# **Intelligent Systems**

Escuela Técnica Superior de Informática Universitat Politècnica de València

Block 2 Chapter 1
Probabilistic Reasoning

#### Index

- 1 Introduction: Uncertainty and probability ≥ 2
- 2 Probability theory ⊳ 5
- 3 Probabilistic reasoning: inference ▷ 15
- 4 Uncertainty and optimal decisions ▷ 19
- 5 Bibliography ▷ 23

### Index

- 1 Introduction: Uncertainty and probability ▷ 2
  - 2 Probability theory ⊳ 5
  - 3 Probabilistic reasoning: inference ▷ 15
  - 4 Uncertainty and optimal decisions ▷ 19
  - 5 Bibliography ▷ 23

## **Uncertainty**

Let  $A_t$  be the consequent (action) of a rule:

 $A_t =$  leaving home for the airport t minutes before the flight departure

Let t = 25. Key question: Will I get on time with  $A_{25}$ ?

#### Problems:

- partial observability (road conditions, other drivers' plans, etc.)
- imprecise information on the traffic conditions
- other uncertainties (unexpected conditions) such that the car doesn't break or runs out of gas
- huge complexity to model and predict the traffic conditions

Rule-based reasoning (logic) presents two limitations:

- **possible falsity:** (will I get on time with  $A_{25}$  in all cases?)
- conclusions need to consider a lot of factors: (I'll be on time with  $A_{25}$  if there is no car accident AND it does not rain AND I don't get a flat tyre AND . . . ).

Other plans, such as  $A_{1440}$ , might increase the belief that that I will get to the airport on time, but also increase the likelihood of a very long wait!

## **Approaches to uncertainty**

Historically, many approaches to uncertainty:

- non-monotonic logic [1]
- certainty factors in RBS (e.g.: MYCIN expert system [2])
- fuzzy logic (fuzzy sets) [3]
- methods based on the probability theory [4,5]

In 1931, Finetti proved the following statement [5, p. 489-490]:

If an agent [broker] A expresses a set of degrees of belief [makes investments] that violate the axioms of probability theory then there is a combination of bets by another agent B that guarantees that A will lose [money] every time.

Currently, *probabilistic methods* prevail as the general framework to represent uncertainty. These methods enable to:

- adequately and consistently model and combine:
  - the inaccuracy or vagueness of a priori knowledge
  - the imprecision of facts, observations or data
- Automated learning of the representation models

### Index

- 1 Introduction: Uncertainty and probability ≥ 2
- 2 Probability theory ▷ 5
  - 3 Probabilistic reasoning: inference ▷ 15
  - 4 Uncertainty and optimal decisions ▷ 19
  - 5 Bibliography ▷ 23

## Sample space and probability space

Let  $\Omega$  be a set named *sample space* (set of all possible worlds) Example: the 6 possible outcomes (worlds) when we roll a dice,  $\Omega = \{t \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le t \le 6\}$ 

The possible worlds of  $\Omega$  are **mutually exclusive** and **exhaustive**.

One item  $\omega \in \Omega$  is called *simple event*, *world*, or simply *sample*.

*Probability model or probabilistic space* is a sample space along with a function  $P:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  that assigns a real number to each  $\omega\in\Omega$  such that:

$$0 \le P(\omega) \le 1;$$
  $\sum_{\omega} P(\omega) = 1$ 

Example: P(1) = P(2) = P(3) = P(4) = P(5) = P(6) = 1/6,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{6} P(t) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = 1$$

### Events, random variables and probability distribution

An *event* A is a subset of possible worlds of  $\Omega$ ; its probability is:

$$P(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{\omega \in A} P(\omega)$$

Example: P(1 < t < 4) = P(2) + P(3) = 1/6 + 1/6 = 1/3

A *random variable* is a function that maps the sample space to a domain; for instance the boolean domain ( $\mathbb{B}$ ) (boolean random variable).

Example: the function *odd* (O).  $O: \Omega \to \mathbb{B}$ ;  $O(5) = \mathbf{true}$ ,  $O(2) = \mathbf{false}$ .

If X is a random variable, "(X = x)" denotes the event:

$$(X = x) \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = x\}$$

Given a random variable X, P induces a *probability distribution*:

$$P(X = x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\omega \in (X = x)} P(\omega)$$

Example: P(O = true) = P(1) + P(3) + P(5) = 1/6 + 1/6 + 1/6 = 1/2

### **Events, random variables and propositions**

A (logic) proposition is interpreted as an event (subset of possible worlds) in which the proposition is true.

Given two boolean random variables A and B:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{event} & a & \equiv & \{\omega \in \Omega : A(\omega) = \mathbf{true}\} \\ \\ \text{event} & \neg a & \equiv & \{\omega \in \Omega : A(\omega) = \mathbf{false}\} \\ \\ \text{event} & \neg a \wedge b & \equiv & \{\omega \in \Omega : A(\omega) = \mathbf{false} \wedge B(\omega) = \mathbf{true}\} \end{array}$$

When using boolean variables, the set of possible worlds are just those worlds in which the proposition holds (propositional logic). Example:

$$A =$$
true,  $B =$ false,  $a \land \neg b, \dots$ 

Simplification of the notation (whenever the semantics is clear):

$$P(A = \mathbf{true}) \to P(a), \qquad P(A = \mathbf{false}) \to P(\neg a),$$
  $P(X = x) \to P(x)$ 

## **Probability axioms**

Various axiomatic formulation to the Probability theory have been proposed. For example, the Kolmogorov's axioms:

$$0 \le P(\omega) \le 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\omega) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$P(a \lor b) = P(a) + P(b) - P(a \land b) \tag{3}$$

We can build up the rest of probability theory from this simple foundation:

*Exercise:* prove that  $P(\neg a) = 1 - P(a)$ 

As commented above, any agent whose set of degrees of belief (rating system) violates the axioms (1-3) will fall into contradictions obtaining undesirable practical results.

### Unconditional, joint and conditional probability

*Unconditional or prior probability* of a random variable X:

$$P(X = x) \equiv P(x) : \sum_{x} P(x) = 1$$

*Joint probability* of two random variables X, Y:

$$P(X = x; Y = y) \equiv P(x, y) : \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(x, y) = 1$$

Conditional probability:

$$P(X = x \mid Y = y) \equiv P(x \mid y) : \sum_{x} P(x \mid y) = 1 \quad \forall y$$

## Random variable and unconditional probability: examples

Sample space: road trips  $(\Omega)$ . Elements to consider:

- Weather (W): clear (CLE), cloudy (CLO), rainy (RAI)
- Daylight (D): day (DAY), night (NIG)
- Safety (S): safe trip (SAF), accident (ACC)

DSIC – UPV: October, 2016 Página Block 2 Chapter 1.11

### Random variable and unconditional probability: examples

Sample space: road trips  $(\Omega)$ . Elements to consider:

- Weather (W): clear (CLE), cloudy (CLO), rainy (RAI)
- Daylight (D): day (DAY), night (NIG)
- Safety (S): safe trip (SAF), accident (ACC)

#### Random variables:

```
W\colon \Omega \to \{\text{cle,clo,rai}\}, \quad D\colon \Omega \to \{\text{day,nig}\}, \quad S\colon \Omega \to \{\text{saf,acc}\}.
```

Example:  $(D = \text{DAY}) \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : D(\omega) = \text{DAY}\} \rightarrow \text{daytime trips} \dots$ 

DSIC - UPV: October, 2016

## Random variable and unconditional probability: examples

Sample space: road trips  $(\Omega)$ . Elements to consider:

- Weather (W): clear (CLE), cloudy (CLO), rainy (RAI)
- Daylight (D): day (DAY), night (NIG)
- Safety (S): safe trip (SAF), accident (ACC)

#### Random variables:

 $W\colon \Omega \to \{\mathrm{CLE},\mathrm{CLO},\mathrm{RAI}\}, \quad D\colon \Omega \to \{\mathrm{DAY},\mathrm{NIG}\}, \quad S\colon \Omega \to \{\mathrm{SAF},\mathrm{ACC}\}.$ 

Example:  $(D = \text{DAY}) \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : D(\omega) = \text{DAY}\} \rightarrow \text{daytime trips} \dots$ 

Unconditional (prior) probabilities.

**Examples:** P(D = DAY) = 0.62, P(D = NIG) = 0.38

## Random variable and unconditional probability: examples

Sample space: road trips  $(\Omega)$ . Elements to consider:

- Weather (W): clear (CLE), cloudy (CLO), rainy (RAI)
- Daylight (D): day (DAY), night (NIG)
- Safety (S): safe trip (SAF), accident (ACC)

#### Random variables:

$$W\colon \Omega \to \{\mathrm{CLE},\mathrm{CLO},\mathrm{RAI}\}, \quad D\colon \Omega \to \{\mathrm{DAY},\mathrm{NIG}\}, \quad S\colon \Omega \to \{\mathrm{SAF},\mathrm{ACC}\}.$$

Example:  $(D = \text{DAY}) \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : D(\omega) = \text{DAY}\} \rightarrow \text{daytime trips} \dots$ 

### Unconditional (prior) probabilities.

**Examples:** 
$$P(D = \text{DAY}) = 0.62$$
,  $P(D = \text{NIG}) = 0.38$ 

#### More examples:

## Joint probability: examples

Joint probabilities. Example:

Probability of a trip under the rain and at night  $\rightarrow P(W=\text{RAI},\ D=\text{NIG})=0.11$ 

Probability of a trip on a clear day and with no accident  $\to P(W = \mathtt{cle}, S = \mathtt{saf}) = 0.43$ 

## Joint probability: examples

#### Joint probabilities. Example:

Probability of a trip under the rain and at night  $\rightarrow P(W = RAI, D = NIG) = 0.11$ 

Probability of a trip on a clear day and with no accident  $\rightarrow P(W=\text{cle},S=\text{saf})=0.43$ 

#### More examples \*:

	DAY						
P(s, w, d)	CLE	CLO	RAI	CLE	CLO	RAI	
SAF	0.30	0.20	0.07 0.03	0.13	0.10	0.06	
ACC	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	
							$\sum = 1$

<sup>\*</sup> Probabilities are invented but they are not arbitrary. The values on these tables and the ones in the previous and next page are related. See exercise in page 18.

### Conditional probability: examples

Probability of accident

Probability of *no* accident

given a night trip:  $P(S = \text{Acc} \mid D = \text{Nig}) = 0.24$ 

given a night trip:  $P(S = \text{SAF} \mid D = \text{NIG}) = 0.76$ 

given a rainy day:  $P(D = \text{DAY} \mid W = \text{RAI}) = 0.48$ 

given a rainy day:  $P(D = \text{NIG} \mid W = \text{RAI}) = 0.52$ 

Probability of daytime trip
Probability of a night trip

### **Conditional probability: examples**

Probability of accident Probability of *no* accident

given a night trip:  $P(S = \text{Acc} \mid D = \text{NIG}) = 0.24$ given a night trip:  $P(S = \text{SAF} \mid D = \text{NIG}) = 0.76$ 

Probability of daytime trip
Probability of a night trip

given a rainy day:  $P(D=\text{DAY}\mid W=\text{RAI})=0.48$  given a rainy day:  $P(D=\text{NIG}\mid W=\text{RAI})=0.52$ 

### More examples:

$P(s \mid w)$			
SAF	0.93	0.91	0.62
ACC	0.93 0.07	0.09	0.38
$\sum$	1.00	1.00	1.00

$P(s \mid d)$	DAY	NIG
SAF	0.92	0.76
ACC	0.08	0.24
$\sum$	1.00	1.00

$P(d \mid w)$	1		
DAY	0.67	0.64 0.36	0.48
NIG	0.33	0.36	0.52
$\sum$	1.00	1.00	1.00

### Conditional probability: examples

Probability of accident Probability of *no* accident given a night trip:  $P(S = \text{Acc} \mid D = \text{Nig}) = 0.24$ *given* a night trip:  $P(S = \text{SAF} \mid D = \text{NIG}) = 0.76$ 

Probability of daytime trip Probability of a night trip

given a rainy day:  $P(D = \text{DAY} \mid W = \text{RAI}) = 0.48$ given a rainy day:  $P(D = \text{NIG} \mid W = \text{RAI}) = 0.52$ 

### More examples:

$P(s \mid w)$			
SAF	0.93	0.91 0.09	0.62
ACC	0.07	0.09	0.38
$\sum$	1.00	1.00	1.00

$P(s \mid d)$	DAY	NIG
SAF	0.92	0.76
ACC	0.08	0.24
$\sum$	1.00	1.00

$P(d \mid w)$			
DAY	0.67	0.64	0.48
NIG	0.33	0.64 0.36	0.52
$\sum$	1.00	1.00	1.00

### More examples:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} P(d \mid s) & {\sf DAY} & {\sf NIG} & \sum \\ & {\sf SAF} & 0.66 & 0.34 & 1.0 \\ & {\sf ACC} & 0.36 & 0.64 & 1.0 \\ \end{array}$$

$P(w \mid$					
DAY	7	0.50 0.39	0.34	0.16	1.0
NIG		0.39	0.32	0.29	1.0

## Continuous random variables: probability density function

If X is a random variable in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $P(X = x) \equiv 0 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Probability density function: 
$$p(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{P(x \le X \le x + \Delta x)}{\Delta x}$$

In general, 
$$p(x) \in [0, \infty[$$
, although:  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x) dx = 1$ 

All of the previous formulation for discrete variables applies to continuous variables replacing  $\sum$  by  $\int$ .

*Example*: for the *joint probability* P(x, y):

if 
$$Y$$
 is continuous:  $\sum_{x} \int_{y} p(x,y) \, dy = 1$ ; if  $X, Y$  continuous:  $\int_{x} \int_{y} p(x,y) \, dx \, dy = 1$ 

*Example*: For the *conditional probability*  $P(x \mid y)$ , if X is continuous:

$$\int_{x} p(x \mid y) \, dx = 1 \quad \forall y$$

### Index

- 1 Introduction: Uncertainty and probability ≥ 2
- 2 Probability theory ⊳ 5
- 3 Probabilistic reasoning: inference ▷ 15
  - 4 Uncertainty and optimal decisions ▷ 19
  - 5 Bibliography ▷ 23

### Marginal, chain rule and Bayes' rule

The unconditional (or marginal) probability P(x) is the *marginalization* of the joint probability P(x,y):

$$P(x) = \sum_{y} P(x,y)$$
, equivalently  $P(y) = \sum_{x} P(x,y)$ 

The joint probability is related to the conditional and unconditional probabilities (*product rule*):

$$P(x,y) = P(x)P(y \mid x) = P(y)P(x \mid y)$$

Chain rule:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n P(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

Bayes' rule:

$$P(x \mid y) = \frac{P(y \mid x)P(x)}{P(y)} = \frac{P(x,y)}{P(y)} = \frac{P(x)P(y \mid x)}{\sum_{x'} P(x')P(y \mid x')}$$

### Inference: examples

In the example of page 11, we can infer the unconditional probabilities through marginalization of the joint probabilities in page 12. For example:

$$P(W = \mathtt{CLE}) = \sum_{s \in \{\mathtt{SAF,ACC}\}} P(W = \mathtt{CLE}, \, S = s) = \sum_{d \in \{\mathtt{DAY,NIG}\}} P(W = \mathtt{CLE}, \, D = d) = 0.46$$

$$P(S = \mathsf{ACC}) = \sum_{w \in \{\mathsf{CLE}, \mathsf{CLO}, \mathsf{RAI}\}} P(W = w, \, S = \mathsf{ACC}) = \sum_{d \in \{\mathsf{DAY}, \mathsf{NIG}\}} P(D = d, \, S = \mathsf{ACC}) = 0.14$$

We can infer the conditional probabilities of page 13 through the application of the Bayes' rule. For example:

$$P(S=\text{acc}\mid D=\text{NIG}) \ = \ \frac{P(S=\text{acc},D=\text{NIG})}{P(D=\text{NIG})} \ = \ 0.24$$

$$P(D=\text{day}\mid W=\text{rai}) \ = \ \frac{P(W=\text{rai},D=\text{day})}{P(W=\text{rai})} \ = \ 0.48$$

### **Exercise**

The last table in page 12 contains the values of the joint probability P(s, w, d) of the example in page 11.

#### Calculate:

- $lacksquare P(S = \mathsf{ACC}), \ P(w) \ \forall w \in \{\mathsf{CLE},\mathsf{CLO},\mathsf{RAI}\}$
- $P(w,s) \ \forall w \in \{\text{CLE,CLO,RAI}\}, \ s \in \{\text{SAF,ACC}\};$
- $\blacksquare P(s \mid w) \ \forall s \in \{\text{SAF,ACC}\}, \ w = \text{CLO}\}$

Check that the results are the same as the ones shown in pages 11, 12 and 13.

Calculate  $\forall s \in \{\text{saf,acc}\}$ :

- $P(S = s \mid W = \text{RAI}, L = \text{NIG})$
- $P(S=s \mid W = \mathsf{CLE}, L = \mathsf{DAY})$

### Index

- 1 Introduction: Uncertainty and probability ≥ 2
- 2 Probability theory ⊳ 5
- 3 Probabilistic reasoning: inference ▷ 15
- 4 Uncertainty and optimal decisions > 19
  - 5 Bibliography ▷ 23

### **Uncertainty and optimal decisions: Decision theory**

In the 'airport' example of page 3, let's assume that:

$$P(A_{25} \ \text{will allow me to get on time} \ | \ \ldots) = 0.04$$
  $P(A_{90} \ \text{will allow me to get on time} \ | \ \ldots) = 0.70$   $P(A_{120} \ \text{will allow me to get on time} \ | \ \ldots) = 0.95$   $P(A_{1440} \ \text{will allow me to get on time} \ | \ \ldots) = 0.999$ 

Which actions do we choose?

It depends on our *preferences* on the possibility of missing the flight over enjoying the airport shops or a nice restaurant at the airport, etc.

The *Utility theory* can be used to represent and infer preferences or the cost of the undesirable effects of the decisions

Statistical decision theory

## **Decision theory: minimizing the error risk**

Simplification: decisions can only be "right" o "wrong" and the costs are 0 and 1, respectively.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  be a *fact* or *data* and let  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  be a *decision* for x.

Probability of error if we take decision d:

$$P_d(\text{error} \mid x) = 1 - P(d \mid x)$$

Minimum probability of error:

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}: P_{\star}(\text{error} \mid x) = \min_{d \in \mathcal{D}} P_d(\text{error} \mid x) = 1 - \max_{d \in \mathcal{D}} P(d \mid x)$$

That is, for each x, the minimum probability of error is obtained if we take the decision with the highest (maximum) conditional (posterior) probability.

Minimum average probability of error:

$$P_{\star}(\text{error}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{\star}(\text{error} \mid x) P(x)$$

Bayes decision rule for minimizing the probability of error (error risk):

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}: \ d^{\star}(x) = \underset{d \in \mathcal{D}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(d \mid x)$$

## **Exercise (to do in class)**

A classical decision problem is to classify *Iris* flowers into three classes: setosa, versicolor and virgínica, on the basis of their petal and sepal sizes (x).

Using the histograms of petal surface of a sample of 50 flowers of each class, and normalizing the values, we get the following estimate of distribution of the petal size for each class (c):

notal cizac in am<sup>2</sup>

		pelai sizes iii ciii										
$P(x \mid c)$	)   <1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	>10
SETO	0.90	0.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VERS	0	0	0	0.20	0.30	0.32	0.12	0.06	0	0	0	0
VIRG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	0.12	0.24	0.14	0.20	0.22

Assuming that the three classes have the same probability, calculate:

- a) The conditional (posterior) probabilities  $P(c \mid x), c \in \{SETO, VERS, VIRG\}$ , for a flower whose petal size is  $x = 7 \, \mathrm{cm}^2$
- b) The decision of optimal classification for this flower and the probability of taking a wrong decision.
- c) The best decision and probability of error for petals  $1, 2, \ldots, 10$  cm<sup>2</sup>
- d) The minimum probability of error for any iris flower; that is,  $P_{\star}(\text{error})$
- e) Repeat the same calculations assuming that the prior probabilities are:  $P(\text{SETO}) = 0.3, \ P(\text{VERS}) = 0.5, \ P(\text{VIRG}) = 0.2$

Algunas soluciones: a) 0.0, 0.33, 0.67; b) VIRG, 0.33; d) 0.05 (5%) e.a) 0.0, 0.55, 0.44; e.b) VERS, 0.44; e.d) 0.04 (4%)

### Index

- 1 Introduction: Uncertainty and probability ≥ 2
- 2 Probability theory ⊳ 5
- 3 Probabilistic reasoning: inference ▷ 15
- 4 Uncertainty and optimal decisions ▷ 19
- 5 Bibliography ▷ 23

## **Bibliography**

- [1] A.N. Abdallah. The Logic of Partial Information. Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [2] B.G. Buchanan, E.H. Shortliffe (editires): Rule-Based Expert Systems: The MYCIN Experiments of the Stanford Heuristic Programming Project. Addison Wesley, 1984. (También en http://aitopics.net/RuleBasedExpertSystems).
- [3] J.F. Baldwin. Fuzzy sets and expert systems. Wiley, 1985.
- [4] R.O. Duda, D.G. Stork, P.E. Hart. Pattern Classification. Wiley, 2001.
- [5] S. Russell, P. Norvig. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Pearson, third edition, 2010.

The material of this chapter is basically taken from [5].