

Contents

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | 1-21 | 1 |
| 1.1 | Motivation | 1 |
| 2 | 1-23 | 2 |
| 3 | 1-26 | 3 |
| 3.1 | The GNS Construction | 3 |
| 4 | 1-28 | 4 |
| 4.1 | Automatic Continuity | 4 |
| 4.2 | Cyclic Representations | 4 |
| 5 | 1-30 | 5 |
| 5.1 | Integrated Forms | 5 |
| 5.2 | Traces | 5 |
| 6 | 2-2 | 5 |
| 6.1 | Direct Sums of Hilbert Spaces | 5 |
| 6.2 | Intertwining Operators | 6 |
| 7 | 2-4 | 6 |
| 7.1 | Schur's Lemma | 6 |
| 7.2 | Radon-Nikodym for Positive Linear Functionals | 7 |
| 8 | 2-6 | 7 |
| 8.1 | Pure States | 7 |
| 9 | 2-9 | 8 |
| 9.1 | Constructing Positive Linear Functionals | 8 |
| 10 | 2-11 | 9 |
| 10.1 | The Gelfand-Naimark Theorem | 9 |
| 11 | 2-13 | 10 |
| 11.1 | Invariance of Spectra | 10 |
| 11.2 | Approximate Identities | 11 |
| 12 | 2-18 | 11 |
| 12.1 | Ideals in C^* -algebras | 11 |
| 13 | 2-20 | 12 |
| 13.1 | Adjoining Units | 12 |

1 1-21

1.1 Motivation

Before starting the development of the general theory of C^* -algebras we consider a few motivating examples.

Example 1.1. Consider the Hilbert spaces $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and define unitary operators U, V by $(U\xi)(r) = \xi(r - 1)$ and $(V\xi)(r) = e^{2\pi i\theta r}\xi(r)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the algebra generated by these two operators. $VU = e^{2\pi i\theta}UV$ so the linear span of U^mV^n is an algebra. Denote its operator norm closure by \mathcal{A}_θ .

The algebras generated by U and V individually are both isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and if $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$, U and V commute so $\mathcal{A}_\theta \cong C(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$. Otherwise, we call \mathcal{A}_θ a noncommutative 2-torus.

Consider the self adjoint operator, called an almost Matthieu operator

$$H = (U + U^*) + \lambda(V + V^*)$$

Q: What is the spectrum of H ?

- For rational θ , it is a disjoint union of intervals
- 1976: Hofstader plotted the spectra for rational θ to produce a fractal like pattern – the Hofstader Butterfly. This led to the conjecture that the spectrum of H for irrational θ was a Cantor set.
- 1981: Mark Kat offered 10 martinis for an answer to this conjecture.
- Barry Simon popularized this and it became known as the 10 martini problem
- 2005: Jitomirskaya, Avila gave an affirmative answer

Much of the partial progress on this problem came from the theory of C^* -algebras but the final proof used completely different techniques.

Example 1.2. Let G be a group. A (Hilbert Space) unitary representation of G is a group homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$. Consider the algebra of operators generated by $\{\pi(x) : x \in G\}$, ie. elements of the form $\pi_f = \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\pi(x)$ for $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ finnitely supported. We define a product on this set by

$$(\sum f(x)\pi(x))(\sum g(y)\pi(y)) = \sum_{x,y} f(x)g(y)\pi(xy) = \sum_{x,y} f(x)g(x^{-1}y)\pi(y) = \sum_y (\sum_x f(x)g(x^{-1}y))\pi(y)$$

Defining the convolution $*$ by

$$(f * g)(y) = \sum_x f(x)g(x^{-1}y)$$

we have the $\pi_f \cdot \pi_g = \pi_{f*g}$ and this gives an algebra structure on $C_c(G)$ and an algebra homomorphism $\pi : (C_c(G), *) \rightarrow B(H)$. The convolution also gives $\ell^1(G)$ an algebra structure since $\|f*g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1\|g\|_1$.

For any $f \in C_c(G)$, let $\|\pi_f\|_{C^*(G)} = \sup\{\pi_f : \pi \text{ unitary rep of } G\}$. Observe that

$$\|\pi_f\| \leq \sum |f(x)|\|\pi(x)\| = \sum |f(x)| = \|f\|_1$$

and so $\|f\|_{C^*(G)} \leq \|f\|_1$. Let $C^*(G)$ denote the completion of $C_c(G)$ with respect to this norm. We can represent this algebra as an algebra bounded operators on some Hilbert space.

Note: for any group G we have at least two unitary representations – the trivial representation and the left regular representation on $\ell^2(G)$ by translation.

2 1-23

Example 2.1. Using the left regular representation: $H = \ell^2(G)$ with $\mathcal{U}_x(\xi)(y) = \xi(x^{-1}y)$, we can define a norm on $C_c(G)$ by $\|f\|_r = \|U_f\|$. Note that the involution is given by $f^*(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$. The closure of $C_c(G)$ under this norm is called the reduced C^* -algebra for G . Since $\|f\|_r \leq \|f\|_{C^*}$, we only have that $C_r^*(G)$ is a quotient of $C^*(G)$ in general. In fact, the discrepancy between the two encodes information about the group G :

Theorem 2.2. $C^*(G) = C_r^*(G)$ if and only if G is amenable.

Example 2.3. For X a compact Hausdorff space, we can consider a homomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(x)$ (eg. a dynamical system). This induces a map $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(X))$. We can often use this map to give insight into the behavior of the dynamical system as passing to the level of algebras gives more structure to leverage.

More generally, for a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , we call a map $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ a “noncommutative dynamical system”. We will look at representations of these systems: $U : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$, $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ satisfying $\pi(\alpha_x(a)) = U_x\pi(a)U_x^{-1}$ (the covariance relation). The algebra generated by $(U_x, \pi(a))$ is called the crossproduct algebra.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \mathbb{S}^1$ and a^θ be rotation by θ .

Ex: $X = \mathbb{S}^1$, a^θ = rotation by θ . Then \mathbb{Z} acts by $(a^\theta)^n$ and the crossproduct algebra is \mathcal{A}_θ .

Remark 2.5. The construction of $C^*(G)$ also works for groupoids

If X, Y are compact Hausdroff spaces, how are $C(X \times Y)$ and $C(X), C(Y)$ related. Consider functions of the form $(f \otimes g)(x, y) = f(x)g(y)$. The algebra generated by these functions is dense in $C(X \times Y)$ by Stone Weierstrass.

Example 2.6. Can from algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \dots$

Consider direct limit of algebras $M_2 \hookrightarrow M_4 \hookrightarrow M_8 \dots$ with isometric embeddings $T \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}$.

Called the CAR-algebra (canonical anticommutative relation).

Q: for different n , are the C^* -algebras generated by $M_n \rightarrow M_{n^2} \dots$ nonisomorphic?

Yes (the proof uses methods from noncommutative geometry)

However, the Von-Neumann algebras generated by different n are all isomorphic.

Definition 2.7. A concrete C^* -algebra is a subalgebra of $B(H)$, for H a Hilbert space, which is norm closed and closed under $*$.

An abstract C^* -algebra is a Banach algebra with a conjugate linear involution $*$ satisfying $\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$.

By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem (1943), every abstract C^* -algebra is isomorphic to a concrete C^* -algebra.

Little Gelfand-Naimark: If \mathcal{A} is a commutative C^* -algebra then $\mathcal{A} \cong C_0(X)$ for X LCH.

If \mathcal{A} is a commutative unital C^* -algebra over \mathbb{C} , define $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ unital hom}\}$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, define \hat{a} on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a)$. The map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a unital algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{A} to functions on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 2.8. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, define the spectrum of a , $\sigma(a) = \{\lambda : a - \lambda 1_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ is not invertible}\}$.

If \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra, then $(1 - a)^{-1} = \sum a^n$ if $\|a\| < 1$ and so if $\lambda \in \sigma(a)$ then $|\lambda| < \|a\|$.

Observe that if $\varphi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ then $\varphi(a) \in \sigma(a)$ since $\varphi(a - \varphi(a)1) = 0$ and so $a - \varphi(a)1$ is not invertible.

For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\hat{a} \in C(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$, $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ called the Gelfand transform.

Gelfand spectral radius formula: $\sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(a)\} = \lim \|a^n\|^{1/n}$.

So, if $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$, then Gelfand transform is isometric.

3 1-26

3.1 The GNS Construction

Definition 3.1. An algebra involution $*$ is a conjugate linear map so that $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ and $(a^*)^* = a$.

Given $C(X)$ a unital C^* -algebra, how do we realize it as bounded operators on a Hilbert space H ?

Suppose μ is a positive measure, viewed as a linear functional. Define a pre-inner product by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f \bar{g} d\mu = \mu(f \bar{g})$. Quotienting out by norm zero elements, gives a Hilbert space on which $C(X)$ acts by pointwise multiplication.

Example 3.2. Consider $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$. Then $C(X) \subseteq \ell^\infty(X_{\text{disc}})$ acts on $\ell^2(X_{\text{disc}})$. This is called the atomic representation.

We would like to this construction on a general unital $*$ -algebra.

Definition 3.3. Let μ be a linear functional on \mathcal{A} a unital $*$ -algebra. Say that μ is positive if $\mu(a^*a) \geq 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

The positive linear functionals are closed under addition and multiplication by nonnegative scalars (ie. they form a cone)

Let μ be a positive linear function on \mathcal{A} . Define a pre-inner product on \mathcal{A} by $\langle a, b \rangle_\mu = \mu(b^*a)$. To see that this is a pre-inner product observe that

$$\langle a, a \rangle_\mu = \mu(a^*a) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a + b, a + b \rangle_\mu = \langle a, a \rangle_\mu + \langle a, b \rangle_\mu + \langle b, a \rangle_\mu + \langle b, b \rangle_\mu \geq 0$$

so $0 = \text{Im}(\langle a, b \rangle_\mu) + \text{Im}(\langle b, a \rangle_\mu)$ and, replacing b with ib , gives $\text{Re}(\langle a, b \rangle_\mu) = \text{Re}(\langle b, a \rangle_\mu)$.

Let $N_\mu = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \langle a, a \rangle_\mu = 0\}$. If $a \in N_\mu$, then $|\langle a, b \rangle_\mu|^2 \leq \langle a, a \rangle_\mu \langle b, b \rangle_\mu = 0$ so $N_\mu = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \langle a, b \rangle_\mu = 0 \ \forall b\}$. It follows that N_μ is a left ideal of \mathcal{A} . On \mathcal{A}/N_μ the pre-inner product becomes an inner product. Denote its completion by $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

Let \mathcal{A} act on itself on the left via $\pi_a b = ab$. This is a $*$ -algebra homomorphism since

$$\langle \pi_a b, c \rangle_\mu = \langle ab, c \rangle_\mu = \mu(c^*ab) = \mu((a^*c)^*b) = \langle b, a^*c \rangle_\mu = \langle b, \pi_{a^*c} \rangle$$

Call this the left regular representation. Since N_μ is a left ideal of \mathcal{A} , this gives an action of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{A}/N_μ as well. It remains to check that the π_a 's are continuous. This may fail without a complete norm as the next example shows.

Example 3.4. Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of \mathbb{C} -valued polynomials on \mathbb{R} , and let $\mu(p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(t)e^{-t^2} dt$. On $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$, multiplying by polynomials in an unbounded operator.

The above is called the GNS (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal) construction

Theorem 3.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital normed $*$ -algebra, μ a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} .

- (1) If \mathcal{A} is complete, then μ is continuous and $\|\mu\| = \mu(1)$
- (2) If \mathcal{A} is not necessarily complete but μ is continuous then $\|\mu\| = \mu(1)$.

4 1-28

4.1 Automatic Continuity

Lemma 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital $*$ -Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} . If $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $a^* = a$, and $\|a\| < 1$, then there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ with b^*b and $1 - a = b^*b$.

Proof. The function $f(z) = \sqrt{1-z}$, has a power series expansion $f(z) = \sum r_n z^n$, $r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ which converges for $|z| < 1$. Setting $b = \sum r_n a^n$, it follows that $b^2 = (f(a))^2 = (f^2)(a) = 1 - a$. \square

Theorem 4.2. If μ is a positive linear function on \mathcal{A} , then μ is continuous and $\|\mu\| = \mu(1)$.

Proof. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $a^* = a$ and $\|a\| < 1$. Then for b so that $1 - a = b^*b$, we have that

$$\mu(b^*b) = \mu(1) - \mu(a) \geq 0$$

and so $\mu(1) \geq \mu(a)$. It follows that if $a^* = a$, $\mu(a) \leq \|a\|\mu(1)$. For general a ,

$$|\mu(a)|^2 = |\mu(a1)|^2 = |\langle 1, a \rangle_\mu|^2 \leq \mu(1)\mu(a^*a) \leq \mu(1)^2\|a^*a\| \leq \mu(1)^2\|a\|^2$$

\square

If (H, π) is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} , then for any $\xi \in H$, set $\mu_\xi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$. This defines a positive linear functional with $\|\mu_\xi\| = \|\xi\|^2$. We also have that

$$\|\pi(a)\xi\|^2 = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \pi(a)\xi \rangle = \langle \pi(a^*a)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \|a^*a\| \|\xi\|^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \|\xi\|^2$$

and so $\|\pi(a)\| \leq \|a\|$.

Theorem 4.3. If μ is a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} , then the left regular representation on $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ defines a $*$ -representation, ie. each π_a is a bounded linear operator (and $\|\pi_a\| \leq \|a\|$).

Proof. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|\pi_a(b)\|^2 = \langle \pi_a(b), \pi_a(b) \rangle_\mu \langle ab, ab \rangle_\mu = \mu(b^*a^*ab) \leq \|b^*a^*ab\| \leq \|b^*\| \|a^*a\| \|b\| \mu(1) \leq \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \mu(1)$$

\square

4.2 Cyclic Representations

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital $*$ -Banach algebra and (H, π) a continuous $*$ -representation. Then $\xi \in H$ is said to be (topologically) cyclic if $\{\pi_a\xi : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is dense in H .

Proposition 4.5. Let (H, π) be a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . If $K \subseteq H$ is a closed π -invariant subspace then K^\perp is also π -invariant

Proof. For any $\eta \in K^\perp$, $\xi \in K$, $\langle \xi, \pi_a\eta \rangle = \langle \pi_a^*\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$. \square

Given (H, π) a $*$ -representation, for any nonzero $\xi \in H$, $K = \overline{\{\pi_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}}$ is a closed cyclic subspace and we can write H as the sum of closed π -invariant subspaces $H = K \oplus K^\perp$. Iterating this (possibly a transfinite number of times) shows that any $*$ -representation (H, π) can be decomposed into cyclic invariant subspaces.

5 1-30

5.1 Integrated Forms

Let G be a group and U a unitary representation of G . Given $f \in C_c(G)$, define $U_f = \sum f(x)U_x$. Then, $U_f U_g = U_{f*g}$ and $\|U_f\| \leq \|f\|_1$ so $f \mapsto U_f$ is a continuous *-representation of $\ell^1(G)$. The assignment $f \mapsto U_f$ is called the integrated form corresponding to U . Conversely, if (H, π) is a *-representation of $\ell^1(G)$, then $x \mapsto \pi_{\delta_x}$ gives a unitary representation of G . So, there is a bijection between unitary representations of G and continuous *-representations of $C_c(G)$ or $\ell^1(G)$.

If φ is a continuous positive linear functional on $\ell^1(G)$, then $\varphi \in \ell^\infty(G)$. So to any positive linear functional μ , there is an associated $\mu_f \in \ell^\infty(G)$. We call such a μ_f a function of positive type.

Example 5.1. Let (H, π) be the trivial representation of G on \mathbb{C} . Its integrated from is $f \mapsto \sum f(x)$ and so the corresponding function of positive type is $\varphi(x) = 1$ for all x .

Claim: $\delta_e \in \ell^\infty(G)$ is of positive type.

$$\langle f^* * f, \delta_e \rangle = (f^* * f)(e) = \sum \overline{f(y^{-1})} f(y^{-1}e) = \sum |f(y^{-1})|^2 \geq 0$$

What does the GNS construction give?

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\delta_e} = \sum \overline{g(y)} f(y) = \langle f, g \rangle_{\ell^2(G)}$$

So we recover the left regular representation of G .

5.2 Traces

Definition 5.2. A positive linear functional on a normed *-algebra is tracial (is a trace) if $\mu(ab) = \mu(ba)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Claim: δ_e is a trace on $\ell^1(G)$

$$\langle f * g, \delta_e \rangle = \sum f(y)g(y^{-1}) = \sum f(y^{-1})g(y) = \langle g * f, \delta_e \rangle$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach *-algebra, μ a tracial positive linear functional. Let $N_\mu = \{a : \langle a, b \rangle_\mu = 0 \ \forall b\}$. Then N_μ is a two sided ideal of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}/N_μ is an A, A -bimodule. So $L^2(A, \mu)$ admits both left and right regular representations.

The representation $L^2(A, \mu)$ is cyclic vector with cyclic vector 1. Any vector ξ gives a positive linear functional via $a \mapsto \langle a\xi, \xi \rangle$ and the positive linear function corresponding to 1 gives back μ . So, any positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} comes from a cyclic vector in some *-representation.

Example 5.3. For the regular representations of $\ell^1(G)$ or $\ell^\infty(G)$ on $\ell^2(G)$, the vector δ_e is cyclic for the representation. It defines a trace on the image of $\ell^1(G)$. Let $C_r(G)$ denote the norm closure of the image of $\ell^1(G)$ in $B(\ell^2(G))$. δ_e defines a trace on this sub C*-algebra, $\|\delta_e\| = 1$.

It is not possible to extend this to a trace on $B(H)$ in general since iff H is infinite dimensional, then $B(H)$ admits no bounded trace.

6 2-2

6.1 Direct Sums of Hilbert Spaces

Given a collection of Hilbert spaces $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$, we can form the algebraic direct sum as

$$\bigoplus_{\text{alg}} H_j = \{\xi \in \prod H_j : \xi_j = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } j\}$$

This is an inner product space with

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_j \langle x_j, \eta_j \rangle$$

Taking the completion with respect to this inner product gives the direct sum (coproduct) of the H_j 's as Hilbert spaces. One can check that

$$\bigoplus H_j = \{\xi \in \prod H_j : \sum \|x_i\|_j^2 < \infty\}$$

Given $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ with $T_j \in B(H_j)$, we can define $T\xi = (T_j\xi_j)$. If $\{\|T_j\| : j \in J\}$ is bounded then $T \in B(H)$ with $\|T\| = \sup_j \|T_j\|$. Similarly, if (H_j, π_j) is a family of unitary representations of G (*-representations of a Banach algebra), we can define a *-representation on $\bigoplus H_j$ by $U_x\xi = (U_x^j, \xi_j)$, $(\pi_a\xi = (\pi_a^j\xi_j))$. Note that these are bounded representations since $\|U_x^j\| = 1$ and $\|\pi_a^j\| \leq \|a\|$ for each j .

If (H, π) is a *-representation, then it decomposes into a direct sum $\bigoplus (H_j, \pi_j)$ with each (H_j, π_j) a cyclic representation.

6.2 Intertwining Operators

Definition 6.1. Suppose (H, π) , (K, ρ) are *-representations of \mathcal{A} (unitary reps of G). A morphism of representations is an \mathcal{A} module homomorphism $T : H \rightarrow K$, ie. a bounded linear operator $T : H \rightarrow K$ so that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $T\pi_a = \rho_a T$. We call such a map an intertwining operator.

Definition 6.2. An isomorphism of representations is an intertwining unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$.

Definition 6.3. A pointed cyclic representation (H, π, ξ_*) is a cyclic representation with a specified cyclic vector ξ_* .

Proposition 6.4. Let (H, π, ξ_*) and (K, ρ, η_*) be pointed cyclic representations. If $\mu_{\xi_*} = \mu_{\eta_*}$ there is a unitary intertwining operator U from H to K so that $U\xi_* = \eta_*$.

Proof. Define U on the dense subspace $\{\pi_a\xi_* : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ by $U(\pi_a\xi_*) = \rho_a\eta_*$. U then extends uniquely to a continuous map $H \rightarrow K$. It is clear that U is intertwining. Further, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\langle U\pi_a\xi_*, U\pi_b\xi_* \rangle = \langle \rho_a\eta_*, \rho_b\eta_* \rangle = \langle \rho_b^*\rho_a\eta_*, \eta_* \rangle = \mu_{\eta_*}(b^*a) = \mu_{\xi_*}(b^*a) = \langle \pi_b^*\pi_a\xi_*, \xi_* \rangle = \langle \pi_a\xi_*, \pi_b\xi_* \rangle$$

So U is unitary as well. \square

It follows that there is a bijection between positive linear functions on \mathcal{A} and isomorphism classes of pointed cyclic representations.

Definition 6.5. A *-representation (H, π) irreducible if it has no proper closed π -invariant subspaces.

Given a finite dimensional representation, it can be decomposed into a sum of irreducible representations.

7 2-4

7.1 Schur's Lemma

Definition 7.1. Let H be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq B(H)$. The commutant of \mathcal{C} is defined to be

$$\mathcal{C}' = \{T \in B(H) : TC = CT \forall C \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

The commutant is closed with respect to the strong operator topology and so is a Von Neumann algebra (and hence a C^* -algebra). For any \mathcal{C} , $(\mathcal{C}')'$ is the strong closed *-algebra generated by \mathcal{C} . The double commutant theorem was proved by Von Neumann in the first paper on operator algebras.

If (H, π) is a representation of \mathcal{A} , then $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ is the commutant of $\{\pi_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 7.2 (Schur's Lemma). A representation (H, π) of \mathcal{A} is irreducible if and only if $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H) = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. If (H, π) is not irreducible then there is a proper closed invariant subspace $K \subseteq H$. Taking P to be the orthogonal projection onto K , we have that $K \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ but K is not a scalar multiple of the identity. Conversely, if $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H) \neq \mathbb{C}$ then let T be an operator which is not a scalar multiple of the identity. Since either the real or imaginary part of T is also not a scalar multiple of the identity we may assume that T is self adjoint without loss of generality. There are $s \neq t \in \sigma(T)$ and so let f, g be functions so that $f(s) = g(t) = 1$ and $fg = 0$. This $F, G \in C^*(I, T)$ so that $F, G \neq 0$ and $FG = 0$. Now, $\langle FH, GH \rangle = 0$ and $GH \neq 0$ so, since $F \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$, \overline{FH} is a proper invariant subspace. \square

Corollary 7.3. If \mathcal{A} is a commutative unital normed *-algebra, then every irreducible representation of \mathcal{A} is one dimensional.

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is commutative, then for representation (H, π) , $\pi_a \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. So if the representation is irreducible it follows that $\pi_a \in \mathbb{C}I$ for each A and so every subspace is invariant. Thus, H must be one dimensional. \square

Example 7.4. If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then the irreducible representations of X are precisely evaluations at points in x . More generally, if \mathcal{A} is a commutative *-Banach algebra, then its irreducible representations are exactly the characters on \mathcal{A} .

Example 7.5. Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ with $(\alpha, \beta)^* = (\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha})$. There are no nonzero *-algebra homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} to \mathbb{C} since $(1, 0)$ generates it as a *-algebra but $(1, 0)(1, 0)^* = 0$ and so $\mu(1, 0) = 0$ for any $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

7.2 Radon-Nikodym for Positive Linear Functionals

Definition 7.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital *-algebra and let μ, ν be positive linear functionals on \mathcal{A} . Say that μ dominates ν , $\mu \geq \nu$ if $\mu - \nu \geq 0$.

How do we get such a ν ? Given μ , consider the pointed representation $(H_\mu, \pi_\mu, \xi_\mu)$. For any $T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ with $0 \leq T \leq I$, define

$$\nu(a) = \langle \pi_a T \xi_\mu, \xi_\mu \rangle$$

We have that

$$\nu(a^* a) = \langle T \pi_a \xi_\mu, \pi_a \xi_\mu \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (\mu - \nu)(a^* a) = \langle (I - T) \pi_a \xi_\mu, \xi_\mu \rangle$$

and so $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu$. Here T is the “Radon-Nikodym derivative” of ν .

We will show that all such ν arise in this way.

Theorem 7.7. If $\mu \geq \nu \geq 0$, then $\exists T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H_\mu)$, $0 \leq T \leq I$ such that $\nu(a) = \langle T \pi_\mu(a) \xi_\mu, \xi_\mu \rangle$.

Fact: Let H be a Hilbert Space, K a dense subspace, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K$ a pre-inner product on K so that $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_K \leq \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_H$ for $\eta \in K$. Then, there is an operator $T \in B(H)$ with $0 \leq T \leq I$ and so that $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_K = \langle T\xi, \eta \rangle$ for $\xi, \eta \in K$.

Proof. Given $\eta \in K$, consider the conjugate-linear functional given by $\varphi_\eta(\xi) = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_K$. φ_η extends uniquely to H and so there is some ξ_η so that $\varphi_\eta(\xi) = \langle \xi_\eta, \xi \rangle$ for all $\xi \in K$. Consider the linear map defined on K by $T\eta = \xi_\eta$. Note that T is continuous since $\|\xi_\eta\| = \|\eta\|_K \leq \|\eta\|_H$ and so extends uniquely to an element in $B(H)$ satisfying the desired conditions. \square

Proof of Theorem. Define an inner product on H by $\langle \pi_\mu(a)\xi_\mu, \pi_\mu(b)\xi_\mu \rangle_\nu = \nu(b^* a)$ then take T to be as above. It remains to check that $T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we compute that

$$\langle T\pi_\mu(b)\pi_\mu(a)\xi_\mu, \xi_\mu \rangle = \langle T\pi_\mu(ba)\xi_\mu, \xi_\mu \rangle = \nu(ba) = \langle T\pi_\mu(a)\xi_\mu, \pi_\mu(b^*)\xi_\mu \rangle = \langle \pi_\mu(b)T\pi_\mu(a)\xi_\mu, \xi_\mu \rangle$$

\square

8 2-6

8.1 Pure States

Definition 8.1. A positive linear functional μ on \mathcal{A} is pure if whenever $\mu \geq \nu \geq 0$, $\mu = r\nu$ for some $r \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 8.2. A positive linear functional μ is pure if and only if the corresponding representation (H_μ, π_μ) is irreducible.

Proof. If (H_μ, π_μ) is irreducible, then by Schur's lemma $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(H) = \mathbb{C}$. So, whenever $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu$, the derivative of ν is equal to rI for some $r \in [0, 1]$ and so $\nu = r\mu$. Conversely, If (H_μ, π_μ) has a closed proper invariant subspace, let P be the projection onto this subspace. Then $0 \leq P \leq I$ and so, taking ν to be the corresponding positive linear functional, it follows that $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu$ but $\nu \neq r\mu$. \square

Definition 8.3. A state on \mathcal{A} is a positive linear functional μ with $\mu(1) = \|1\| = \|\mu\|$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the collection of states on \mathcal{A} , called the state space. $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq B_1(\mathcal{A}')$ and is convex. It is also closed for the weak *-topology and so is compact.

Remark 8.4. Physicists call states “mixed states” and use “states” to refer to pure states.

Proposition 8.5. A state $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ is pure if and only if it is an extreme point of $\mathcal{S}(A)$.

Proof. If μ is not an extreme point then there are $\nu_1, \nu_2 \neq \mu$, $t \in (0, 1)$ so that $\mu = t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2$. So $\mu \geq t\nu_1$ but $t\nu_1 \neq r\mu_1$. So μ is not pure. Conversely, if μ is an extreme point and $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu$, then

$$\mu = \|\nu\| \left(\frac{\nu}{\|\nu\|} \right) + \|\mu - \nu\| \left(\frac{\mu - \nu}{\|\mu - \nu\|} \right)$$

is a convex combination of states and so $\|\nu\|\mu = \nu$. Hence, μ is pure. \square

Corollary 8.6. For $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, (H_μ, π_μ) is irreducible if and only if μ is an extreme point of $\mathcal{S}(A)$.

Theorem 8.7 (Krein-Milman). Every compact, convex subset of a Banach space is equal to the closed convex hull of its extreme points.

For any representation (H, π) and $\xi \in H$ with $\|\xi\| = 1$ state, we can define a state by $\mu_\xi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$. Call such a state a vector state.

Example 8.8. Let G be a discrete group, and consider the left regular representation of $\ell^1(G)$ on $\ell^2(G)$. There is $\xi \in \ell^2(G)$ so that if $x \neq e$, $\lambda_x \xi \neq \xi$. There are lots of vector states in $\mathcal{S}(A)$ so it follows that there are lots of irreducible representations. In particular, for any $x \in G$ there is an irreducible representation (H, U) so that $U_x \neq I_H$ (Gelfand-Raikov Theorem). For $f \in \ell^1(G)$, let $\|f\| = \sup\{\|\pi_\mu(f)\| : \mu \text{ pure state}\}$. Then $\|f\| = \|\lambda_f\|$ as an operator on $\ell^2(G)$.

9 2-9

9.1 Constructing Positive Linear Functionals

Given a positive linear functional on a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} , we have seen how to construct a representation. Our next goal is to answer the following question:

Q: If \mathcal{A} is an abstract unital C*-algebra, why does it have any positive linear functionals?

Using the Riesz-representation theorem, we can produce many such functionals for commutative C*-algebras. More generally, suppose \mathcal{A} is a C*-algebra and \mathcal{B} a unital commutative sub C*-algebra. Then $\mathcal{B} \cong C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X , and so if μ_0 is a probability measure on X , it defines a linear functional on $C(X)$ with $\mu(1) = 1 = \|\mu_0\|$. Using Hahn-Banach, μ_0 can be extended to μ a linear functional on \mathcal{A} . However, how do we know that such an extension is a state?

First, we may assume that the extension is a *-algebra homomorphism by replacing μ with

$$\tilde{\mu}(a) = \frac{\mu(a) + \mu(a^*)}{2} + i \frac{\mu(a) - \mu(a^*)}{2}$$

Then $\tilde{\mu}(a^*) = \overline{\tilde{\mu}(a)}$, $\tilde{\mu}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \mu_0|_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\|\tilde{\mu}\| = \tilde{\mu}(1) = 1$. So it remains to check that μ is positive.

If \mathcal{C} is a commutative unital C*-algebra, $\mathcal{C} \cong C(Y)$ and so $\mu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ arises from a Radon measure on Y . Taking the Jordan decomposition gives $\mu|_{\mathcal{C}} = (\mu|_{\mathcal{C}})^+ - (\mu|_{\mathcal{C}})^-$. Since

$$1 = \mu(1) = \|\mu|_{\mathcal{C}}\| = \|(\mu|_{\mathcal{C}})^+\| + \|(\mu|_{\mathcal{C}})^-\|$$

it follows that $(\mu|_{\mathcal{C}})^- = 0$. So, if \mathcal{A} is a unital C*-algebra, it has lots of linear functionals whose restriction to every commutative unital subalgebra is a state of that subalgebra.

In particular, for normal elements, we have that $\mu(a^*a) \geq 0$ but why is this true for general a ?

Definition 9.1. For any C*-algebra \mathcal{A} and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that a is positive if $a^* = a$ and $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

If $a \geq 0$ then, restricting to the commutative C*-algebra generated by a , it follows that $\mu(a) \geq 0$. So to show that $\mu(a^*a) \geq 0$ for any a , it suffices to show that a^*a is positive.

Proposition 9.2. If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ a unital C*-algebra, then $a^*a \geq 0$.

Proof. We have $C^*(a^*a, 1) \cong C(\sigma(a^*a))$. Note that we are taking for granted that the spectrum of an element is invariant when passing to a sub C^* -algebra, but this will be shown later.

Suppose towards a contradiction that $\sigma(a^*a) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, then there is some $c \in C(\sigma(a^*a))$ with $c \geq 0$ and so that $\sigma(ca^*a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$. Let $d = (ca)$ so that $\sigma(d^*d) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$.

Write $d = h + ik$ with h, k self adjoint. Then

$$d^*d + dd^* = (h - ik)(h + ik) + (h + ik)(h - ik) = 2(h^2 + k^2)$$

so that $dd^* = 2(h^2 + k^2) - d^*d$.

To complete the proof, we will need two facts: that the sum of two positive elements is positive, and that $\sigma(ab) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \cup \{0\}$ for any a, b . Since both $2(h^2 + k^2)$ and $-d^*d$ are positive, this would imply dd^* is positive. However $\sigma(dd^*) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(d^*d) \cup \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 9.3. If \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and if $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ are so that $a, b \geq 0$, then $a + b \geq 0$.

For any C^* -algebra, its norm is completely determined by its $*$ -algebraic structure:

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| = r(a^*a)$$

The next claim shows that the order structure on \mathcal{A}^{sa} is completely determined by norm.

Claim: For $a^* = a$, $a \geq 0$ if and only if $\exists t \geq \|a\|$ with $\|a - t1\| \leq t$ if and only if $\forall t \geq \|a\|$ $\|a - t1\| \leq t$.

Proof. It suffices to show this for $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$. Given $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and $t \geq \|f\|$, $\|f - t1\| \leq t$ iff $|f(x) - t| \leq t$ for all x iff $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x . \square

Proof of Proposition (Kelley-Vaught, 1953).

Suppose $a, b \geq 0$ and let $s = \|a\|$, $t = \|b\|$. Then $\|a - s1\| \leq s$ and $\|b - t1\| \leq t$, so

$$\|(a + b) - (t + s)1\| \leq \|a - s1\| + \|b - t1\| \leq s + t$$

Since, $\|a + b\| \leq s + t$, it follows that $a + b \geq 0$. \square

10 2-11

10.1 The Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Theorem 10.1. Let \mathcal{A} be any unital algebra over any field. Then for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\sigma(ab) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. For $\lambda \neq 0$, $(ab - \lambda 1) = \lambda(\lambda^{-1}ab - 1)$ so it suffices to show that $1 - ab$ is invertible whenever $1 - ba$ is. Writing

$$(1 - ab)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ab)^n = 1 + a \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ba)^n \right) b = 1 + a(1 - ba^{-1})b$$

Hence, if $1 - ba$ is invertible, it should be that $(1 - ab)^{-1} = 1 + a(1 - ba)^{-1}b$. An easy computation shows that this is true. \square

Remark 10.2. We give a brief historical account of connections to physics:

- Heisenberg, Schrodinger (1926): Gave the first way to model quantum physics
- Heisenberg: Observables should be modeled by self adjoint operators on a Hilbert Space.

Let P model momentum and Q model position. Then

$$PQ - QP = i\hbar I_H$$

where \hbar is Planck's constant.

- Schrodinger: Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and define

$$P(\xi)(t) = t\xi(t) \quad Q(\xi)(t) = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \xi(t)$$

so that we again have $PQ - QP = i\hbar I_H$.

Note that the operators P and Q above are not bounded so we must restrict their domain.

If \mathcal{A} is a unital Banach algebra, then there are no $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $ab - ba = \lambda 1$ as this would imply $\sigma(ba + \lambda I) = \sigma(ba) + \lambda$ while $\sigma(ab) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \cup \{0\}$, which is impossible. This shows that using unbounded operators is necessary to model the above situation.

Example 10.3. Consider $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Define S via $(S\xi)_n = x_{n+1}$. Then $(S^*\xi)_n = \xi_{n-1}$ for $n > 0$ and 0 otherwise. We have that $S^*S = I$ but $SS^* = I - \pi_0$ and so $\sigma(S^*S) \neq \sigma(SS^*)$.

A unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is called finite if there is no a so that $a^*a = 1$ and $aa^* \neq 1$.

We are finally ready to complete the proof of the Gelfand-Naimark theorem.

Theorem 10.4. Let \mathcal{A} be an abstract unital C*-algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is isometrically isomorphic to a C*-subalgebra of bounded operators on some Hilbert space H .

Proof. For each $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$, form (H_μ, π_μ) and let $H = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})} (H_\mu, \pi_\mu)$. We show this representation of \mathcal{A} is isometric. For each a , $\|\pi(a)\| = \sup_\mu \|\pi_\mu(a)\| \leq \|a\|$. This supremum is achieved since for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a state μ_0 on $C^*(a^*a, 1)$ so that $\mu_0(a^*a) = \|a^*a\|$. We can then extend μ_0 to a state μ on \mathcal{A} and for this μ we will have

$$\|\pi_\mu(a)\|^2 = \|\pi_\mu(a^*a)\| = \mu(a^*a) = \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$$

□

11 2-13

11.1 Invariance of Spectra

Theorem 11.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra, \mathcal{B} a unital sub C*-algebra. For any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$

Example 11.2. This may fail for general Banach algebras. Consider $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. The element δ_1 is invertible in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ but not $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

To prove the above theorem, it suffices to show that if $b \in \mathcal{B}$ has an inverse in \mathcal{A} , then it has an inverse in \mathcal{B} . We draw the motivation for this proof from algebra: Let R be a unital ring, S a unital subring. If b is a zerodivisor in S , then it has no inverse in R , ie. b cannot be made invertible in some ring containing S . This condition is equivalent to being a zero divisor since if $b \in S$ is not a zerodivisor then S embeds into S_b , in which b is invertible.

For normed algebras, we first consider this in the commutative case. An element $f \in C(X)$ is not invertible if and only if it is an “approximate zero divisor”, ie. there exists a sequence $\{g_n\} \in C(X)$ and K so that $K \leq \|g_n\|$ with $\|g_n f\| \rightarrow 0$. If such a sequence exists we may assume $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ for all n .

Proof of Theorem. Suppose b is not invertible in \mathcal{B} . Then b^*b is also not invertible and so is an approximate zero divisor in \mathcal{B} . Such a sequence also witnesses that b^*b (and hence b) is not invertible in \mathcal{A} . □

Note that if b_n is a sequence witnessing that a^*a is a right approximate zero divisor then either $b_n a^*$ is bounded below so a is also a right approximate zero divisor or b_n witnesses that a is a left approximate zero divisor. So $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is invertible if and only if it is not a (left or right) approximate zero divisor.

We conclude by showing that for elements of $B(H)$, the notion of positivity for operators and elements of a C*-algebra coincide.

Proposition 11.3. For $T \in B(H)$, $T^* = T$, $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ if and only if $\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all ξ .

Proof. If $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, then there is some S so that $T = S^*S$ and so $\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle S\xi, S\xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all ξ . Conversely, suppose $\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all ξ . If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is so that $(T - \lambda I)$ is not invertible, λ is an approximate eigenvalue so there are $\{\xi_n\}$ with $\|\xi_n\| = 1$ and $(T - \lambda I)\xi_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $\langle (T - \lambda I)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \langle T\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle - \lambda \rightarrow 0$ and so $\lambda \geq 0$. □

11.2 Approximate Identities

Our next topic will be ideals in C^* -algebras. To study these we will first need to understand with C^* -algebras without identities. Many C^* -algebras we may work with do not have identity elements, for instance $C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Despite this the identity element can be approximated in this algebra: let e_n be a sequence of compactly supported positive functions so that $e_n \equiv 1$ on $[-n, n]$. Then for any $f \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $fe_n \rightarrow f$.

Definition 11.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a normed algebra. A left approximate identity is a net $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ so that for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|e_\lambda a - a\| \rightarrow 0$. We can also define right, bounded, self adjoint, and positive approximate identities.

Example 11.5. If X is locally compact, then $\Lambda = f \in C_c(X)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$ is an approximate identity.

Proposition 11.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{L} a left ideal of \mathcal{A} (not necessarily closed). There is a right approximate identity $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in \mathcal{L} (positive of norm 1). If \mathcal{L} is separable, this can be taken to be a sequence.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a dense subset of \mathcal{L} and let Γ be the collection of finite subsets of \mathcal{C} ordered by inclusion. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, set $b_\lambda = \sum_{a \in \lambda} a^* a$. Note that $b_\lambda \geq 0$ and so $(b_\lambda + \frac{1}{|\lambda|})^{-1}$ exists and $e_\lambda = (b_\lambda + 1)^{-1} b_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$. We claim that e_λ is a right approximate identity for \mathcal{L} .

It suffices to show that for $a \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume $a \in \lambda$ so that $a^* a \leq b_\lambda$. Then

$$(a - ae_\lambda)^*(a - ae_\lambda) = (1 - e_\lambda)a^* a(1 - e_\lambda) \leq (1 - e_\lambda)b_\lambda(1 - e_\lambda)$$

Which, writing $t = b_\lambda$ is the function

$$f(t) = (1 - (t + \frac{1}{|\lambda|})^{-1} t)^2 t$$

As $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, $\|f(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ and so we have that $ae_\lambda \rightarrow a$, as desired. \square

12 2-18

12.1 Ideals in C^* -algebras

Proposition 12.1. If I is a closed right ideal with right self adjoint approximate identity, then $d^* \in I$ whenever $d \in I$ and I is a two sided ideal.

Proof. Let $d \in I$. Then $\|d^* - e_\lambda d^*\| = \|d - de_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$ and so, since $e_\lambda d^* \in I$ for each λ and I is closed, $d^* \in I$ as well. Now, I is two sided since for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in I$, $(ab)^* = b^* a^* \in I$ and so $ab \in I$. \square

Corollary 12.2. Any closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra.

Proposition 12.3. If I is a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} and J is a closed two-sided ideal of I , then J is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Let e_λ be an approximate identity of I . For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in J$, $ab = \lim_\lambda ae_\lambda b \in J$ since each $ae_\lambda \in I$ and J is closed. \square

Proposition 12.4. If I, J are closed two-sided ideals then $IJ = I \cap J$.

Proof. We have that $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ in general. Since a

Corollary 12.5. If I is a closed two-sided ideal then $I^2 = I$.

Q: How do we handle the case where \mathcal{A} has no identity element?

We can formally adjoin an identity. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}1$. If \mathcal{A} is a normed algebra, then defining $\|A + \lambda 1\| = \|a\| + |\lambda|$ gives $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ the structure of a normed algebra. It will be a Banach algebra if \mathcal{A} is.

Example 12.6. $\mathcal{A} = C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\|f + \lambda 1\| = \|f\| + |\lambda|$. If $-1 \leq f < 0$, then $\|f - 1\| \leq \|f\|$ and so $\|f - 1\| \neq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - 1|$ and so this norm is not a C^* -algebra norm.

Given \mathcal{A} normed algebra, consider the left regular representation on itself with

$$\|L_a\| = \sup\{\|ab\| : \|b\| \leq 1\}$$

If \mathcal{A} has an approximate identity of norm 1, then the representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on \mathcal{A} is isometric on \mathcal{A} .

For any ring (with unit), consider R^n viewed as a right R -module. This is a free right R -module. If $1 \in R$, then $\text{End}_R(R^n) = M_n(R)$, with the usual left action.

Definition 12.7. A projective right R -module is a module that isomorphic to a direct summand of a free module ie. V is projective if there is a right module W with $V \oplus W \cong R^n$ for some n .

Theorem 12.8 (Swan's Theorem). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$. There is a bijection between isomorphism classes of projective R -modules and isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X .

The study of projective modules will lead into K -theory

13 2-20

13.1 Adjoining Units

For $T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$, can define T^* in the usual way. If \mathcal{A} has a unit, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = M_n()$. It may not exist in general however, and so we restrict our attention to operators with adjoints.

For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|L_a e_\lambda\| = \|ae_\lambda\| \rightarrow \|a\|$ so $\|L_a\| = \|a\|$

We can still carry out the GNS construction in this setting: If μ is a positive continuous linear functional on \mathcal{A} , it extends to $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by setting $\tilde{\mu}(1) = \|\mu\|$.

Definition 13.1. For \mathcal{A} a $*$ -normed algebra, a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} on H is said to be nondegenerate if $\{a\xi : a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in H\}$ is dense in H .

If \mathcal{A} has a bounded approximate identity $\{e_\lambda\}$, the above is equivalent to $\pi(e_\lambda)\xi \rightarrow \xi$ for all $\xi \in H$.

Claim: For a GNS representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on $(H_{\tilde{\mu}}, \pi_{\tilde{\mu}}, \xi_{\tilde{\mu}})$, we can restrict this representation to \mathcal{A} . Then ξ_μ is still cyclic for $\pi_{\tilde{\mu}}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and so the representation restricted to \mathcal{A} is nondegenerate.

Proof of Claim. Let $\{a_j\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a sequence with $\|a_j\| \leq 1$ and so that $\mu(a_j) \nearrow \|\mu\|$. Then

$$\|\pi_\mu(a_j)\xi_\mu - \xi_\mu\|^2 = \|a_j - 1\|^2 = \|(a_j - 1)^*(a_j - 1)\| = \mu(a_j^* a_j) - \overline{\mu(a_j)} - \mu(a_j) + \|\mu\| \leq 2(\|\mu\| - \mu(a_j)) \rightarrow 0$$

Hence, $\xi_\mu \in \overline{\{\pi(a)\xi_\mu : a \in \mathcal{A}\}}$ and so, since this set is $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant, it must be equal to H . \square

Theorem 13.2 (Segal, 1947). Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and let I be a closed two-sided ideal. Then \mathcal{A}/I is a C^* -algebra.

Example 13.3. Let $B_0(H)$ be the two-sided ideal of compact operators on H . Then $B(H)/B_0(H)$ is called the Calkin algebra.

For a normed space V and a closed subspace W , put a norm on V/W by

$$\|\dot{v}\| = \inf\{\|v - w\| : w \in W\} = d(v, W)$$

If \mathcal{A} is a normed algebra and I a closed two-sided ideal, then the quotient norm on \mathcal{A}/I is still an algebra norm. Given a, b we show that $\|\dot{a}\dot{b}\| \leq \|\dot{a}\| \|\dot{b}\|$. For $c, d \in I$, $(a - c)(b - d) = ab - (cb + ad - cd)$. So, we have

$$\|\dot{a}\dot{b}\| \leq \|(a - c)(b - d)\| \leq \|a - c\| \|b - d\|$$

Taking the infimum over $c, d \in I$ gives the desired result.