

CMSI 370-01
INTERACTION DESIGN
Fall 2012

Assignment 0918 Feedback

Joe Crawley

(you didn't really have an individually distinct report from Terran's, as instructed in the assignment; that also generally weakened my evaluation of your submission)

1b — Your writeups demonstrate an understanding of the metrics involved in this assignment quite well. (+)

1c — Your guidelines writeup starts out in the right direction, but ultimately falls short. You have a good source with clear rules, and you have screenshots for one site, *match.com*, and you draw a conclusion that this site rates best overall. However, that conclusion is weakened because you don't show supporting examples from the other sites (presumably those would show weaker compliance with the *usability.gov* guidelines, yes?). Plus, you just quote the guideline and show a screenshot, which actually includes a lot more elements than just what the guideline states. So, this part needs more discussion and explanation than what was already there. (/)

2a — Your sense of how users approached or modeled the two systems is explored and explained well. (+)

2b — Although the recording and reporting of usability metrics results was clear, the conclusion does not make any specific prioritization of which metric is most important. Remember that one context within which you may be doing this "for real" is to make a decision on which user interface to implement. A decision like this leans heavily on your usability metric prioritization. (/)

2c — Your interface decision was made somewhat easier by the general dominance of *match.com* in the metrics, and you do come out and say this fairly clearly. What's missing is your view of how this correlates with guidelines compliance. It sounds like you feel that *match.com* does also comply with the *usability.gov* guidelines better than the others, but there is not much evidence for this in that section of the document. (/)

4d — Resource and documentation use is well-demonstrated. (+)

4e — Commit frequency is, well, *once*. I know that this isn't a coding assignment and therefore lends itself to fewer versioning milestones, but at the same time you probably still worked on this submission in more than one stage. So that needs to improve. The lone commit message that you have seems fairly descriptive, but I will need to see more in order to make a better call. (/)

4f — Submitted on time. (+)