High-order numerical discretizations and a posteriori error estimates for variational inequalities

JAD DABAGHI

École des Ponts ParisTech (CERMICS) & INRIA Paris (MATHERIALS)

CERAMATHS Seminar, Valenciennes, 7th April 2022

École des Ponts ParisTech

informatiques mathématiques

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Model problem and discretization
3. Semismooth Newton and first numerical results
4. A posteriori analysis
5. Extension to unsteady problems
6. Conclusion

Motivation

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$: smooth connected domain, $\mathcal{H}$: Hilbert space, $\mathcal{K}_g$: convex set.

$a : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$: bilinear continuous coercive form, $\ell : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$: linear continuous form

$$\text{Find} \quad u \in \mathcal{K}_g \quad a(u, v - u) \geq \ell(v - u) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_g$$

Motivation

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$: smooth connected domain, $\mathcal{H}$: Hilbert space, $\mathcal{K}_g$: convex set.

$a : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$: bilinear continuous coercive form, $\ell : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$: linear continuous form

$$\text{Find} \quad u \in \mathcal{K}_g \quad a(u, v - u) \geq \ell(v - u) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_g$$

Application to several problems in contact mechanics

Motivation

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$: smooth connected domain, $\mathcal{H}$: Hilbert space, $\mathcal{K}_g$: convex set.

$a : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$: bilinear continuous coercive form, $\ell : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$: linear continuous form

$$\text{Find} \quad u \in \mathcal{K}_g \quad a(u, v-u) \geq \ell(v-u) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_g$$

Application to several problems in contact mechanics

Obstacle problem: Find $u \in \mathcal{K}_g := \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } v = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \text{ and } v \geq \Psi \text{ in } \Omega \right\}$ such that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla(v-u))_\Omega \geq (f, v-u)_\Omega \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_g$$

- u: displacement of an elastic membrane
- $\Psi \in H^1(\Omega)$: position of the lower obstacle
- $f \in L^2(\Omega)$: force acting on the membrane
- $g \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$: Dirichlet boundary datum for u

Signorini problem: $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_{\mathrm{D}} \cup \Gamma_{\mathrm{N}} \cup \Gamma_{\mathrm{C}}$.

Γ_{D}: Dirichlet boundary conditions, Γ_{N}: Neumann boundary conditions

Γ_{C}: Unilateral contact boundary conditions

Find $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{K}_g := \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in [H^1(\Omega)]^2 \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{g} \text{ on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{D}}, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \leq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{C}} \right\}$ such that

$$(\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}), \epsilon(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{u}))_\Omega \geq (\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{u})_\Omega + (\boldsymbol{g}_N, \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{u})_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}}} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{K}_g$$

- $\boldsymbol{g} \in \left[H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{D}}) \right]^2$: Dirichlet boudary datum for $\boldsymbol{u}$
- $\boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \in [L^2(\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}})]^2$: Neumann boundary data
- $f \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2$: force acting on the elastic solid
- $\sigma(\boldsymbol{u})$: stress tensor
- ϵ : strain tensor
- $\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}) = \mathbb{A}\epsilon(\boldsymbol{u})$ where $\mathbb{A}$ is the fourth-order elasticity tensor

Contact between two membranes:

Find $\boldsymbol{u} := (u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{K}_g := \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in H^1_{g_1}(\Omega) \times H^1_{g_2}(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } v_1 - v_2 \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \right\}$ such that

$$\boxed{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(v_\alpha - u_\alpha \right) \right)_\Omega \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, v_\alpha - u_\alpha \right)_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{K}_g}$$

- $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$: tensions of the membranes
- $g_1 \geq g_2$: boundary data
- $f_1 \in L^2(\Omega), f_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$: external sources

Study the contact problem between two membranes

Study the contact problem between two membranes

Propose several numerical schemes

- The finite element method, the discontinuous Galerkin method, the hybrid high-order method (HHO)

Study the contact problem between two membranes

Propose several numerical schemes

- The finite element method, the discontinuous Galerkin method, the hybrid high-order method (HHO)

Nonlinear resolution

- Semismooth Newton methods

Study the contact problem between two membranes

Propose several numerical schemes

- The finite element method, the discontinuous Galerkin method, the hybrid high-order method (HHO)

Nonlinear resolution

- Semismooth Newton methods

Quantify the error

- A posteriori error estimates : $|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h|||_\sharp \leq \eta(\boldsymbol{u}_h)$
- Identify each error components : numerical discretization, nonlinear algorithms, linear algorithms,...

Study the contact problem between two membranes

Propose several numerical schemes

- The finite element method, the discontinuous Galerkin method, the hybrid high-order method (HHO)

Nonlinear resolution

- Semismooth Newton methods

Quantify the error

- A posteriori error estimates : $|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h|||_\sharp \leq \eta(\boldsymbol{u}_h)$
- Identify each error components : numerical discretization, nonlinear algorithms, linear algorithms,...

Save computational time

- Adaptivity

Study the contact problem between two membranes

Propose several numerical schemes

- The finite element method, the discontinuous Galerkin method, the hybrid high-order method (HHO)

Nonlinear resolution

- Semismooth Newton methods

Quantify the error

- A posteriori error estimates : $|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h|||_\sharp \leq \eta(\boldsymbol{u}_h)$
- Identify each error components : numerical discretization, nonlinear algorithms, linear algorithms,...

Save computational time

- Adaptivity

Extension to unsteady problems?

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Model problem and discretization
3. Semismooth Newton and first numerical results
4. A posteriori analysis
5. Extension to unsteady problems
6. Conclusion

Model problem and settings: contact between two membranes

Find u_1, u_2, λ such that
$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Continuous problem

- $H^1_{g_\alpha}(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega),\ u = g_\alpha \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ $\quad \Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

Saddle point type weak formulation: For $(f_1, f_2) \in \left[L^2(\Omega)\right]^2$ and $g > 0$ find $(u_1, u_2, \lambda) \in H^1_{g_1}(\Omega) \times H^1_{g_2}(\Omega) \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha\right)_\Omega - \left(\lambda, v_1 - v_2\right)_\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, v_\alpha\right)_\Omega \quad \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \left[H^1_0(\Omega)\right]^2 \\ &\left(\chi - \lambda, u_1 - u_2\right)_\Omega \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \Lambda \end{aligned} \tag{S}$$

Continuous problem

- $H^1_{g_\alpha}(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega),\ u = g_\alpha \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ $\quad \Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

Saddle point type weak formulation: For $(f_1, f_2) \in \left[L^2(\Omega)\right]^2$ and $g > 0$ find $(u_1, u_2, \lambda) \in H^1_{g_1}(\Omega) \times H^1_{g_2}(\Omega) \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha\right)_\Omega - \left(\lambda, v_1 - v_2\right)_\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, v_\alpha\right)_\Omega \quad \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \left[H^1_0(\Omega)\right]^2 \\ &\left(\chi - \lambda, u_1 - u_2\right)_\Omega \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \Lambda \end{aligned} \tag{S}$$

other interpretation

Continuous problem

- $H^1_{g_\alpha}(\Omega)=\{u \in H^1(\Omega),\ u = g_\alpha \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ $\quad \Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

Saddle point type weak formulation: For $(f_1, f_2) \in \left[L^2(\Omega)\right]^2$ and $g > 0$ find $(u_1, u_2, \lambda) \in H^1_{g_1}(\Omega) \times H^1_{g_2}(\Omega) \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha\right)_\Omega - (\lambda, v_1 - v_2)_\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (f_\alpha, v_\alpha)_\Omega \quad \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \left[H^1_0(\Omega)\right]^2 \tag{S}$$

$$(\chi - \lambda, u_1 - u_2)_\Omega \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \Lambda$$

other interpretation

Variational inequality:

- $\mathcal{K}_g := \left\{(v_1, v_2) \in H^1_{g_1}(\Omega) \times H^1_{g_2}(\Omega),\ v_1 - v_2 \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\right\}$ **convex**

$$\text{Find } \boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{K}_g \text{ s.t. } \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_\alpha, \nabla (v_\alpha - u_\alpha)\right)_\Omega \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (f_\alpha, v_\alpha - u_\alpha)_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{K}_g \tag{R}$$

The finite element method

The finite element method

For any $p \geq 1$

Spaces for the discretization:

$$X^p_{g_\alpha h} = \left\{ v_h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}), v_{h|K} \in \mathbb{P}_p(K),\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h,\ \ v_h = g_\alpha \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \right\}$$

$\mathcal{V}^p_{\mathrm{d}}$: set of nodes

$$X^p_{0h} = \left\{ v_h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega});\ v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K),\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h,\ \ v_h = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}^p_{gh} = \left\{ (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X^p_{g_1 h} \times X^p_{g_2 h},\ v_{1h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) - v_{2h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}^p_{\mathrm{d}} \right\} \not\subset \mathcal{K}_g \quad \forall p \geq 2$$

The finite element method

For any $p \geq 1$

Spaces for the discretization:

$X^p_{g_\alpha h} = \{ v_h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}), v_{h|K} \in \mathbb{P}_p(K), \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \ v_h = g_\alpha \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \}$ $\qquad \mathcal{V}^p_{\mathrm{d}}$: set of nodes

$X^p_{0h} = \{ v_h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}); \ v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K), \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \ v_h = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \}$

$\mathcal{K}^p_{gh} = \left\{ (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X^p_{g_1 h} \times X^p_{g_2 h}, \ v_{1h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) - v_{2h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}^p_{\mathrm{d}} \right\} \not\subset \mathcal{K}_g \quad \forall p \geq 2$

Discrete variational inequality: find $\boldsymbol{u}_h = (u_{1h}, u_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}^p_{gh}$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{\alpha h}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(v_{\alpha h} - u_{\alpha h} \right) \right)_\Omega \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, v_{\alpha h} - u_{\alpha h} \right)_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h = (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}^p_{gh} \quad \text{(DR)}$$

Well-posed problem (Lions–Stampacchia)

The finite element method

For any $p \geq 1$

Spaces for the discretization:

$X^p_{g_\alpha h} = \{ v_h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}), v_{h|K} \in \mathbb{P}_p(K), \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \ v_h = g_\alpha \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \}$ $\qquad \mathcal{V}^p_{\mathrm{d}}$: set of nodes

$X^p_{0h} = \{ v_h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}); \ v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K), \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \ v_h = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \}$

$\mathcal{K}^p_{gh} = \left\{ (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X^p_{g_1 h} \times X^p_{g_2 h}, \ v_{1h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) - v_{2h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}^p_{\mathrm{d}} \right\} \not\subset \mathcal{K}_g \quad \forall p \geq 2$

Discrete variational inequality: find $\boldsymbol{u}_h = (u_{1h}, u_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}^p_{gh}$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{\alpha h}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(v_{\alpha h} - u_{\alpha h} \right) \right)_\Omega \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, v_{\alpha h} - u_{\alpha h} \right)_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h = (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}^p_{gh} \quad \text{(DR)}$$

Well-posed problem (Lions–Stampacchia)

Resolution techniques: Projected Newton methods (Bertsekas 1982), Active set Newton method (Kanzow 1999), Primal-dual active set strategy (Hintermüller 2002).

Saddle point formulation Recall $\Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

$p = 1$: $\Lambda_h^1 := \left\{ v_h \in X_{0h}^1\ v_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_d^{1,\text{int}} \right\} \subset \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ Ben Belgacem, Bernardi, Blouza, and Vohralík (2012).

$p \geq 2$ **(new)**: $\Lambda_h^p := \left\{ v_h \in X_h^p\ \left(v_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_\text{d}^{p,\text{int}}\ \left(v_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega = 0\, \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_\text{d}^{p,\text{ext}} \right\} \not\subset \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

$$\langle w_h, v_h \rangle_h := \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h} w_h(\boldsymbol{a}) v_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \left(\psi_{h,\boldsymbol{a}}, 1\right)_{\omega_h^{\boldsymbol{a}}} \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w_h, v_h \rangle_h := (w_h, v_h)_\Omega \quad \text{if} \quad p \geq 2$$

Saddle point formulation Recall $\Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

$p = 1$: $\Lambda_h^1 := \left\{ v_h \in X_{0h}^1\ v_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_d^{1,\text{int}} \right\} \subset \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ Ben Belgacem, Bernardi, Blouza, and Vohralík (2012).

$p \geq 2$ **(new)**: $\Lambda_h^p := \left\{ v_h \in X_h^p\ \left(v_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\text{int}}\ \left(v_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega = 0 \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\text{ext}} \right\} \not\subset \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

$$\langle w_h, v_h \rangle_h := \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h} w_h(\boldsymbol{a}) v_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \left(\psi_{h,\boldsymbol{a}}, 1\right)_{\omega_h^{\boldsymbol{a}}} \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w_h, v_h \rangle_h := (w_h, v_h)_\Omega \quad \text{if} \quad p \geq 2$$

Continuous weak formulation: For $(f_1, f_2) \in \left[L^2(\Omega)\right]^2$ and $g > 0$ find $(u_1, u_2, \lambda) \in H_{g_1}^1(\Omega) \times H_{g_2}^1(\Omega) \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha\right)_\Omega - (\lambda, v_1 - v_2)_\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (f_\alpha, v_\alpha)_\Omega \quad \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \left[H_0^1(\Omega)\right]^2 \\ &(\chi - \lambda, u_1 - u_2)_\Omega \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \Lambda \end{aligned} \tag{S}$$

Saddle point formulation

Recall $\Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

$p = 1$: $\Lambda_h^1 := \left\{ v_h \in X_{0h}^1\ v_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_d^{1,\mathrm{int}} \right\} \subset \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ Ben Belgacem, Bernardi, Blouza, and Vohralík (2012).

$p \geq 2$ **(new)**: $\Lambda_h^p := \left\{ v_h \in X_h^p\ \ (v_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l})_\Omega \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_\mathrm{d}^{p,\mathrm{int}}\ \ (v_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l})_\Omega = 0 \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_\mathrm{d}^{p,\mathrm{ext}} \right\} \not\subset \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

$$\langle w_h, v_h \rangle_h := \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h} w_h(\boldsymbol{a}) v_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \left(\psi_{h,\boldsymbol{a}}, 1\right)_{\omega_h^{\boldsymbol{a}}} \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w_h, v_h \rangle_h := (w_h, v_h)_\Omega \quad \text{if} \quad p \geq 2$$

Discrete weak formulation Find $(u_{1h}, u_{2h}, \lambda_h) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \times \Lambda_h^p$ s.t.

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{\alpha h}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega - \langle \lambda_h, z_{1h} - z_{2h} \rangle_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (f_\alpha, z_{\alpha h})_\Omega\,, \quad \forall (z_{1h}, z_{2h}) \in [X_{0h}^p]^2 \qquad \text{(DS)}$$

$$\langle \chi_h - \lambda_h, u_{1h} - u_{2h} \rangle_h \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi_h \in \Lambda_h^p.$$

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_{\alpha h}, \nabla z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega - \langle \lambda_h, z_{1h} - z_{2h} \rangle_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega \quad \forall (z_{1h}, z_{2h}) \in [X_{0h}^p]^2,$$

$$\left(u_{1h} - u_{2h}\right)(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}, \ \left\langle \lambda_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l} \right\rangle_h \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}, \ \langle \lambda_h, u_{1h} - u_{2h} \rangle_h = 0. \quad \text{(DS2)}$$

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_{\alpha h}, \nabla z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega - \langle \lambda_h, z_{1h} - z_{2h} \rangle_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega \quad \forall (z_{1h}, z_{2h}) \in [X_{0h}^p]^2,$$

$$\left(u_{1h} - u_{2h}\right)(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}, \ \left\langle \lambda_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l} \right\rangle_h \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}, \ \langle \lambda_h, u_{1h} - u_{2h} \rangle_h = 0. \quad \text{(DS2)}$$

Matrix representation of (DS2)

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_{\alpha h}, \nabla z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega - \langle \lambda_h, z_{1h} - z_{2h}\rangle_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(f_\alpha, z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega \quad \forall (z_{1h}, z_{2h}) \in [X_{0h}^p]^2,$$

$$(u_{1h} - u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}, \ \langle \lambda_h, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\rangle_h \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}, \ \langle \lambda_h, u_{1h} - u_{2h}\rangle_h = 0. \quad \text{(DS2)}$$

Matrix representation of (DS2)

$$u_{1h} = \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}} (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h})_l \underbrace{\psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}}_{\text{Lagrange basis}}, \quad u_{2h} = \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}} (\boldsymbol{X}_{2h})_l \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}, \quad \lambda_h = \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p,\mathrm{int}}} (\boldsymbol{X}_{3h})_l \underbrace{\Theta_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}}_{\text{dual basis}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E} := \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbb{S} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{D} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S} & +\mathbb{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark

The construction of Λ_h^p and the dual basis $\Theta_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}$ are essential to obtain equivalence between DS *and* DR

The Discontinuous Galerkin method

The Discontinuous Galerkin method

Discontinuous spaces:

$$X_h^p := \left\{ v_h \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K)\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}$$

$$X_{g_\alpha h}^p := \left\{ v_h \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K)\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ and } v_h = g_\alpha \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{gh}^p := \left\{ \boldsymbol{v}_h := (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \text{ s.t. } (v_{1h} - v_{2h})|_K(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{int}}\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}$$

The Discontinuous Galerkin method

Discontinuous spaces:

$$X_h^p := \left\{ v_h \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K)\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}$$

$$X_{g_\alpha h}^p := \left\{ v_h \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_p(K)\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ and } v_h = g_\alpha \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{gh}^p := \left\{ \boldsymbol{v}_h := (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \text{ s.t. } (v_{1h} - v_{2h})|_K(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0\ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{int}}\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}$$

Discrete variational inequality: find $\boldsymbol{u}_h = (u_{1h}, u_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}_{gh}^p$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \mathcal{A}_h(u_{\alpha h}, v_{\alpha h} - u_{\alpha h}) \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (f_\alpha|_K, v_{\alpha h}|_K - u_{\alpha h}|_K)_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h = (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}_{gh}^p \qquad \text{(DR)}$$

$\mathcal{A}_h$: bilinear form a + consistency and stabilization terms [SIPG, NIPG]

Well-posed problem (Lions–Stampacchia)

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\Lambda_h^p := \left\{ v_h \in X_h^p \text{ s.t. } \left(v_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}|_K\right)_K \geq 0 \; \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \; \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{int}}, \text{ and } \left(v_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}|_K\right)_K = 0 \right.$$
$$\left. \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \; \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{ext}} \right\} \qquad p \geq 2$$

Find $(u_{1h}, u_{2h}, \lambda_h) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \times \Lambda_h^p$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \mathcal{A}_h(u_{\alpha h}, v_{\alpha h}) - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(v_{1h}|_K - v_{2h}|_K, \lambda_h|_K\right)_K = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(f_\alpha|_K, v_{\alpha h}|_K\right)_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h \in \left[X_{0h}^p\right]^2,$$
$$\left(u_{1h}|_K - u_{2h}|_K\right)(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \; \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{d}}^{p,\text{int}}, \; \left(\lambda_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right) \geq 0 \; \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{d}}^{p,\text{int}}, \; \left(\lambda_h|_K, u_{1h}|_K - u_{2h}|_K\right) = 0.$$

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\Lambda_h^p := \{ v_h \in X_h^p \text{ s.t. } (v_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}|_K)_K \geq 0 \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{int}}, \text{ and } (v_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}|_K)_K = 0 \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{ext}} \} \qquad p \geq 2$$

Find $(u_{1h}, u_{2h}, \lambda_h) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \times \Lambda_h^p$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \mathcal{A}_h(u_{\alpha h}, v_{\alpha h}) - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (v_{1h}|_K - v_{2h}|_K, \lambda_h|_K)_K = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (f_\alpha|_K, v_{\alpha h}|_K)_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h \in \left[X_{0h}^p\right]^2,$$

$$(u_{1h}|_K - u_{2h}|_K)(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{d}}^{p,\text{int}}, \ (\lambda_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{d}}^{p,\text{int}}, \ (\lambda_h|_K, u_{1h}|_K - u_{2h}|_K) = 0.$$

Matrix representation $\boldsymbol{X}_h := [\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}, \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}] \in \mathbb{R}^{3\mathcal{N}_h^{\text{int}}}$

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\Lambda_h^p := \{ v_h \in X_h^p \text{ s.t. } (v_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}|_K)_K \geq 0 \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{int}}, \text{ and } (v_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}|_K)_K = 0 \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K^{\text{ext}} \} \qquad p \geq 2$$

Find $(u_{1h}, u_{2h}, \lambda_h) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \times \Lambda_h^p$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \mathcal{A}_h(u_{\alpha h}, v_{\alpha h}) - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (v_{1h}|_K - v_{2h}|_K, \lambda_h|_K)_K = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (f_\alpha|_K, v_{\alpha h}|_K)_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h \in \left[X_{0h}^p\right]^2,$$

$$(u_{1h}|_K - u_{2h}|_K)(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{d}}^{p,\text{int}}, \ (\lambda_h|_K, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{d}}^{p,\text{int}}, \ (\lambda_h|_K, u_{1h}|_K - u_{2h}|_K) = 0.$$

Matrix representation $\boldsymbol{X}_h := [\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}, \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}] \in \mathbb{R}^{3\mathcal{N}_h^{\text{int}}}$

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E} := \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbb{S} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{D} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S} & +\mathbb{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Hybrid High-Order method

The unknowns are polynomial functions attached to the cells and the edges of the mesh.

Discontinuous spaces: $\mathcal{E}_h$: set of edges, $\mathcal{V}_K$: DOFs in a triangle

$$X_h^p := \prod_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \mathbb{P}_p(K) \times \prod_{F\in\mathcal{E}_h} \mathbb{P}_{p-1}(F), \quad X_{h,K}^p := \mathbb{P}_p(K) \times \prod_{F\in\mathcal{E}_K} \mathbb{P}_{p-1}(F)$$

$$X_{g_\alpha h}^p := \left\{ v_h \in X_h^p \text{ s.t. } v_h = g_\alpha \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\} : \ u_1, u_2 \quad \Lambda_h := \prod_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \mathbb{P}_p(K) : \ \lambda$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{gh}^p := \left\{ \boldsymbol{v}_h := (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X_{g_1 h}^p \times X_{g_2 h}^p \text{ s.t. } (v_{1h} - v_{2h})|_K(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathcal{V}_K \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}$$

HHO papers: Di Pietro, Ern (2015), Cockburn, Di Pietro, Ern (2016), Cascavita, Chouly, Ern (2019), Cicuttin, Ern, Gudi (2020), Chouly, Ern, Pignet (2020)

In HHO we employ two operators:

- **Gradient reconstruction operator in every cell**: $\mathbf{G}_K : X_{h,K}^p \to \mathbb{P}_p(K;\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$(\mathbf{G}_K(\hat{v}_K), \mathbf{q})_K := (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\hat{v}_K, \mathbf{q})_K + (v_{\partial K} - \hat{v}_K, \mathbf{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_K)_{\partial K}, \quad \forall \hat{v}_K \in X_{h,K}^p, \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}_p(K;\mathbb{R}^2),$$

It approximates the gradient at the continuous level

- **Stabilization operator**

$$s_h(\hat{u}_h, \hat{v}_h) := \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} h_K^{-1} \left(\Pi_{\partial K}^{p-1}(u_{\partial K} - \hat{u}_K), v_{\partial K} - \hat{v}_K\right)_{\partial K}$$

Bilinear form: $\forall \hat{v}_h \in X_h^p$, $\forall \hat{w}_h \in X_h^p$

$$\mathcal{A}_h(\hat{v}_h, \hat{w}_h) := \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} (\mathbf{G}_K(\hat{v}_K), \mathbf{G}_K(\hat{w}_K))_K + s_h(\hat{v}_h, \hat{w}_h)$$

Discrete variational inequality: find $\boldsymbol{u}_h = (u_{1h}, u_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}^p_{gh}$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \mathcal{A}_h(u_{\alpha h}, v_{\alpha h} - u_{\alpha h}) \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (f_\alpha|_K, v_{\alpha h}|_K - u_{\alpha h}|_K)_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h = (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in \mathcal{K}^p_{gh} \qquad \text{(DR)}$$

Well-posed problem (Lions–Stampacchia)

Discrete complementarity problem

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{C}}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{C}}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{C}}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{C}}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E} := \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbb{S}_{CC} & \mu_1 \mathbb{S}_{CF} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{I}_d \\ \mu_1 \mathbb{S}_{FC} & \mu_1 \mathbb{S}_{FF} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S}_{CC} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S}_{CF} & \mathbb{I}_d \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S}_{FC} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S}_{FF} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{F} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_2 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_h := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{C}}_{1h} \\ \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{F}}_{1h} \\ \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{C}}_{2h} \\ \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{F}}_{2h} \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \end{bmatrix}.$$

HHO performance

- In dG-SIPG, $\mathcal{A}_h$ is coercive provided that the coefficient $\gamma > 0$ (stablization term) is large enough. The matrix associated to $\mathcal{A}_h$ is symmetric.
- In dG-NIPG, the stability is unconditional but the matrix associated to $\mathcal{A}_h$ is not symmetric.
- In HHO, $\mathcal{A}_h$ is always coercive and the associated matrix is symmetric. The polynomials attached to the cells can be eliminated through a static condensation procedure.
  Static condensation: It occurs within the assembly part. A linear system expressed on the faces is derived. To recover the cell unknowns we solve local problems.

The blue DOFs are eliminated!

Summary

Find u_1, u_2, λ such that
$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We proposed several numerical schemes : FEM, dG, HHO leading to a discrete system of PDEs with complementarity constraints:

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

How can we solve the nonlinear problem ?

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Model problem and discretization
3. Semismooth Newton and first numerical results
4. A posteriori analysis
5. Extension to unsteady problems
6. Conclusion

C-functions

How to solve the nonlinear problem

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

Definition

$f : (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$ $(m \geq 1)$ is a C-function or a complementarity function if

$$\forall (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \qquad f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = 0.$$

C-functions

How to solve the nonlinear problem

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

Definition

$f : (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$ $(m \geq 1)$ is a C-function or a complementarity function if

$$\forall (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \qquad f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = 0.$$

min: $(\min\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\})_l := \min\{\boldsymbol{x}_l, \boldsymbol{y}_l\}$, **Fischer–Burmeister:** $(f_{\mathrm{FB}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}))_l := \sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}_l^2 + \boldsymbol{y}_l^2} - \boldsymbol{x}_l - \boldsymbol{y}_l$

C-functions

How to solve the nonlinear problem

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

Definition

$f : (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$ $(m \geq 1)$ is a C-function or a complementarity function if

$$\forall (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \qquad f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = 0.$$

min: $(\min\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\})_l := \min\{\boldsymbol{x}_l, \boldsymbol{y}_l\}$, **Fischer–Burmeister:** $(f_{\mathrm{FB}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}))_l := \sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}_l^2 + \boldsymbol{y}_l^2} - \boldsymbol{x}_l - \boldsymbol{y}_l$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h & = \boldsymbol{F}, \\ \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h) & = \boldsymbol{0}. \end{cases}$$

C-functions

How to solve the nonlinear problem

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h = \boldsymbol{F},$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h} \geq 0, \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} \geq 0, \quad (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h} = 0.$$

Definition

$f : (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$ $(m \geq 1)$ is a C-function or a complementarity function if

$$\forall (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^2 \qquad f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \geq \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = 0.$$

min: $(\min\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\})_l := \min\{\boldsymbol{x}_l, \boldsymbol{y}_l\}$, **Fischer–Burmeister:** $(f_{\mathrm{FB}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}))_l := \sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}_l^2 + \boldsymbol{y}_l^2} - \boldsymbol{x}_l - \boldsymbol{y}_l$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{X}_h & = \boldsymbol{F}, \\ \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h) & = \boldsymbol{0}. \end{cases}$$

The C-function is not Fréchet differentiable. We use semismooth Newton algorithms.

Facchinei and Pang (2003), Bonnans, Gilbert, Lemaréchal, and Sagastizábal (2006).

Inexact semismooth Newton method

Newton initial vector: $\boldsymbol{X}_h^0 := \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^0, \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^0, \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^0\right)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}$, on step $k \geq 1$, one looks for $\boldsymbol{X}_h^k \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}$ such that

$$\mathbb{A}^{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_h^k = \boldsymbol{B}^{k-1},$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}^{k-1} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \\ \mathbf{J_C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{B}^{k-1} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{F} \\ \mathbf{J_C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1})\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1} - \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inexact semismooth Newton method

Newton initial vector: $\boldsymbol{X}_h^0 := \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^0, \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^0, \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^0\right)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}$, on step $k \geq 1$, one looks for $\boldsymbol{X}_h^k \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}$ such that

$$\mathbb{A}^{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_h^k = \boldsymbol{B}^{k-1},$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}^{k-1} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \\ \mathbf{J_C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{B}^{k-1} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{F} \\ \mathbf{J_C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1})\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1} - \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inexact solver initial vector: $\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k,0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}$, often taken as $\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k,0} = \boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1}$, this yields on step $i \geq 1$ an approximation $\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k,i}$ to $\boldsymbol{X}_h^k$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{A}^{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k,i} = \boldsymbol{B}^{k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}_h^{k,i},$$

where $\boldsymbol{R}_h^{k,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}$ is the algebraic residual vector.

Newton-min convergence

Theorem

The Newton-min Algorithm is well defined. Moreover, if the first guess $\boldsymbol{X}_h^0$ is close enough to the solution $\boldsymbol{X}_h^*$ to the nonlinear system, then the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_h^k\right)_{k\geq 1}$ converges to $\boldsymbol{X}_h^*$ with a finite number of semismooth iterations and the local convergence is quadratic.
In other words,

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_h^k - \boldsymbol{X}_h^*\right\|_2 \leq K \left\|\boldsymbol{X}_h^{k-1} - \boldsymbol{X}_h^*\right\|_2^2,$$

J. Dabaghi, G. Delay, A unified framework for high-order numerical discretizations of variational inequalities. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications (2021).*

Numerical experiments

- unit square domain $\Omega := (0,1) \times (0,1)$
- We compare the performance of FEM and HHO

First test case

$$u_1(r) := -u_2(r) := \begin{cases} (r^2 - R^2)^N & \text{if } r \geq R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \lambda(r) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \geq R, \\ 1000 r^3 (R^2 - r^2)^3 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

- $r := \sqrt{(x-0.5)^2 + (y-0.5)^2}$: distance to the center of the domain,
- $R := 1/3$: radius of the disk where contact occurs,
- $N := 6$

This solution is associated to the right-hand sides f_1 and f_2 defined by

$$f_1(r) := -f_2(r) := \begin{cases} -4N(r^2 - R^2)^{N-2}(Nr^2 - R^2) & \text{if } r \geq R, \\ -1000 r^3 (R^2 - r^2)^3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For both schemes, the errors are reported in the energy norm

$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h|||_\Omega := \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \mu_1 \, \|\nabla(u_1 - u_{1K})\|^2_{L^2(K)} + \mu_2 \, \|\nabla(u_2 - u_{2K})\|^2_{L^2(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

HHO with static condensation

	$\mathbb{P}_1$ DOFs		$\mathbb{P}_2$ DOFs		$\mathbb{P}_3$ DOFs		$\mathbb{P}_4$ DOFs	
Mesh	no SC	SC	no SC	SC	no SC	SC	no SC	SC
$\mathcal{T}_0$	752	176	1504	352	2448	528	3584	704
$\mathcal{T}_1$	3040	736	6080	1472	9888	2208	14464	2944
$\mathcal{T}_2$	12224	3008	24448	6016	39744	9024	58112	12032
$\mathcal{T}_3$	49024	12160	98048	24320	159360	36480	232960	48640
$\mathcal{T}_4$	196352	48896	392704	97792	638208	146688	932864	195584

- Important reduction of the system size

Number of Newton-min iterations

Convergence

A second test case

$$u_1(r) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \le R, \\ (r^2 - R^2)^2 & \text{if } r > R, \end{cases} \quad u_2(r) := 0, \quad \lambda(r) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \le R, \\ 0 & \text{if } r > R, \end{cases}$$

This solution is associated to the right-hand sides f_1 and f_2 given by

$$f_1(r) := \begin{cases} -8R^2 & \text{if } r \le R, \\ 8R^2 - 16r^2 & \text{if } r > R, \end{cases} \quad f_2(r) := \begin{cases} 8R^2 & \text{if } r \le R, \\ 0 & \text{if } r > R. \end{cases}$$

Number of Newton-min iterations

Convergence

J. Dabaghi, G. Delay, A unified framework for high-order numerical discretizations of variational inequalities. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications (2021).*

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Model problem and discretization
3. Semismooth Newton and first numerical results
4. A posteriori analysis
5. Extension to unsteady problems
6. Conclusion

A posteriori analysis for finite elements

Goal: Derive an upper bound on the error which is fully computable

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_\sharp \leq \eta^{k,i} := \left(\sum_{K \in Th} \left[\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

A posteriori analysis for finite elements

Goal: Derive an upper bound on the error which is fully computable

$$\left|\left|\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|\right|_\sharp \leq \eta^{k,i} := \left(\sum_{K \in Th} \left[\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i})$ local estimator depending on the approximate solution

A posteriori analysis for finite elements

Goal: Derive an upper bound on the error which is fully computable

$$\left|\left|\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|\right|_\sharp \leq \eta^{k,i} := \left(\sum_{K \in Th} \left[\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i})$ local estimator depending on the approximate solution
- $\eta^{k,i} \leq \eta_{\text{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{alg}}^{k,i}$: identification of the error components

A posteriori analysis for finite elements

Goal: Derive an upper bound on the error which is fully computable

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_\sharp \leq \eta^{k,i} := \left(\sum_{K \in Th} \left[\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i})$ local estimator depending on the approximate solution
- $\eta^{k,i} \leq \eta_{\text{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{alg}}^{k,i}$: identification of the error components
- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \leq$ local error + $\underbrace{\text{local contact term}}_{\text{typically very small}}$: local efficiency

A posteriori analysis for finite elements

Goal: Derive an upper bound on the error which is fully computable

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_\sharp \leq \eta^{k,i} := \left(\sum_{K \in Th} \left[\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i})$ local estimator depending on the approximate solution
- $\eta^{k,i} \leq \eta_{\text{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{alg}}^{k,i}$: identification of the error components
- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \leq$ local error + $\underbrace{\text{local contact term}}_{\text{typically very small}}$: local efficiency
- adaptive inexact stopping criteria based on the error components

A posteriori analysis for finite elements

Goal: Derive an upper bound on the error which is fully computable

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_\sharp \leq \eta^{k,i} := \left(\sum_{K \in Th} \left[\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i})$ local estimator depending on the approximate solution
- $\eta^{k,i} \leq \eta_{\text{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\text{alg}}^{k,i}$: identification of the error components
- $\eta_K(\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}) \leq$ local error + $\underbrace{\text{local contact term}}_{\text{typically very small}}$: local efficiency
- adaptive inexact stopping criteria based on the error components

We employ the methodology of equilibrated flux reconstruction to obtain local error estimators.

Destuynder & Métivet (1999) Braess & Schöberl (2008), Ern & Vohralík (2013)

Component flux reconstruction

Recall

$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Component flux reconstruction

Recall

$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Motivation: $-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_\alpha \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; -\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \notin \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; \nabla \cdot \left(-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}\right) \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$

Component flux reconstruction

Recall

$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Motivation: $-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_\alpha \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; -\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \notin \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; \nabla \cdot \left(-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}\right) \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$

Flux reconstruction: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \quad \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, 1\right)_K = \left(f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}, 1\right)_K$

Component flux reconstruction

Recall

$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Motivation: $-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_\alpha \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; -\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \notin \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; \nabla \cdot \left(-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}\right) \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$

Flux reconstruction: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \quad \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, 1\right)_K = \left(f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}, 1\right)_K$

Decomposition of the flux: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}$

Component flux reconstruction

Recall

$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Motivation: $-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_\alpha \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; -\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \notin \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; \nabla \cdot \left(-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}\right) \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$

Flux reconstruction: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \quad \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, 1\right)_K = \left(f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}, 1\right)_K$

Decomposition of the flux: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}$

Algebraic flux reconstruction solving local mixt problems on patches:
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} = r_{\alpha h}^{k,i}$

Component flux reconstruction

Recall

$$\begin{cases} -\mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ -\mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (u_1 - u_2)\lambda = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 = g_1, \; u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Motivation: $-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_\alpha \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; -\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \notin \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \; \nabla \cdot \left(-\mu_\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}\right) \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$

Flux reconstruction: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega), \quad \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, 1\right)_K = \left(f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}, 1\right)_K$

Decomposition of the flux: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}$

Algebraic flux reconstruction solving local mixt problems on patches:
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} = r_{\alpha h}^{k,i}$

Discretization flux reconstruction solving local mixt problems on patches:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}, \Omega) \quad \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}, 1\right)_K = \left(f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i} - r_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, 1\right)_K$$

Estimators

Violations of physical properties of the numerical solution

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \neq -\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$$

Estimators

Violations of physical properties of the numerical solution

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \neq -\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{\alpha h}^{k,i}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \neq f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i}$$

Flux estimator:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}^{k,i} := \left\| \mu_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} + \mu_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \right\|_K ,$$

Residual estimator:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} := \frac{h_K}{\pi} \mu_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| f_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h}^{k,i} - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_h^{k,i} \right\|_K ,$$

Violations of the complementarity constraints

Violations of the complementarity constraints

p = 1: **at convergence:**

$$(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h \in \mathcal{K}_g,\ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_h \in \Lambda,\ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a}) = 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h \cdot (u_{1h}-u_{2h}) = 0$$

Violations of the complementarity constraints

p = 1: **at convergence:**

$$(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a})\geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h\in\mathcal{K}_g,\ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\geq 0\Rightarrow \lambda_h\in\Lambda,\ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a})=0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})=0$$

Contact estimator: $\eta_{\mathrm{C},K} := 2\,(\lambda_h, u_{1h}-u_{2h})_K,$

Violations of the complementarity constraints

p = 1: **at convergence:**

$$(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a})\geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h\in\mathcal{K}_g,\ \ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\geq 0\Rightarrow \lambda_h\in\Lambda,\ \ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a})=0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})=0$$

Contact estimator: $\eta_{\mathrm{C},K} := 2\,(\lambda_h, u_{1h}-u_{2h})_K,$

p = 1: **at each inexact semismooth step:**

$$(u_{1h}^{k,i}-u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{a})\not\geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}\notin\mathcal{K}_g,\ \ \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})\not\geq 0\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i}\notin\Lambda \quad \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}^{k,i}-u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{a})\neq 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{V}_h^{\mathrm{int}}$$

Violations of the complementarity constraints

p = 1: **at convergence:**

$$(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a})\geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h\in\mathcal{K}_g,\ \ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\geq 0\Rightarrow \lambda_h\in\Lambda,\ \ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a})=0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})=0$$

Contact estimator: $\eta_{\mathrm{C},K} := 2\,(\lambda_h, u_{1h}-u_{2h})_K,$

p = 1: **at each inexact semismooth step:**

$$(u_{1h}^{k,i}-u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{a})\not\geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}\notin\mathcal{K}_g,\ \ \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})\not\geq 0\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i}\notin\Lambda \quad \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}^{k,i}-u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{a})\neq 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{V}_h^{\mathrm{int}}$$

Nonconform estimator for the $\mathcal{K}_g$ violation $\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} = \left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i}-\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}\right\|_K$ with $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i}\in\mathcal{K}_g$

Violations of the complementarity constraints

p = 1: at convergence:

$$(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h \in \mathcal{K}_g,\ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_h \in \Lambda,\ \lambda_h(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h})(\boldsymbol{a}) = 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h\cdot(u_{1h}-u_{2h}) = 0$$

Contact estimator: $\eta_{\mathrm{C},K} := 2\,(\lambda_h, u_{1h} - u_{2h})_K,$

p = 1: at each inexact semismooth step:

$$(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{a}) \not\geq 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \notin \mathcal{K}_g,\quad \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a}) \not\geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \notin \Lambda \quad \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{a}) \neq 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h^{\mathrm{int}}$$

Nonconform estimator for the $\mathcal{K}_g$ violation $\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} = \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right\|_K$ with $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i} \in \mathcal{K}_g$

The procedure to construct $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i}$ is easy!

$$(\tilde{s}_{1h}^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a}), \tilde{s}_{2h}^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})) = (u_{1h}^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a}), u_{2h}^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})) \text{ if } \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \in \mathcal{K}_g$$

$$(\tilde{s}_{1h}^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a}), \tilde{s}_{2h}^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a})) = \left(\frac{u_{1h}^{k,i} + u_{2h}^{k,i}}{2}(\boldsymbol{a}), \frac{u_{1h}^{k,i} + u_{2h}^{k,i}}{2}(\boldsymbol{a})\right)$$

if $\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \notin \mathcal{K}_g. \Rightarrow \tilde{s}_{1h}^{k,i} - \tilde{s}_{2h}^{k,i} \geq 0$

Other nonconform estimators for the Λ violation

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} = C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} \right\|_K \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} = \min\left\{0, \lambda_h^{k,i}\right\}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} = 2C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\|_K \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right\|_K \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} = \max\left\{0, \lambda_h^{k,i}\right\}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} := 2\left(\lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right)_K$$

Other nonconform estimators for the Λ violation

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} = C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} \right\|_K \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} = \min\left\{0, \lambda_h^{k,i}\right\}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} = 2C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\|_K \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right\|_K \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} = \max\left\{0, \lambda_h^{k,i}\right\}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} := 2\left(\lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right)_K$$

Remark

When $k, i \to +\infty$, $\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} \to 0$, $\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} \to 0$, $\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} \to 0$.

$p \geq 2$: at convergence and at each inexact semismooth step:

$p \geq 2$: at convergence and at each inexact semismooth step:

$$(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \in \mathcal{K}_g \ , \ \left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \in \Lambda$$

$$\left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right)_\Omega = 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \cdot \left(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right) = 0$$

$p \geq 2$: at convergence and at each inexact semismooth step:

$$(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \in \mathcal{K}_g \ , \ \left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \in \Lambda$$

$$\left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right)_\Omega = 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \cdot \left(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right) = 0$$

The procedure to construct $\tilde{s}_h$ is more complex!

$p \geq 2$: at convergence and at each inexact semismooth step:

$$(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i})(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \in \mathcal{K}_g \ , \ \left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{x}_l}\right)_\Omega \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \in \Lambda$$

$$\left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right)_\Omega = 0 \not\Rightarrow \lambda_h^{k,i} \cdot \left(u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i}\right) = 0$$

The procedure to construct $\tilde{s}_h$ is more complex!

Step 1: Construct $\boldsymbol{s}_h$ in each nodes as

$$\boldsymbol{s}_h(\boldsymbol{x}_l) = (\underbrace{\frac{u_{1h} + u_{2h}}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_l)}_{s_{1h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l)}, \underbrace{\frac{u_{1h} + u_{2h}}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_l)}_{s_{2h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l)}).$$

We have $s_{1h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) - s_{2h}(\boldsymbol{x}_l) \geq 0$ but $\boldsymbol{s}_h \notin \mathcal{K}_g$.

Step 2: solve the minimization problem : find $c_K > 0$ such that $c_K = \min_{c>0} (s_{1h} - s_{2h})_K + c\Psi_K$

Step 3: We set $\tilde{s}_{1h}|_K = s_{1h}|_K + \frac{1}{2} c_K \Psi_K$ and $\tilde{s}_{2h}|_K = s_{2h}|_K - \frac{1}{2} c_K \Psi_K$

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

$$\left|\left|\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|\right| \leq \left\{ \left(\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} \right)^2 + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

$$\left|\left|\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|\right| \leq \left\{ \left(\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} \right)^2 + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Corollary (Distinction of the error components)

$$\left|\left|\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|\right| \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i}$$

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right| \leq \left\{ \left(\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} \right)^2 + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Corollary (Distinction of the error components)

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right| \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i}$$

Adaptive algorithm

If $\boxed{\eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} \leq \gamma_{\mathrm{alg}} \max\left\{ \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}, \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} \right\}}$

Stop linear solver

If $\boxed{\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} \leq \gamma_{\mathrm{lin}} \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}}$

Stop nonlinear solver

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right| \leq \left\{ \left(\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} \right)^2 + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Corollary (Distinction of the error components)

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right| \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i}$$

Adaptive algorithm

If $\boxed{\eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} \leq \gamma_{\mathrm{alg}} \max\left\{ \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}, \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} \right\}}$

Stop linear solver

If $\boxed{\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} \leq \gamma_{\mathrm{lin}} \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i}}$

Stop nonlinear solver

Theorem (Local efficiency under adaptive stopping criteria : p=1)

$$\eta_{\mathrm{disc},K}^{k,i} \lesssim \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}_h} \left(\left\| \nabla \left(u_\alpha - u_{\alpha h}^{k,i} \right) \right\|_{\omega_h^{\boldsymbol{a}}} + \left\| \lambda - \lambda_h^{k,i}(\boldsymbol{a}) \right\|_{H_*^{-1}(\omega_h^{\boldsymbol{a}})} \right)$$

$$+ \text{contact term}$$

Numerical experiments

Numerical experiments $\mathbb{P}_2$

- semismooth solver: Newton-min. Linear solver: GMRES with ILU preconditionner.
- We compare three strategies: exact Newton, inexact Newton, adaptive inexact Newton.

Exact Newton

Inexact Newton

Adaptive inexact Newton

Precision is preserved for adaptive inexact semismooth Newton method.

Adaptivity

Exact Newton/Adaptive inexact Newton

Overall performance

Effectivity indices: $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{eff}} := \dfrac{\eta^{k,i}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i}\right\|_\Omega}$

contact estimator

J. Dabaghi, V. Martin, M. Vohralík, Adaptive Inexact Semismooth Newton Methods for the Contact Problem Between Two Membranes. *Journal of Scientific Computing (2020).*

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Model problem and discretization
3. Semismooth Newton and first numerical results
4. A posteriori analysis
5. Extension to unsteady problems
6. Conclusion

Parabolic model problem with linear complementarity constraints

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u_1 - \mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times]0, T[\,, \\
\partial_t u_2 - \mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times]0, T[\,, \\
u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \lambda(u_1 - u_2) = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times]0, T[\,, \\
u_1 = g_1 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times]0, T[\,, \\
u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times]0, T[\,, \\
u_1(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) = u_1^0(\boldsymbol{x}), \; u_2(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) = u_2^0(\boldsymbol{x}), \; u_1^0(\boldsymbol{x}) - u_2^0(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega.
\end{cases}
$$

Parabolic model problem with linear complementarity constraints

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 - \mu_1 \Delta u_1 - \lambda = f_1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times]0, T[, \\ \partial_t u_2 - \mu_2 \Delta u_2 + \lambda = f_2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times]0, T[, \\ u_1 - u_2 \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \lambda(u_1 - u_2) = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times]0, T[, \\ u_1 = g_1 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times]0, T[, \\ u_2 = g_2 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times]0, T[, \\ u_1(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) = u_1^0(\boldsymbol{x}), \; u_2(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) = u_2^0(\boldsymbol{x}), \; u_1^0(\boldsymbol{x}) - u_2^0(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Two possibilities to characterize the weak solution

Recall $\Lambda = \{\chi \in L^2(\Omega), \; \chi \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

- Saddle point formulation $(u_1, u_2, \lambda) \in L^2(0, T; H^1_{g_1}(\Omega)) \times L^2(0, T; H^1_{g_2}(\Omega)) \times L^2(0, T; \Lambda)$
- Parabolic variational inequality: $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{K}_g^{\mathrm{t}}$

$$\mathcal{K}_g^{\mathrm{t}} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in L^2(0, T; H^1_{g_1}(\Omega)) \times L^2(0, T; H^1_{g_2}(\Omega)), \; \boldsymbol{v}(t) \in \mathcal{K}_g \quad \text{a.e in }]0, T[\right\}$$

Discrete complementarity problems for finite elements

$n \geq 1, \ p \geq 1$:

Discrete complementarity problems for finite elements

$n \geq 1, \; p \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}^n \boldsymbol{X}_h^n = \boldsymbol{F}^n,$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^n - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^n \geq 0 \; \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^n \geq 0 \; (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^n - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^n) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^n = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E}^n := \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbb{S} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \mathbb{M} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{D} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \mathbb{M} & +\mathbb{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

Discrete complementarity problems for finite elements

$n \geq 1, \; p \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}^n \boldsymbol{X}_h^n = \boldsymbol{F}^n, \qquad \mathbb{E}^n := \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbb{S} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_n}\mathbb{M} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{D} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_n}\mathbb{M} & +\mathbb{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^n - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^n \geq 0 \;\; \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^n \geq 0 \;\; (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^n - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^n) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^n = 0.$$

Employing a C-function our problem reads

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^n \boldsymbol{X}_h^n & = \boldsymbol{F}^n, \\ \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^n) & = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Discrete complementarity problems for finite elements

$n \geq 1, \; p \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}^n \boldsymbol{X}_h^n = \boldsymbol{F}^n,$$
$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^n - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^n \geq 0 \;\; \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^n \geq 0 \;\; (\boldsymbol{X}_{1h}^n - \boldsymbol{X}_{2h}^n) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{3h}^n = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E}^n := \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbb{S} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \mathbb{M} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbb{D} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mu_2 \mathbb{S} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \mathbb{M} & +\mathbb{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

Employing a C-function our problem reads

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^n \boldsymbol{X}_h^n & = \boldsymbol{F}^n, \\ \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{X}_h^n) & = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Inexact semismooth Newton method:

$$\mathbb{A}^{n,k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_h^{n,k,i} = \boldsymbol{B}^{n,k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}_h^{n,k,i}$$

A posteriori analysis

We employ the methodology of equilibrated flux reconstructions

Theorem (Guaranteed upper bound)

$$\forall p \geq 1,\ \forall k \geq 0,\ \forall i \geq 0, \quad \left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau}^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq \eta^{k,i}$$

Corollary (Distinction of the error components)

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau}^{k,i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{init}}$$

A posteriori error at convergence for $p = 1$

Theorem (Guaranteed upper bound)

$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau}|||^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + |||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{z}|||^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + \|(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau})(\cdot, T)\|^2_\Omega \leq 5\eta^2$$

$$\eta^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} \int_{I_n} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta^n_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha} + \eta^n_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}\right)^2 + \eta^n_{\mathrm{C},K} \right)(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \|(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau})(\cdot, 0)\|^2_\Omega .$$

A posteriori error at convergence for $p = 1$

Theorem (Guaranteed upper bound)

$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau}|||^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + |||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{z}|||^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + \|(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau})(\cdot, T)\|^2_\Omega \leq 5\eta^2$$

$$\eta^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} \int_{I_n} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta^n_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha} + \eta^n_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}\right)^2 + \eta^n_{\mathrm{C},K} \right)(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \|(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau})(\cdot, 0)\|^2_\Omega .$$

Auxiliary problem: Given $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$, let $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$ be such that $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$

$$\int_0^T a(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{z})(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \geq -\int_0^T \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \langle \partial_t(u_\alpha - u_{\alpha h\tau}) - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_{h\tau}, v_\alpha - z_\alpha \rangle (t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

A posteriori error at convergence for $p = 1$

Theorem (Guaranteed upper bound)

$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau}|||^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + |||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{z}|||^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + \|(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau})(\cdot, T)\|^2_\Omega \leq 5\eta^2$$

$$\eta^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} \int_{I_n} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\eta^n_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha} + \eta^n_{\mathrm{F},K,\alpha}\right)^2 + \eta^n_{\mathrm{C},K} \right)(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \|(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau})(\cdot, 0)\|^2_\Omega .$$

Auxiliary problem: Given $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{h\tau} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$, let $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$ be such that $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{t}}_g$

$$\int_0^T a(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{z})(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \geq -\int_0^T \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \langle \partial_t(u_\alpha - u_{\alpha h\tau}) - (-1)^\alpha \lambda_{h\tau}, v_\alpha - z_\alpha \rangle (t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Lemma

$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{z}|||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} \lesssim \left(\int_0^T \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \|\partial_t (u_\alpha - u_{\alpha h\tau})\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_0^T \|\lambda_{h\tau} - \lambda\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Numerical experiments $p = 1$

- semismooth solver: Newton–Fischer–Burmeister
- iterative algebraic solver : GMRES with ILU preconditionner

Newton–Fischer–Burmeister performance

J. Dabaghi, V. Martin, M. Vohralík, A posteriori estimates distinguishing the error components and adaptive stopping criteria for numerical approximations of parabolic variational inequalities. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engeneering (2020).*

Two-phase flow with phase appearance and disappearance

Storage of radioactive wastes in deep geological layers

Two-phase flow with phase appearance and disappearance

Storage of radioactive wastes in deep geological layers

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_1 \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) - \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{w}},$$

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_2 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \gamma_3 P^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{h}},$$

$$1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0$$

Two-phase flow with phase appearance and disappearance

Storage of radioactive wastes in deep geological layers

$$\partial_t I_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_1 \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) - \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{w}},$$

$$\partial_t I_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_2 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \gamma_3 P^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{h}},$$

$$1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0$$

Unknowns: liquid saturation S^{l}, liquid pressure P^{l}, mole fraction of liquid hydrogen $\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$

Two-phase flow with phase appearance and disappearance

Storage of radioactive wastes in deep geological layers

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_1 \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) - \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{w}},$$

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_2 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \gamma_3 P^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{h}},$$

$$1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0$$

Unknowns: liquid saturation S^{l}, liquid pressure P^{l}, mole fraction of liquid hydrogen $\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$

Linear functions: amount of water l_{w}, amount of hydrogen l_{h}

Two-phase flow with phase appearance and disappearance

Storage of radioactive wastes in deep geological layers

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_1 \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) - \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{w}},$$

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_2 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \gamma_3 P^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{h}},$$

$$1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0$$

Unknowns: liquid saturation S^{l}, liquid pressure P^{l}, mole fraction of liquid hydrogen $\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$

Linear functions: amount of water l_{w}, amount of hydrogen l_{h}

Nonlinear fluxes: Darcy fluxes $\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}$ and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}$ + Fick flux $\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$

Two-phase flow with phase appearance and disappearance

Storage of radioactive wastes in deep geological layers

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_1 \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) - \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{w}},$$

$$\partial_t l_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\gamma_2 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \gamma_3 P^{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}) + \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}})\right] = Q_{\mathrm{h}},$$

$$1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \quad \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0$$

Unknowns: liquid saturation S^{l}, liquid pressure P^{l}, mole fraction of liquid hydrogen $\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$

Linear functions: amount of water l_{w}, amount of hydrogen l_{h}

Nonlinear fluxes: Darcy fluxes $\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{l}}$ and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{g}}$ + Fick flux $\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}$

Nonlinear complementarity constraints: $1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} = 0$ and $HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} > 0 \Rightarrow$ no gas.
If $1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} > 0$ and $HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} = 0 \Rightarrow$ gas appearance.

Ben Gharbia and Jaffré (2014)

Discretization by the finite volume method

Numerical solution:

$$\boldsymbol{U}^n := (\boldsymbol{U}_K^n)_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h}, \qquad \boldsymbol{U}_K^n := (S_K^n, P_K^n, \chi_K^n)$$ **one value per cell and time step**

Discretization by the finite volume method

Numerical solution:

$$\boldsymbol{U}^n := (\boldsymbol{U}_K^n)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}, \qquad \boldsymbol{U}_K^n := (S_K^n, P_K^n, \chi_K^n)$$ **one value per cell and time step**

Discretization of each component equation and the nonlinear complementarity constraints

$$S_{c,K}^n(\boldsymbol{U}^n) = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \quad \forall c \in \{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{h}\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$$

Discretization by the finite volume method

Numerical solution:

$$\boldsymbol{U}^n := (\boldsymbol{U}_K^n)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}, \qquad \boldsymbol{U}_K^n := (S_K^n, P_K^n, \chi_K^n)$$ **one value per cell and time step**

Discretization of each component equation and the nonlinear complementarity constraints

$$S_{c,K}^n(\boldsymbol{U}^n) = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \quad \forall c \in \{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{h}\}$$
$$\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_K^n) = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$$

- **We reformulate the complementarity constraints with C-functions**
- **We employ inexact semismooth linearization**

A posteriori error estimates

Recall:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t l_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) = Q_{\mathrm{w}}, \\ \partial_t l_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) = Q_{\mathrm{h}}, \\ 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \ HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \ \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0 \end{cases}$$

A posteriori error estimates

Recall:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t I_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi^{1}_{\mathrm{h}}) = Q_{\mathrm{w}}, \\ \partial_t I_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi^{1}_{\mathrm{h}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi^{1}_{\mathrm{h}}) = Q_{\mathrm{h}}, \\ 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \ HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi^{1}_{\mathrm{h}} \geq 0, \ \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_1 \chi^{1}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] = 0 \end{cases}$$

Very complicated to define a weak solution and an upper bound on the error as:

$$|||P^{\mathrm{l}} - P_{1,h\tau}||| + |||S^{\mathrm{l}} - S_{1,h\tau}||| + |||\chi^{1}_{\mathrm{h}} - \chi^{1}_{h,h\tau}||| \leq \eta(P_{1,h\tau}, S_{1,h\tau}, \chi^{1}_{h,h\tau}) \qquad (\star)$$

A posteriori error estimates

Recall:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t I_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}}) = Q_{\mathrm{w}}, \\ \partial_t I_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}}) = Q_{\mathrm{h}}, \\ 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0,\ HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_{\mathrm{l}}\chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}} \geq 0,\ \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right]\cdot\left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_{\mathrm{l}}\chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] = 0 \end{cases}$$

Very complicated to define a weak solution and an upper bound on the error as:

$$|||P^{\mathrm{l}} - P_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}||| + |||S^{\mathrm{l}} - S_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}||| + |||\chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}} - \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{h,h\tau}||| \leq \eta(P_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}, S_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}, \chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{h,h\tau}) \qquad (\star)$$

Assumption: There exists a unique weak solution satisfying

$$I_c \in H^1((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); L^2(\Omega)),\ 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \in L^2_+((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); L^\infty(\Omega)),\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_c \in L^2((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div},\Omega))$$

$$\int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\partial_t I_c, \varphi)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\boldsymbol{\Phi}_c, \boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (Q_c, \varphi)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \quad \forall\varphi \in L^2((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); H^1(\Omega))$$

$$\int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\lambda - \left(1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right), H[P^{\mathrm{l}} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S^{\mathrm{l}})] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}}\chi^{\mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \geq 0 \quad \forall\lambda \in L^2_+((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); L^\infty(\Omega))$$

A posteriori error estimates

Recall:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t I_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{w}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) = Q_{\mathrm{w}}, \\ \partial_t I_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) + \nabla \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{h}}(S^{\mathrm{l}}, P^{\mathrm{l}}, \chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}) = Q_{\mathrm{h}}, \\ 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \ HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_{\mathrm{l}}\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} \geq 0, \ \left[1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right] \cdot \left[HP^{\mathrm{g}} - \beta_{\mathrm{l}}\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right] = 0 \end{cases}$$

Very complicated to define a weak solution and an upper bound on the error as:

$$|||P^{\mathrm{l}} - P_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}||| + |||S^{\mathrm{l}} - S_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}||| + |||\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}} - \chi_{h,h\tau}^{\mathrm{l}}||| \leq \eta(P_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}, S_{\mathrm{l},h\tau}, \chi_{h,h\tau}^{\mathrm{l}}) \qquad (\star)$$

Assumption: There exists a unique weak solution satisfying

$$I_c \in H^1((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); L^2(\Omega)), \ 1 - S^{\mathrm{l}} \in L^2_+((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); L^\infty(\Omega)), \ \Phi_c \in L^2((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div},\Omega))$$

$$\int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\partial_t I_c, \varphi)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (\Phi_c, \nabla\varphi)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} (Q_c, \varphi)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); H^1(\Omega))$$

$$\int_0^{t_{\mathrm{F}}} \left(\lambda - \left(1 - S^{\mathrm{l}}\right), H[P^{\mathrm{l}} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S^{\mathrm{l}})] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}}\chi_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \geq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in L^2_+((0,t_{\mathrm{F}}); L^\infty(\Omega))$$

Dual norm of the residual for the components

$$\left\|\mathcal{R}_c(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_n'} := \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_n \\ \|\varphi\|_{X_n}=1}} \int_{I_n} \left(Q_c - \partial_t I_{c,h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \varphi\right)_\Omega(t) + \left(\Phi_{c,h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \nabla\varphi\right)_\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Post-processing of the pressure and the molar fraction

The discrete liquid pressure and discrete molar fraction **are piecewise constant**

$$\left(P_K^{n,k,i}\right)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \in \mathbb{P}_0(\mathcal{T}_h) \quad \left(\chi_K^{n,k,i}\right)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \in \mathbb{P}_0(\mathcal{T}_h)$$

The darcy velocity involves a pressure gradient and the Fick flux involves a molar fraction gradient!

Step 1: Piecewise polynomial reconstruction

$$P_h^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathcal{T}_h), \quad \chi_h^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathcal{T}_h)$$

Step 2: Conforming reconstruction with Oswald interpolation operator

$$\tilde{P}_h^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H^1(\Omega), \quad \tilde{\chi}_h^{n,k,i} \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H^1(\Omega).$$

Error measure

1. **Dual norm of the residual for the components**
2. **Residual for the constraints**

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_n} \left(1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, H\left[P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}} \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}\right)_{\Omega}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

3. **Error measure for the nonconformity of the unknowns** $\mathcal{N}_p(P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$ and $\mathcal{N}_\chi(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} := \left\{\sum_{c\in\mathcal{C}} \left\|\mathcal{R}_c(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_n'}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_p^2 + \mathcal{N}_\chi^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$$

Theorem

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i}$$

Error measure

1. Dual norm of the residual for the components
2. **Residual for the constraints**

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_n} \left(1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, H\left[P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right] - \beta^{\mathrm{l}}\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}\right)_{\Omega}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

3. Error measure for the nonconformity of the unknowns $\mathcal{N}_p(P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$ and $\mathcal{N}_\chi(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} := \left\{\sum_{c\in\mathcal{C}} \left\|\mathcal{R}_c(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_n'}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_p^2 + \mathcal{N}_\chi^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$$

Theorem

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i}$$

Error measure

1. Dual norm of the residual for the components
2. Residual for the constraints

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}) := \int_{I_n} \left(1 - S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, H\left[P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i} + P_{\mathrm{cp}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right] - \beta^{1}\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}\right)_{\Omega}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

3. **Error measure for the nonconformity of the unknowns** $\mathcal{N}_p(P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$ and $\mathcal{N}_\chi(\chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} := \left\{\sum_{c\in\mathcal{C}} \left\|\mathcal{R}_c(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})\right\|_{X_n'}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_p^2 + \mathcal{N}_\chi^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{e}}(S_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, P_{h\tau}^{n,k,i}, \chi_{h\tau}^{n,k,i})$$

Theorem

$$\mathcal{N}^{n,k,i} \leq \eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{n,k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{n,k,i}$$

Numerical experiments

Ω: one-dimensional core with length $L = 200m$.

Semismooth solver: Newton-min

Iterative algebraic solver: GMRES.

Time step: $\Delta t = 5000$ years,

Number of cells: $N_{\mathrm{sp}} = 1000$,

Final simulation time: $t_{\mathrm{F}} = 5 \times 10^5$ years.

Phase transition estimator

$t = 2500$ years

Phase transition estimator

$t = 1.25 \times 10^4$ years

Phase transition estimator

$t = 4.25 \times 10^4$ years

Overall performance $\gamma_{\text{lin}} = \gamma_{\text{alg}} = 10^{-3}$

Accuracy $\gamma_{\text{lin}} = \gamma_{\text{alg}} = 10^{-3}$

$t = 1.05 \times 10^5$ years

$t = 3.5 \times 10^5$ years

I. Ben Gharbia J. Dabaghi, V. Martin, M. Vohralík, A posteriori error estimates for a compositional two-phase flow with nonlinear complementarity constraints. *Computational Geosciences (2020).*

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Model problem and discretization
3. Semismooth Newton and first numerical results
4. A posteriori analysis
5. Extension to unsteady problems
6. Conclusion

Conclusion and perspectives

Conclusion

Conclusion and perspectives

Conclusion

- We proposed several numerical schemes for variational inequalities.
- We devised a posteriori error estimates with $\mathbb{P}_p$ finite elements distinguishing the error components.
- Adaptive stopping criteria $\Rightarrow$ reduction of the number of iterations.
- Our a posteriori analysis works for unsteady problems (Two-phase flow with phase transition).

Conclusion and perspectives

Conclusion

- We proposed several numerical schemes for variational inequalities.
- We devised a posteriori error estimates with $\mathbb{P}_p$ finite elements distinguishing the error components.
- Adaptive stopping criteria $\Rightarrow$ reduction of the number of iterations.
- Our a posteriori analysis works for unsteady problems (Two-phase flow with phase transition).

Perspectives

- Extension of the stationary contact problem to a hyperbolic contact problem between two vibrating membranes.
- Devise a posteriori error estimators for HHO
- Construct a posteriori error estimates for a multiphase multi compositional flow with several phase transitions.

Acknowledgements

- Martin Vohralík (INRIA Paris)
- Vincent Martin (UTC Compiègne)
- Ibtihel Ben Gharbia (IFPEN)
- Guillaume Delay (LJLL)
- Soleiman Yousef (IFPEN)
- Jean-Charles Gilbert (INRIA Paris)

Thank you for your attention

Discretization flux reconstruction:

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h\right)_{\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h} - \left(\gamma^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h}, \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}_h\right)_{\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h} = -\left(\mu_\alpha \psi_{h,\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} u^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h\right)_{\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \mathbf{V}^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h,$$

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}, q_h\right)_{\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h} = \left(\tilde{g}^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h}, q_h\right)_{\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h} \quad \forall q_h \in Q^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h,$$

$$\tilde{g}^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h} := \left(f_\alpha - (-1)^\alpha \tilde{\lambda}^{k,i}_{h,\boldsymbol{a}} - r^{k,i}_{\alpha h}\right)\psi_{h,\boldsymbol{a}} - \mu_\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} u^{k,i}_{\alpha h}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\psi_{h,\boldsymbol{a}}$$: depends on the residual

For each internal vertex $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{int}}_h$

$$\mathbf{V}^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h := \left\{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \mathbf{RT}_p(\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h),\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_h\cdot\mathbf{n}_{\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h\right\}$$

$$Q^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h := \mathbb{P}^0_p(\omega^{\boldsymbol{a}}_h)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k,i}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{V}_h} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k,i,\boldsymbol{a}}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{disc}}$$

Strategy for constructing the estimators

$$\lambda_h^{k,i} := \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} + \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{gh}^p := \left\{ (v_{1h}, v_{2h}) \in X_{gh}^p \times X_{0h}^p,\ v_{1h} - v_{2h} \geq 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{K}_g.$$

Nonconformity estimator 1:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1,K}^{k,i} := \left\| \boldsymbol{s}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right\|_K,$$

Nonconformity estimator 2:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2,K}^{k,i} := h_\Omega C_{\mathrm{PF}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} \right\|_K,$$

Nonconformity estimator 3:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3,K}^{k,i} := 2 h_\Omega C_{\mathrm{PF}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\|_\Omega \left\| \boldsymbol{s}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right\|_K.$$

Distinguishing the error components

$p = 1$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\eta_{\mathrm{disc},K,\alpha}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \left| \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right| \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} := \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},1}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{nonc},2}^{k,i} + \left(\eta_{\mathrm{nonc},3}^{k,i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \eta_{\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left\| \mu_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha h,\mathrm{alg}}^{k,i} \right\|_K^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$p \geq 2$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{disc}}^{k,i} := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\eta_{\mathrm{disc},K,\alpha}^{k,i} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K,\alpha}^{k,i} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ 2 \left| \left(\lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} - \lambda_h^{k,i}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i} \right)_\Omega \right| \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \left|\left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{s}_h^{k,i} \right|\right| + C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} - \tilde{\lambda}_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} \right\|_\Omega + \left(2 C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|\left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{s}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\eta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{k,i} := \left|\left| \boldsymbol{s}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right| + C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \tilde{\lambda}_h^{k,i,\mathrm{neg}} \right\|_\Omega + \left(2 C_{\Omega,\mu} \left\| \lambda_h^{k,i,\mathrm{pos}} \right\| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|\left| \boldsymbol{s}_h^{k,i} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k,i} \right|\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \left\{ 2 \left| \left(\lambda_h^{k,i}, u_{1h}^{k,i} - u_{2h}^{k,i} \right)_\Omega \right| \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Parabolic weak formulation

Weak formulation: For $(f_1, f_2) \in [L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))]^2$, $\boldsymbol{u}^0 \in H_g^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, find $(u_1, u_2, \lambda) \in L^2(0,T;H_g^1(\Omega)) \times L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)) \times L^2(0,T;\Lambda)$ s.t. $\partial_t u_\alpha \in L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$, and satisfying $\forall t \in]0,T[$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \langle \partial_t u_\alpha(t), v_\alpha \rangle + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_\alpha(t), \nabla v_\alpha\right)_\Omega - (\lambda(t), v_1 - v_2)_\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (f_\alpha, v_\alpha)_\Omega, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \left[H_0^1(\Omega)\right]^2$$

$$(\chi - \lambda(t), u_1(t) - u_2(t))_\Omega \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \Lambda.$$

Discrete formulation: Given $(u_{1h}^0, u_{2h}^0) \in \mathcal{K}_{gh}^p$, search $(u_{1h}^n, u_{2h}^n, \lambda_h^n) \in X_{gh}^p \times X_{0h}^p \times \Lambda_h^p$ such that for all $(z_{1h}, z_{2h}, \chi_h) \in X_{0h}^p \times X_{0h}^p \times \Lambda_h^p$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(u_{\alpha h}^n - u_{\alpha h}^{n-1}, z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_\alpha \left(\nabla u_{\alpha h}^n, \nabla z_{\alpha h}\right)_\Omega - \langle \lambda_h^n, z_{1h} - z_{2h} \rangle_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (f_\alpha, z_{\alpha h})_\Omega,$$

$$\left\langle \chi_h - \lambda_h^n, u_{1h}^n - u_{2h}^n \right\rangle_h \geq 0$$

$\gamma_{\mathbf{lin}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{alg}} = \mathbf{10^{-6}}$

$t = 1.05 \times 10^5$ years

$t = 3.5 \times 10^5$ years