

MODULE NAME:	MODULE CODE:
PROGRAMMING 3B	PROG7312
ADVANCED APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT	AAPD7112/w

**ASSESSMENT TYPE: POE (PAPER)** 

**TOTAL MARK ALLOCATION: 300 MARKS** 

TOTAL HOURS: A minimum of 45 HOURS is suggested to complete this assessment.

By submitting this assignment, you acknowledge that you have read and understood all the rules as per the terms in the registration contract, in particular the assignment and assessment rules in The IIE Assessment Strategy and Policy (IIE009), the intellectual integrity and plagiarism rules in the Intellectual Integrity and Property Rights Policy (IIE023), as well as any rules and regulations published in the student portal.

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1. No material may be copied from original sources, even if referenced correctly, unless it is a direct quote indicated with quotation marks. No more than 10% of the assignment may consist of direct quotes.
- 2. Please ensure that you submit your assignment through Turnitin. Please make sure you attach a similarity report to your POE if you are required to submit a hard copy of your PoE.
- 3. Make a copy of your assignment before handing it in.
- 4. Assignments must be typed unless otherwise specified.
- 5. Begin each section on a new page.
- 6. Follow all instructions on the PoE cover sheet.
- 7. This is an individual assignment.

#### **Referencing Rubric**

Providing evidence based on valid and referenced academic sources is a fundamental educational principle and the cornerstone of high-quality academic work. Part of achieving this quality is referencing in a way that is consistent and congruent with the requirements of the referencing style being used.

Therefore, inconsistent and/or incongruent referencing will result in a penalty of <u>a maximum of ten percent</u> being deducted from the overall percentage awarded to your assessment submission.

Please note that evidence of plagiarism in the form of copied or unreferenced work, absent reference lists, or exceptionally poor referencing may result in action being taken in accordance with The IIE's Intellectual Integrity and Property Rights Policy (IIEO23). Similarly, evidence of excessive AI usage may result in action being taken in accordance with The IIE's Student Conduct, Discipline and Safety Policy (IIEO15).

Markers are required to provide feedback to students by circling/underlining the information in the table below that best describes the student's work <a href="mailto:and-by-adding-constructive-commentary-where-appropriate">and-by-adding-constructive-commentary-where-appropriate</a>. The examples provided are not exhaustive but illustrate the errors.

#### **Deductions**

- Where the student's work contains five or more errors aligned to the minor errors column below, <u>deduct 5% from the overall percentage</u>.
- Where the student's work contains five or more errors aligned to the major errors column below, <u>deduct 10% from the overall percentage</u>.
- Where both minor and major errors (e.g. two minor and three major, etc.) are present, deduct 10% only (and not 5% or 15%) from the overall percentage.

Required: Consistent and congruent referencing	Minor errors  Deduct 5% from overall percentage.  Example: if the response receives 70%, deduct 5%. The final mark is 65%.	Major errors  Deduct 10% from the overall percentage.  Example: if the response receives 70%, deduct 10%. The final mark is 60%.
Consistency	Minor inconsistencies:	Major inconsistencies:
The correct referencing style for the discipline – i.e., either Harvard, OR APA (for Psychology), OR Law, OR IEEE (for ICT/Engineering) – has	The referencing style used is generally consistent with what is required, but there are one or two changes/errors in the format of in-text referencing and/or in the bibliography/reference list.	Poor and wholly inconsistent referencing style use in-text and/or in the bibliography/reference list.      Multiple referencing styles for the same source types have been used.
been used consistently for all in-text references and in the bibliography/reference list.	<ul> <li>For example, page numbers for direct quotes in-text have been provided for one source, but not in another.</li> <li>Or, two book chapters in the bibliography/reference list have been referenced in two different formats. Or,</li> </ul>	For example, the format for direct quotes in-text and/or book chapters in the bibliography/referenc list and/or year of publication in the
Concepts and ideas that are quoted and/or paraphrased are referenced consistently	the publication year has been placed after the author name in one bibliography/reference list entry, and after the source title in another, etc.	bibliography/reference list is different across multiple instances.
throughout.  Position of the in-text reference:	Concepts and ideas in quotes and/or paraphrases are typically referenced, but a full in-text reference is	Concepts and ideas in quotes and/or paraphrases are haphazardly referenced in-text.
an in-text reference is positioned consistently where appropriate for every quote	missing or incomplete from one or two small sections of the work.	Position of the references: in-text references are only given at the beginning or end of large sections of work.
and paraphrase.	Position of the references: in-text references are only given at the beginning and/or end of every paragraph.	

#### Congruency

- Each source reflected within in-text references is included accurately in the bibliography/reference list.
- All bibliography/reference list entries are in the required order for the referencing style used (e.g. alphabetical, alphabetical under subheadings, numerical).
- All direct quotes and paraphrases have been integrated appropriately into the text using introductory phrases, accurate grammar,
  otc.

#### Minor incongruences:

- There is largely a match between the sources presented in-text and those in the bibliography/reference list, but one or two sources that appear in-text do not appear in the bibliography/reference list, or vice versa. Or key source information is missing from one or two in-text references or bibliography/reference list entries only (e.g. publication year, city of publication, URL date accessed, etc.).
- There is a clear and largely accurate ordering of sources in the bibliography/reference list as required by the referencing style used, but with one or two references out of order.
- An attempt has been made for source integration into the text using appropriate introductory phrases and grammar, but one or two quotes or paraphrases do not flow as clearly or logically within the sentence structure as they could.

#### Major incongruences:

- No relationship/several incongruencies between the in-text referencing and the bibliography/reference list.
- For example, multiple sources are included in-text, but not in the bibliography, and/or vice versa. Key source information is missing from multiple in-text references and/or reference list entries. A URL link, rather than the actual reference, is provided in the bibliography. Sources are repeated in the reference list, etc.
- Most sources are listed in a haphazard order throughout the bibliography/reference list.
- Few to no appropriate introductory phrases or rules of grammar have been applied, and many direct quotes and/or paraphrases feel disconnected from the flow of the text.

Feedback on referencing congruency:

Overall feedback on referencing, with suggested improvements:

# Portfolio of Evidence (PoE) — Background

In the PoE project, you will develop a C# .NET Framework software application to streamline municipal services in South Africa. The application aims to provide an efficient and user-friendly platform for citizens to access and request various municipal services.

#### Scenario:

A South African municipality is seeking to improve citizen engagement and service delivery through the implementation of a comprehensive municipal services application. The application should enable residents to:

- Report issues and request services.
- Access information about local events and announcements.
- Receive updates on the status of their service requests.

#### **Note to Students:**

Ensure that your application is fully functional and meets all outlined specifications. Additionally, consider the objectives outlined above as key indicators of the success of your Municipal Services Application. Aim to create a user-centric experience that adds value to the lives of citizens in your municipality.

#### Instructions

Complete the parts below to provide the required software. A list of items to be submitted for each part is specified – make sure you submit everything required!

Part 1 — Municipal Services Application for South Africa (Report Issues) (Marks: 100)

Learning Units: LU1 – LU2

This part has two tasks – Research (20 marks) and Implementation (80 marks).

Task 1: RESEARCH (20 Marks)

The municipality is interested in incorporating user engagement features into the application. Conduct online research on user engagement strategies suitable for a municipal services application, especially in the South African context. Refer to the following article to start your research:

Hart, Tim G. B., et al. "Innovation for Development in South Africa: Experiences with Basic Service Technologies in Distressed Municipalities." Forum for Development Studies, vol. 47, no. 1, 20 Aug. 2019,pp. 2347.

Hart etal FDS 2020 Innovation for development in South Africa experiences with basic ser vice.pdf. [Accessed 20 February 2025]

In a Word document:

- List five user engagement strategies considered during your research.
- Provide a 500-word explanation of the chosen user engagement strategy and justify why it
  was selected. The line spacing on the page should be 1.5. The font should be Times New
  Roman or Arial fonts. This should be at an 11 or 12-point size for readability.

Remember to reference the sources used.

**Note:** If the explanation exceeds 500 words, any content beyond this point will not be marked.

#### Task 2: IMPLEMENTATION (.NET Framework Window Application)

(80 Marks)

The municipality requires a C# software application to facilitate citizen reporting of issues and service requests. The application should be user-friendly and provide a seamless experience for residents to engage with municipal services.

#### Requirements:

- 1. On startup, the application shall present the user with three tasks:
  - a. Report Issues (to be implemented).
  - b. Local Events and Announcements (to be implemented later).
  - c. Service Request Status (to be implemented later).
- 2. Only the "Report Issues" task will be implemented initially; disable the other two options.
- Upon selecting "Report Issues," the application shall prompt users to provide details about the issue, including location and category.
- 4. Users should be able to attach images or documents related to the issue.
- 5. Implement the chosen user engagement strategy to encourage active participation.

# **Technical Requirements:**

- Utilise appropriate data structures to store user-reported issues and relevant details.
- Create a readme file explaining how to compile, run, and use the programme.

# **Guidelines for Report Issues Functionalities**

User Interface Specifications:

- 1. Main Menu (Form):
  - The main menu should be presented upon startup, providing the following options:
    - a. Report Issues (to be implemented).
    - b. Local Events and Announcements (to be implemented later).
    - c. Service Request Status (to be implemented later).
- 2. Report Issues Page (Windows Form):
  - After selecting "Report Issues," create a new Windows Form that includes the following elements:

22: 23: 24: 25

a. **Location Input (Textbox):** A textbox for users to input the location of the reported issue.

- b. **Category Selection (Dropdown or ListBox):** A dropdown or list for users to select the category of the reported issue (e.g., sanitation, roads, utilities).
- c. **Description Box (RichTextBox):** A RichTextBox control allowing users to provide a detailed description of the issue.
- d. Media Attachment (Button for File Dialog): A button enabling users to attach images or documents related to the reported issue. Implement OpenFileDialog for efficient media attachment.
- e. **Submit Button (Button):** A clearly labelled "Submit" button that users click to finalise the report.
- f. Engagement Feature (Label or ProgressBar): Integrate a dynamic engagement feature, such as a label displaying encouraging messages or a ProgressBar indicating the progress of the reporting.
- g. Navigation Buttons (Button): Include navigation buttons (e.g., "Back to Main Menu") for users to easily return to the main menu or navigate to other sections of the application.

#### **Design Considerations:**

## 1. Consistency:

 Maintain a consistent colour scheme and layout throughout the application to enhance user familiarity.

## 2. Clarity:

 Ensure that labels, buttons, and instructions are clear and easily understood by a diverse user base.

#### 3. User Feedback:

 Implement feedback mechanisms (e.g., MessageBox for success messages, error alerts) to keep users informed about the status of their reporting.

## 4. Responsiveness:

 Design the interface to be responsive, accommodating various screen sizes and resolutions.

# **Additional Requirements:**

# 1. Form Interactions (Event Handling):

 Implement event handlers for button clicks and user interactions to ensure seamless functionality.

# 2. Data Handling (Data Structures):

• Utilise appropriate data structures (e.g., list for storing issues) to efficiently manage and organise the reported issues.

**Note:** If the code does not **compile** and **run**, no marks will be awarded for any application functionality.

# **Submit** the following items for this part:

- 1. A Word document containing your research.
- 2. **Source code** for the application.
- 3. The **readme file** with instructions for how to compile, run, and use the software.

**Important!** You will build on this application in Part 2 and the PoE. So, keep a copy of your code in a safe place!

**Total: 100** 

## Part 2 — Municipal Services Application for South Africa (Collaboration) (Marks: 100)

Learning Units: LU1 – LU4

## Introduction:

In Part 2, you will continue developing the Municipal Services Application for South Africa. The focus is on advanced data structures and algorithms, including stacks, queues, priority queues, hash tables, dictionaries, sorted dictionaries, sets, and an additional recommendation feature based on user searches.

Scenario:

The Municipal Services Application aims to be a comprehensive platform, integrating various features for citizens to access local events and announcements efficiently.

## Task 1: Implementation

(100 Marks)

## 2.1. Develop a C# application:

## a. Main Menu (Form)

(30 Marks)

- Implement a Windows Form with an organised menu presenting the following options:
- Report Issues (implemented in Part 1).
- Local Events and Announcements (to be implemented in this part).
- Service Request Status (to be implemented in Task 3).

# b. Local Events and Announcements Page (Windows Form)

(70 Marks)

- Upon selecting "Local Events and Announcements," create a Windows Form with the following features:
- Display upcoming local events and announcements in an aesthetically pleasing manner.
- Implement a search functionality allowing users to efficiently find events based on categories and dates.
- Utilise advanced data structures, such as sorted dictionaries, to optimise event organisation.

Technical Requirements for Local Events and Announcements Page (40 Marks)

Mark allocation breakdown:

# Stacks, Queues, Priority Queues (15 Marks):

 Implement stacks, queues, or priority queues as needed to manage event-related data structures effectively.

# Hash Tables, Dictionaries, Sorted Dictionaries (15 Marks):

 Utilise hash tables, dictionaries, or sorted dictionaries for organising and retrieving event information.

## Sets (10 Marks):

Incorporate sets to handle unique categories or dates efficiently.

#### Additional Recommendation Feature (30 Marks):

<u>Implement a recommendation feature based on user searches:</u>

- Analyse user search patterns and preferences.
- Use an appropriate algorithm or data structure to suggest related or recommended events.
- Present recommendations in a user-friendly manner within the application.

**Note:** If the code does not **compile** and **run**, no marks will be awarded for any application functionality.

# Submit the following items for this part:

- 1. **Source code** for the application.
- 2. The **readme file** with instructions for how to compile, run, and use the software.

**Important!** You will build on this application in the PoE. So, keep a copy of your code in a safe place!

## PoE — Municipal Services Application for South Africa (Full Functioning App) (Marks: 100)

Learning Units: All Learning Units

# Introduction:

Task 3 focuses on the final implementation of the Municipal Services Application, emphasising the integration of advanced data structures and algorithms, including basic trees, binary trees, binary search trees, AVL trees, red-black trees, heaps, graphs, graph traversal, and minimum spanning trees.

#### Scenario:

The Municipal Services Application is designed to be a comprehensive platform for residents, encompassing features such as reporting issues, accessing local events, and tracking service requests.

## Implementation (100 Marks)

## 3.1. Develop a C# application:

- a. Implement a Windows Form that presents users with a menu for selecting:
  - Report Issues (implemented in Part 1).
  - Local Events and Announcements (implemented in Part 2).
  - Service Request Status (to be implemented in this task).
- b. Service Request Status Page (Windows Form) (100 Marks):

When choosing "Service Request Status," create a Windows Form with the following features:

- Display a well-organised list of submitted service requests, including their status.
- Allow users to track the progress of their service requests using unique identifiers.
- Utilise advanced data structures such as graphs, binary search trees, or heaps to manage and display service request information efficiently.

## **Technical Requirements (50 Marks):**

Basic Trees, Binary Trees, Binary Search Trees, AVL Trees, Red-Black Trees (20 Marks):

 Implement these tree structures effectively for organising and retrieving service request information.

Heaps, Graphs, Graph Traversal, Minimum Spanning Tree (30 Marks):

 Utilise these structures to manage complex relationships and optimise the display of service request status.

## Implementation Report (20 Marks):

- Compile a detailed readme file explaining how to compile, run, and use the programme.
- For each implemented data structure, provide an in-depth explanation of its role and contribution to the efficiency of the "Service Request Status" feature, including relevant examples.

#### **Project Completion Report (20 Marks):**

- Write a comprehensive report detailing the completion of the entire project.
- Discuss the challenges faced during the implementation of Task 3 and how they were overcome.
- Share insights into the key learnings acquired throughout the project, including new skills,
   problem-solving approaches, and programming techniques.

# **Technology Recommendations (10 Marks):**

- Suggest additional technologies or tools that could enhance the functionality or performance of the Municipal Services Application.
- Justify the recommendations based on potential benefits and compatibility with the project.

**Note:** If the code does not **compile** and **run**, no marks will be awarded for any application functionality.

# **Submit** the following items for this part:

- 1. A Word document containing the report.
- 2. **Source code** for the application, which must include the **complete code of the functioning application**.
- 3. The **readme file** with instructions for how to compile, run, and use the software.
- **4.** A file listing the **updates** that you have made based on **feedback** from your lecturer.

# Appendix A - PoE Marking Rubrics

Assessment Sheet (Marking Rubric)

Please note: Tear off this section and attach it to your work when you submit it/ If this is an online submission, then this information needs to be included in the online submission.

MODULE NAME:	MODULE CODE:
PROGRAMMING 3B	PROG7312/w
ADVANCED APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT	AAPD7112/w

# STUDENT NAME: STUDENT NUMBER:

	PART 1 -Task 1									
Marking Criteria					Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback				
Research: List of five user engagement strategies  [5 Marks]	•	No user engagement strategies are listed or are completely unrelated.	Only one or two     user engagement     strategies are     listed, with limited     relevance to     municipal services     applications.	Three to four user engagement strategies are listed, demonstrating a good understanding of the topic.	The list includes five well-defined user engagement strategies relevant to municipal services applications.					
		0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 – 4 Marks	5 Marks					

Research:	Does not meet the required	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
	standard	standard	required standard	required standard	
Explanation	No explanation or	Some details are	• A 500-word	A comprehensive	
and	justification is provided,	provided, but the	explanation is	500-word	
justification	or it is completely	explanation and	included, providing a	explanation is	
of the	illogical.	justification lack	good level of detail	provided, clearly	
chosen		depth or logical	and justification for	justifying the chosen	
strategy		coherence.	the chosen user	user engagement	
			engagement	strategy with a deep	
[10 Marks]			strategy.	understanding of its	
				benefits for	
				municipal services.	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 – 6 Marks	7 – 8 Marks	9 – 10 Marks	
Referencing	No proper	<ul> <li>Referencing is</li> </ul>	References are	Proper referencing	
and Citations	referencing is	present but lacks	mostly accurate, with	and citations are	
	provided.	accuracy or proper	minor issues in	used, following the	
[5 Marks]		citation format.	citation format.	given article and	
				other relevant	
				sources.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 – 4 Marks	5 Marks	

		PAF	RT 1 -Task 2		
	Does not meet the required standard	Meets the required standard	Partially exceeds the required standard	Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback
App Functionality:	<ul> <li>The main menu is not implemented, or it does not work</li> </ul>	The main menu is implemented, but there are notable	The main menu is well-implemented, with minor issues or	The main menu is presented flawlessly on startup, with all	
Task presentation on startup	at all.	bugs affecting user experience.	bugs that do not significantly impact functionality.	options working perfectly without any errors.	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	
[10 Marks]					
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2		
App Functionality:	<ul> <li>App Functionality: Report Issues task implementation</li> </ul>	The "Report Issues"     task is fully     implemented,	<ul> <li>The "Report Issues" task is well- implemented with</li> </ul>	The "Report Issues"     task is fully     implemented,	
Report Issues task implementation.		meeting all requirements without any errors.	only minor bugs that do not hinder functionality.	meeting all requirements without any errors.	
[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	

	PART 1 - Task 2									
App Functionality: User input for issue details  [10 Marks]	User input functionality is not implemented or does not work at all.	User input functionality is implemented, but there are notable issues affecting user interaction.	User input functionality is well- implemented with only one or two minor bugs.	User input     functionality for     issue details works     perfectly without     any errors.						
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks						
		PAF	RT 1 -Task 2							
App Functionality:  Media attachment	<ul> <li>The media attachment feature is not implemented, or it does not work at all.</li> </ul>	The media     attachment feature is     implemented, but     there are significant     bugs affecting	The media attachment feature is well-implemented with only one or two minor bugs.	The media     attachment feature     works flawlessly     without any errors.						
functionality		usability.								
[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks						
		PAF	RT 1 -Task 2							
App Functionality:	The user     engagement     strategy is not	The user engagement strategy is implemented, but	The user     engagement     strategy is well-	The chosen user     engagement     strategy is						
Implementation of user engagement strategy	implemented, or it does not work at all.	there are notable issues impacting its success.	implemented, with minor issues that do not hinder its effectiveness.	seamlessly integrated, positively influencing user participation.						

[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks							
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2								
App Logic:	A list is not used at	A list is used only in	A list is mostly used,	A list is consistently							
	all to store user-	some places, with	with some instances	and appropriately							
Use of	reported issues.	arrays or different	of other data	used throughout the							
appropriate		data structures being	structures, but it	application to store							
data structures		used in others,	does not	user-reported							
		affecting efficiency.	significantly impact	issues.							
[5 Marks]			functionality.								
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks							
	PART 1 -Task 2										
Coding	No readme file is	The readme file	The readme file	The readme file is							
Standards:	submitted.	contains very little	contains sufficient	excellent, providing							
		useful information,	information but may	all relevant							
Readme file		making it challenging	lack completeness	information for							
quality		to understand how to	or detail in some	compiling, running,							
		use the software.	areas.	and using the							
[5 Marks]				software.							
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks							
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2								
Design	The interface is	The interface lacks	The interface is	The interface							
Considerations:	poorly designed,	consistency, clarity,	mostly consistent,	maintains a							
	with significant	and effective	with minor	consistent color							
Consistency,	inconsistencies,	feedback	inconsistencies in	scheme and layout,							
clarity, user	unclear labels, and	mechanisms,	color or layout.	enhancing user							
feedback, and	no effective		<ul> <li>Labels and</li> </ul>	familiarity.							
responsiveness			instructions are	Labels, buttons, and							
			generally clear, but	instructions are							

[10 Marks]	•	feedback mechanisms. It is not responsive, making it challenging for users with various screen sizes.	•	impacting user understanding. Responsiveness is limited, affecting user experience on different screens.	•	some users may find them confusing. Feedback mechanisms are present but may need improvement. The interface is responsive but may have issues with certain screen sizes.	•	clear and easily understood. Feedback mechanisms are implemented effectively, keeping users informed. The interface is responsive, accommodating various screen sizes.	
		0 – 3 Marks		4 - 6 Marks		7 - 8 Marks		9 - 10 Marks	
				PAR	T 1	-Task 2			
Additional Requirements:  Form interactions and data handling.  [10 Marks]	•	Event handlers are not implemented, or they do not work, making the application nonfunctional.  Inappropriate data structures are used or not used at all.	•	Event handlers are implemented, but there are notable issues affecting functionality. Data structures are not used efficiently, impacting the organisation of user-reported issues.	•	Event handlers work well, with minor issues that do not significantly impact functionality.  Data structures are mostly appropriate but may need refinement.	•	Event handlers for button clicks and user interactions are implemented seamlessly, ensuring flawless functionality.  Appropriate data structures (e.g., List) are used efficiently to manage and organise user-reported issues.	
		0 – 3 Marks		4 - 6 Marks		7 - 8 Marks		9 - 10 Marks	_
PART 1 TOTAL									/100

Notes to Students:			

	PART 2 -Task 1								
Marking Criteria	Does not meet the required standard			Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback				
Main Menu (Form)	The Main Menu is not implemented, or it does not work at all.	The Main Menu is implemented, but there are notable bugs affecting user interaction.	The Main Menu is well-implemented with minor issues that do not significantly impact functionality.	The Main Menu is flawlessly implemented with organised options, and all features work perfectly without					
[30 IVIAIKS]	0 - 8 Mark	9 - 16 Marks	17 – 20 Marks	any errors.  21 - 30 Marks					

Marking Criteria		Does not meet the	ı	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the		(	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
		required standard		standard		required standard		required standard	
Local Events	•	Stacks, queues, or	•	Stacks, queues, or	•	Stacks, queues, or	•	The implementation	
and		priority queues are not		priority queues are		priority queues are		effectively utilises	
Announcements		implemented or do not		implemented, but		well-implemented		stacks, queues, or	
Page (Windows		work correctly.		there are significant		but may have minor		priority queues for	
Form):				problems affecting		issues.		managing event-	
Technical				functionality.				related data	
Requirements								structures.	
Stacks, Queues,									
<b>Priority Queues</b>		0 – 4 Marks		5 - 10 Marks		11 - 14 Marks		15 Marks	
[15 Marks]									
Local Events	•	Hash tables,	•	Hash tables,	•	The use of hash	•	Hash tables,	
and		dictionaries, or sorted		dictionaries, or		tables, dictionaries,		dictionaries, or	
Announcements		dictionaries are not		sorted dictionaries		or sorted		sorted dictionaries	
Page (Windows		implemented or do not		are implemented,		dictionaries is good		are seamlessly	
Form):		work correctly.		but there are		but may have minor		integrated for	
Technical				notable issues.		inefficiencies.		organising and	
Requirements								retrieving event	
								information.	
Hash Tables,									
Dictionaries,									
Sorted		0 – 4 Marks		5 - 10 Marks		11 - 14 Marks		15 Marks	
Dictionaries									
[15 Marks]									

	PART 2 -Task 1								
Marking Criteria	Does not meet the required standard	Meets the required standard	Partially exceeds the required standard	Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback				
Local Events and Announcements Page (Windows Form):	Sets are not implemented or do not work correctly.	Sets are implemented, but there are notable problems.	The use of sets is good but may have minor issues affecting efficiency.	Sets are effectively incorporated to handle unique categories or dates efficiently.					
Technical Requirements: Sets	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks					
[10 Marks]	Does not meet the required standard	Meets the required standard	Partially exceeds the required standard	Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback				
Additional	The	The	The	The recommendation					
Requirements:	recommendation feature is not	recommendation feature is	recommendation feature is well-	feature is seamlessly integrated, analysing					
Search patterns,	implemented or	implemented, but	implemented but	user search patterns					
Smart	does not work	there are significant	may have minor	and preferences.					
Recommendations [30 Marks]	correctly.	problems affecting the accuracy of suggestions or presentation.	issues in analysing user preferences or presenting recommendations.	An appropriate     algorithm or data     structure is used to     suggest related or					

PART 2 TOTAL				•	/100
	0 – 9 Marks	10 - 15 Marks	16 - 20 Marks	21 - 30 Marks	
				application.	
				within the	
				user-friendly manner	
				are presented in a	
				<ul> <li>Recommendations</li> </ul>	
				events.	
				recommended	

**Notes to Students:** 

	POE PART 3 -Task 1								
Marking	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback				
Criteria	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard					
	The tree structures	• The	The tree structures	• The					
Basic Trees,	are not implemented	implementation of	are well-	implementation					
Binary Trees,	or do not work	tree structures is	implemented, with	of these tree					
Binary Search	correctly, leading to	present but has	minor issues that	structures is					
Trees, AVL	significant issues in	notable bugs	do not significantly	exceptional,					
Trees, Red-	the organisation and	affecting the	impact	providing an					
Black Trees:	retrieval of service	organisation and	functionality.	efficient					
	request information.	retrieval of service	There may be a	organisation and					
Implementation			few areas for	retrieval					
Effectiveness			improvement but	mechanism for					
			overall, a solid and						

[20 Marks]		request	effective	service request	
		information.	implementation.	information.	
		There might be		It demonstrates a	
		areas that need		flawless	
		attention to		integration,	
		enhance efficiency.		addressing	
				potential issues	
				effectively.	
	0 – 5 Marks	6 - 10 Marks	11 – 15 Marks	16 - 20 Marks	

Heaps, Graphs,	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
Graph	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard	
Traversal,					
Minimum Spanning Tree:	These structures are not utilised or do not	The utilisation of these structures is	These structures are well-utilised but may	Heaps, graphs, graph traversal, and	
Structures	work correctly, resulting in	present, but there are notable issues	have minor issues affecting efficiency.	minimum spanning tree structures are	
Utilisation	significant issues in managing complex relationships and	affecting performance.  • The implementation	While the implementation is good, there might be	seamlessly integrated, effectively managing	
[30 Marks]	optimising the display of service request status.	may lack some key elements for efficient management of complex relationships.	some opportunities to enhance the utilisation of these structures for optimal performance.	complex relationships and optimising the display of service request status.  The implementation demonstrates a deep understanding of their role and	
				efficient utilisation.	
	0 – 9 Marks	10 - 15 Marks	16 - 20 Marks	21 - 30 Marks	

Implementation	•	No readme file is	•	The readme file	•	The readme file	•	The readme file is
Report:		submitted, leaving		contains very little		contains sufficient		detailed and clear,
		users without		useful information,		information but may		providing
Readme File		essential guidance		making it		lack completeness or		comprehensive
Quality		on compiling,		challenging to		detail in some areas.		instructions for
		running, and using		understand how to	•	While functional,		compiling, running,
[10 Marks]		the software.		use the software.		there might be some		and using the
			•	It significantly		areas where		program.
				impacts the user's		additional clarity	•	It is well-organised
				ability to interact		could improve user		and easily
				with the application.		guidance.		understandable,
								contributing to a
								seamless user
								experience.
		0 – 3 Marks		4 - 6 Marks		7 - 8 Marks		9 - 10 Marks

		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1		
	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard	
Implementation	No explanations	<ul> <li>Explanations are</li> </ul>	Explanations are	• In-depth	
Report:	are provided for	limited, lacking depth	present but may	explanations are	
	the implemented	and coherence.	lack depth or	provided for each	
Data Structure	data structures,	Examples are unclear	completeness. Some	implemented data	
Explanation	leaving users	or non-existent.	examples may be	structure, detailing	
	without insights	The understanding of	missing or unclear.	its role and	
	into the	the role of each data	While providing	contribution to the	
[10 Marks]	fundamental	structure in	insights, there is	efficiency of the	
	components of the	enhancing efficiency	room for	"Service Request	
	application's	is not effectively	improvement in	Status" feature,	
	efficiency.	communicated.	conveying the full	with relevant	
			impact of each data	examples.	
			structure on	The explanations	
			application	are clear, detailed,	
			efficiency.	and effectively	
				communicate the	
				significance of	
				each data	
				structure.	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	

		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1		
Project Completion Report: Project Overview [10 Marks]	No project     overview is     provided,     depriving users of     essential insights     into the challenges     faced and     solutions     implemented     during the project.	<ul> <li>The project overview is limited, lacking detail, and insights into challenges and solutions.</li> <li>Users are left with a less comprehensive understanding of the project's journey and problem-solving approaches.</li> </ul>	The project overview is present but may lack detail or insights. Challenges and solutions are briefly mentioned, leaving some aspects of the project's completion not fully explored.	A comprehensive report details the completion of the entire project, providing insights into challenges faced during the implementation of Task 1, 2 and 3 and how they were overcome.      The overview effectively communicates the project's journey, challenges, and solutions.	
	0 – 10 Marks	11 - 20 Marks	21 -26 Marks	27 - 30 Marks	
		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1		
Project Completion Report:	No key learnings are provided, leaving users	Key learnings are mentioned but lack detail or specificity.	Some insights into key learnings are provided but lack	Significant     insights into     key learnings	
Key Learnings [5 Marks]	without insights into the valuable skills and knowledge gained	<ul> <li>The discussion provides only a surface-level understanding of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>depth or clarity.</li> <li>The discussion could benefit from further elaboration on</li> </ul>	acquired throughout the project, including new	
	during the project.		specific skills,	skills,	

		learning outcomes from the project.	approaches, or techniques learned.	problem- solving approaches, and programming techniques.  • The discussion reflects a deep understanding of the learning process during the project.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	
		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1		
Technology Recommendations: Suggestions [5 Marks]	<ul> <li>No technology recommendations are provided, missing an opportunity to enhance the application's capabilities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommendations are limited and lack clear justifications.</li> <li>The suggested technologies may not provide substantial contributions to the application's functionality or performance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommendations are present but may lack clarity or justification.</li> <li>The suggested technologies could benefit from more explicit ties to potential benefits and compatibility with the project.</li> </ul>	Additional     technologies or     tools are     suggested to     enhance the     functionality or     performance of     the Municipal     Services     Application, with     clear justifications     based on potential     benefits and	

	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	compatibility with the project.  The recommendations are insightful and directly contribute to the application's enhancement.  5 Marks	
		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1		
Technology Recommendations: Justification	No justifications are provided for the technology recommendations, leaving users	<ul> <li>Justifications are unclear or not directly tied to project benefits.</li> <li>The discussion does</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Justifications are present but may lack clarity or may not be directly tied to project benefits.</li> </ul>	The justifications for technology recommendations are clear and directly tied to	
[5 Marks]	without insights into why these technologies are suggested.	not effectively convey the rationale behind the technology recommendations.	While providing some rationale, there is room for improvement in clearly connecting each recommendation to the project's needs.	potential benefits and compatibility with the project.  The discussion effectively communicates why each technology is a valuable addition.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	

	POE PART 3 -Task 1								
Updates Based on	No file listing	The file listing	A file listing updates	A detailed file					
Feedback:	updates based on	updates is limited,	is provided, but	listing the updates					
	feedback is	and there is minimal	there might be	made based on					
Incorporation of	submitted, missing	evidence of	areas where the	feedback from the					
Feedback	the opportunity to	substantial changes	incorporation of	lecturer is					
	showcase the	made based on	feedback could be	submitted.					
[5 Marks]	application's	feedback.	more detailed or	The updates					
	iterative	• The updates may not	explicit.	reflect a proactive					
	improvement	fully address the	The updates	approach to					
	process.	provided feedback.	contribute to	refining and					
			improvements but	enhancing the					
			may lack thorough	application,					
			documentation.	addressing					
				feedback					
				effectively.					
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks					
PART 1 TOTAL					/100				

Notes to Students: