG, Woolacott N. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2016;17(2):95-107. doi:10.1111/obr.12334
- Spalding KL, Arner E, Westermark PO, et al. Dynamics of fat cell turnover in humans. *Nature*. 2008;453(7196):783-787. doi:10.1038/ nature06902
- Reilly JJ, Armstrong J, Dorosty AR, et al. Early life risk factors for obesity in childhood: cohort study. BMJ. 2005;330(7504):1357. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38470.670903.E0
- Whitaker KL, Jarvis MJ, Beeken RJ, Boniface D, Wardle J. Comparing maternal and paternal intergenerational transmission of obesity risk in a large population-based sample. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;91(6): 1560-1567. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2009.28838
- Derraik JG, Ahlsson F, Diderholm B, Lundgren M. Obesity rates in two generations of Swedish women entering pregnancy, and associated obesity risk among adult daughters. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5(1):16692. doi:10.1038/srep16692
- 33. Elks CE, den Hoed M, Zhao JH, et al. Variability in the heritability of body mass index: a systematic review and meta-regression. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2012;3:29. doi:10.3389/fendo.2012.00029
- Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. *Behav Genet*. 1997;27(4): 325-351. doi:10.1023/A:1025635913927
- Speakman JR, Djafarian K, Stewart J, Jackson DM. Assortative mating for obesity. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;86(2):316-323. doi:10.1093/ajcn/86.2.316
- 36. Qasim A, Turcotte M, de Souza RJ, et al. On the origin of obesity: identifying the biological, environmental and cultural drivers of genetic risk among human populations. *Obes Rev.* 2018;19(2): 121-149. doi:10.1111/obr.12625
- 37. Jaaskelainen A, Pussinen J, Nuutinen O, et al. Intergenerational transmission of overweight among Finnish adolescents and their

- parents: a 16-year follow-up study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2011;35(10): 1289-1294. doi:10.1038/ijo.2011.150
- Stunkard AJ, Sorensen TI, Hanis C, et al. An adoption study of human obesity. N Engl J Med. 1986;314(4):193-198. doi:10.1056/ NEJM198601233140401
- Vogler GP, Sorensen TI, Stunkard AJ, Srinivasan MR, Rao DC. Influences of genes and shared family environment on adult body mass index assessed in an adoption study by a comprehensive path model. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1995;19(1):40-45.
- Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Sund R, et al. Differences in genetic and environmental variation in adult BMI by sex, age, time period, and region: an individual-based pooled analysis of 40 twin cohorts. Am J Clin Nutr. 2017;106(2):457-466. doi:10.3945/ajcn.117.153643
- Sorensen TI, Holst C, Stunkard AJ, Skovgaard LT. Correlations of body mass index of adult adoptees and their biological and adoptive relatives. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1992;16(3):227-236.
- Sorensen TI. Adoption studies of obesity. In: Bray GA, Ryan DH, eds. *Molecular and Genetic Aspects of Obesity*. Pennington Center Nutrition Series. Vol.5. Louisiana State University Press; 1996:462-469.
- 43. Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Sund R, et al. Genetic and environmental effects on body mass index from infancy to the onset of adulthood: an individual-based pooled analysis of 45 twin cohorts participating in the COllaborative project of development of anthropometrical measures in twins (CODATwins) study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(2):371-379. doi:10.3945/ajcn.116.130252
- Silventoinen K, Rokholm B, Kaprio J, Sorensen TI. The genetic and environmental influences on childhood obesity: a systematic review of twin and adoption studies. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2010;34(1):29-40. doi:10.1038/ijo.2009.177
- Costa-Font J, Jofre-Bonet M, Le Grand J. Vertical transmission of overweight: evidence from a sample of English adoptees. Food Policy. 2020;97:101972. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101972
- Sorensen TI, Holst C, Stunkard AJ. Adoption study of environmental modifications of the genetic influences on obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1998;22(1):73-81. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0800548
- Johnson W, Kyvik KO, Skytthe A, Deary IJ, Sorensen TI. Education modifies genetic and environmental influences on BMI. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(1):e16290. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016290
- Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Latvala A, et al. Parental education and genetics of BMI from infancy to old age: a pooled analysis of 29 twin cohorts. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2019;27(5):855-865. doi:10.1002/ oby.22451
- Teasdale TW, Sorensen TI, Stunkard AJ. Genetic and early environmental components in sociodemographic influences on adult body fatness. *BMJ*. 1990;300(6740):1615-1618. doi:10.1136/bmj.300.6740.1615
- Fontaine KR, Robertson HT, Holst C, et al. Is socioeconomic status of the rearing environment causally related to obesity in the offspring? PLoS ONE. 2011;6(11):e27692. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0027692
- Teasdale TW. Social class correlations among adoptees and their biological and adoptive parents. *Behav Genet*. 1979;9(2):103-114. doi:10.1007/BF01074329
- Teasdale TW, Owen DR. Social class correlations among separately adopted siblings and unrelated individuals adopted together. *Behav Genet*. 1981;11(6):577-588. doi:10.1007/BF01065792
- Teasdale TW, Owen DR. Heredity and familial environment in intelligence and educational level--a sibling study. *Nature*. 1984; 309(5969):620-622. doi:10.1038/309620a0
- 54. Teasdale TW, Owen DR. Social class and mobility in male adoptees and non-adoptees. *J Biosoc Sci.* 1984;16(4):521-530. doi:10.1017/S0021932000015340
- Teasdale TW, Sorensen TI, Owen DR. Social class in adopted and nonadopted siblings. *Behav Genet*. 1984;14(6):587-593. doi:10. 1007/BF01068129

- Alrouh H, van Bergen E, de Zeeuw E, Dolan C, Boomsma DI. Intergenerational transmission of body mass index and associations with educational attainment. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):890. doi:10. 1186/s12889-022-13270-1
- Christakis NA, Fowler JH. The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(4):370-379. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa066082
- 58. Centola D, Macy M. Complex contagions and the weakness of long ties. *Am J Sociol.* 2007;113(3):702-734. doi:10.1086/521848
- Valente TW, Fujimoto K, Chou CP, Spruijt-Metz D. Adolescent affiliations and adiposity: a social network analysis of friendships and obesity. J Adolesc Health. 2009;45(2):202-204. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.01.007
- Myers A, Rosen JC. Obesity stigmatization and coping: relation to mental health symptoms, body image, and self-esteem. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1999;23(3):221-230. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo. 0800765
- 61. Clark C. Is obesity actually non-communicable? *Obesity Medicine*. 2017;8:27-28. doi:10.1016/j.obmed.2017.10.001
- 62. Warin M, Moore V, Davies M, Ulijaszek S. Epigenetics and obesity: the reproduction of habitus through intracellular and social environments. *Body and Society*. 2016;22(4):53-78. doi:10.1177/1357034X15590485
- Pigeyre M, Yazdi FT, Kaur Y, Meyre D. Recent progress in genetics, epigenetics and metagenomics unveils the pathophysiology of human obesity. Clin Sci (Lond). 2016;130(12):943-986. doi:10.1042/ CS20160136
- Richmond RC, Timpson NJ, Sorensen TI. Exploring possible epigenetic mediation of early-life environmental exposures on adiposity and obesity development. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44(4):1191-1198. doi:10.1093/ije/dyv066
- Sharp GC, Salas LA, Monnereau C, et al. Maternal BMI at the start of pregnancy and offspring epigenome-wide DNA methylation: findings from the pregnancy and childhood epigenetics (PACE) consortium. Hum Mol Genet. 2017;26(20):4067-4085. doi:10.1093/hmg/ ddx290
- Kupers LK, Monnereau C, Sharp GC, et al. Meta-analysis of epigenome-wide association studies in neonates reveals widespread differential DNA methylation associated with birthweight. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1):1893. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09671-3
- 67. Vehmeijer FOL, Kupers LK, Sharp GC, et al. DNA methylation and body mass index from birth to adolescence: meta-analyses of epigenome-wide association studies. *Genome Med.* 2020;12(1):105. doi:10.1186/s13073-020-00810-w
- Sammallahti S, Cortes Hidalgo AP, Tuominen S, et al. Maternal anxiety during pregnancy and newborn epigenome-wide DNA methylation. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(6):1832-1845. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-00976-0
- Sharp GC, Alfano R, Ghantous A, et al. Paternal body mass index and offspring DNA methylation: findings from the PACE consortium. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2021;50(4):1297-1315. doi:10.1093/ije/dyaa267
- Alfano R, Robinson O, Handakas E, Nawrot TS, Vineis P, Plusquin M. Perspectives and challenges of epigenetic determinants of childhood obesity: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2022;23(Suppl 1):e13389. doi:10.1111/obr.13389
- 71. Bramsved R, Regber S, Novak D, Mehlig K, Lissner L, Marild S. Parental education and family income affect birthweight, early longitudinal growth and body mass index development differently. *Acta Paediatr.* 2018;107(11):1946-1952. doi:10.1111/apa.14215
- 72. Ulijaszek SJ. Socio-economic status, forms of capital and obesity. *J Gastrointest Cancer*. 2012;43(1):3-7. doi:10.1007/s12029-012-9366-5
- 73. Neece CL, Green SA, Baker BL. Parenting stress and child behavior problems: a transactional relationship across time. *Am J Intellect Dev Disabil*. 2012;117(1):48-66. doi:10.1352/1944-7558-117.1.48

- Tommerup K, Lacey RE. Maternal and paternal distress in early child-hood and child adiposity trajectories: evidence from the millennium cohort study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2021;29(5):888-899. doi:10.1002/obv.23150
- Aizer A, Currie J. The intergenerational transmission of inequality: maternal disadvantage and health at birth. *Science*. 2014;344(6186): 856-861. doi:10.1126/science.1251872
- Barker DJ. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. BMJ. 1995; 311(6998):171-174. doi:10.1136/bmj.311.6998.171
- Fernandez-Twinn DS, Hjort L, Novakovic B, Ozanne SE, Saffery R. Intrauterine programming of obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(10):1789-1801. doi:10.1007/s00125-019-4951-9
- Voerman E, Santos S, Patro Golab B, et al. Maternal body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the risk of overweight and obesity across childhood: an individual participant data meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2019;16(2):e1002744. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed. 1002744
- Davey Smith G, Steer C, Leary S, Ness A. Is there an intrauterine influence on obesity? Evidence from parent child associations in the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children (ALSPAC). Arch Dis Child. 2007;92(10):876-880. doi:10.1136/adc.2006.104869
- Huang LO, Morgen CS, Angquist L, et al. Do genetic risk scores for childhood adiposity operate independent of BMI of their mothers? Int J Obes (Lond). 2021;45(9):2006-2015. doi:10.1038/s41366-021-00869-4
- Tate EB, Wood W, Liao Y, Dunton GF. Do stressed mothers have heavier children? A meta-analysis on the relationship between maternal stress and child body mass index. *Obes Rev.* 2015;16(5): 351-361. doi:10.1111/obr.12262
- Lamichhane N, Olsen NJ, Mortensen EL, Obel C, Heitmann BL, Handel MN. Associations between maternal stress during pregnancy and offspring obesity risk later in life-a systematic literature review. Obes Rev. 2020:21(2):e12951. doi:10.1111/obr.12951
- 83. Hohwu L, Li J, Olsen J, Sorensen TI, Obel C. Severe maternal stress exposure due to bereavement before, during and after pregnancy and risk of overweight and obesity in young adult men: a Danish National Cohort Study. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(5):e97490. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097490
- 84. McEwen BS. Stress, adaptation, and disease. Allostasis and allostatic load. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1998;840(1):33-44. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09546.x
- Evans GW, Li D, Whipple SS. Cumulative risk and child development. Psychol Bull. 2013;139(6):1342-1396. doi:10.1037/a0031808
- Rojo M, Solano S, Lacruz T, et al. Linking psychosocial stress events, psychological disorders and childhood obesity. *Children (Basel)*. 2021;8(3):211.
- Hakkanen P, But A, Ketola E, Laatikainen T. Weight transitions and psychosocial factors: a longitudinal cohort study of Finnish primary school children with overweight. *Prev Med Rep.* 2020;20:101239. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101239
- Hohwu L, Zhu JL, Graversen L, Li J, Sorensen TI, Obel C. Prenatal parental separation and body weight, including development of overweight and obesity later in childhood. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(3): e0119138. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119138
- 89. Goossens L, Braet C, Van Durme K, Decaluwe V, Bosmans G. The parent-child relationship as predictor of eating pathology and weight gain in preadolescents. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*. 2012;41(4): 445-457. doi:10.1080/15374416.2012.660690
- Chen FS, Barth ME, Johnson SL, Gotlib IH, Johnson SC. Oxytocin receptor (OXTR) polymorphisms and attachment in human infants. Front Psychol. 2011;2:200. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00200
- Danese A, McEwen BS. Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiol Behav*. 2012;106(1): 29-39. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.08.019

- Rodman AM, Powers KE, Somerville LH. Development of selfprotective biases in response to social evaluative feedback. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2017;114(50):13158-13163. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1712398114
- Somerville LH. Special issue on the teenage brain: sensitivity to social evaluation. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2013;22(2):121-127. doi:10. 1177/0963721413476512
- Dallman MF, Pecoraro NC, la Fleur SE. Chronic stress and comfort foods: self-medication and abdominal obesity. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2005;19(4):275-280. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2004.11.004
- Tomiyama AJ. Stress and obesity. Annu Rev Psychol. 2019;70:703-718. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102936
- Michels N. Biological underpinnings from psychosocial stress towards appetite and obesity during youth: research implications towards metagenomics, epigenomics and metabolomics. Nutr Res Rev. 2019;32(2):282-293. doi:10.1017/S0954422419000143
- Ludwig DS, Sorensen TIA. An integrated model of obesity pathogenesis that revisits causal direction. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2022;18(5): 261-262. doi:10.1038/s41574-022-00635-0
- Scott KA, Melhorn SJ, Sakai RR. Effects of chronic social stress on obesity. Curr Obes Rep. 2012;1(1):16-25. doi:10.1007/s13679-011-0006-3
- Yau YH, Potenza MN. Stress and eating behaviors. Minerva Endocrinol. 2013;38(3):255-267.
- Ip CK, Zhang L, Farzi A, et al. Amygdala NPY circuits promote the development of accelerated obesity under chronic stress conditions. *Cell Metab.* 2019;30(1):111-128.e116. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2019. 04.001
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Habash DL, Fagundes CP, et al. Daily stressors, past depression, and metabolic responses to high-fat meals: a novel path to obesity. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015;77(7):653-660. doi:10.1016/j. biopsych.2014.05.018
- 102. Rabasa CDS. Impact of stress on metabolism and energy balance. *Curr Opin Behav Sci.* 2016;9:71-77. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.01.011
- Careau V, Halsey LG, Pontzer H, et al. Energy compensation and adiposity in humans. *Curr Biol.* 2021;31(20):4659-4666.e2. doi:10. 1016/j.cub.2021.08.016
- 104. Schwartz MW, Seeley RJ, Zeltser LM, et al. Obesity pathogenesis: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. *Endocr Rev.* 2017;38(4): 267-296. doi:10.1210/er.2017-00111
- 105. Speakman JR, Levitsky DA, Allison DB, et al. Set points, settling points and some alternative models: theoretical options to understand how genes and environments combine to regulate body adiposity. Dis Model Mech. 2011;4(6):733-745. doi:10.1242/dmm.008698
- Feldman R, Monakhov M, Pratt M, Ebstein RP. Oxytocin pathway genes: evolutionary ancient system impacting on human affiliation, sociality, and psychopathology. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016;79(3):174-184. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.08.008
- Goodson JL. Deconstructing sociality, social evolution and relevant nonapeptide functions. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013;38(4):465-478. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.12.005
- 108. Krol KM, Monakhov M, Lai PS, Ebstein RP, Grossmann T. Genetic variation in CD38 and breastfeeding experience interact to impact infants' attention to social eye cues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S a.* 2015; 112(39):E5434-E5442. doi:10.1073/pnas.1506352112
- Pierrehumbert B, Torrisi R, Ansermet F, Borghini A, Halfon O. Adult attachment representations predict cortisol and oxytocin responses to stress. Attach Hum Dev. 2012;14(5):453-476. doi:10.1080/ 14616734.2012.706394
- Olszewski PK, Klockars A, Levine AS. Oxytocin and potential benefits for obesity treatment. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes*. 2017; 24(5):320-325. doi:10.1097/MED.00000000000351
- 111. Puhl RM, Brownell KD. Psychosocial origins of obesity stigma: toward changing a powerful and pervasive bias. Obes Rev. 2003; 4(4):213-227. doi:10.1046/j.1467-789X.2003.00122.x

- Boswell RG, White MA. Gender differences in weight bias internalisation and eating pathology in overweight individuals. Adv Eat Disord. 2015;3(3):259-268. doi:10.1080/21662630.2015.1047881
- 113. Eknoyan G. A history of obesity, or how what was good became ugly and then bad. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2006;13(4):421-427. doi: 10.1053/j.ackd.2006.07.002
- Sutin AR, Terracciano A. Perceived weight discrimination and obesity. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(7):e70048. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0070048
- 115. Tomiyama AJ, Carr D, Granberg EM, et al. How and why weight stigma drives the obesity 'epidemic' and harms health. BMC Med. 2018;16(1):123. doi:10.1186/s12916-018-1116-5
- Gmeiner MS, Warschburger P. Interrelation between weight and weight stigma in youth: is there evidence for an obesogenic vicious cycle? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021. doi:10.1007/s00787-021-01922-3
- Dulloo AG. Physiology of weight regain: lessons from the classic Minnesota starvation experiment on human body composition regulation. *Obes Rev.* 2021;22(Suppl 2):e13189.
- Dulloo AG, Miles-Chan JL, Schutz Y. Collateral fattening in body composition autoregulation: its determinants and significance for obesity predisposition. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2018;72(5):657-664. doi:10. 1038/s41430-018-0138-6
- Dulloo AG, Montani JP. Pathways from dieting to weight regain, to obesity and to the metabolic syndrome: an overview. Obes Rev. 2015;16(Suppl 1):1-6. doi:10.1111/obr.12250
- Jacquet P, Schutz Y, Montani JP, Dulloo A. How dieting might make some fatter: modeling weight cycling toward obesity from a perspective of body composition autoregulation. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2020;44(6):1243-1253. doi:10.1038/s41366-020-0547-1
- Dickerson SS, Kemeny ME. Acute stressors and cortisol responses: a theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychol Bull.* 2004;130(3):355-391. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.130.3.355
- 122. Ulijaszek SJ, McLennan AK. Framing obesity in UK policy from the Blair years, 1997-2015: the persistence of individualistic approaches despite overwhelming evidence of societal and economic factors, and the need for collective responsibility. Obes Rev. 2016;17(5):397-411. doi:10.1111/obr.12386
- 123. Vassallo S. *Neoliberal Selfhood*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2020. doi:10.1017/9781108769402.
- 124. Ulijaszek S. *Models of Obesity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2017. doi:10.1017/9781316338650.
- Rutter H. The single most important intervention to tackle obesity. Int J Public Health. 2012;57(4):657-658. doi:10.1007/s00038-012-0385-6
- Rutter H, Savona N, Glonti K, et al. The need for a complex systems model of evidence for public health. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10112):2602-2604. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31267-9
- Ruger JP. Health capability: conceptualization and operationalization. Am J Public Health. 2010;100(1):41-49. doi:10.2105/AJPH. 2008.143651
- Schlauch KA, Read RW, Neveux I, Lipp B, Slonim A, Grzymski JJ. The impact of ACEs on BMI: an investigation of the genotypeenvironment effects of BMI. Front Genet. 2022;13:816660. doi:10. 3389/fgene.2022.816660
- Hall KD, Ayuketah A, Brychta R, et al. Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: an inpatient randomized controlled trial of ad libitum food intake. *Cell Metab.* 2019;30(1):67-77. e63. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2019.05.008
- 130. Barcellos SH, Carvalho LS, Turley P. Education can reduce health differences related to genetic risk of obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S a*. 2018;115(42):E9765-E9772. doi:10.1073/pnas.1802909115
- Campbell F, Conti G, Heckman JJ, et al. Early childhood investments substantially boost adult health. *Science*. 2014;343(6178):1478-1485. doi:10.1126/science.1248429

- Ludwig J, Sanbonmatsu L, Gennetian L, et al. Neighborhoods, obesity, and diabetes--a randomized social experiment. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(16):1509-1519. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1103216
- 133. Li JS, Barnett TA, Goodman E, Wasserman RC, Kemper AR, American Heart Association Atherosclerosis, Hypertension and Obesity in the Young Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism. Approaches to the prevention and management of childhood obesity: the role of social networks and the use of social media and related electronic technologies: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2013;127(2):260-267. doi:10.1161/CIR. 0b013e3182756d8e
- Christakis NA, Fowler JH. Social contagion theory: examining dynamic social networks and human behavior. Stat Med. 2013;32(4): 556-577. doi:10.1002/sim.5408
- 135. Wiss DA, Brewerton TD. Adverse childhood experiences and adult obesity: a systematic review of plausible mechanisms and metaanalysis of cross-sectional studies. *Physiol Behav*. 2020;223:112964. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.112964
- Schroeder K, Schuler BR, Kobulsky JM, Sarwer DB. The association between adverse childhood experiences and childhood obesity: a systematic review. Obes Rev. 2021;22(7):e13204. doi:10.1111/obr. 13204
- Cuevas AG, Mann FD, Krueger RF. The weight of childhood adversity: evidence that childhood adversity moderates the impact of genetic risk on waist circumference in adulthood. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2022;46(10):1875-1882. doi:10.1038/s41366-022-01191-3
- 138. Hillier-Brown FC, Bambra CL, Cairns JM, Kasim A, Moore HJ, Summerbell CD. A systematic review of the effectiveness of individual, community and societal-level interventions at reducing socioeconomic inequalities in obesity among adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2014;38(12):1483-1490. doi:10.1038/ijo.2014.75
- 139. Fuller AE, Zaffar N, Cohen E, et al. Cash transfer programs and child health and family economic outcomes: a systematic review. *Can J Public Health*. 2022;113(3):433-445. doi:10.17269/s41997-022-00610-2
- 140. Ayala GX, Monge-Rojas R, King AC, Hunter R, Berge JM. The social environment and childhood obesity: implications for research and practice in the United States and countries in Latin America. Obes Rev. 2021;22(Suppl 3):e13246.
- Bluher M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2019;15(5):288-298. doi:10.1038/s41574-019-0176-8
- 142. Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S, Commission on Social Determinants of H. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9650):1661-1669. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61690-6
- 143. Baum F, MacDougall C, Smith D. Participatory action research. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006;60(10):854-857. doi:10.1136/jech.2004.028662
- 144. Mandoh M, Redfern J, Mihrshahi S, Cheng HL, Phongsavan P, Partridge SR. Shifting from tokenism to meaningful adolescent participation in research for obesity prevention: a systematic scoping review. Front Public Health. 2021;9:789535. doi:10.3389/fpubh. 2021.789535

How to cite this article: Hemmingsson E, Nowicka P, Ulijaszek S, Sørensen TIA. The social origins of obesity within and across generations. *Obesity Reviews*. 2023;24(1):e13514. doi:10.1111/obr.13514