

The Intelligent Investor: Ignoring the Yes-Man in Your Head

Zweig, Jason . Wall Street Journal , Eastern edition; New York, N.Y. [New York, N.Y]14 Nov 2009: B.1.

[ProQuest document link](#)

ABSTRACT

[...] your mind is like a compulsive yes-man who echoes whatever you want to believe.

FULL TEXT

A mind is a terrible thing to change.

You decide gold is a good bet to hedge against inflation, and suddenly the news seems to be teeming with signs of rising prices down the road. Or you believe stocks are going to whip other assets, and all you can hear are warnings of the bloodbath to come in the bond and commodity markets.

In short, your mind is like a compulsive yes-man who echoes whatever you want to believe. Psychologists call this mental gremlin "confirmation bias." A recent analysis of psychological studies with nearly 8,000 participants concluded that people are twice as likely to seek information that confirms what they already believe as they are to consider evidence that would challenge those beliefs.

"We're all mentally lazy," says psychologist Scott Lilienfeld of Emory University in Atlanta. "It's simply easier to focus our attention on data that supports our hypothesis, rather than to seek out evidence that might disprove it."

It also is easier to rationalize than to be rational. "We're very good at cooking up post-hoc explanations of why our predictions didn't work," Mr. Lilienfeld says. "We reinterpret our failures as near-misses: 'This stock would have gone up if only X had happened,' or '99 times out of 100 I would have been right if not for this freak event.'"

The more you learn, the more certain you become you are right. While more data make people more confident, it doesn't make their predictions much more accurate. Each new fact makes you more inclined to find another that resembles it, reducing the diversity of your data.

Confirmation bias clouds the thinking of professional investors, too. "We've made tons of errors like this," says Staley Cates, president of Southeastern Asset Management, the value-investing firm that runs the Longleaf funds. "A lot of psychological traps can be combated with humility, but on this one, that doesn't help." Longleaf, Mr. Cates says, clung too long to General Motors, letting product improvements and cost savings "blind us to the fact that GM might not make it" without government help.

So how can you counteract confirmation bias?

Imagine looking into a crystal ball and seeing that your investment went bust, says Gary Klein, a psychologist at Applied Research Associates. Next, come up with the most compelling reasons for the failure. This exercise, which Christopher Davis of the Davis funds has integrated into the research process at his value-investing firm, can help

you realize that your beliefs mightn't be as solid as you thought.

Try estimating the odds that your analysis is wrong. Reckoning that there is a 20% chance of an adverse outcome is like saying you will be proved wrong one in five times. So, if the investment does go awry, you will be less likely to dig in your analytical heels and desperately try to prove that you are still right. This procedure, says Michael Mauboussin, chief investment strategist at Legg Mason Capital Management, provides "psychological cover for admitting that you're wrong."

Run an imaginary portfolio alongside your real one. There, you can buy or sell at will, with no risk to your wealth. On that blank slate, would you own more – or less – of this investment? Mr. Cates says Southeastern Asset Management requires each of its analysts and portfolio managers to run a paper portfolio and justify any differences between their paper holdings and the firm's real-world bets. "It helps us know what people really think," Mr. Cates says.

Before you buy, write down what would make you want to sell. If such an event happens, the written record will make it harder for you to pretend nothing has changed or that you don't have to do anything in response.

Messrs. Cates, Davis and Mauboussin help run funds that posted steep losses last year clinging to stocks in the face of evidence that they might be wrong. They all say that fighting confirmation bias is a never-ending battle. But if you can't conquer this gremlin of your own mind, you don't stand a chance of outwitting the market.

--

Email: intelligentinvestor@wsj.com

Credit: By Jason Zweig

DETAILS

Subject:	Psychology; Investors; Investment policy; Asset management; Portfolio management
Business indexing term:	Subject: Investment policy Asset management Portfolio management
Classification:	9190: United States; 3400: Investment analysis &personal finance
Publication title:	Wall Street Journal, Eastern edition; New York, N.Y.
Pages:	B.1
Publication year:	2009
Publication date:	Nov 14, 2009
Publisher:	Dow Jones &Company Inc

Place of publication:	New York, N.Y.
Country of publication:	United States, New York, N.Y.
Publication subject:	Business And Economics--Banking And Finance
ISSN:	00999660
Source type:	Newspapers
Language of publication:	English
Document type:	Commentary
ProQuest document ID:	399069438
Document URL:	https://search.proquest.com/newspapers/intelligent-investor-ignoring-yes-man-your-head/docview/399069438/se-2?accountid=7098
Copyright:	(c) 2009 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction or distribution is prohibited without permission.
Last updated:	2020-11-20
Database:	ABI/INFORM Global

LINKS

[Linking Service](#)

Database copyright © 2021 ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved.

[Terms and Conditions](#) [Contact ProQuest](#)