MATH 135: Introduction to the Theory of Sets

Jad Damaj

Fall 2022

# Contents

1	Intr	coduction	1
•	1.1	August 25	_
		1.1.1 Introduction	
		1.1.2 Basics	
2	Λvi	oms and Operations	:
4	2.1	August 30	
	2.1	2.1.1 Zermelo Fraenkel Axioms of Set Theory	
3	Rela	ations and Functions	3
	3.1	September 1	
	3.1	3.1.1 Relations and Functions	
	3.2	September 6	
		3.2.1 Functions and Relations	
		3.2.2 Infinite Cartesion Products	
4	Nat	curals, Rationals, Reals	L.
	4.1	September 8	Ĺ
		4.1.1 Natural Numbers	
	4.2	September 13	
		4.2.1 Operations on the Natural Numbers	
		4.2.2 Integers	
		4.2.3 Rationals	
	4.3	September 15	
		4.3.1 Reals (Dedekind Cuts)	
5	Car	edinal Numbers and the Axiom of Choice	1
	5.1	September 15	1
		5.1.1 Cardinality	1
	5.2	September 20	ó
		5.2.1 Cardinality	ó
	5.3	September 22	j
		5.3.1 Cardinals	j
	5.4	September 27	7
		5.4.1 Schroder-Bernstein Theorem	
	5.5	September 29	3
		5.5.1 Zorn's Lemma	3
	5.6	October 4	

CONTENTS 135: Set Theory

		5.6.1 Axiom of Choice	19
	5.7	October 6	20
		5.7.1 Axiom of Choice	20
		5.7.2 Applications of Axiom of Choice	20
	5.8	October 11	20
		5.8.1 Countable Sets	
	5.9	October 13	
		5.9.1 Cardinal Arithmetic	
6	$\mathbf{Ord}$	derings and Ordinals	<b>23</b>
	6.1	October 25	23
		6.1.1 Orderings	23
	6.2	October 27	24
		6.2.1 Induction and Recursion	24
	6.3	November 1	25
		6.3.1 The Replacement Axiom	25
	6.4	November 3	
		6.4.1 Ordinals	
	6.5	November 8	
		6.5.1 Cumulative Hierarchy	
	6.6	November 10	
	0.0	6.6.1 Transfinite Recursion	
	6.7	November 15	
	0.1	6.7.1 Ordinals	
	6.8	November 17	
	0.0	6.8.1 Zorn's Lemma	
		U.O.I DOING DOMING CALLARY CAL	JU

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

# 1.1 August 25

# 1.1.1 Introduction

Foundations of Mathematics: language, axioms, formal proofs

- We focus on the axioms in set theory
- We use ZFC (Zermelo-Fraenkel + Choice)
- There is only one primitive notion :  $\in$
- Within the ZFC universe, everything is a set

#### Course Outline:

- Basic axioms
- Operations, relations, functions
- $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$
- $\bullet$  carindals
- AC
- ordinals

#### 1.1.2 Basics

**Principle of Extensionality**: Two sets A, B are the same  $\leftrightarrow$  they have the same elements  $\forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B)$ **Example 1.1.1.**  $2, 3, 5 = \{5, 2, 4\} = \{2, 5, 2, 3, 3, 2\}$ 

#### **Definition 1.1.2.** There is a set with no elements, denoted $\varnothing$

- $\emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\}$
- $A \subseteq B$ : A is a subset of  $B \leftrightarrow$  each element of A is in B (use  $\subseteq$  to denote proper subset)

1.1. AUGUST 25

- $\{2\} \subseteq \{2,3,5\}$  but  $\{2\} \notin \{2,3,5\}$
- Power set opertaion:  $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{B \mid B \subseteq A\}$

We can define a hierarchy:

$$\begin{array}{l} V_0 = \varnothing, \ V_1 = \mathcal{P}(\varnothing) = \{\varnothing\}, \ V_2 = \mathcal{PP}(\varnothing) = \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\} \\ V_3 = \mathcal{P}(V_2) = \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}, \{\{\varnothing\}\}, \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}\}, \ V_4, \dots \\ V_\omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n, \ \mathcal{P}(V_\omega), \ \mathcal{PP}(V_\omega), \dots, V_{\omega + \omega}, \dots, V_{\omega + \omega + \cdots}, \dots, V_{\omega \times \omega}, \dots, V_{\omega^\omega} \end{array}$$

# Chapter 2

# **Axioms and Operations**

# 2.1 August 30

# 2.1.1 Zermelo Fraenkel Axioms of Set Theory

Setting: in ZFC all objects are sets

Language: contains vocabulary ( $\epsilon$ ), logical symbols (=,  $\land$ ,  $\lor \exists$ ,  $\forall$ ,  $\neg$ ), variables (x, y, A, B, etc.)

**Axiom 2.1.1** (Extensionality Axiom). Two sets are the same if they have the same elements  $\forall A, B(\forall x(x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B) \rightarrow A = B)$ 

**Axiom 2.1.2** (Empty Set Axiom). There is a set with no members, denoted  $\varnothing \exists A \forall x (x \notin A)$ 

**Axiom 2.1.3** (Pairing Axiom). For any sets u, v there is a est whose elements are u and v, denoted  $\{u, v\}$   $\forall u, v \exists A \forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow x = u \lor x = v)$ 

**Axiom 2.1.4** (Union Axiom (Preliminary Form)). For any sets a, b there is a set whose elements are elements of a and elements of b, denoted  $a \cup b$   $\forall a, b \exists A \forall x (x \in Ax \in u \lor x \in v)$ 

**Axiom 2.1.5** (Powerset Axiom). Each set A, has a power set  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ .  $\forall A \exists B \forall x (x \in B \iff x \subseteq A)$  where  $x \subseteq A$  stands for  $\forall y (y \in x \to y \in A)$ 

**Axiom 2.1.6** (Union Axiom). For any set A, there is a set  $\bigcup A$  whose members are members of the members of A.

 $\forall A \exists B \forall x (x \in B \leftrightarrow \exists y \in A (x \in y))$ 

Idea for the subset axiom: For any set A, there is a set B whose members are members of A satisfying some property.

2.1. AUGUST 30 135: Set Theory

eg.  $B = \{x \in A \mid x \text{ satisfies property } P\} \subseteq A$ 

**Example 2.1.7.**  $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ cannot be described in less that 20 words}\}$ 

• let b be the smallest element in B, then b is the smallest element that cannot be described in 20 words.

• Paradox : need to use formal language to express property P.

**Example 2.1.8.** Let  $B = \{x \mid x \notin x\}$ 

Question:  $B \in B$ ?  $B \in B \leftrightarrow B \notin B$ : need to have property be contained in some larger set.

We can now restate the axiom more formally:

**Axiom 2.1.9** (Subset Axiom (Scheme)). For each formula  $\phi(x)$ , there is an axiom:  $\forall A \exists B \forall x (x \in B \leftrightarrow x \in A \land \phi(x))$ 

**Example 2.1.10.** Suppose there is a set of all sets A. Consider  $B = \{x \in A \mid x \notin x\}$ . Then  $B \in B \leftrightarrow B \notin B$ , contradiction. So there can be no such set A.

The language of 1rst order logic for ZFC:

The following are formulas:

- $x = y, x \in y$  atomic formulas
- $(\varphi \wedge \psi), (\varphi \vee \psi), \neg \varphi$  where  $\varphi, \psi$  are formulas
- $\exists v\varphi, \forall x\varphi$

**Example 2.1.11.**  $\varphi(v, w) := (\exists v (v \in x \land \neg v = w)) \to (\forall y (\neg y \in y))$  is a formula

# Chapter 3

# Relations and Functions

# 3.1 September 1

#### 3.1.1 Relations and Functions

Ordered Pair:  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle c, d \rangle \leftrightarrow a = c, b = d$ 

```
Definition 3.1.1. \langle a, b \rangle = \{ \{a\}, \{a, b\} \}
```

```
Cartesian product of A and B, denoted A \times B = \{\langle x, y \rangle x \in A, y \in B\}
Using the subset axiom A \times B = \{z \in \mathcal{PP}(A \cup B) \mid \exists x \in A \exists y \in Bz = \langle x, y \rangle\}
Observation: \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathcal{PP}(C) for x, y \in C
\{x\}, \{x, y\} \in \mathcal{P}(C) so \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(C) so \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \in \mathcal{PP}(C)
```

**Definition 3.1.2.** A binary relation is a set R whose elements are ordered pairs.

If  $R \subset A \times B$  then R is a relation from  $A \to B$ .

```
Definition 3.1.3. Given a relation R, dom R = \{x \in \bigcup \bigcup R \mid \exists y \langle x, y \rangle \in R\}, range R = \{y \in \bigcup \bigcup R \mid \exists x \langle x, y \rangle \in R\}, field (R) = \text{dom}(R) \cup \text{range}(R)
```

```
Example 3.1.4. R = \{\langle a,b \rangle, \langle c,d \rangle, \langle e,f \rangle\} = \{\{\{a\}, \{a,b\}\}, \{\{c\}, \{c,d\}\}, \{\{e\}, \{e,f\}\}\}\} \cup R = \{\{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{c\}, \{c,d\}, \{e\}, \{e,f\}\}\} \cup R = \{a,b,c,d,e,f\}
```

*n*-ary relations: define *n*-tuple by  $\langle a, b, c \rangle = \langle \langle a, b, \rangle, c \rangle$  etc.

```
Definition 3.1.5. A function is a relation F such that \forall x, y, z \ \langle x, y \rangle \in F and \langle x, z \rangle \in F \rightarrow y = z
```

 $\forall x \in \text{dom } (F) \text{ there is } y \text{ such that } \langle x,y \rangle \in F. \text{ If } A = \text{dom}(F), \ B \supseteq \text{range}(F) \text{ then } F \text{ is said to a funtion from } A \text{ to } B, \ f:A \to B$ 

We say that  $f: A \to B$  is onto if B = range(F)

**Definition 3.1.6.** F is injective if  $\forall x, y, z \langle x, z \rangle \in F \land \langle y, z \rangle inF \rightarrow x = y$ .

3.2. SEPTEMBER 6 135: Set Theory

**Definition 3.1.7.** For a set A, relations F, G

- (a) inverse  $F^{-1} = \{ \langle y, x \rangle | \langle x, y \rangle \in F \}$
- (b) composition:  $G \circ F = \{\langle x, z \rangle \mid \exists y \langle x, y \rangle \in F, \langle y, z \rangle \in G\}$
- (c) restriction:  $F \upharpoonright_A \{\langle x, y \rangle \in F \mid x \in A\}$
- (d) image of A under F,  $F[A] = \{y \mid \exists x \in A \langle x, y \rangle \in F\} = \operatorname{range}(F \upharpoonright_A)$

**Example 3.1.8.** If F is a function,  $F^{-1}$  may not be a function.  $F^{-1}$  is a function  $\leftrightarrow F$  is one to one.

**Example 3.1.9.**  $F^{-1} \circ F = \{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in \text{dom}(F)\}\$ if F is one to one More generally,  $F^{-1} \circ F = \{\langle x, z \rangle \mid \exists y \in \text{range } F \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, y \rangle \in F\}.$ 

# 3.2 September 6

#### 3.2.1 Functions and Relations

**Theorem 3.2.1.** Let  $F: A \to B$  with  $A \neq \emptyset$ 

- (a) There is a function  $G: B \to A$  such that  $G \circ F = \mathrm{id}_A \leftrightarrow F$  is one to one.
- (b) There is a function  $G: B \to A$  such that  $F \circ F = \mathrm{id}_B \leftrightarrow F$  is onto.

**Proof.** (a) Suppose there is such a G. Take  $a_1, a_2$  such that  $F(a_1) = F(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = G \circ F(a_1) = G \circ F(a_2) = a_2$ 

Conversely, suppose F is one to one. We want to define  $G: B \to A$  given  $b \in B$ , let G(b)=the unique  $a \in A$  such that F(a) = b if  $b \in \operatorname{range}(F)$ . If  $b \notin \operatorname{range}(F)$ , let  $G(b) = a_0$  with  $a_0 \in A$  arbitrary (exists since A nonempty)

(b) Suppose that  $G: B \to A$ , with  $F \circ G = \mathrm{id}_B$  Want to show  $\forall b \in B \exists a \, F(a) = b$  Take  $a = G(b) \to F(a) = F(G(b)) = b$ 

Conversely, suppose F is onto. We want to define G, given  $b \in B$  want to define G(b) such that F(G(b)) = b, equivalently, want  $G(b) \in F^{-1}(\{b\})$ . Since F is onto  $F^{-1}(\{b\})$  is nonempty. Let G(b) be any element of  $F^{-1}(b)$ , equivalently  $G \subseteq F^{-1}$  and  $dom(G) = B = dom(F^{-1})$ .

**Example 3.2.2.** Suppose  $A = \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G = \{(b, a) \in B \times \mathbb{N} : a \text{ is least satisfying } f(a) = b\}$ 

• Don't have a method to specify such elements in gneral.

**Axiom 3.2.3** (Axiom of Choice - Form I). For every relation R, there is a function  $G \subseteq R$  with dom(G) = dom(R)

#### 3.2.2 Infinite Cartesion Products

 $A \times B = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathcal{PP}(A \cup B) \, | \, x \in A \land y \in B \}$ 

3.2. SEPTEMBER 6 135: Set Theory

**Definition 3.2.4.** Let M be a function with domain I such that for every  $i \in I$ , H(i) is a set. Let

$$\underset{i \in I}{\times} H(i) - \{f: I \to \bigcup H(i) \, | \, f(i) \in H9 = (i)\}$$

**Example 3.2.5.** Let  $\omega_g$  be  $\{G \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \neq G, G \cup \{0\} \text{ is closed under addition } \}$ 

 $\times_{G \in \omega_g} = \times_{G \in \omega_g} H(G)$  is a function such that for each  $G \in \omega_g$ , you get an element of G.

Observation: If one of the H(i) is  $\emptyset$ , then  $\times_{i \in I} H(i) = \emptyset$ 

**Axiom 3.2.6** (Axiom of Choice - Form II). If H is a function with domain I such that  $H(i) \neq \emptyset \ \forall i \in I$ , then  $\times_{i \in I} H(i) \neq \emptyset$ 

 $(\text{ACI}) \to (\text{ACII}) \text{: We are given } H \text{ with } H(i) \neq \varnothing \text{ for all } i. \text{ Want } f: I \to H(i) \text{ with } f(i) \in H(i) \ \forall i \in I. \text{ Let } R = \{\langle i, h \rangle \in I \times \bigcup_{i \in I} H(i) \ | \ h \in H(i) \}. \ \operatorname{dom}(R) = I, \text{ since } H(i) \neq \varnothing \text{ there is } h \in H(i) \text{ so } \langle i, h \rangle \in R. \text{ BY ACI, there is } F \subseteq R \text{ with } \operatorname{dom}(F) = \operatorname{dom}(R) = I. \ \forall i, \langle i, f(i) \rangle \in R \text{ so } f(i) \in H(i)$ 

# Chapter 4

# Naturals, Rationals, Reals

# 4.1 September 8

#### 4.1.1 Natural Numbers

Idea: each natural number is the set of all the previous numbers.  $0 = \emptyset, 1 = \{\emptyset\}, 2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, \dots, n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}, \dots$ 

**Definition 4.1.1.** The successor of a set a is defined as  $a^+ = a \cup \{a\}$ 

**Definition 4.1.2.** A set I is inductive if  $\emptyset \in I$  and  $\forall a \in I, a^+ \in I$ 

**Definition 4.1.3.** a is a natural number if it belongs to all inductive sets,  $\forall I(I \text{ inductive} \rightarrow a \in I)$ 

If I is any inductive set, let  $\omega = \{a \in I \mid a \text{ belongs to all inductive sets}\}$ =the minimal inductive set. Observation:  $\omega$  is inductive because  $\varnothing$  is in all inductive sets and if n belongs to all inductive sets then so does  $n^+$ 

Axiom 4.1.4 (Ifinity Axiom). There is an inductive set.

**Inductivion Principle**: If  $A \subseteq \omega$  is inductive set  $A = \omega$ 

**Example 4.1.5.** Every natural number is 0 or the succesor of some natural number.

Let  $A = \{n \in \omega \mid n = 0 \vee \exists m \in \omega \mid n = m^+\}$ . A is inductive so  $A = \omega$ 

**Definition 4.1.6.** A set A is transitive if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- if  $x \in a \in A$ , then  $x \in A$
- $\bigcup A \subseteq A$
- if  $a \in A$ , then  $a \subseteq A$
- $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

4.2. SEPTEMBER 13 135: Set Theory

**Example 4.1.7.** Transitive sets includ  $\emptyset$ , each natural number,  $\omega, V_{\omega}$ 

Claim:  $A = \{n \in \omega \mid n \text{ is transitive }\}$  is inductive (implies all nautrual numbers are transitiev)

- Base:  $0 \in A$  since  $\emptyset$  is transitive
- Inductive Step: Suppose  $n \in A$  transitive, want to show  $n^+$  is transitive. Consider  $x \in a \in n^+ = n \cup \{n\}$ . If a = n,  $x \in n \subseteq n^+$ . If  $a \in n$ ,  $x \in a \in \text{so by transitivity } x \in n^+$  so  $x \in n^+$

**Theorem 4.1.8.** If a is tansitive, then  $| | a^+ = a$ 

```
Proof. (\supseteq) a = \bigcup \{a\} \subseteq \bigcup (a \cup \{a\} = \bigcup a^+) \ (a \in a^+ \text{ so } a \subseteq \bigcup a^+)
(\subseteq) Take x \in \bigcup a^+, then let b \in a^+ with x \in b. If b = a, x \in a. If b \in a, x \in b \in a so x \in a.
```

• If a, b transitive and  $a^+ = b^+$  then  $a = \bigcup a^+ = \bigcup b^+ = b$  so successor function is one to one on transitive sets, more specifically  $\omega$ .

Fix a number  $k \in \omega$ . Consdier the following functions:

- $A_k : \omega \to \omega$  by  $A_k(0) = 0$ ,  $A_k(n^+) = A_k(n)^+$
- $M_k : \omega \to \omega$  by  $M_k(0) = 0$ ,  $M_k(n^+) = A_k(M_k(n))$

# 4.2 September 13

# 4.2.1 Operations on the Natural Numbers

**Theorem 4.2.1.** Let A be a set,  $a \in A$  and  $F : A \to A$ . Then there is a unique function  $h : \omega \to A$  such that:

- 1. h(0) = a
- 2.  $h(n^+) = F(h(n))$  for all  $n \in \omega$

**Proof.** Let  $h = \{\langle n, b \rangle \in \omega \times A \mid \text{there is } g : n^+ \to A \text{ such that } g(0) = a, g(i^+) = F(g(i)) \land g(n) = b\}$  Claim 1: For all n there is a  $g : \{0, \ldots, n\} \to A$  such that  $g(0) = a, g(i^+) = F(g(i))$  Claim 2: Such a g is unique.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let  $I = \{n \in \omega \mid \text{ such a } g \text{ exists}\}$ . Want to show that I is inductive.

- 1.  $0 \in I$ : let  $g: \{0\} \to A$  be such that g(0) = a eg.  $g = \{\langle 0, a \rangle\}$
- 2. Suppose  $n \in I$ , we know such a g exists for  $n, g : \{0, ..., n\} \to A$ . We want  $\tilde{g} : \{0, ..., n, n^+\} \to A$ . Let  $\tilde{g} = g \cup \{\langle n^+, F(g(n)) \rangle\}$

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose  $g, \tilde{g} : \{0, ..., n\} \to A$  such that  $g(0) = a = \tilde{g}(0), \ g(i^+) = F(g(i)), \ \tilde{g}(i^+) = F(\tilde{g}(i^+)), i < n$ . We want to show  $g(i) = \tilde{g}(i) \ \forall i \leq n$ .  $g(0) = \tilde{g}(0), \ g(i^+) = F(g(i)) = F(\tilde{g}(i)) = \tilde{g}(i^+)$ 

4.3. SEPTEMBER 15 135: Set Theory

```
Can formally show this by induction using I = \{i \in \omega \mid i \in n^+ \land g(i) = \tilde{g}(i) \lor i \notin n^+\}
Claim 3: \forall n \in \omega, h(n^+) = F(H(n))
```

```
Definition 4.2.2. Given k \in \omega, define A_k : \omega \to \omega by A_k(0) = k, A_k(n^+) = (A_k(n))^+. Define n+k = A_k(n) Define M_k : \omega \to \omega by M_k(0) = 0, M_k(n^+) = A_k(M_k(n)), let n \times k = M_k(n). Let m < n if m \in n
```

**Theorem 4.2.3.** We can show the associativity of addition:  $\forall a, b, v \in \omega((a+b)+c=a+(b+c))$ , commutativity of addition:  $\forall a, b \in \omega a + b = b + a$ , etc.

## 4.2.2 Integers

```
Let \sim be the following equivalence relation on \omega \times \omega by \langle a,b \rangle \sim \langle c,d \rangle \leftrightarrow a+d=b+c

Define \mathbb{Z} = \omega \times \omega / \sim. 0_{\mathbb{Z}} = [\langle 0,0 \rangle], \ 1_{\mathbb{Z}} = [\langle 1,0 \rangle]

Let [\langle a,b \rangle] +_{\mathbb{Z}} [\langle c,d \rangle] = [\langle a+c,b+d \rangle]. One needs to show this is well defined eg. if \langle a,b \rangle \sim \langle a',b' \rangle, \langle c,d \rangle \sim \langle c',d' \rangle

then \langle a+c,b+d \rangle \sim \langle a'+c',b'+d' \rangle /

Let [\langle a,b \rangle] \times_{\mathbb{Z}} [\langle c,d \rangle] = [\langle ac+bd,ad+bc \rangle]

Let E:\omega \to \mathbb{Z} by E(n) = [\langle n,0 \rangle]
```

#### 4.2.3 Rationals

```
Let \sim be the following equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \langle a,b \rangle \sim \langle c,d \rangle \iff a \times_{\mathbb{Z}} d = b \times_{\mathbb{Z}} c
Define \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} / \sim. 0_{\mathbb{Q}} = [\langle 0,1 \rangle], 1_{\mathbb{Q}} = [\langle 1,1,\rangle]
Let [\langle a,b \rangle] \times_{\mathbb{Q}} [\langle c,d \rangle] = [\langle a \times c,b \times d \rangle]
Let [\langle a,b \rangle] +_{\mathbb{Q}} [\langle c,d \rangle] = [\langle ad+bc,bd \rangle]
E: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q} by E(z) = [\langle z,1 \rangle]
```

# 4.3 September 15

## 4.3.1 Reals (Dedekind Cuts)

**Definition 4.3.1.** A dedekind cut is a subset  $D \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  such that

- $\emptyset \neq D \neq \mathbb{Q}$
- D is closed downwards, if  $d \in D$ ,  $c < d \rightarrow c \in D$
- D has no greatest element.

```
Let \mathbb{R} = \{D \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid D \text{ is a dedekind cut } \}

\sqrt{2} = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid q \times_{\mathbb{Q}} q < 2\}, \ e = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid exn \in \omega \ q <_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 + \frac{1}{N})^N \} \text{ For } r \in \mathbb{R}, \ -r = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid -q \in r\} \setminus \{-\sup(r)\} \}

For r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ r_1 \leq_{\mathbb{R}} r_2 \iff r_1 \subseteq r_2

r_1 \times r_2 = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists q \leq 0 \in r \exists b \leq 0 \in r_2 \ q, \ a \times_{\mathbb{Q}} b \text{ if } r_1, r_2 > 0, \dots
```

**Theorem 4.3.2.**  $(\mathbb{R}, 0, 1, +, \times, \leq)$  is an ordered field.

 $E: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a field embedding.

# Chapter 5

# Cardinal Numbers and the Axiom of Choice

# 5.1 September 15

# 5.1.1 Cardinality

**Definition 5.1.1.** A is equinumerous to B (written  $A \approx B$ ) if there is a bijection  $f: A \to B$ 

**Theorem 5.1.2.** For every A, B, C

- $A \approx A$
- If  $A \approx B$ ,  $B \approx B$
- If  $A \approx B$ ,  $B \approx C$  then  $A \approx C$

Lemma 5.1.3.  $\mathbb{Z} \approx \omega$ 

**Proof.** For 
$$z \in Z$$
,  $f(z) = \begin{cases} -2z & z \leq 0 \\ 2z + 1 & z > 0 \end{cases}$ 

Lemma 5.1.4.  $\mathbb{Q} \approx \omega$ 

**Proof.** 
$$f: \omega \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+, \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+/\sim f': \omega \to \mathbb{Q}, f'(n) = \text{least } i \in \omega \ g(i) \notin \{f(1), \dots, f(n-1)\}$$

Lemma 5.1.5.  $\mathbb{R} \approx (0,1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ 

5.2. SEPTEMBER 20 135: Set Theory

# 5.2 September 20

## 5.2.1 Cardinality

Lemma 5.2.1. 1.  $\mathbb{N} \not\approx \mathbb{R}$ 

2. For any set  $A, A \not\approx \mathcal{P}(A)$ 

**Proof.** 1. Let  $f: \omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , claim f is not onto. Want  $r \notin \operatorname{ran}(f)$ ,  $\forall n \in \omega r \neq f(n)$ . Choose  $A_0$  such that  $f(0) \notin A_0$ . Given  $A_n$  such that  $f(0), \ldots, f(n) \notin A_n$ . Divide  $A_n$  by 2, take half that does not contain f(n+1) to be  $A_{n+1}$ , then  $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots$ ,  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_n \neq \emptyset$  and for each  $n, f(n) \notin A_n$  so  $f(n) \notin \bigcap A_n$ 

2. let  $f:A\to A$ . Claim f is not onto. Let  $B=\{b\in A\mid b\notin f(b)\}$ . Claim  $B\notin \mathrm{range}(f)$ . Suppose for contradiction that B=f(b) for  $b\in A, b\in B \leftrightarrow b\notin f(b) \iff b\notin B$ , contradiction.

**Definition 5.2.2.** A set A is finite if  $\exists n \in omega(A \approx n)$  eg.  $\exists n \, exf : n \rightarrow A$  bijection.  $A = \{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1)\}$ 

Lemma 5.2.3 (Pigeonhole Principle). No finite set is equinumerous to a finite subset of itself.

**Lemma 5.2.4.** If B is a proper subset of  $n \in \omega$  ther is m < n such that  $B \approx m$ 

**Proof.** Use induction on n. Let  $A = \{n \in \omega \mid \forall B \in n \exists m \in n \ B \approx n\}$ . Claim A is inductive.  $0 \in A$  trivial,  $1 \in A$ .  $B \subsetneq \{\emptyset\} \to B = \emptyset \to B \approx 0$ . Suppose  $n \in A$ , want to show  $n^+ \in A$ . Take  $B \subsetneq n^+ = n \cup \{n\}$ . If  $n \in B$ ,  $B \cap n \subseteq n$  so  $\exists m < n \ B \cap n \approx m$  so  $B \approx m^+ < n^+$ . If  $n \notin B$ , either  $B \cap n = n$  so  $B \approx n < n^+$  of  $B \cap n \subsetneq n$  so  $\exists m < n \ B = B \cap n \approx m$ .

**Proof** (Pigeonhole Principle). Take  $n, B \subseteq n, B \approx n$ . Then  $B \approx m$  for some m < n so  $m \approx n$ . Let  $A = \{n \mid Am < n \ m \not\approx n\}$ . Claim A is inductive.  $0 \in A$ , suppose  $n \in A$ , want to show  $n^+ \in A$ . Idea: turn a bijection for  $n^+ \approx m^+$  so a bijection  $n \approx m/$ 

Corollary 5.2.5. • No finite set is equinumerous to a proper subset

- $\omega$  is not finite  $(\omega \approx \omega \setminus \{0\} \text{ by } n \mapsto n+1)$
- Every finite set is equinumerous to a unique natural number. We call that number the cardinality of A, card(A)
- A subset of a finite subset is finite

**Definition 5.2.6.** A set  $\kappa$  is said to be a cardinal if

5.3. SEPTEMBER 22 135: Set Theory

- $\kappa$  is transitive (if  $x \in a, a \in \kappa \to x \in \kappa$ )
- $\in$  is a linear order on  $\kappa$  ( $\forall x, y \ x \in y \text{ or } y \in x \text{ or } x = y$ )
- $\forall x \in \kappa \ x \not\approx \kappa$

**Theorem 5.2.7.** For every set A, there is a unique cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $A \approx \kappa$ . We call this  $\kappa$  card(A)

**Example 5.2.8.** •  $n = \{0 \in 1 \in 2 \in \cdots \in n-1\}$  is a cardinal

- $\omega = \{0 \in 1 \in 2 \in \cdot\}$  is a cardinal
- $\omega^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{\omega\} \approx \omega$  is not a carinal

Notation:  $\omega - \aleph_0$ , card( $\mathbb{R}$ ) =  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , smallest cardinal greater than  $\aleph_0 = \aleph_1$ 

# 5.3 September 22

# 5.3.1 Cardinals

**Definition 5.3.1.** Given carindals  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  let

- $\kappa + \lambda = \operatorname{card}(K \cup L)$  where K and L are disjoint sets of carindality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$
- $\kappa \cdot \lambda = \operatorname{card}(K \times L)$  where K and L are sets of carindality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$
- $\kappa^{\lambda} = \{f \text{ function } L \to K\} = \operatorname{card}(^L K) \text{ were } K \text{ and } L \text{ are sets of carindality } \kappa \text{ and } \lambda$

Notation:  ${}^{A}B = \{f : f \text{ is a function } A \to B\}$ 

**Theorem 5.3.2.** Let  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu$  be carindals

•  $\kappa + (\lambda + \mu) = (\kappa + \lambda) + \mu$ 

**Proof.** Let K, L, M be disjoint sets of size  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu$ .  $K \cup (L \cup M) = (K \cup L) \cup M$ 

- $\kappa + \lambda = \lambda + \kappa$
- $\kappa \cdot (\lambda \cdot \mu) = (\kappa \cdot \lambda) \cdot \mu$

**Proof.**  $(K \times L) \times M \to K \times (L \times M)$  by  $\langle \langle k, l \rangle, m \rangle \to \langle k, \langle l, m \rangle \rangle$ 

- $\kappa \cdot \lambda = \lambda \cdot \kappa$
- $\kappa \cdot (\lambda + \mu) = \kappa \cdot \lambda + \kappa \cdot \mu$

**Proof.**  $K \times (L \cup M) \approx (K \times L) \cup (K \times M)$ 

•  $\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda} \cdot \kappa^{\mu}$ 

5.4. SEPTEMBER 27 135: Set Theory

•  $\kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu} = (\kappa^{\lambda})^{\mu}$ 

**Proof.**  $F: {}^{L\times M}K \to {}^{M}{}^{L}K, \ f: {}^{L\times M}K, \ F(g) = \text{the function that maps } m \text{ to } g_m: L \to K \text{ where } g_m(l) = g(l,m)$   $F^{-1}(h)$  with  $h: M \to ({}^{L}K)$  is g such that g(l,m) = h(m)(l)

**Definition 5.3.3.** A is dominated by B (written  $A \leq B$ ) if there is a one to one function from  $A \to B$ 

 $A \le B \iff \operatorname{card}(A) \leqslant \operatorname{card}(B)$ 

Example 5.3.4. •  $A \subseteq B \iff A \leq B$ 

•  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Q} \leq \mathbb{R} \leq \mathbb{C}$ 

Example 5.3.5.  $\mathbb{R} \approx (0,1)_{\mathbb{R}} \leq {}^{\omega}2 \leq \mathbb{R}$ 

- $(0,1)_{\mathbb{R}} \leq {}^{\omega}2$ . Given r, let  $f_r: \omega \to \{0,1\}$  be  $f_r(n) = n$ th digit of binary representation of r avoiding representations that end in all 1s.
- $^{\omega}2 \leq \mathbb{R}, f: \omega \to 2 \mapsto \sum_{i \in \omega} f(i) \cdot 10^{-1}$

Observation:  ${}^2\omega \approx \mathcal{P}(\omega) \operatorname{card}({}^2\omega) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ 

# 5.4 September 27

# 5.4.1 Schroder-Bernstein Theorem

**Example 5.4.1.** Show that  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{*\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are equinumerous.

We define f by f(\*) = 0,  $f(r) = \begin{cases} r+1 & r \in \mathbb{N} \\ r & r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$ 

**Lemma 5.4.2.** If A is finite, then  $\omega \leq A$ 

**Proof.**  $A \neq 0$  so  $\exists a_0 \in A$ . Let  $f(0) = a_0$ ,  $A \setminus \{a_0\} \neq \emptyset$  since  $A \not\approx 1$  so  $a_1 \in A \setminus \{a_1\}$  Let  $f(1) = a_1$ . We want  $G : \{\text{finite subsets of } A\} \to A \text{ such that } G(F) \in A \setminus F$ . Let  $R = \{\langle F, a \rangle | F \text{ finite } a \in A \setminus F\}$  Observation: dom(R) = all finite subsets of A. Since A is not finite  $A \setminus F \neq \emptyset$  for all finite sets,  $F \subseteq A$ . Use AC to get a function  $G \subseteq R$  such that dom (G) = dom(R). Define  $f : \omega \to A$  by recursion.  $f(0) = a_0$ ,  $f(n) = G(\{f(0), \ldots, f(n-1)\}) \in A \setminus \{f(0), \ldots, f(n-1)\}$ .

Corollary 5.4.3. A set A is infinite  $\leftrightarrow$  A is equinumerous to some proper subset of itself.

If A is infinite, there is 1 to 1  $f: \omega \to A$ . We define a bijection  $h: A \to A\{f(0)\}$  by  $h(a) = \begin{cases} a & a \notin \text{dom}(f) \\ f(n+1) & a = f(n) \end{cases}$ 

**Theorem 5.4.4** (SChroder Bernstein Theorem). If  $A \leq B$ ,  $B \leq A$ , then  $A \approx B$ 

5.5. SEPTEMBER 29 135: Set Theory

**Proof.** Let  $f:A\to B$  1 to 1,  $g:A\to B$  1 to 1. We want  $h:A\to B$  bijection. Let  $C_0=A\backslash \mathrm{ran}(g)$ , let  $D_0=f[\![C_0]\!],\ C_1[\![D_0]\!].\ C_0\cap C_1=\varnothing$  because  $C_0=A\backslash \mathrm{ran}g$  and  $C_1\subseteq \mathrm{ran}(g)$ . We recursivley define  $C+n+1=g[\![D_n]\!],\ D_{n+1}=[\![C_{n+1}]\!].$  We see that  $C_n$  disjoint,  $D_n$  disjoint. Define  $h(a)=\begin{cases}g(a)&a\in\bigcup_{n\in\omega}C_n\\g^{-1}&a\in A\backslash\bigcup_{n\in\omega}C_n\end{cases}$ .  $f\to\bigcup_{n\in\omega}$  is a bijection  $\bigcup C_n\to\bigcup D_n.\ g\to\bigcup_{n\in\omega}D_n$  is a bijection  $B\backslash\bigcup_{n\in\omega}D_n\to A\backslash A\backslash\bigcup_{n\in\omega}C_n$ 

• Follows that  $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ 

# 5.5 September 29

# 5.5.1 Zorn's Lemma

**Theorem 5.5.1.** For every A, B either  $A \leq B$  or  $B \leq A$ .

**Zorn's Lemma**: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of sets such that for every chain  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a maximal element.

**Definition 5.5.2.** C is a chain if for every  $C, D \in C$  either  $C \subseteq D$  or  $D \subseteq C$   $B \in A$  is maximal if ther is no  $C \in A$  with  $B \subsetneq C$ 

We prove the following theorem to get some practice with Zorn's Lemma

**Theorem 5.5.3.** Every vector space has a basis.

**Proof.** Let V be a vector space over a field k.  $B \subseteq V$  is linearly independent if for every  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in B$ , distinct,  $k_1, \ldots, k_n$  such that  $\sum k_i v_i = 0$ ,  $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = 0$ . B is a basis if B is linearly independent and  $\langle B \rangle = V$  where  $\langle B \rangle = \{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i v_i \mid v_1, \ldots, v_n \in B, k_1, \ldots, k_n \in k\}$  Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{B \subseteq V \mid B \text{ is linearly independent}\}$ . W need to showt that if  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a chain then  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Consider a chain  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of linearly independent sets. To prove that  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent assume we have  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}, k_1, \ldots, k_n \in k$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i k_i = 0$ . For each  $v_i$ , there is  $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $v_i \in C_i$ . One  $C_i$  contains all the others, say  $C_{i_0}$ .  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in C_{i_0}$ .  $C_{i_0}$  is linearly independent so all  $k_i = 0$ . Now we apply Zorns Lemma to get a maximal element  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . B is a maximal linearly independent set in V.  $\langle B \rangle = V$  since if there is some  $v \in V \setminus \langle B \rangle$  then  $B \cup \{v\}$  is linearly independent, contradicting the maximality of B.

**Lemma 5.5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of functions. Then

- (i)  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a function
- (ii) dom ( $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$ ) =  $\bigcup \{ \text{dom } f : f \in \mathcal{C} \}$
- (iii) ran ( $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$ ) =  $\bigcup \{ \operatorname{ran} f : f \in \mathcal{C} \}$
- (iv) if all functions in  $\mathcal{C}$  are 1 to 1, then  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is one to one.

5.6. OCTOBER 4 135: Set Theory

**Proof.** (ii): dom  $(\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \{a \mid \exists b \langle a, b \rangle \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}\} = \{a \mid \exists b \exists f \in \mathcal{C} \langle a, b \rangle \in f\} = \{a \mid \exists f (\exists b \langle a, b \rangle \in f)\} = \{a \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{C} \mid a \in \text{dom } f\} = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f : f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ 

(i):  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a relation. Want to show it is a function. Suppose  $\langle a,b\rangle \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}$  and  $\langle a,c\rangle \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}$ .  $\exists f \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\langle a,b\rangle \in f$ ,  $\exists g \in \mathcal{C} \ \langle a,c\rangle \in g$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  a chain, either  $f \subseteq g$  or  $g \subseteq f$ . If  $f \subseteq g$ ,  $\langle a,b\rangle, \langle a,c\rangle \in g$ , a function, b=c.

(iv):  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a function. Want to show it is one to one. Suppose  $\langle a,b \rangle \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}$  and  $\langle c,b \rangle \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}$ .  $\exists f \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\langle a,b \rangle \in f$ ,  $\exists g \in \mathcal{C} \ \langle c,b \rangle \in g$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  a chain, either  $f \subseteq g$  or  $g \subseteq f$ . If  $f \subseteq g$ ,  $\langle a,b \rangle$ ,  $\langle c,b \rangle \in g$ , a one to one, a = c.

# 5.6 October 4

#### 5.6.1 Axiom of Choice

**Theorem 5.6.1.** For all set C and D, we have  $C \leq D$  or  $D \leq C$ 

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{f \subseteq C \times D \mid f \text{ is a one to one function } \}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq A$  is a chain  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a function with dom  $(\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f : f \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq C, \text{ ran } (\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \bigcup \{\text{ran } f : f \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq D \text{ so } \bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}.$  By Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{A}$  has a maximal element, call it F, a one to one function with dom  $F \subseteq C$ , ran  $F \subseteq D$ . Claim dom F = C or ran F = D. If not there is  $c \in C \setminus \text{dom } F$  and  $d \in D \setminus \text{ran } F$ . Let  $G = F \cup \{\langle c, d \rangle\}$ . We see that G is a one to one function,  $G \subseteq C \times D$  so  $G \in \mathcal{A}, F \subsetneq G$ , contradicting the maximality of F. If dom F = C, we have  $F : C \to D$  and  $C \leq D$ . If dom F = D, we have  $F : D \to C$  and  $D \leq C$ 

#### **Theorem 5.6.2.** The following are equivalent:

- 1. For any relation R, there is a function  $F \subseteq R$  and dom F = dom R
- 2. If H is a function, I = dom H,  $\forall i \in I \ H(i) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X_{i \in I} \ H(i) \neq \emptyset$
- 3. For every set A ther eis a function  $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to A$  such that  $\forall B \subseteq A, F(B) \in B$
- 4. For every set  $\mathcal{A}$  of nonempty disjoint sets, there is a set C such that  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\operatorname{ord}(C \cap A) = 1$
- 5. Cardinal comparibility: For any sets  $C, D, C \leq D$  or  $D \leq C$
- 6. Zorn's Lemma

**Proof.**  $1 \to 2$ ) Let H be a function such that  $\forall i \in I, H(i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $R = \{\langle i, h \rangle \in I \times \bigcup H(i) \mid i \in I, h \in H(I)\}$ . By (1) there is a function  $F \subseteq R$  with dom F = dom R = I.  $\forall i \in I, \langle i, F(i) \rangle \in F \subseteq R \to F(i) \in H(i)$  so  $F \in X_{i \in I}H(i)$ 

 $2 \to 4$ ) We have a collection  $\mathcal A$  of disjoint nonempty subsets. We want to define H such that H(A) is nonempty for  $A \in \mathcal A$ . Let  $I = \mathcal A$ , for  $A \in I, H(A) = A$ . Then  $X_{A \in I} H(A) = X_{A \in \mathcal A} A$ , by (2) there is  $f \in X_{A \in \mathcal A} A$ . We claim that  $C = \operatorname{ran} f$  is as wanted. For all  $A \in \mathcal A$ ,  $f(A) \in A$  and if  $A' \neq A$ ,  $F(A') \in A'$  disjoint from A so  $\operatorname{ran} (f) \cap A = \{f(A)\}$ 

 $6 \to 1$ ) Let R be a relation. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{ f \subseteq R \mid f \text{ is a function } \}$ .  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$  since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is chain,  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a function,  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subseteq R$  so  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$ . By (6) there is a maximal  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $F \subseteq R$  is a function.

5.7. OCTOBER 6 135: Set Theory

Claim dom F = dom R. If not, then there is  $d \in \text{dom } R \setminus \text{dom } F$ . Let r be such that  $\langle d, r \rangle \in R$ . Then  $F \cup \{\langle d, r \rangle\} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $F \subsetneq F \cup \{\langle d, r \rangle\}$ , contradicting maximality.

# 5.7 October 6

#### 5.7.1 Axiom of Choice

**Proof** (Pf (cont)).  $4 \to 3$ ) We have a set A. We want  $f : \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to A$ ,  $F(B) \in B$ .  $B^* = \{\langle B, b \rangle : b \in B\} \approx B$ .  $B \neq C \to B^* \cap C^* = \emptyset$ . Let  $A = \{B^* : B \subseteq A, B \neq \emptyset\}$ . By (4) there is a set C such that  $\forall B^* \in \mathcal{A}, |C \cap B^*| = 1$ .

 $3 \to 1$ ) Let R be a relation. For  $a \in \text{dom } R$ , we want to pick an element in  $R_a = \{b \in \text{ran } R \mid \langle a, b \rangle \in R\}$ . let A = ran R. By (3) there is  $f : \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to A$ ,  $f(B) \in B$  for all  $B \subseteq A$ .  $F = \{\langle a, F(R_a) \rangle \mid a \in \text{dom } R\}$ 

# 5.7.2 Applications of Axiom of Choice

Want to define a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the following properties.

- 1. m([0,1]) = 1
- 2. m(A + r) = m(A)
- 3.  $m(\bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i) = \sum_{i \in \omega} m(A_i)$

**Theorem 5.7.1.** There is no  $m: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \to (\mathbb{R}^{<0} \cup \infty)$  satisfying the above conditions.

**Proof.** For  $r, s \in [0, 1]$ , let  $r \sim s$  if  $r - s \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $[r] = \{s \in [0, 1) | r - s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{[r] : r \in [0, 1)\}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of disjoint sets so by AC there is a set C such that  $|C \cap [r]| = 1$  for each  $[r] \in \mathcal{A}$ . Assume  $C \subseteq [0, 1)$ .

Consdier C + q for  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

- disjoint since if  $p \neq q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(C+p) \cap (C+q) = \emptyset$
- $\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} (C+q) = \mathbb{R}$
- $\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} (C+q) \subseteq [0,2)$

 $m(\mathbb{R}) = m(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (C+q)) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (c+q) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} m(C), \text{ so } m(c) > 0 \text{ since } m(\mathbb{R}) > 1. \text{ Also, } 2 = m([0,2]) \geqslant m(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} (C+q)) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} m(c+q) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} m(C) = \infty, \text{ a contradiction.}$ 

## 5.8 October 11

# 5.8.1 Countable Sets

**Definition 5.8.1.** A set is countable if  $A \leq \omega \leftrightarrow$  either  $A = \emptyset$  or there is an onto function  $f : \omega \to A$ , ie.  $A = \{f(0), f(1), f(2), \cdots\}$ 

Observation:  $\omega \times \omega \approx \omega \sqcup \omega \approx \omega$ 

5.9. OCTOBER 13 135: Set Theory

**Theorem 5.8.2.** let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a countable collection of countable sets. Then  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$  is countable.

Observation: If A is countable  $A^{<\omega}=A^1\cup A^2\cup A^3\cup\cdots$  is countable

•  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is algebraic if it sis the root of a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$   $\{r \in \mathbb{R} : \text{algebraic }\}$  is countable.

**Theorem 5.8.3.** For every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa + \kappa = \kappa$ 

**Proof.** Let K have size  $\kappa$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{ f \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa \sqcup \kappa) \times \kappa \mid f \text{ is a function and there is } A \subseteq \kappa, \text{ dom } (f) = A \sqcup A, \text{ dom } (f) = A, f \text{ is one to one } \}$ . To check the conditions for Zorn's Lemma, take a chain  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . By the lemma,  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a one to tone function, dom  $(\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f : f \in \mathcal{C}\} = \bigcup \{\text{ran } f \sqcup \text{ran } f : f \in \mathcal{C}\} = \bigcup \{\text{ran } f \sqcup \text{ran } f : f \in \mathcal{C}\} = \text{ran } (\bigcup \mathcal{C}) \sqcup \text{ran } (\bigcup \mathcal{C}) \text{ so } \bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ . For this F there is  $A \subseteq \kappa$ , dom  $(F) - A \sqcup A$ , ran (F) = A.

- If  $\kappa \backslash A$  is finite,  $\operatorname{card}(\kappa) = \operatorname{card}(A)$  and  $F : A \sqcup A$  is a bijection, using a bijection  $\kappa \to A$ , we can build a bijection  $\kappa \sqcup \kappa \to \kappa$ .
- If  $\kappa \backslash A$  is infinite, let  $D \subseteq \kappa \backslash A$  be a countable set, let  $h: D \sqcup D \to D$  be a bijection. Let  $G: (A \cup D) \sqcup (A \cup D) \to A \cup D$ ,  $G \upharpoonright_{A \sqcup A} = F$ ,  $G \upharpoonright_{D \sqcup D} = h$ .  $F \subsetneq G$  contradiciting that F was maximal.

# 5.9 October 13

## 5.9.1 Cardinal Arithmetic

**Theorem 5.9.1.**  $\kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$  for all infinite cardinals  $\kappa$ 

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{f \in \mathcal{P}((\kappa \times \kappa) \times \kappa) \mid f \text{ is a function dom } (f) = \operatorname{ran} f \times \operatorname{ran} f, \text{ one to one} \}$ . If  $A = \operatorname{ran} f, f$  is a bijection  $A \times A \to A$ . We need to show  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the conditions to apply Zorn's Lemma. Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be a chain, we want to show  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the lemma,  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a function, dom  $(\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \bigcup \{\operatorname{dom} f : f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ ,  $\operatorname{ran} (\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \bigcup \{\operatorname{ran} f : f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there is a maixmal  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $A = \operatorname{ran}(F), F : A \times A \to A$  bijection. Note that A must be infinite or else  $A \times A \not\approx A$ .

If  $A \approx \kappa$ , then  $\kappa \times \kappa \approx A \times A \xrightarrow{F} A \approx \kappa$  so  $\kappa \times \kappa \approx \kappa$ , as wanted.

Corollary 5.9.2. If  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are infinite cardinals,  $\kappa + \lambda = \kappa \times \lambda = \max(\kappa, \lambda)$ 

5.9. OCTOBER 13

**Proof.** If  $\kappa = \max(\kappa, \lambda)$ ,  $\kappa \leqslant \kappa + \lambda \leqslant \kappa + \kappa = \kappa$ ,  $\kappa \leqslant \kappa \times \lambda \leqslant \kappa \times \kappa = \kappa$ .

•  $\operatorname{card}\{f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}\}=2^{2^{\aleph_0}},\,\operatorname{card}\{f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}:f\text{ cont }\}=2^{\aleph_0}\text{ since if }f,g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}\text{ continuous, then }f=g\leftrightarrow f\upharpoonright_{\mathbb{Q}}=g\upharpoonright_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{ so }\leqslant^{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R}=2^{\aleph_0}\text{ and }2^{\aleph_0}\leqslant\text{ since have a constant function for each real number.}$ 

**Theorem 5.9.3.** For  $\kappa$  infinite and  $\lambda$  such that  $2 \leq \lambda \leq 2^{\kappa}$ ,  $\lambda^{\kappa} = 2^{\kappa}$ 

**Proof.**  $2^{\kappa} \leqslant \lambda^{\kappa} \leqslant (2^{\kappa})^{\kappa} = 2^{\kappa \cdot \kappa} = 2^{\kappa}$ 

Continuum Hypothesis (CH): Every uncountble subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is equinumerous to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Thm(Godel): CH can't be refuted in ZFC

Thm(Cohen): CH can't be proved in ZFC

Generalized Continuum Hypothesis (GCH): For every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is no  $\lambda$  with  $\kappa < \lambda < 2^{\kappa}$ 

# Chapter 6

# Orderings and Ordinals

# 6.1 October 25

# 6.1.1 Orderings

**Definition 6.1.1.** A partial ordering is a pair p = (D, <) where  $\le D \times D$  and satisfies transitivity and irreflexivity. ie  $\forall a, b, c \in D$   $a < b \land b < c \rightarrow c < a$  and  $\forall a \nmid a$ 

Example 6.1.2. •  $(\mathcal{P}(C), \subset)$ 

- $(\mathbb{N}, |)$  a|b if a divides b and  $a \neq b$
- $(\mathbb{R},<)$
- $(\mathbb{N}, \lhd)$  where  $m \lhd n \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} m \text{ even } n \text{ odd} \\ m, n \text{ odd } m <_{\mathbb{N}} N \\ m, n \text{ even } m <_{\mathbb{N}} n \end{cases}$   $(0 \lhd 2 \lhd 3 \lhd \cdots) \lhd (1 \lhd 3 \lhd \cdots)$

**Definition 6.1.3.** A well ordering is a linear ordering  $\langle A, < \rangle$  such that every nonempty set has a leasat element.

**Example 6.1.4.** •  $(\mathbb{N}, <)$  well ordering

•  $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, <_{\text{lex}})$   $(a, b) <_{\text{lex}} (c, d) \leftrightarrow a < c \text{ or } a = c \text{ and } b < d \text{ is well ordered.}$ 

**Proof.** Take  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  nonempty. Want to show B has  $<_{\text{lex}}$  least element. Take  $B_0 = \{a \mid \exists b \langle a, b \rangle \in B\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_0 = \text{least}$  in  $B_0$ . Let  $B_1 = \{b \mid \langle a_0, b \rangle \in B\}$ . Let  $b_0$  be least in  $B_1$ .  $\langle a, b \rangle <_{\text{lex}}$  least in B.

- $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$  not well ordering
- $(\mathbb{N}, <) + (\mathbb{Z}, <)$  has least element but is not a well ordering since  $\mathbb{Z}$  has no least element.
- $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  not well ordering since  $\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has no least element.
- $A = \{a, b, \dots, z\}$ , consider  $(A^{<}, <_{lex})$ . Not a well ordering

6.2. OCTOBER 27 135: Set Theory

**Example 6.1.5.**  $\mathbb{N}[x]$  the set of polynomials with coeffecients in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $p(x) \triangleleft q(x)$  if  $\lim_{x\to\infty} p(x) - q(x) > 0$  is a well ordering

**Lemma 6.1.6.** Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a linear ordering. The following are equivalent.

- 1. Every nonempty subset has a least element
- 2. There is no infinite decreasing sequence ie. no  $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \cdots \in A$

**Proof.**  $1 \to 2$ ) If (2) is false, and there is a sequence  $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \cdots \in A \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots\}$  has no least element so (1) is false.

 $2 \to 1$ ) If (1) is false, there is nonempty  $B \subseteq A$  wit on least element. Let  $b_0 \in B$  since  $b_0$  is not here least element, have  $b_1 \in B$  with  $b_1 < b_0$ ,  $b_2 \in B$  with  $b_2 < b_1 < b_0$ ,  $\cdots$  end up with  $b_0 > b_1 > b_2 > \cdots$ 

# 6.2 October 27

## 6.2.1 Induction and Recursion

Notation: For  $t \in A$ , seg  $t = \{s \in A \mid s < t\}$ 

**Theorem 6.2.1** (Transfinite Induction Principle). Let (A,<) be a well ordering. Let  $B\subseteq A$ . If  $\forall t\in a[\forall s< t(s\in B)\to t\in B]$  then B=A.

**Proof.** Take  $B \subseteq A$ , suppose  $\forall t \in a[\text{seg } t \subseteq B \to t \in B]$ . We want to show B = A. If not  $A \setminus B$  is nonempty so it has a least element b. Since b is least in  $A \setminus B$ ,  $\forall s < b \le B$  so  $b \in B$ , a contradiction.

**Example 6.2.2.** If  $A = \omega \times \omega$ ,  $<_{\text{lex}}$ . Want to define  $F : \omega \times \omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(n,m) = \sup\{F(a,b) + 2^{-b} : (a,b) < (n,m)\}$ . We get F(0,0) = 0, F(0,1) = 1,  $F(0,2) = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $F(0,3) = \frac{7}{4}$ , ..., F(1,0) = 2, F(1,1) = 3, ..., F(2,0) = 4, ...

**Theorem 6.2.3** (Transfinite Recursion Principle). Let (A, <) be a well ordering. Given  ${}^{< A}B \to B$  there is a unique function  $F: A \to B$  such that  $\forall t \in A F(t) = G(F \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } t})$ 

• We define  ${}^{< A}B = \{f \mid f \text{ is a function, dom } (f) = \text{seg } t \text{ for some } t \in A, \text{ ran } f \subseteq B\}$ 

Let  $A = \omega + \omega$ ,  $0, 1, 2 \cdots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \cdots$  $V_0 = \varnothing, \cdots, V_{n+1} = \mathcal{P}(V_n), \cdots, \bigcup_{n \in \omega} V_n = V_{\omega}, \dots, V_{\omega+n+1} = \mathcal{P}(V_{\omega+n}), \text{ ie } V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) : \beta < \alpha\}.$ 

**Axiom 6.2.4** (Replacement Axiom). For each first order formula  $\varphi(x,y)$  if  $\varphi(x,y)$  is function like on a set A then there is a set B such that  $\forall y(y \in B \leftrightarrow \exists x \in A\varphi(x,y))$ 

**Definition 6.2.5.**  $\varphi(x,y)$  is function like on A if  $\forall x \in A \exists ! y \varphi(x,y)$ 

6.3. NOVEMBER 1 135: Set Theory

## 6.3 November 1

## 6.3.1 The Replacement Axiom

- Every well ordering has a least element, every element  $t \ni a$  has a successor  $s(t) \in A$ , s(t) least element  $\triangleright t$
- Some element have a predecessor (calles succesor element) and some don't (limit elements)

**Definition 6.3.1.** A formula  $\varphi(x,y)$  is function like if  $\forall x \exists ! y \ vp(x,y)$ , function like on A if  $\forall x \in A \exists ! y \ \varphi(x,y)$ 

**Example 6.3.2.** If  $\varphi(x,y)$  is  $y = \mathcal{P}(x)$  ie.  $(\forall z(z \in y \leftrightarrow z \subseteq x))$  or  $y = \operatorname{ran}(x)$  ie.  $\forall z(z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists w \langle w, z \rangle \in x)$ 

**Axiom 6.3.3.** For any formula  $\varphi(x,y)$  we have an axiom  $\forall A$  if  $\varphi$  is function like on A,  $\exists B$  such that  $\forall x \in a \exists y \in B \varphi(x,y)$ 

Let  $\gamma(x,y)$  be the function y=f(x). For a well ordering  $(A, \triangleleft)$  define a function E with domain A by transfinite recursion,  $\forall t \in A E(t) = \mathrm{ran} \ (E \upharpoonright_{\mathrm{seg} \ t})$ 

Consider this function over the polynomials in  $\mathbb{N}[x]$ :

- seg  $(0) = \emptyset$  so  $E \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } t} \emptyset$  ie. E(0) = 0
- seg (1) =  $\{0\}$ ,  $E \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } 1} = \{\langle 0, \varnothing \rangle\}$  so  $E(1) = \{\varnothing\}$
- seg  $(2) = \{0, 1\}, E \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } 2} = \{\langle 0, \varnothing \rangle, \langle 1, \{\varnothing \} \rangle\} \text{ so } E(2) = \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing \}\}$
- Continuing we get  $0_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{\mathbb{N}}, 2_{\mathbb{N}}, E(x) = \omega, E(x+1) = \omega^+, E(x+2) = \omega^{++}, \cdots, E(2x) = \omega + \omega$

We call ran (E) the  $\varepsilon$ -image of  $(A, \triangleleft)$ 

**Theorem 6.3.4.** Let  $(A, \lhd)$  be a well ordering, let  $\alpha = \operatorname{ran}(E)$ . Let  $\in_{\alpha} = \{\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha \times \alpha \mid a \in b\}$ , then E is an isomorphism  $(A, \lhd) \to (\alpha, \in_{\alpha})$ , ie it is a bijection and  $a \lhd b \leftrightarrow E(a) \in_{\alpha} E(b)$ . Given well orderings  $(A, \lhd_A)$  and  $(B, \lhd_B)$  with  $\varepsilon$  images  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,  $(A, \lhd_A) \cong (B, \lhd_B) \leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$ 

# 6.4 November 3

#### 6.4.1 Ordinals

**Theorem 6.4.1.** For  $s, t \in A$ ,  $s \triangleleft t \leftrightarrow E(s) \in E(t)$ 

**Theorem 6.4.2.** •  $\forall t \in A, E(t) \notin E(t)$ 

- $\bullet$  E is one to one
- $\alpha$  is transitive.

It follows that E is an isomorphism  $(A, \triangleleft) \to (\alpha, \in_{\alpha})$ 

6.4. NOVEMBER 3 135: Set Theory

**Theorem 6.4.3.** Given well orderings  $(A, \lhd_A)$  and  $(B, \lhd_B)$  with  $\varepsilon$  images  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,  $(A, \lhd_A) \cong (B, \lhd_B) \leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$ .

**Proof.**  $\leftarrow$ ) If  $\alpha = \beta$  then  $(A, \lhd_A) \cong (\alpha, \in_\alpha) = (\beta, \in_\beta) \cong (B, \lhd_B)$  $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism.  $E_A: A \rightarrow \alpha, E_B: B \rightarrow \beta$ . Claim  $\forall t \in A, E_A(t) = E_B(f(t))$ . Use transfinite induction. Let  $T = \{t \in A \mid E_A(t) = E_B(f(t))\}$ , want to show T = A. It is enough to prove that  $\forall t \in A (\text{seg } t \subseteq T \rightarrow t \in T)$ .  $E_A(t) = \{E_A(s): s \in A, s \lhd t\} = \{E_B(f(s)): s \in A, s \lhd t\} = \{E_B(s): s \in B s \lhd_B f(t)\} = E_B(f(t))$ .

**Definition 6.4.4.**  $\alpha$  is an ordinal it is the  $\varepsilon$  image of some well ordering.

**Theorem 6.4.5.** If  $\alpha$  is transitive, well ordered by  $\epsilon$ , then  $\alpha$  is the  $\epsilon$  image of some well ordering.

**Proof.** If  $\alpha$  is transitive,  $(\alpha, \in_{\alpha})$  is a well ordering, then we claim  $\alpha$  is the  $\epsilon$ -image of itself, ie. the map  $E: \alpha \to \alpha$  is the identity. Use transfinite induction to show that  $\forall t \in \alpha \ E(t) = t$ .  $E(t) = \{E(s) \mid s \in \alpha, s \in seg t\} = \{E(s) : s \in t\} = \{s \mid s \in t\} = t$ 

**Theorem 6.4.6.** Given well orderings  $(A, \triangleleft_A)$  and  $(B, \triangleleft_B)$  either

- $(A, \lhd_A) \cong (B, \lhd_B)$
- $\exists a \in A \ (\text{seg } a, \lhd_A) \cong (B, \lhd_B)$
- $\exists b \in B \ (A, \lhd_A) \cong (\text{seg } b, \lhd_B)$

**Proof.** Define  $f:A\to B$ ,  $f(a)=\min(B\backslash \operatorname{ran}\ (f\upharpoonright_{\operatorname{seg}\ a}))=\min\{b\in B\mid \forall s\vartriangleleft_A\ a,f(s)\neq b\}$ . f is order preserving and one to one. If  $B\backslash \operatorname{ran}\ (f)$  is nonempty, then it has minimal element b and f is an isomorphism from  $(A,\vartriangleleft_A)$  to  $(\operatorname{seg}\ b,\vartriangleleft_B)$ . If  $B\backslash \operatorname{ran}\ (f)$  is empty, dom f=A, then  $A\cong B$ . If f is not longer defined for some  $a\in A$ , then it is defined on  $\operatorname{seg}\ a$  so  $(\operatorname{seg}\ a,\vartriangleleft_A)\cong (B,\vartriangleleft_B)$ 

**Theorem 6.4.7.** For any ordinals  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ 

- Every member of  $\alpha$  is an ordinal If  $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} E_A$ ,  $a \in \alpha$  then a = E(t) for some  $t \in A$  so  $a = \operatorname{ran} (E \upharpoonright_{\operatorname{seg} t})$  so a is the  $\in$  image of  $\operatorname{seg} t$
- $\alpha \in \beta \in \gamma \rightarrow \alpha \in \gamma$
- $\alpha \notin \alpha$
- Exactly one of the following holds:  $\alpha \in \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta$  or  $\beta \in \alpha$
- Every nonempty set of ordinals has a  $\in$  least element

6.5. NOVEMBER 8 135: Set Theory

**Proof.** If S is a nonepty set of ordinals take  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $S \cap \alpha \subseteq \alpha$  has a least element if nonempty. If it has a least element, then such an element is the least element of S. If it is empty, then  $\alpha$  is the least element of S.

Theorem 6.4.8 (Burali-Forti Paradox). There is not set that contains all ordinals.

Observation:

- $\varnothing$  is an ordinal,  $n \in \omega$  and  $\omega$  are ordinals.
- If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal so is  $\alpha^+ = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$
- If S is a set of ordinals, then  $\bigcup S$  is an ordinal.

# 6.5 November 8

## 6.5.1 Cumulative Hierarchy

Want to formalize hierarchy by defining  $V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) : \beta \in \alpha \}$ . Want to define this using transfinite recusion but can't do this directly. Need approximate this function since cant have a domain ORD. For  $\delta \in \text{ORD}$ ,  $F_{\delta}(\alpha) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(F_{\delta}(\beta)) : \beta \in \alpha \}$ .

**Theorem 6.5.1.** For any ordinal  $\delta$  there exists an  $F_{\delta}$ 

**Proof.** Transfinite recursion on  $(\delta, \epsilon_{\delta})$  with  $y = \bigcup \{P(z) : z \in \operatorname{ran}(x)\}$ . To check that this gives our desired function we see  $F(\alpha) = \bigcup \{P(z) : z \in \operatorname{ran}(F \upharpoonright_{\operatorname{seg}\alpha})\} = \bigcup \{P(F(\beta)) : \beta \in \alpha\}$ . Given  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  with  $\delta_1 \in \delta_2$  we claim that  $F_{\delta_1}(\alpha) = F_{\delta_2}(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \delta_1$ . Follows form the uniqueness of transfinite recusion since  $F_{\delta_2} \upharpoonright_{\delta_1}$  satisfies the recursive conditions so must have  $F_{\delta_2} \upharpoonright_{\delta_1} = F_{\delta_1}$ 

**Definition 6.5.2.**  $V_{\alpha} = F_{\delta}(\alpha)$  for any  $\delta > \alpha$ 

Observation

- (i)  $V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) : \beta \in \alpha \}$
- (ii)  $V_{\alpha}$  is a transitive set.

**Proof.** By induction:  $V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) : \beta \in \alpha \}$ .  $x \in y \in V_{\alpha}$  so  $y \in \mathcal{P}(V_{i}n\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in \alpha$ ,  $y \subseteq V_{\beta}$  so  $x \in V_{\beta}$  so  $x \in V_{\beta}$  so  $x \in \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta})$  and so  $x \in V_{\alpha}$ 

(iii)  $\alpha \in \beta \to V_{\alpha} \subseteq V_{\beta}$ 

**Proof.**  $V_{\beta} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\gamma}) : \gamma \in \beta \}, \ V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\gamma}) : \gamma \in \alpha \}$ 

6.5. NOVEMBER 8 135: Set Theory

**Theorem 6.5.3.** (a)  $V_0 = \emptyset$ 

- (b)  $V_{\alpha}^{+} = \mathcal{P}(V_{\alpha})$  for all  $\alpha$
- (c) If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, then  $V_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\beta \in \lambda} V_{\beta}$

**Proof.** (ii)  $V_{\alpha^+} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_\beta) : \beta \in \alpha^+ \} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_\beta) : \beta \in \alpha \} \cup \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha) = V_\alpha \cup \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha) = \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha) = V_\alpha \cup \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha)$ 

(iii) if  $x \in V_{\lambda} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) : \beta \in \lambda \}$ , then  $x \in \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta})$  for some  $\beta \in \lambda$  so  $x \in V_{\beta^{+}}$  and  $\beta^{+} \in \lambda$  so  $x \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \lambda} V_{\beta}$ . If  $x \in \bigcup_{x \in \lambda} V_{\beta}$ , then  $x \in V_{\beta}$  for  $\beta \in \lambda$  so  $x \subseteq V_{\beta}$  so  $x \in \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta})$  so  $x \in V_{|lambda}$ 

**Definition 6.5.4.** A set S is grounded if there is some  $\alpha$  such that  $S \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . If S is grounded, rank(S) is the least  $\alpha$  such that  $S \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ 

Observation:

(i) If A is grounded, then so are all  $a \in A$  and  $rank(a) \in rank(A)$ 

**Proof.**  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) : \beta \in \alpha \}, \ a \in A \to a \in \mathcal{P}(V_{\beta}) \text{ for } \beta \in \alpha \text{ so } a \subseteq V_{\beta} \text{ for } \beta \in \alpha \}$ 

(ii) rank(A) = the least ordinal greater than <math>rank(a) for  $a \in A$ 

**Proof.** Consider A and consider  $\bigcup \{ \operatorname{rank}(a)^+ : a \in A \} = \alpha$ .  $\alpha \leq \operatorname{rank}(A)$  since  $\operatorname{rank}(A)$  is an upper bound for  $\{ \operatorname{rank}(a)^+ : a \in A \}$ . Further,  $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq \alpha$  since for  $a \in A$ ,  $a \subseteq V_{\operatorname{rank}(a)}$  so  $a \in V_{\operatorname{rank}(a)^+}$  and so  $a \in V_{\alpha}$  and  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ 

**Theorem 6.5.5.** The following are equivalent

- (i) (Regularity) For any nonempty set A, there is some  $m \in A$  such that  $A \cap m = \emptyset$
- (ii) There does not exist a function f with domain  $\omega$  such that  $f(n^+) \in f(n)$  for all n.
- (iii) Every set is grounded.

**Proof.** i  $\rightarrow$  ii) Suppose (ii) is false, then look at ran (f) = A. For any  $a \in A$ , a = f(n) for some n but  $f(n^+) \in f(n)$  so  $A \cap a \neq \emptyset$ 

ii  $\rightarrow$  iii) Suppose there is some non grouned set  $a_0$ ,  $a_0$  must have some non grounded element  $a_1$ , similarly, there is  $a_2 \in a_1$  non grounded,  $\cdots$ 

Note: to make this more formal, need to use the transitive closure, and use choice

iii  $\to$  i) For nonempty A, A is grounded. Consider  $\{\operatorname{rank}(a) : a \in A\}$ , a nonempty set of ordinals so it has some least element  $\alpha$ . Pick  $m \in A$  with  $\operatorname{rank}(m) = \alpha$ , then  $A \cap m = \emptyset$  since any elements of m must have strictly smaller rank.

6.6. NOVEMBER 10 135: Set Theory

# 6.6 November 10

#### 6.6.1 Transfinite Recursion

**Theorem 6.6.1** (Transfinite Recursion). Let  $(A, \lhd)$  be a well ordering and  $\gamma(x, y)$  a function like formula, then there is a function F with domain A such that  $\forall t \in A \gamma(F \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } t} F(t))$ . Moreover F is unique.

**Proof.** Let  $B = \{t \in A : \exists \text{ a function } f \text{ with dom } (f) = \text{seg } t, \forall s \lhd t, \gamma(f \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } s}, f(s))\}$ . Want to show B = A. Pick  $t \in A$ . We show that if  $\text{seg } t \subseteq B$ ,  $t \in B$  Lemma: For  $r \bowtie r' \in A$  if  $f_r$  has dom  $f_r = \text{seg } r$  and  $f_{r'}$  has dom  $f_{r'}$  then  $f_r = f_{r'} \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } r}$ 

**Proof.** Let  $I = \{s \in \text{seg } r \mid f_r(s) = f_{r'}(s)\}$ . Want to show I = seg r by transfinite induction. Take  $s \in \text{seg } r$ , if we have that  $\forall s' \lhd s$   $f_r(s') = f_{r'}(s')$ , then  $f_r \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } s} = f_{r'} \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } s}$ .  $f_r(s)$  is the unique w such that  $\gamma(f_r \upharpoonright_{\text{seg } s}, w)$  and similarly for  $f_{r'}(s)$  so it follows that  $f_r(s) = f_{r'}(s)$  and so I = seg r

Case 1:  $t = \operatorname{succ}(t')$  and  $t' \in B$ . Let  $f_t = f_{t'} \cup \{\langle t', w \rangle\}$  where w is the unique w satisfying  $\gamma(f_{t'}, \omega)$  Case 2: t is a limit. Let  $f_t = \bigcup_{r \lhd t} \{f_r \mid r \in segt\}$  (set by replacement). Well defined since by IH since  $\forall r \in seg t, \exists ! f$  satisfying conditions.

# 6.7 November 15

#### 6.7.1 Ordinals

Let  $\omega_1$  be the set of all countable ordinals. Why is this a set?

Consider  $W = \{(A, R) \in (\omega + 1) \times \mathcal{P}(\omega \times \omega) \mid (A, R) \text{ is a well ordering } \}$ , a set by subset axiom. For each  $R \in W$  there is a unique cardinal  $\alpha$ ,  $(A, R) \cong (\alpha, \epsilon_{\alpha})$ , namely the  $\epsilon$  image of (A, R). If  $\alpha$  is a countable ordinal, (say infinite), there is a bijection  $f : \omega \to \alpha$ . Define  $R = \{\langle a, b \rangle \mid f(a) \in_{\alpha} f(b) \}$ , we get the  $\epsilon$  image of  $(\omega, R)$  is  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha \in \beta \in \omega_1$ ,  $\alpha$  is an ordinal, countable because  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$  so  $\alpha \in \omega_1$
- If  $\alpha, \beta \in \omega_1$ , since  $\alpha, \beta$  are ordinals  $\alpha \in \beta$  or  $\beta \in \alpha$  or  $\alpha = \beta$  so  $\epsilon$  is a linear ordering.

It follows that  $\omega_1$  is an ordinal and  $\omega_1 \notin \omega_1$  so  $\omega_1$  is not countable. For any ordinal  $\gamma$ , either  $\gamma \in \omega_1$  so  $\gamma$  is countable or  $\omega_1 \in \gamma$ ,  $\omega_1 \subseteq \gamma$  so  $\gamma$  is uncountable so  $\omega_1$  is the least uncountable ordinal.

**Theorem 6.7.1** (Hartog's Lemma). For any set A there is an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \leq A$ 

**Proof.** Consider  $\alpha = \{\beta \mid \beta \text{ carindal}, \beta \leq A\}$ . this is a set because the set of  $\epsilon$  images of  $W = \{(B, R) \in \mathcal{P}(A) \times \mathcal{P}(A \times A) \mid (B, R) \text{ is a well ordering}\}$  is a set by replacement

- $\alpha$  is an ordinal since if  $\beta \in \alpha$ ,  $\beta$  is an ordinal, if  $\gamma \in \beta \in \alpha$ ,  $\gamma$  is an ordinal,  $\gamma \subseteq \beta \leq A$  so  $\alpha$  is transitive,  $\in_{\alpha}$  is a linear order to  $\alpha$  is an ordinal
- $\alpha \notin \alpha \to \alpha \leq A$

6.8. NOVEMBER 17 135: Set Theory

**Lemma 6.7.2.** If S is a transitive set of ordinals, then S is an ordinal.

Given A, let  $A^+$  be the least ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \nleq A$ .  $\gamma(x,y) \equiv y$  is the least ordinal such that  $y \nleq x$ .  $\gamma$  is function like

**Theorem 6.7.3.** If  $\gamma(x,y)$  is a function like function, there is another function like  $\theta(x,y)$  on the ordinals such that  $\forall \alpha$  if  $F = \{(\beta, \gamma) : \beta \in \alpha, \theta(\beta, \gamma)\}$  then the unique z such that  $\theta(\alpha, z)$  satisfies  $\gamma(F, z)$ 

**Example 6.7.4.** alephs,  $\aleph_0 = \omega$ ,  $\aleph_1 = \aleph_0^+, \cdots, \aleph_{\alpha+1} = \aleph_\alpha^+, \aleph_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \aleph_\alpha$  if  $\lambda$  limit

# 6.8 November 17

#### 6.8.1 Zorn's Lemma

**Theorem 6.8.1.** The following are equivalent

- 1. For every relation R, there is a function  $F \subseteq R$ , dom  $F \subseteq \text{dom } R$
- 3. For every set A, there is a function  $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to A$ .  $\forall B \subseteq A, F(B) \in B$
- 5. For any sets C, D either  $C \leq D$  or  $D \leq C$
- 6. Zorn's Lemma
- 7. For every set A there is a relation  $\triangleleft$  on A such that  $(A, \triangleleft)$  is well ordered.

**Proof.** CC  $\rightarrow$  WO) Take a set A, Use Hartog's Lemma to get  $\alpha \nleq A$ . By CC,  $A \leq \alpha$  so there is a one to one function  $f: A \rightarrow \alpha$ . Define  $\lhd$  on A by  $a \lhd b \leftrightarrow f(a) \in f(b)$ . Then  $(A, \lhd) \cong (f[A], \in)$ .

WO  $\rightarrow$  3) Take A, by WO, there is a well ordering  $\triangleleft$  on A. Define  $F : \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow A$  by  $F = \{\langle B, b \rangle \in (\mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times A : b \text{ is the } \triangleleft\text{-least element of } B\}$ 

 $1 \to 6$ ) Consider such an  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has no maximal element. For any set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , let F(A) be a set in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \subsetneq F(A)$ . The definition of F using (1) is given by  $R = \{\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} : A \subsetneq B \}$  since  $\mathcal{A}$  has no maximal element, dom  $(R) = \mathcal{A}$ . Use (1) to get a function  $F \subseteq R$ , dom  $(F) = \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$   $F(A) \supsetneq A$ . Now, use Hartog's theorem to get an ordinal  $\alpha \not \preceq \mathcal{A}$ . We define a function  $h : \alpha \to \mathcal{A}$  by transfinite recursion. For  $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ , define  $H(\beta)$  using  $H \upharpoonright_{\text{seg }\beta}$ . We split into 3 cases:

- $\beta = 0$ .  $H(0) = A_0$  (since  $A \neq \emptyset$ )
- $\beta = \gamma^+, H(\beta) = F(H(\gamma))$
- $\beta$  limit,  $H(\beta) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \beta} H(\gamma) \in \mathcal{A}$  because  $\{H(\gamma) : \gamma \in \beta\}$  is a chain.

Now,  $\forall \gamma \in \beta \in \alpha$ ,  $H(\gamma) \subseteq H(\beta)$  so H is a one to one function, contradicting  $\alpha \not \leq A$ .

**Theorem 6.8.2.** For every set A, there is a unique cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\kappa \approx A$ 

Observation: If  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are cardinals and  $\kappa_1 \approx \kappa_2$ , then  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$ 

6.8. NOVEMBER 17 135: Set Theory

**Proof.** BY WO, there is a well ordering  $\lhd$  on A. Let  $\alpha$  be the  $\in$  image of  $(A, \lhd)$ .  $\alpha$  is an ordinal,  $\alpha \cong A$ . Let  $\kappa$  be the least ordinal  $\cong A$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a formula such that  $\exists$  ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma(\alpha)$ . Claim: there is a least ordinal satisfying  $\gamma$ . Let  $G = \{\beta \in \alpha^+ : \gamma(\beta)\}, \ \alpha \in G, \ G \subseteq \alpha^+ \text{ so } G \text{ has a least element.}$