## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Overview

The objective of this document is to deliver, demonstrate and document the first implementation of the C-lyrics software product to the customer. It includes detailed description of the code base management policies, mapping to the project management plan, and mappings to the design document for verification purposes. A startup guide to the deliverable software is also included to guide the customer

#### 1.2. Scope

The intended audience of this document however is for the client and the future maintainers of the code base who will presumably be hired by the client. Ideally, the document should clarify the implementation techniques by making them predictable given that they follow the prior documents on design and management and this is the guiding principle with which the document is drafted. Consequently, some sections will include designs and descriptions taken from these prior documents on design and management.

# 1.3 Definitions and Acronyms

@Please fill in from prior documents, copy pasting not needed as the text in correct format is in Sebastien's computer@

### 2 Verification

# 2.1 System Architecture

The system architecture remains mostly unchanged from that which is described in section 2 of the C-lyrics design document. The frontend and backend are split into different repositories in the Github code base much like their division as separate components in the system architecture diagram in Figure 1 of the design document. The only exception is that LyricFind will not be used as an external API because we were unable to acquire an API key to use the service. This fact simplifies some the data flow as well as UML class and component designs as described in sections 2.2 and 2.3 of this document.

### 2.2 Data Flow

Since LyricFind will not be used as an external API, our original data flow diagram, laid out in Figure 2 of the design document, is different than what we actually built. This issue is detailed in Mark Krant's email on February 28, which can be referenced in full in the appendix. LyricFind was thought to be a quick and easy API call to find all the lyrics for songs of a specified artist, however, it is not a free or reliable service and we did not have the budget to pay for access to its functionality. The solution we came up with is to use a web scraper to get lyrics from LyricsFreak.com. The drawback of this solution is that it takes many seconds to load lyrics depending on the number of songs the queried artist has. The data flow diagram in Figure 2 of the design document will be slightly altered due to the use of the web scraper rather than LyricFind. The EchoNest API will still process requests from the front end as before, but will only retrieve the auto complete suggestions. When an artist name is submitted the request will be sent to the web scraper php file. The php code will then go to each website with the lyrics and retrieve the text, returning the data as a json object.

## 2.3 System Design

## 2.3.1 Server Side Class Diagram

During implementation, the class diagram for the server side php code had to be altered for maximum efficiency. The Echonest\_Client class in Figure 3 of the design document was kept exactly the same, while the EchoNestConnection class was given more functionality. Since the LyricFind aspect of the EchoNest API was not feasible, the Lyrics class was removed and the Autocomplete class was absorbed into EchoNestConnection. A new class called WebScraper was created to handle the web scraping functionality and replaced Lyrics. Now, all of the lyrics are returned using WebScraper, while autocomplete requests go through EchoNestConnection.

#### 2.3.2 Client Side Class Diagram

The client side class diagram in Figure 4 of our design document maps out the relationships between the main components of the application. The MVC pattern was used as a guide to layout the client side. This gave us a better foundation of how the code should be implemented to make the process faster and more compliant with how we planned to create the C-Lyrics system.

## 2.3.3 UML Component Diagram

The components diagram, stated in Figure 5 of our design document, shows the basic functionality of how the C-Lyrics system works, starting with the user and how they will interact with the interfaces and the functions on that page. These diagrams also show how specific functions on these interfaces interact with certain APIs and how all functionality will work with the server. This diagram allows us to map out which functionality needed to be created for the implementation of the C-Lyrics system.

#### 2.3.4 UML Use Case Diagram

The use case diagram in Figure 6 of our design document maps almost perfectly to the functionality of our implementation. All cases, "Submit Search," "Share WC to FB," "Add to Cloud," "Select Word from WC," "Select Song," "Go to Home Page," and "Go to Lyrics Page," allow the user to interact with our system as expected.

#### 2.3.5 UML State Machine Diagram

The states of our implemented system correspond to the states outlined in Figure 7 of the design document. While our code does not use the same boolean variables to traverse across states, the functionality and underlying requirements to switch states remain the same.

#### 2.3.6 UML Sequence Diagram

The sequence of events for our system from Figure 8 of our design document remains unchanged. There is one API call when the user submits a search query and several interactions between the user and the server. The sequence diagram will look a little different for each use case, but the general flow of events remains the same.

## 3 Process Documentation

#### 3.1 Overview of Processes

Based on section 2.2.2, of the PMP, headlined "Staff and Personnel Plan", each member of the development team was originally assigned tasks milestones based on their "prior knowledge" with the technologies being used, such as PHP for example. However, given certain limitations and incidents which will be

described in the following sections, significant but not detrimental deviations from the processes described in the PMP were undertaken. These deviations were naturally taken in order to assure the timely and expected completion of the software implementation, and the completion of milestones as outlined in the PMP.

## 3.2 Code Base Management

The whole code of the project is hosted and managed by the git program as mentioned in section 5.2 of the PMP. Specifically, the project has been divided into three main repositories; the frontend, the backend and a third repository for general purpose. All three of the repositories are hosted on GitHub.com. Milestones for the frontend and backend are kept within each respective repository. Note again that some deviations have occurred as mentioned above, but within the context of this section, the deviations in questions are in the usage of milestones and issue tracking within the Github.com service. Access to the code base will be provided to the client either upon request (during development phase) or upon completion and verification of the final product

## 3.3 Original Milestone Assignments

Below is an excerpt from the PMP with complete descriptions of milestones D-F, these lettering naming conventions will from now on be used to refer to the respective milestones. D-F concern the implementation phase of C-lyrics. A-C concern the design phase which is as of this date completed, and milestones G-I concern the testing and final delivery phase which as of the date of this publication is due on March 11, 2015.

#### • Milestones D-F

- D: Implementation of the Home Page
  - \* D.1: Search bar with autocomplete functionality when typing in an artist's name
  - \* D.2: WC generation with words that can be selected to take the user to the Songs Page
  - \* D.3: Share button to upload the WC to Facebook
  - \* D.4: Add to Cloud button to create a new WC based off of words commonly used by both of the specified artists
- E: Implementation of the Songs Page
  - \* E.1: List of songs sorted by how frequently the selected word is used in each song
  - \* E.2: Song titles in list able to be selected, taking the user to the Lyrics page

- \* E.3: Back to Home button takes the user back to the Home Page with the WC still displayed and the artist's name still in the Search Bar
- F: Implementation of the Lyrics Page
  - \* F.1: Lyrics displayed on page with the selected word highlighted every time it appears in the song
  - \* F.2: Back to Songs button takes the user back to the Songs Page with the same list of songs still displayed in the same order
  - \* F.3: Back to Home button takes the user back to the Home Page with the WC still displayed and the artist's name still in the Search Bar

Deliverables with an asterisk (\*) by the due date indicate that there are risk factors that may alter the completion date. These risk factors include, but are not limited to, changing requirements for each deliverable and the deliverables taking either more or less time than expected. Due dates for each milestone are listed in the schedule in section 4.1 of the PMP, risk factors can be found in section 6 of the PMP titled "Risk Management Plan". Also note that these dates are subject to change by the client and are only tentative (dates are from project schedule given by client, where it is noted that they are subject to change).

To re-iterate the information from the above chart taken from the PMP, and to conform the the following sub sections presentation of changes to the assigned milestones, refer to the below list of milestones and assignees: *D: Justine, Milad* E: Kelsey, Jeff \*F: Mark, Sebastien

#### 3.3 Current Milestone Assignments and Deviations

#### 3.3.1 Milestone Deviations

Changes made to milestone assignees: \* D: Sebastien/Justine \* E: Sebastien/Kelsev \* F: Sebastien

The above changes in assignees were a direct consequence of changes to a team member's expected contribution to the implementation. Milad Gueramian did not have access to the required development tools due to the loss of visual output from his Hewlett Packard laptop computer which was expected for use in development of software covered in milestone D. Consequently, it became necessary to send the machine in for repairs and this team member's role was repurposed, which is explained later in this section.

Added milestones not specifically accounted for in the PMP: \* (New) Backend: Mark \* (New) Documentation: Milad, Jeff

As mentioned before, the PMP states that the development team process relies on a principle of matching member tasks based on his/her level of prior experience.

Through the evolution of our processes, the development team, in an effort to be efficient, relies ever more on this principle. While the time allocation and estimation of milestones D-F were correct at the conception of the PMP, articulation of specific details were not. Therefore, new milestones have since been added to clearly separate and assign tasks to the appropriate team member based on prior experience. Furthermore, the task for the creation of this document was not specifically taken into account in the PMP. Consequently, Jeff Kang and Milad Gueramian-due to the latter's inability to contribute to milestone D-were reassigned to the new Documentation milestone.

#### 3.3.2 Deviations in Project Monitoring Plan

Issue Tracking on Github In section 5.4 of the PMP, it is stated that the Github.com issue tracking system will be used to monitor milestones. Indeed, this is a fitting management and documentation tool since the future maintainers of the code base will want to have access to the development history of the software. The milestones and assignees were not added in this system until very recently and all at once, which is not ideal because there is no smooth timeline documenting events as they occurred. However, section 5.4 also indicates that Google Docs will be used as a means of tracking issues. This is still true and has helped the development team to accurately keep track of issues and milestones and to retroactively add them in the Github issue tracker and progress reporting system. Furthermore, other communication methods described in earlier documents such as a group text messaging thread and a Google email messaging thread have been useful in keeping track of progress. Therefore, the effects of this deviation were not detrimental in making the planned progress.

#### 3.3.3 Deviations Caused By Change in Timeline

The client pushed up the due date for the product implementation deliverable from Wednesday March 4, 2015 to Monday March 2, 2015. This put considerable constraints on the development team in completing the milestones set for the original due date at the time of the new, March 2 due date. Consequently, the development team decided to deviate plans for adding some added advantages and assurances to the client and software. Sphinx as a documentation management tool, outlined in section 5.1 of the PMP, will not be used. The impact of this deviation is that the documentation provided by Sphinx form the source code comments will not be created and therefor not available on the code base in Github.

Testing efforts were also affected by the constrained timeline. The tests were not as inclusive as was previously planned. Because of the earlier due date, quality assurance is hampered because we did not have the necessary time to achieve full coverage of test cases. As stated in section 5.3 of the PMP on software quality assurance, we wanted to adopt the Test Driven Development Methodology

because, "this aims to provide an additional measure for the advancement of the project as well as an assurance on its quality," the shorter deadline did not allow for this to happen. As a result, JSCoverage was not used because our tests were not as strong as we expected.

### 3.3.4 Quality Assurance

Travis CI was used in conjunction with Github allowing continuous integration along the process of the limited testing which we did perform. These limited tests were very simple however. PHP\_Unit was also used as a code coverage tool as reported in section 5.3 (Quality Assurance Plan section) of the PMP. Refer to the Appendix 6.1.2 for updates on milestone from the Github issue tracker that was used, as stated in the management plan, as a means to track progress.

Our custom progress metric and measurement system as described in the PMP was deployed with success. Each group member of the development team rated the progress of other members on assigned milestones and the totals were averaged as described in the PMP. These are internal controls that were recorded on the whiteboard of the room used for weekly (sometimes bi-weekly) group meetings. Progress was effectively tracked so the development team was aware of overall performance.

Additionally, progress of the individuals who coded the program was measured by assigning primary and secondary coders. The primary was the individual assigned to implement a function, and the secondary was the coder who double checked their work. This was a measure of how efficiency of implementation was accounted for to make sure the C-Lyrics system would work.

# 4 Risk Monitoring Plan Adherence

The risks identified in section 6.1 of the PMP helped prepare the development team for the event that a team member becomes incapable of working. A team member did not have access to his laptop and development software and environments due to a broken screen. This caused the team to change and re-assign some milestones as noted in the deviations sections above. The changes were tolerable because this risk had been accounted for in Contingency Plan #4 of the PMP. Contingency plan #4 also helped in creating some new milestones and re-assigning others because the earlier estimations in the PMP were inaccurate in that the work was not spread out efficiently and the documentation process for the project was not taken into account as separate milestones. These "new and re-assigned milestones" are described in section 3 of this document outlining deviations from milestones and listing current milestones.

Furthermore, we accounted for the risk of not having a complete implementation by the due date. We resorted to Contingency Plan #6 in our first submission

of the product since some parts were not implemented. Refer to Appendix 6.1.2 to see the functionality that is missing and how this issue was tracked and maintained by the development team.

## 5 Startup Instructions

- Open the virtual machine image provided in the submission.
- Execute the command "sudo /opt/lampp/lampp start" (without the quotation marks) and open your favorite browser to the following address: http://localhost/dist
- Hopefully the website should appear. Please note that the application, especially the communication to the server, might feel a bit sluggish. This is probably due to latency problems between the machine and the API, however such problems should disappear as the application is deployed to a production server.

## 6. Appendices

## 6.1 Issue Tracking And Progress Reporting

#### 6.1.1 Gmail Communications

From: Sebastien Arnold, February 28, 2015

So, I just pushed some code on the organization repo. (https://github.com/C-Lyrics/frontend) It is not fully working yet, and there are still a few things to implement, but we are in good shape. From what I understood, Mark has been making some progress as well on the PHP, but we have troubles getting the actual lyrics for a given song, as well as performance issues.

Performance should not be that much of a problem, as we can always speed things up. Regarding the songs issue, I found two unofficial APIs for RapGenius: http://blog.edforson.me/introducing-genius-api-rapgenius-api-as-a-service/ and more complete, but more difficult to understand: http://api.genius.com/search?q=the+recipe

It would be very good if we could have the backend online as well, on the repo of the organization. So that we can have a look at how we want to integrate both parts of the application. You should all be invited to join, if you were not shoot me an email.

Also, someone should begin to take of the document we have to submit for the implementation. Milad, I suggest you do it, that will be easier for you than to code stuff, as you don't have your usual tools for coding.

From Mark Krant, February 28, 2015 Just committed the back end. It works, but to an extent. Since I could not find a free lyrics API, I used a webscraper framework to go to a page for each song by an artist and get the lyrics from there. Only problem is that it takes way too long, and Sebastien said it can be done using asynchronous calls, but I still need to look into that more because I have no idea how to do that. A band with like 15-20 songs might take 10-15 seconds to load, but 150+ songs will cause it to time-out (it times out after 120 seconds). If anyone has suggestions / knows how to do it feel free to edit the code. It is under /backend/templates/getSongs.php

Also i did not do error checking for the back end yet. so if you try to make a word cloud with an artist name "sdfgh" then it will blow up. it's a quick fix so ill do it soon

#### 6.1.2 Milestone Tracking

Below are the two issues relating to unimplemented functions from the first product submission.