

# Algorithm Analysis, Assignment 1

Jacob Anabi

2021 February 25

## 1 Assignment Part 1:

Consider the 32 bit addition algorithm we discussed in class:

```
uint32_t addto32(uint32_t as[], int sz_a, uint32_t bs[], int sz_b) {
    // Assume that sz_b <= sz_a
    // Compute as += bs
    int i;
    uint32_t c = 0;
    uint64_t s;
    for (i=0; i< sz_b; i++) {
        s = (uint64_t) as[i] + (uint64_t) bs[i] + (uint64_t) c; // s is a 33 bit value
        c = s >> 32;
        as[i] = (uint32_t) s;
    }
    for ( ; i< sz_a; i++) {
        s = (uint64_t) as[i] + (uint64_t) c; // s is a 33 bit value
        c = s >> 32;
        as[i] = (uint32_t) s;
    }
    return c;
}
```

We want to prove that

$$c + \sum_{k < i} as[k] = \sum_{k < i} (as'[k] + bs[k])$$

where  $as'$  denotes the original value of  $as$ .

We will do so by induction on  $i$ .

*Proof.*

**Inductive Basis ( $i = 0$ ):**

If  $i = 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} c + \sum_{k < 0} as[k] &= c + 0 \\ &= 0 + 0 \text{ [since } c \text{ is initialized to } 0] \\ &= 0 \\ &= \sum_{k < 0} (as'[k] + bs[k]) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equality holds for our basis.

**Inductive Hypothesis ( $i = n$ ):**

Suppose

$$c + \sum_{k < n} as[k] = \sum_{k < n} (as'[k] + bs[k])$$

**Inductive Step ( $i = n + 1$ ):**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k < n+1} (as'[k] + bs[k]) &= \sum_{k < n} (as'[k] + bs[k]) + as'[n] + bs[n] \\ &= c + \sum_{k < n} as[k] + (as'[n] + bs[n]), \text{ by the Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= \sum_{k < n} as[k] + (c + as'[n] + bs[n]) \\ &= \sum_{k < n} as[k] + s, \text{ by the assignment of } s \text{ in the for loop} \\ &= \sum_{k < n} as[k] + (\text{uint32\_t}) s + s >> 32 \\ &= \sum_{k < n} as[k] + a[n] + c, \text{ by the assignments in the for loop} \\ &= c + \sum_{k < n+1} as[k] \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2 Assignment Part 2:

Consider the 32 bit partial product algorithm:

```
void partialprod32
(uint32_t as[], int sz_a, uint32_t bs[], int sz_b, uint32_t d, int shift)
{
    // Assume sz_b + sz_c <= sz_a => sz_b < sz_a
    // (this is because if we have two numbers with n and m digits,
    // then their product would be n + m digits at most)
    // Compute as += bs * d

    int i;
    int i_shifted;
    uint32_t p_split = 0;
    uint32_t c = 0;
    uint64_t s;
    uint64_t p;

    for (i = 0; i < sz_b; i++)
    {
        i_shifted = i+shift;
        p = (uint64_t) bs[i] * (uint64_t) d; // p is a 64 bit value
        s = (uint64_t) as[i_shifted] + (uint64_t) ((uint32_t) p)
            + (uint64_t) p_split + (uint64_t) c;
        p_split = p >> 32;
        c = s >> 32;
        as[i_shifted] = (uint32_t) s;
    }

    for ( ; i < sz_a-shift; i++)
    {
        if (p_split == 0 && c == 0)
        {
            break;
        }
        i_shifted = i+shift;
        s = (uint64_t) as[i_shifted] + (uint64_t) p_split + (uint64_t) c;
        p_split = 0;
        c = s >> 32;
        as[i+shift] = (uint32_t) s;
    }
}
```

We will prove, by induction on  $i$ , that

$$c + p\_split + \sum_{k < i} as[k+w] = \sum_{k < i} (as'[k+w] + bs[k+w] * d)$$

where  $as'$  denotes the original value of  $as$  and  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.*

**Inductive Basis ( $i = 0$ ):**

If  $i = 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} c + p\_split + \sum_{k < 0} as[k+w] &= c + p\_split + 0 \\ &= 0 + 0 + 0 \text{ [since } c \text{ and } p\_split \text{ are initialized to 0]} \\ &= 0 \\ &= \sum_{k < 0} (as'[k+w] + bs[k] * d) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equality holds for our basis.

**Inductive Hypothesis ( $i = n$ ):**

Suppose

$$c + p\_split + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] = \sum_{k < n} (as'[k+w] + bs[k] * d)$$

**Inductive Step ( $i = n + 1$ ):**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k < n+1} (as'[k+w] + bs[k] * d) \\
&= \sum_{k < n} (as'[k+w] + bs[k] * d) + as'[n+w] + bs[n] * d \\
&= c + p\_split + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + (as'[n+w] + bs[n] * d), \text{ by the I.H.} \\
&= \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + (c + p\_split + as'[n+w] + p) \\
&= \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + (c + p\_split + as'[n+w] + (uint64\_t) ((uint32\_t) p) + p >> 32) \\
&= \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + (c + p\_split + as'[n+w] + (uint64\_t) ((uint32\_t) p) + p\_split') \\
&= p\_split' + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + (c + p\_split + as'[n+w] + (uint64\_t) ((uint32\_t) p)) \\
&= p\_split' + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + s \\
&= p\_split' + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + (uint32\_t) s + s >> 32 \\
&= p\_split' + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + as[n+w] + s >> 32 \\
&= p\_split' + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + as[n+w] + c \\
&= c + p\_split' + \sum_{k < n} as[k+w] + as[n+w] \\
&= c + p\_split' + \sum_{k < n+1} as[k+w] \\
&= c + p\_split + \sum_{k < n+1} as[k+w], \text{ by renaming } p\_split' \text{ to } p\_split
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Assignment Part 3:

Consider the 64 bit multiplication algorithm:

```
void bigmul64(uint64_t a[], int sz_a, uint64_t b[], int sz_b, uint64_t c[], int sz_c)
{
    uint32_t *as = (uint32_t *) a;
    uint32_t *bs = (uint32_t *) b;
    uint32_t *cs = (uint32_t *) c;

    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < 2*sz_c; i++)
    {
        partialprod32(as, 2*sz_a, bs, 2*sz_b, cs[i], i);
    }
}
```

We will prove that

$$\sum_{w < m} (c + p\_split + \sum_{k < i} as[k+w]) = \sum_{w < m} \sum_{k < i} (as'[k+w] + bs[k+w] * d)$$

where as' denotes the original value of as.

*Proof.*

Well from part 2, we know that:

$$c + p\_split + \sum_{k < i} as[k+w] = \sum_{k < i} (as'[k+w] + bs[k+w] * d)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{w < m} (c + p\_split + \sum_{k < i} as[k+w]) = \sum_{w < m} \sum_{k < i} (as'[k+w] + bs[k+w] * d)$$

□