

# 1st meeting preparation

*julien*

*6 Jan 2016*

## Ideas

Goal not to complain, but to build the best peer review system possible.

Propositions:

1. Independent of manuscript depositions
  - Pre- and Post- publication review possible
  - Focus on one problem: peer review
  - No need of new manuscript in the system to start (all DOI objects are peer-reviewable directly)
2. We deal first with the ideal system, we will determine the way to go there later
  - We do not care about scientist's habit
  - We do not think about business models
  - We do not look at what exists
3. Goal of peer review
  - constructive to improve text/interpretation quality
  - check for appropriate methodology
  - check factual accuracy
  - correct spelling/grammar
  - set novelty and importance ?
  - detect fraud?
4. ~~List of problems - Expensive - Slow - binary - not verifiable because closed - biased (institution, gender,...)~~

## Participants

Name	ID	remarks
Alejandro	185600134	
Alia	128940562	
Andreas Röhler	68839072	
Cherian Mathew	1.03e+08	
Felix Evert	182591233	
Heinrich Meet	162142672	
Hugo Martins	191388647	
Jon Tennant	43623952	
Juan-Andres	12305095	
Julien Colomb	44407932	
Michal Kupper	13408197	
Pedro Silva	185479611	
Rene Bernard	196779776	

Name	ID	remarks
Stephanie Dawson	176067272	
Stephen Cunningham	190770196	
Teresa	55191922	
Vladislav	191217387	

## Notes