

- as people get richer:** Paul K. Piff, Michael W. Kraus, Stéphane Côté, Bonnie Hayden Cheng, and Dacher Keltner, “Having Less, Giving More: The Influence of Social Class on Prosocial Behavior,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 99 (2010): 771–784.
- spend the money on others:** Elizabeth W. Dunn, Lara B. Aknin, and Michael I. Norton, “Spending Money on Others Promotes Happiness,” *Science* 319 (2008): 1687–1688.
- warm glow:** James Andreoni, William T. Harbaugh, and Lise Vesterlund, “Altruism in Experiments,” in *New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, 2nd ed., ed. Steven N. Durlauf and Lawrence E. Blume (New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2008).
- neuroscience evidence:** William T. Harbaugh, Ulrich Mayr, and Daniel R. Burghart, “Neural Responses to Taxation and Voluntary Giving Reveal Motives for Charitable Donations,” *Science* 316 (2007): 1622–1625; and Jorge Moll, Frank Krueger, Roland Zahn, Matteo Pardini, Ricardo de Oliveira-Souza, and Jordan Grafman, “Human Fronto-Mesolimbic Networks Guide Decisions about Charitable Donations,” *PNAS* 103 (2006): 15623–15628.
- Americans over age twenty-four:** Peggy A. Thoits and Lyndi N. Hewitt, “Volunteer Work and Well-being,” *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 42 (2001): 115–131.
- drop in depression:** Yunqing Li and Kenneth F. Ferraro, “Volunteering and Depression in Later Life: Social Benefit or Selection Processes?” *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 46 (2005): 68–84.
- actually live longer:** Marc A. Musick, A. Regula Herzog, and James S. House, “Volunteering and Mortality Among Older Adults: Findings from a National Sample,” *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences* 54B (1999): S173–S180; and Stephanie L. Brown, Randolph M. Nesse, Amiram D. Vinokur, and Dylan M. Smith, “Providing Social Support May Be More Beneficial Than Receiving It: Results from a Prospective Study of Mortality,” *Psychological Science* 14 (2003): 320–327.
- massages:** Tiff any M. Field, Maria Hernandez-Reif, Olga Quintino, Saul Schanberg, and Cynthia Kuhn, “Elder Retired Volunteers Benefit from Giving Massage Therapy to Infants,” *Journal of Applied Gerontology* 17 (1998): 229–239.
- national survey of Americans:** Roy F. Baumeister, Kathleen D. Vohs, Jennifer L. Aaker, and Emily N. Garbinsky, “Some Key Differences between a Happy Life and a Meaningful Life,” *Journal of Positive Psychology* (forthcoming).
- happiness can motivate people:** see Sigal G. Barsade and Donald E. Gibson, “Why Does Affect Matter in Organizations?” *Academy of Management Perspectives* 21 (2007): 36–59; Sonja Lyubomirsky, Laura King, and Ed Diener, “The Benefits of Frequent Positive Affect: Does Happiness Lead to Success?” *Psychological Bulletin* 131 (6): 803–855; and Timothy A. Judge, Carl J. Thoresen, Joyce E. Bono, and Gregory K. Patton, “The Job Satisfaction—Job Performance Relationship: A Qualitative and Quantitative Review,” *Psychological Bulletin* 127 (2001): 376–407.
- faster and more accurate diagnoses:** Carlos A. Estrada, Alice M. Isen, and Mark J. Young, “Positive Affect Facilitates Integration of Information and Decreases Anchoring in Reasoning Among Physicians,” *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 72 (1997): 117–135.
- Virgin mogul:** Richard Branson, *Losing My Virginity: How I’ve Survived, Had Fun, and Made a Fortune Doing Business My Way* (New York: Crown Business, 1999), 56; and *Business Stripped Bare: Adventures of a Global Entrepreneur* (New York: Penguin, 2011), 327.
- more sustainable contributions:** Adam M. Grant and David M. Mayer, “Good Soldiers and Good Actors: Prosocial and Impression Management Motives as Interactive Predictors of Affiliative Citizenship Behaviors,” *Journal of Applied Psychology* 94 (2009): 900–912.

## Chapter 7: Chump Change

- Opening stories:** Personal interviews with Jason Geller (December 14, 2011), “Lillian Bauer” (January 15, 2012), and Peter Audet (December 12, 2011, and January 19, 2012).
- consultants in a large professional services firm:** Diane M. Bergeron, Abbie J. Shipp, Benson Rosen, and Stacie A. Furst, “Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Career Outcomes: The Cost of Being a Good Citizen,” *Journal of Management* (forthcoming).
- victims of crimes:** Robert Homant, “Risky Altruism as a Predictor of Criminal Victimization,” *Criminal Justice and Behavior* 37 (2010): 1195–1216.
- thin slicing:** Malcolm Gladwell, *Blink: The Power of Thinking Without Thinking* (New York: Back Bay Books, 2007); and Nalini Ambady and Robert Rosenthal, “Half a Minute: Predicting Teacher Evaluations from Thin Slices of Nonverbal Behavior and Physical Attractiveness,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 64 (1993): 431–441.
- close friends:** Stephen Leider, Markus M. Mobius, Tanya Rosenblat, and Quoc-Anh Do, “What Do We Expect from Our Friends?” *Journal of the European Economic Association* 8 (2010): 120–138.
- agreeableness:** Lauri A. Jensen-Campbell, Jennifer M. Knack, and Haylie L. Gomez, “The Psychology of Nice People,” *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 4 (2010): 1042–1056.
- scan the brains:** Colin G. DeYoung, Jacob B. Hirsh, Matthew S. Shane, Xenophon Papademetris, Nallakkandi Rajeevan, and Jeremy R. Gray, “Testing Predictions from Personality Neuroscience: Brain Structure and the Big Five,” *Psychological Science* 21 (2010): 820–828.

**regardless of whether our personalities trend agreeable or disagreeable**: on the distinction between compassion and politeness, see Colin G. DeYoung, Lena C. Quilty, and Jordan B. Peterson, "Between Facets and Domains: 10 Aspects of the Big Five," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 93 (2007): 880–896; on compassion connecting more strongly to honesty and humility than agreeableness, see Michael C. Ashton and Kibeom Lee, "Empirical, Theoretical, and Practical Advantages of the HEXACO Model of Personality Structure," *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 11 (2007): 150–166; on distinguishing agreeableness from giver values, see Sonia Roccas, Lilach Sagiv, Shalom H. Schwartz, and Ariel Knafo, "The Big Five Personality Factors and Personal Values," *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 28 (2002): 789–801.

**Mike Homer**: Personal interviews with Danny Shader (February 13, 2012), Greg Sands (March 5, 2012), and an anonymous mentee (February 28, 2012).

**givers are more accurate**: Dawne S. Vogt and C. Randall Colvin, "Interpersonal Orientation and the Accuracy of Personality Judgments," *Journal of Personality* 71 (2003): 267–295.

**Givers see individual differences**: Harold H. Kelley and Anthony J. Stahelski, "The Inference of Intentions from Moves in the Prisoner's Dilemma Game," *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 6 (1970): 401–419; see also Nancy L. Carter and J. Mark Weber, "Not Pollyannas: Higher Generalized Trust Predicts Lie Detection Ability," *Social Psychological and Personality Science* 1 (2010): 274–279.

**Strangers and dating couples**: William R. Fry, Ira J. Firestone, and David L. Williams, "Negotiation Process and Outcome of Stranger Dyads and Dating Couples: Do Lovers Lose?" *Basic and Applied Social Psychology* 4 (1983): 1–16.

**appeal to Rich's self-interest**: see E. Gil Clary, Mark Snyder, Robert D. Ridge, Peter K. Miene, and Julie A. Haugen, "Matching Messages to Motives in Persuasion: A Functional Approach to Promoting Volunteerism," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 24 (1994): 1129–1149.

**empathize at the bargaining table**: Adam D. Galinsky, William W. Maddux, Debra Gilin, and Judith B. White, "Why It Pays to Get Inside the Head of Your Opponent: The Differential Effects of Perspective Taking and Empathy on Negotiation," *Psychological Science* 19 (2008): 378–384.

**cooperative when working with cooperative partners**: Paul A. M. Van Lange, "The Pursuit of Joint Outcomes and Equality in Outcomes: An Integrative Model of Social Value Orientation," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 77 (1999): 337–349; see also Jennifer Chatman and Sigal Barsade, "Personality, Organizational Culture, and Cooperation: Evidence from a Business Simulation," *Administrative Science Quarterly* 40 (1995): 423–443.

**tit for tat**: Martin A. Nowak and Roger Highfield, *SuperCooperators: Altruism, Evolution, and Why We Need Each Other to Succeed* (New York: Free Press, 2011), 36.

**optimistic belief**: Randy Pausch and Jeffrey Zaslow, *The Last Lecture* (New York: Hyperion, 2008), 145.

**Abraham Lincoln**: Doris Kearns Goodwin, *Team of Rivals: The Political Genius of Abraham Lincoln* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2006), 104.

**men were earning substantially more money**: Linda Babcock and Sara Laschever, *Women Don't Ask: The High Cost of Avoiding Negotiation—and Positive Strategies for Change* (New York: Bantam, 2007); Deborah A. Small, Michele Gelfand, Linda Babcock, and Hilary Gettman, "Who Goes to the Bargaining Table? The Influence of Gender and Framing on the Initiation of Negotiation," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 93 (2007): 600–613.

**large concessions**: Emily T. Amanatullah, Michael W. Morris, and Jared R. Curhan, "Negotiators Who Give Too Much: Unmitigated Communion, Relational Anxieties, and Economic Costs in Distributive and Integrative Bargaining," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 95 (2008): 723–738.

**income penalty**: Timothy A. Judge, Beth A. Livingston, and Charlice Hurst, "Do Nice Guys—and Gals—Really Finish Last? The Joint Effects of Sex and Agreeableness on Income," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 102 (2012): 390–407.

**Studies in more controlled settings**: Bruce Barry and Raymond A. Friedman, "Bargainer Characteristics in Distributive and Integrative Negotiation," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 74 (1998): 345–359.

**are women more likely to be givers than men?**: Alice H. Eagly and Maureen Crowley, "Gender and Helping Behavior: A Meta-Analytic Review of the Social Psychological Literature," *Psychological Bulletin* 100 (1986): 283–308.

**second factor at play**: see Lilach Sagiv, "Vocational Interests and Basic Values," *Journal of Career Assessment* 10 (2002): 233–257; Idit Ben-Shem and Tamara E. Avi-Itzhak, "On Work Values and Career Choice in Freshmen Students: The Case of Helping vs. Other Professions," *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 39 (1991): 369–379; Jeylan T. Mortimer and Jon Lorence, "Work Experience and Occupational Value Socialization: A Longitudinal Study," *American Journal of Sociology* 84 (1979): 1361–1385; and Robert H. Frank, "What Price the Moral High Ground?" *Southern Economic Journal* 63 (1996): 1–17.

**Sameer Jain**: Personal interview (December 16, 2011).

**176 senior executives**: Hannah Riley Bowles, Linda Babcock, and Kathleen L. McGinn, "Constraints and Triggers: Situational Mechanics of Gender in Negotiation," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 89 (2005): 951–965.

**negotiated on behalf of a friend**: Emily T. Amanatullah and Michael W. Morris, "Negotiating Gender Roles: Gender Differences in Assertive Negotiating Are Mediated by Women's Fear of Backlash and Attenuated When Negotiating on Behalf of Others," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 98 (2010): 256–267.

- relational account:** Hannah Riley Bowles and Linda Babcock, “Relational Accounts: A Strategy for Women Negotiating for Higher Compensation” (working paper, 2011).
- twenty-eight different studies:** Carsten K. W. De Dreu, Laurie R. Weingart, and Seungwoo Kwon, “Influence of Social Motives on Integrative Negotiation: A Meta-Analytic Review and Test of Two Theories,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 78 (2000): 889–905.
- becomes second nature:** Brian R. Little, “Free Traits, Personal Projects and Idio-Tapes: Three Tiers for Personality Research,” *Psychological Inquiry* 7 (1996): 340–344; and “Free Traits and Personal Contexts: Expanding a Social Ecological Model of Well-Being,” in *Person-Environment Psychology*, 2nd ed., ed. W. Bruce Walsh, Kenneth H. Craik, and Richard H. Price (New York: Guilford Press, 2000): 87–116.

## *Chapter 8: The Scrooge Shift*

- Opening quote:** Adam Smith, *The Theory of Moral Sentiments* (Kila, MT: Kessinger Publishing, 1759/2004), 3.
- Craigslist:** Jenna Lloyd and Sherry K. Gunter, *craigslist 4 Everyone* (New York: Pearson Education, 2008).
- Freecycle:** Personal interview with Deron Beal (June 19, 2012); Richard Jerome, “Free for All,” *People*, May 10, 2004; Deron Beal and S. James Snyder, “Power of One,” *Time*, November 30, 2009; and Carol Brennan, “Deron Beal,” *Encyclopedia of World Biography*, 2005.
- what drives people to participate in exchange systems:** Robb Willer, Francis J. Flynn, and Sonya Zak, “Structure, Identity, and Solidarity: A Comparative Field Study of Generalized and Direct Exchange,” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 57 (2012): 119–155.
- defendant of pure altruism:** C. Daniel Batson, “How Social an Animal? The Human Capacity for Caring,” *American Psychologist* 45 (1990): 336–346; and C. Daniel Batson, Karen Sager, Eric Garst, Misook Kang, Kostia Rubchinsky, and Karen Dawson, “Is Empathy-Induced Helping Due to Self-Other Merging?” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 73 (1997): 495–509.
- devil’s advocate:** Robert B. Cialdini, Stephanie L. Brown, Brian P. Lewis, Carol Luce, and Steven L. Neuberg, “Reinterpreting the Empathy-Altruism Relationship: When One into One Equals Oneness,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 73 (1997): 481–494; and Jon K. Maner, Carol L. Luce, Steven L. Neuberg, Robert B. Cialdini, Stephanie L. Brown, and Brad J. Sagarin, “The Effects of Perspective Taking on Motivations for Helping: Still No Evidence for Altruism,” *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 28 (2002): 1601–1610.
- red herring:** Frans de Waal, *The Age of Empathy* (New York: Crown, 2009), 75.
- writing Wikipedia entries:** Oded Nov, “What Motivates Wikipedians?” *Communications of the ACM* 50 (2007): 60–64; see also Joachim Schroer and Guido Hertel, “Voluntary Engagement in an Open Web-Based Encyclopedia: Wikipedians and Why They Do It,” *Media Psychology* 12 (2009): 96–120.
- lead partner:** Personal interview with “Phillippe” (January 24, 2012).
- common ground:** Mark Levine, Amy Prosser, David Evans, and Stephen Reicher, “Identity and Emergency Intervention: How Social Group Membership and Inclusiveness of Group Boundaries Shape Helping Behavior,” *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 31 (2005): 443–453.
- common identity:** John F. Dovidio, Samuel L. Gaertner, Ana Validzic, Kimberly Matoka, Brenda Johnson, and Stacy Frazier, “Extending the Benefits of Recategorization: Evaluations, Self-Disclosure, and Helping,” *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 33 (1997): 401–420.
- another man named Adam Rifkin:** Personal interviews with Panda Adam Rifkin (January 28, 2012) and Hollywood Adam Rifkin (February 2, 2012). For the full story of how the two Adam Rifkins met, see [www.ifindkarma.com/attic/local/realadam.html](http://www.ifindkarma.com/attic/local/realadam.html) and [www.ifindkarma.com/attic/local/denial.html](http://www.ifindkarma.com/attic/local/denial.html).
- remind us of ourselves:** Brett W. Pelham, Matthew C. Mirenberg, and John T. Jones, “Why Susie Sells Seashells by the Seashore: Implicit Egotism and Major Life Decisions,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 82 (2002): 469–487; John T. Jones, Brett W. Pelham, Matthew C. Mirenberg, and John J. Hetts, “Name Letter Preferences Are Not Merely Mere Exposure: Implicit Egotism as Self-Regulation,” *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 38 (2002): 170–177; Brett W. Pelham, Mauricio Carvallo, and John T. Jones, “Implicit Egotism,” *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 14 (2006): 106–110; and Ernest L. Abel, “Influence of Names on Career Choices in Medicine,” *Names* 58 (2010): 65–74.
- attracted to potential dates:** John T. Jones, Brett W. Pelham, Mauricio Carvallo, and Matthew C. Mirenberg, “How Do I Love Thee? Let Me Count the Js: Implicit Egotism and Interpersonal Attraction,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 87 (2004): 665–683.
- Kiva:** Jeff Galak, Deborah Small, and Andrew T. Stephen, “Microfinance Decision Making: A Field Study of Prosocial Lending,” *Journal of Marketing Research* XLVIII (2011): S130–S137.
- alternative explanations:** Uri Simonsohn, “Spurious? Name Similarity Effects (Implicit Egotism) in Marriage, Job, and Moving Decisions,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 101 (2011): 1–24; Leif D. Nelson and Joseph P. Simmons, “Moniker Maladies: When Names Sabotage Success,” *Psychological Science* 18 (2007): 1106–1112; Ernest L. Abel and Michael L. Kruger, “Symbolic Significance of Initials on Longevity,” *Perceptual and Motor Skills* 104 (2007): 179–182; and



“Athletes, Doctors, and Lawyers with First Names Beginning with ‘D’ Die Sooner,” *Death Studies* 34 (2010): 71–81; and Nicholas Christenfeld, David P. Phillips, and Laura M. Glynn, “What’s in a Name: Mortality and the Power of Symbols,” *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 47 (1999): 241–254.

**Fingerprints**: Jerry M. Burger, Nicole Messian, Shebani Patel, Alicia del Prado, and Carmen Anderson, “What a Coincidence! The Effects of Incidental Similarity on Compliance,” *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 30 (2004): 35–43.

**optimal distinctiveness**: Marilyn B. Brewer, “The Importance of Being *We*: Human Nature and Intergroup Relations,” *American Psychologist* 62 (2007): 728–738; and Kennon M. Sheldon and B. Ann Bettencourt, “Psychological Need-Satisfaction and Subjective Well-Being within Social Groups,” *British Journal of Social Psychology* 41 (2002): 25–38.

**elevation**: Jonathan Haidt, “Elevation and the Positive Psychology of Morality,” in *Flourishing: Positive Psychology and the Life Well-Lived*, ed. Corey L. M. Keyes and Jonathan Haidt (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2003), 275–289; and Sara B. Algoe and Jonathan Haidt, “Witnessing Excellence in Action: The ‘Other-Praising’ Emotions of Elevation, Gratitude, and Admiration,” *Journal of Positive Psychology* 4 (2009): 105–127.

**ten features of Superman**: Leif D. Nelson and Michael I. Norton, “From Student to Superhero: Situational Primes Shape Future Helping,” *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 41 (2005): 423–430.

**even a penny will help**: Robert B. Cialdini and David A. Schroeder, “Increasing Compliance by Legitimizing Paltry Contributions: When Even a Penny Helps,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 34 (1976): 599–604; for a recent extension, see Sachiyo M. Shearman and Jina H. Yoo, “Even a Penny Will Help! Legitimization of Paltry Donation and Social Proof in Soliciting Donation to a Charitable Organization,” *Communication Research Reports* 24 (2007): 271–282.

**energy consumption**: Jessica M. Nolan, P. Wesley Schultz, Robert B. Cialdini, Noah J. Goldstein, and Vidas Griskevicius, “Normative Social Influence Is Underdetected,” *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 34 (2008): 913–923; P. Wesley Schultz, Jessica M. Nolan, Robert B. Cialdini, Noah J. Goldstein, and Vidas Griskevicius, “The Constructive, Destructive, and Reconstructive Power of Social Norms,” *Psychological Science* 18 (2007): 429–434; and Hunt Alcott, “Social Norms and Energy Conservation,” MIT Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (working paper, 2009).

**ready to aid one another**: Charles Darwin, *The Descent of Man and Selection in Relation to Sex* (London: Murray, 1871).

**Underestimating the givers**: Francis J. Flynn and Vanessa K. B. Lake (now Bohns), “If You Need Help, Just Ask: Underestimating Compliance with Direct Requests for Help,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 95 (2008): 128–143.

**only natural to assume**: Dale T. Miller, “The Norm of Self-Interest,” *American Psychologist* 54 (1999): 1053–1060.

**explaining almost every act of their lives on the principle of self-interest**: Alexis de Tocqueville, *Democracy in America* (Garden City, NY: Anchor Press, 1835/1969), 526.

**social norms against sounding too charitable**: Robert Wuthnow, *Acts of Compassion* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993).

**no one believes**: David Krech and Richard S. Crutchfield, *Theory and Problems of Social Psychology* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1948).

**Harvard freshmen**: Stephanie Garlock and Hana Rouse, “Harvard Most Values Success, 2014 Says,” *Harvard Crimson*, September 2, 2011; “Harvard College Introduces Pledge for Freshmen to Affirm Values,” *Harvard Crimson*, September 1, 2011; and Hana Rouse, “College to Remove Signatures from Freshman Kindness Pledge,” *Harvard Crimson*, September 7, 2011.

**Ideas can have profound effects**: Barry Schwartz, “Psychology, Idea Technology, and Ideology,” *Psychological Science* 8 (1997): 21–27.

**Reciprocity Ring**: Wayne Baker and Adam M. Grant, “Values and Contributions in the Reciprocity Ring” (working paper, 2007).

**reputational benefits**: Dan Ariely, Anat Bracha, and Stephan Meier, “Doing Good or Doing Well? Image Motivation and Monetary Incentives in Behaving Prosocially,” *American Economic Review* 99 (2009): 544–555.

**brainstorming**: Harry M. Wallace and Roy F. Baumeister, “The Performance of Narcissists Rises and Falls with Perceived Opportunity for Glory,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 82 (2002): 819–834.

**go green to be seen**: Vidas Griskevicius, Joshua M. Tybur, and Bram Van den Bergh, “Going Green to Be Seen: Status, Reputation, and Conspicuous Conservation,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 98 (2010): 392–404.

**bank tellers**: Chun Hui, Simon S. K. Lam, and Kenneth K. S. Law, “Instrumental Values of Organizational Citizenship Behavior for Promotion: A Field Quasi-Experiment,” *Journal of Applied Psychology* 85 (2000): 822–828.

**sets a terrible precedent**: Harry Lewis, “The Freshman Pledge,” Blogspot, August 20, 2011, <http://harry-lewis.blogspot.com/2011/08/freshman-pledge.html>.

**making identity plans known to others**: Peter M. Gollwitzer, Paschal Sheeran, Verena Michalski, and Andrea E. Seifert, “When Intentions Go Public: Does Social Reality Widen the Intention-Behavior Gap?” *Psychological Science* 20 (2009): 612–618.

**might backfire**: Sonya Sachdeva, Rumen Iliev, and Douglas L. Medin, “Sinning Saints and Saintly Sinners: The Paradox of Moral Self-Regulation,” *Psychological Science* 20 (2009): 523–528.

**attribute it to an external reason**: C. Daniel Batson, Jay S. Coke, M. L. Jasnosi, and Michael Hanson, “Buying Kindness: Effect of an Extrinsic Incentive for Helping on Perceived Altruism,” *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 4 (1978): 86–91; and Ziva Kunda and Shalom H. Schwartz, “Undermining Intrinsic Moral Motivation: External Reward and Self-Presentation,”

*Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 45 (1983): 763–771.

**To paraphrase the writer:** E. M. Forster, *Aspects of the Novel* (New York: Penguin Classics, 1927/2005).

**important aspect of their identities:** Marcia A. Finkelstein, Louis A. Penner, and Michael T. Brannick, “Motive, Role Identity, and Prosocial Personality as Predictors of Volunteer Activity,” *Social Behavior and Personality* 33 (2005): 403–418; Adam M. Grant and Jane E. Dutton, “Beneficiary or Benefactor: Are People More Prosocial When They Reflect on Receiving or Giving?” *Psychological Science* 23 (2012): 1033–1039; and Adam M. Grant, “Giving Time, Time After Time: Work Design and Sustained Employee Participation in Corporate Volunteering,” *Academy of Management Review* 37 (2012): 589–615.

**Fortune 500 retail company:** Adam M. Grant, Jane E. Dutton, and Brent D. Rosso, “Giving Commitment: Employee Support Programs and the Prosocial Sensemaking Process,” *Academy of Management Journal* 51 (2008): 898–918.

## *Chapter 9: Out of the Shadows*

**Opening quote:** Marcus Aurelius, *Meditations* (New York: Random House, 2002), trans. Gregory Hays, 55.

**Derek Sorenson:** Personal interview (January 11, 2012).

**smarter negotiators:** Bruce Barry and Raymond A. Friedman, “Bargainer Characteristics in Distributive and Integrative Negotiation,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 74 (1998): 345–359.

**Sherryann Plesse:** Personal interview (April 13, 2012).

**Peter Audet:** Personal correspondence (July 1, 2012).

## *Actions for Impact*

**top-secret mission:** Personal interviews with Jay (April 19 and May 10, 2012) and his assistant (May 3, 2012).

**job crafting:** Amy Wrzesniewski, Justin M. Berg, Adam M. Grant, Jennifer Kurkoski, and Brian Welle, “Job Crafting in Motion: Achieving Sustainable Gains in Happiness and Performance” (working paper, 2012).

**Mercer study:** Corporate Executive Board, “Creating an Effective Reward and Recognition Program,” March 2006, accessed May 12, 2012, [www.performancesolutions.nc.gov/motivationInitiatives/RewardsandRecognition/docs/CLC-Rewards&Recognition.pdf](http://www.performancesolutions.nc.gov/motivationInitiatives/RewardsandRecognition/docs/CLC-Rewards&Recognition.pdf).

**Love Machine:** Personal interview with Chris Colosi (March 20, 2012).

**“One of my objectives is listening”:** Personal interview with Jim Quigley (August 23, 2011).

**GetRaised:** Personal interview with Matt Wallaert (February 8, 2012).

**ServiceSpace:** Personal interview with Nipun Mehta (March 23, 2012).

**BNI:** Personal interview with Ivan Misner (January 31, 2012).

**aggressively helpful:** The interviews about The Kindness Offensive were conducted by Laurence Lemaire and Matt Stevens with founders David Goodfellow, Benny Crane, James Hunter, and Rob Williams (March 3, 2012); and the interview with Ryan Garcia was conducted by Valentino Kim (March 20, 2012).

**Start the spark:** Wayne Baker and Cheryl Baker, “Paying It Forward: How Reciprocity Really Works and How You Can Create It in Your Organization,” University of Michigan, winter 2011, accessed May 14, 2012, <http://www.bus.umich.edu/Positive/News/newsletter/2-23-11/baker-paying.html>

# INDEX

The page numbers in this index refer to the printed version of this book. To find the corresponding locations in the text of this digital version, please use the “search” function on your e-reader. Note that not all terms may be searchable.

- A
- Aaker, Jennifer, 183
- Abrams, Jonathan, 38
- Acumen Fund, 267
- Advertising, Volkswagen ads, 142–46
- Advice seeking, and negotiating, 150–53
- Agreeableness
  - and giving versus taking, 191–93
  - neurological basis, 191–92n
  - traits related to, 191, 192n
- Ahearn, Bud, 240
- Aknin, Lara, 183
- Algoe, Sara, 234
- Altruism, 220–24
  - and common community, 224–28
  - and empathy, 220–22
  - pathological, 157–58, 170, 170n
  - versus egoism, 220–22
- Amanatullah, Emily, 205–6
- Anders, George, 106, 125
- Andreessen, Marc, 31
- Animals, lekking by, 34–35
- Annan, Kofi, 184
- Annual reports, CEO traits, recognizing from, 34–38
- Architects
  - creativity profile of, 64–65, 68
  - Frank Lloyd Wright, 67–70
- Arcuri, Marie, 102–3, 105
- Ariely, Dan, 244
- Army Man*, 66–67, 72, 77–78n, 93
- Aronson, Elliot, 134, 141, 142
- Ashby, Jeff, 74–75
- Assertiveness problems, 201–9
  - glass ceiling and women, 201–3, 203–4n
  - otherish approach to, 205–9
- Audet, Peter
  - empathy trap, 195–98
  - as giver, 18–20

trusting others, negative effect, 189–91

## B

Babcock, Linda, 201–2, 205, 208

Baker, Cheryl, 239–40, 261, 268

Baker, Wayne, 34, 52–53, 239–40, 261, 268

Barkley, Charles, 109, 124

Barnes, Dane, 138–39

Barry, Bruce, 206, 252

Basketball

- disappointing players, investing in, 108–12

- potential talent, developing by coach, 108–11, 115–22, 124–25

Batson, C. Daniel, 220–22, 247

Bauer, Lillian

- otherish approach by, 214–15

- relational accounts, use of, 208–9

- selfless giving, 186–87

- sincerity screening by, 194, 201

Baumeister, Roy, 183

Bazeley, Percival, 79

Beal, Deron, 217–20, 222–23, 234. *See also* Freecycle

Bellé, Nicola, 167

Bennett, Byron, 79

Berg, Justin, 263

Bergeron, Diane, 187

Biden, Joe, 128

Birth order, and perspective taking, 90–91n

Blogger, 42

Bloom, Benjamin, 104–7

Bloomers, and self-fulfilling prophecy, 99–102

Bluford, Guion, Jr., 158

BNI, 60, 267

Body language, of takers, 130

Bohns, Vanessa, 241

Bondy, Filip, 118

Borowitz, Andy, 93

Bowie, Sam, 109, 111, 115, 115n, 120, 125

Bowler, Matthew, 177

Bowles, Hannah Riley, 208

Branson, Richard, 184–85

Breslin, Jimmy, 73–74

Brewer, Marilyn, 232–33

Brooks, Arthur, 182

Brooks, James L., 63

Brown, Kwame, 123–24

Buckwalter, Bucky, 121–22

Burg, Bob, 267

Burger, Jerry, 232

Burn-out, 165–73, 177–85

- avoiding by giving more, 165–66, 168–71, 173

- and fight or flight, 177

- of givers, myth of, 165, 178–85

- having impact as antidote, 161–69

- social support, benefits for, 177–78

- Teach for America (TFA) teachers, 160–61, 165

Burton, Robert, 87–88

Bush, George H. W., and Ken Lay, 29, 34, 45

Bush, George W., and Ken Lay, 28, 29, 34, 44–45

C

Cain, Susan, 131, 265

Callahan, Conrey

- burn-out situation for, 160–62, 176–77
- as chunker, 171, 174
- giving more as energy source, 165–66, 168–71, 174–78

Caring Canadian Award, 156–58

Carter, Jimmy, 184

Cattell, Raymond, 104

Cerne, Matej, 78–79

Chamberlain, Wilt, 159

Chandler, Tyson, 123

Chast, Roz, 93

Chatterjee, Arijit, 35–37

Cheng, Joey, 34

Chief executive officers (CEOs), takers, traits of, 34–38

Christakis, Nicholas, 56–57

Chunking, giver satisfaction from, 170–75

Cialdini, Robert, 43, 220–22, 235–38

Clark, Margaret, 5

Classroom Economy, The, 175

Clinton, Bill, 10

Clinton, DeWitt, 14

Coach, basketball talent, recognizing, 108–11, 115–22, 124–25

Coffey, Frank, 118–19

Collaboration, 66–93

- and creative character, 63–72
- and crediting others, 77–93
- financial analysts, team and performance, 71–72
- and givers, 66–67, 72–78
- idiosyncrasy credits from, 76
- and matchers, 76, 78–79
- and perspective taking, 86–93
- and powerless speech, 147–48
- and responsibility bias, 81–86
- surgeons, affiliation and performance, 70
- and takers, 69–70
- team, benefits from givers, 74–76

Collins, Catherine, 76

Colosi, Chris, 264

Communication styles

- first-person singular pronouns in, 36, 193
- powerful and powerless communication, 130–54

Community

- elevation and giving, 234–39
- sense of and giving, 224–28, 233–34

Computer industry, takers and company performance, 36n

Conley, Chip, 16

Conservation International, 66

Consultants

- as givers, 186–215

Coyle, Daniel, 104

Craigslist

- development of, 216–17
- versus Freecycle, 217–220, 226–28

Creativity

- architect traits, study of, 64–65, 68



- and collaboration, 63–72

- and givers, 65–67

- and takers, 65, 67–69

Crediting others, 77–93

- by givers, 77–78, 83–86

- lack of by takers, 79–81

- perspective taking, 86–93

- and responsibility bias, 81–86

Crosier, Benjamin, 38

Cross, Rob, 52–53

Crutchfield, Richard, 242

Culture

- and important values, 20–21

- and reciprocity patterns, 5–6n

D

Darwin, Charles, 239

De Dreu, Carsten, 213

Def Jam Records, 119–20

Dell, Michael, 41

Dichter, Sasha, 267

Dillon, Haley, 38

Dingman, Thomas, 245–46

Disagreeable persons

- givers versus takers, 191–93

- traits related to, 191

Disclaimers, in powerless speech, 144

Dominance

- and powerful communication, 130, 133, 148

- and takers, 130, 133

Dormant ties, 50–54

- and givers, 51–53

- reactivating, impact of, 50–51

- and trust, 51

Dovidio, Jack, 226

Drexler, Clyde, 120–22, 124

Drucker, Peter, 70

Drummond, Jon, 158

Duckworth, Angela, 105–6

Duin, Steve, 116–17

Dunn, Elizabeth, 183

Dutton, Jane, 248, 262

E

Eagly, Alice, 203n

*Earth to America*, 66

Eden, Dov, 97–98, 100

Edmondson, Amy, 85

Ego threat, and escalation of commitment, 113–14

Elders, The, 184

Elevation, and giving, 234–39

Elkind, Peter, 28–29

Empathy, 195–201

- and altruism, 220–22

- elements of, 195, 220, 222

- empathy trap and givers, 195–201

- versus generous tit for tat, 198–201

Encouragement, of potential in others, 102–8

- Enders, John, 79, 82
- Energy, gaining and giving, 175–77
- Energy conservation, consumer motivations in, 236–38, 238n
- Energy network map, 52–53
- Engineers
  - as givers, 7, 58–59
  - social network for, 42
- Enron
  - demise, signs of, 35
  - Ken Lay as taker, 27–29, 32, 35–37
- Erving, Julius, 109, 115, 117, 119
- Escalation of commitment, 112–17
- Escoto, Kildare, 137–140
- Excite, 46–48
- Expedition behavior, of givers, 74–75
- Extreme Kindness, 266–67
- Eye Care Associates, 137

F

- Facebook, takers, recognizing on, 39–41
- Fairness, and matchers, 5–6, 33, 43n
- Fake, Caterina, 31
- Fallingwater, 68–69
- Favors, expectations and takers, 44–45, 58
- Feinberg, Matthew, 34
- Feresten, Spike, 93
- Ferrazzi, Keith, 44, 59–60
- Fight or flight, 177
- Financial advisers
  - as givers, 18–20
  - star analysis as collaborators, 71–72
- First-person singular pronouns, use by takers, 36, 193
- Fiske, Alan, 5–6n
- Five-minute favor, 54–60, 264–65
- Flynn, Frank, 58–59, 89, 218, 241
- Forster, E. M., 248
- Fowler, James, 56–57
- Fraenkel, Fred, 71
- Fragale, Alison, 144–45
- Francis, Thomas, 79
- Frank, Robert, 23, 204n
- Franklin, Benjamin, 152–53
- Freecycle
  - as common community, 224–28, 233–34
  - development of, 217–20
  - elevation and giving, 235, 238–39
  - free choice in, 248
  - optimal distinctiveness through, 233–34
  - as otherish, 223–24
  - taker and matcher giving, 222–24
- Friedland, Roger, 68
- Friedman, Ray, 206, 252
- Friendster, 38
- Frimer, Jeremy, 156
- Frink, John, 86
- Fritz, Heidi, 177
- Furst, Stacie, 187

G

Galak, Jeff, 231

Galinsky, Adam, 197

Garbinsky, Emily, 183

Garcia, Ryan, 267

Gardner, Wendi, 179

Gasol, Paul, 123

Gates, Bill, 157

Geller, Jason

- as balanced giver, 188–89
- generous tit for tat by, 200
- otherish approach by, 214
- sincerity screening by, 194

Gender inequality, glass ceiling, 201–3, 203–4n

Generous tit for tat, 198–201

Genesys Wealth Advisers, 20

Geniuses, versus genius makers, 63

George, Bill, 168

GetRaised, 265

Gift giving, and perspective gap, 89–90

Giftivism, 266

Gill, Brendan, 68, 69

Gino, Francesca, 89, 147–48

Giver profiles

- Abraham Lincoln, 10–16, 199–200
- Adam Rifkin, 41–43, 46–50, 53–56
- Annie, 148–53
- Bill Grumbles, 135–36
- C. J. Skender, 96–97, 101–2, 105–8
- Conrey Callahan, 160–62, 165–66, 168–71, 174–78
- David Hornik, 1–9, 17, 23–25
- Derek Sorenson, 250–54
- Don Lane, 143–46
- Freecycle/Deron Beal, 217–20, 224–28, 233–39, 248
- George Meyer, 61–67, 73–78, 83–86, 88–93
- Jason Geller, 188–89, 194, 200, 214
- Jon Huntsman Sr., 35–37, 179–84
- Kildare Escoto, 137–40
- Lillian Bauer, 186–87, 194, 201, 208–9, 214–15
- Peter Audet, 18–20, 189–91, 195–98
- Richard Branson, 184–85
- Sherryann, Plesse, 22, 255
- Stu Inman, 108–11, 115–22, 124–25

Givers, 4–26

- altruism, 220–24
- asking for help, avoiding, 176–77, 268
- assertiveness, lack of, 201–9
- burn-out myth, 165, 178–85
- and collaboration, 66–67, 72–78
- empathy trap, 195–201
- in engineering, 7, 58–59
- and escalation of commitment, 114–17
- examples of. *See* Giver profiles
- expedition behavior of, 74–75
- in financial adviser field, 18–20
- genius makers as, 63
- giver quotient, assessing, 261
- interdependence versus independence, 73

- in medical profession, 7, 17–18
- motivation of, 156–58
- negative traits of, 6–7, 10
- negotiation by, 148–50, 195–215
- and networking, 31, 41–58
- online communities for giving, 265–68
- own contribution, downplaying, 81–86
- pathological giving. *See* Selfless giving
- perspective taking, 88–91, 92–93
- in politics, 10–16
- powerless communication, 130–54
- productive habits of, 4–5, 8–10, 14–15, 22, 50–51, 53–56, 257–58
- productivity problem of, 58–59, 155, 172–73, 186–87, 210–11
- and pronoia, 48–50
- psychological safety created by, 85–86
- pushover effect, 189–215
- reconnecting over time, 46–54
- reluctance, reasons for, 22–23, 241–43, 254–55
- responsibility bias, lack of, 83–86
- salary, 203–4n
- in sales, 137–42
- and self-recognition, 254–58
- in service sector, 17–20
- and success, 6–10, 15–16, 156–58
- success, view of, 257
- takers becomin, 219–20, 245–49
- takers disguised as, 27–28, 31–39
- teachers as, 101–2, 106–7
- trusting others, 189–94
- in venture capital, 1–9, 17, 23–25
- women versus men, 203–4n
- in workplace, fears of, 22–23, 241–43, 254–55

Gladwell, Malcolm, 104, 191

Glass ceiling, 201–3, 203–4n

Glomb, Theresa, 75

Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von, 94

Go-Giver Community, 267

Goldner, Fred, 48

Gollwitzer, Peter, 245

Goodfellow, David, 267

GOOD thirty-day challenge, 267

Goodwill, and dormant ties, 51–53

Goodwin, Doris Kearns, 15

Google, job crafting, 262–63

Gopnik, Alison, 90

Gossip, as punishment, 34

Granger, Chris, 123n

Granovetter, Mark, 47

GraphScience, 53

Green Day, 46–48

Greene, Robert, 21

Grit, and talent development, 105–6

Groening, Matt, 63

Gross, Bob, 118–19

Groupon, 39–40

Groysberg, Boris, 71

Grumbles, Bill, 135–36

## H

Hagerty, Sean, 173–75  
Halbesleben, Jonathan, 177  
Hambrick, Donald, 35–37  
Handey, Jack, 72  
Happiness, 170–75, 183–84  
Hartman, Phil, 73  
Havlicek, John, 121  
Hawthorne, Christopher, 68  
Heevner, Howard, 162  
Helgeson, Vicki, 170, 177  
HelpOthers.org, 266  
Hill, Barton, 146–47  
Hoffman, Reid, 31, 41n, 45, 49, 82  
Hofmann, Dave, 147–48  
Hollander, Edwin, 76  
Holmes, John Andrew, 61  
Homer, Mike, 192–93  
HopeMob, 266  
Hornik, David  
    blog of, 23–24  
    as giver, 1–9, 17, 23–25, 192  
    The Lobby conference, 24–25  
Huckman, Robert, 70  
Huntsman, Jon, Sr., 179–84  
    deal-making as giver, 180  
    humanitarian giving by, 181  
    image as giver, from annual report, 35–37  
    on wealth-giving connection, 181–82  
Huntsman Cancer Center, 181

## I

Identification. *See also* Empathy  
    and common community, 224–28  
    giving, internalizing by takers, 245–49  
    name-similarity effect, 228–33  
    optimal distinctiveness theory, 233–34  
Idiosyncrasy credits, and collaboration, 76  
Independence  
    as cultural symbol, 73  
    versus interdependence, 73  
    and takers, 69–73, 81  
    working alone, 69–73  
Influencing others  
    and communication of givers. *See* Powerless communication  
    and takers, 130  
    traits related to, 130  
Inman, Stu  
    and escalation of commitment, 114–17  
    talent in others, recognizing, 108–11  
    undervalued players, giving chance to, 118–25  
Intelligence, investment theory of, 104  
Intention questions, 142, 142n  
Investment theory of intelligence, 104  
Isaacson, Walter, 153

## J

Jacobson, Lenore, 98–99



Jecker, Jon, 152  
Job crafting, 262–63  
Job promotion, and givers, 186–89, 204–8  
Johnson, Samuel, 32  
Jones, James Earl, 129  
Jones, Steve, 18  
Jordan, Michael, 109, 115n, 122–24  
Judge, Timothy, 203

## K

Kahneman, Daniel, 33  
Kahnweiler, Jennifer, 265  
Kampen, Emerson, 180  
Kanengieter, John, 75  
Karma Kitchen, 266  
Karsten, Anitra, 168  
Kaufmann, Edgar, 68–69  
Kawasaki, Guy, 45–46  
Kersey, Jerome, 120, 124  
Khare, Rohit, 40  
Kickstarter, 268  
Kim, Eugene, 75  
Kindness Offensive, The, 267  
King, Martin Luther, Jr., 27  
“Kissing up, kicking down,” by takers, 32–33  
Kiva, 231, 268  
Klimecki, Olga, 165  
Knowledge workers, working alone, 70  
KnowNow, 40, 42  
Kolditz, Tom, 106  
Komisar, Randy, 10  
Kopelman, Josh, 31  
Korsgaard, Audrey, 114, 116  
Krech, David, 242  
Kurkoski, Jennifer, 263

## L

Landy, David, 152  
Lane, Don, 143–46  
Lange, Paul van, 91n  
Langer, Ellen, 169  
Lay, Kenneth  
    and Bush family, 28, 29, 34, 44–45  
    disguise as giver, 27–28, 32, 38–39  
    favors, expected return from, 44–45  
    networking style, 29–30, 44–45  
    recognizing as taker, from annual report, 35–37  
    as taker, 27–29, 34, 44–45  
Leadership development  
    talent in others, recognizing. *See* Talent development  
    typical approach to, 103–4  
Lee, Howard, 39–40  
Legal profession, powerless communication example, 126–29, 134–35, 141–42, 146  
Lekking  
    animals, 34–35  
    CEOs, recognizing in, 35–37  
Lester, Scott, 116  
Let’s Go, 209–12  
Letterman, David, 62, 66

- Levin, Daniel, 50
- Lewis, Harry, 245
- Lewis, L. James, 79
- Liles, Kevin, 119–20
- Liljenquist, Katie, 150–51
- Lincoln, Abraham
  - elements of greatness, 14–15
  - generous tit for tat by, 199–200
  - as giver, 10–16
  - as selfless giver, 12–13
- LinkedIn, 38
  - best networker (2011), 41–43
  - takers, recognizing via, 39–40
- Listening, and powerless speech, 136–37, 139–40
- Little, Brian, 48, 215
- Lobby, The, 24–25
- Logan, Stephen, 12–13
- Long, Tim, 74–78, 83, 85, 93
- Love, Kevin, 125
- Love, Reggie
  - biographical information, 94–97
  - potential, recognized by others, 94, 96–97, 105
- Love Machine, 264
- Lovitz, Jon, 73
- Lyubomirsky, Sonja, 171

## M

- McAdoo, Bob, 109, 115
- McCall, Michael, 84
- McCloskey, Jack, 117
- MacKinnon, Donald, 64–65
- McLean, Bethany, 28–29
- McNatt, Brian, 100–103
- Mandela, Nelson, 184
- Mann, John David, 267
- Marriage and dating
  - choosing wedding gifts, 89–90
  - couples negotiating, 196
  - responsibility bias, 81–82
  - selfless giving problem, 170n
- Martin, LaRue, 108–11, 115, 117, 122, 125
- Maslach, Christina, 161, 177
- Matchers
  - advice seeking from, 153
  - attributes related to, 5–6, 33, 43n
  - and burnout, 178–79
  - and collaboration, 76, 78–79
  - and Craigslist, 216–20
  - dormant ties, reactivating, 52
  - generous tit for tat, 198–201
  - givers disguised as, 22–23
  - giving and motivations of, 43–45, 223, 228, 237–38, 244, 258
  - giving style of, 258–59
  - negotiation by, 149
  - and networking, 43–44, 45
  - and self-fulfilling prophecy, 101
  - success, view of, 256–57
  - takers punished by, 33–34

- and tit for tat, 198
- trading value by, 55
- traits related to, 5–6, 33, 43n

Matteson, Joel, 11–12

Medical profession

- burnout predictors, 178–79
- givers in, 7, 17–18
- hospital affiliation and performance, 70
- patient contact, importance of, 167–68
- perspective gap in, 87–88

Medtronic, 168

Meglino, Bruce, 114, 116

Mehta, Nipun, 266

Meyer, George, 61–67

- biographical information, 61–63, 65–66, 72
- code of honor of, 75
- as collaborator, 66–67, 72–78, 83–86, 88–93
- creativity of, 65
- and crediting others, 77–78, 83–84, 93
- expedition behavior of, 74–75
- as giver, 65–67, 73–78, 83–86, 93
- own contribution, downplaying, 83–85
- and perspective taking, 88–91, 90–91n, 92–93

Michaels, Lorne, 62

Miller, Dale, 23

Minds Matter Philadelphia, 161–62, 165–66, 171, 174–75

Misner, Ivan, 60, 267

Modesty, and powerless communication, 130–54

Moltz, David, 108

*Monk*, 62

Moon, Henry, 114

Morris, Michael, 205–6

Moskovitz, Dustin, 31

Motivation, 156–78

- cause, need for givers, 163–66
- chunking versus sprinkling and satisfaction, 170–75
- energy gains and giving, 175–77
- hundred hour happiness, 173–74
- impact vacuum, filling by givers, 162–69
- otherish choices, 157–58, 169–79
- self-interest versus other-interest, 156–58
- takers, 162–63

Murnighan, Keith, 50

Murphy, Terry, 111, 122

Myspace, 38

N

Name-similarity effect, 228–33, 231n

Neal, Lloyd, 115

Negotiation

- advice seeking during, 150–53
- empathy trap in, 196–97
- and givers, 148–50, 205–9
- and matchers, 149
- otherish approach to, 205–9, 211–15
- and powerless speech, 148–54
- shifting from taking toward giving, 250–54
- and takers, 149

- Nelson, Leif, 234–35
- Networking, 34–60
  - benefits from, 30, 46–50
  - contagion of giving, 56–58
  - dormant ties, 50–54
  - energy network map, 52–53
  - giver networks, operation of, 46–50, 52–56
  - and givers, 31, 41–58
  - karmic moments, 46–50
  - and matchers, 43–44, 45
  - online. *See* Social networks
  - Reciprocity Ring, 239–45
  - reconnecting over time, 46–54
  - and takers, 29–30, 43–46, 56
  - takers, recognizing, 34–38
- Newmark, Craig, 216–17
- New Show, The*, 62
- Nordgren, Loran, 86–87
- Norton, Michael, 183, 234–35
- Not Necessarily the News*, 85
- Nowak, Martin, 198–99
  
- O
- Oakley, Barbara, 157
- Obama, Barack, and Reggie Love, 94–95
- Odenkirk, Bob, 93
- Office, The*, 63
- Ogilvie, Bruce, 121
- Olajuwon, Hakeem, 115n
- Omine, Carolyn, 64, 76, 86, 92–93
- 106 Miles, 42, 49, 52, 56
- Opower, 238
- Optimal distinctiveness theory, 233–34
- Oshinsky, David, 79–80, 82
- Other-interest, as motivation of givers, 156–58
- Otherish approaches
  - chunking versus sprinkling, 170–75
  - Freecycle as, 223–24
  - generous tit for tat, 198–201
  - giver motivation, 157–58, 169–79
  - negotiation strategy, 205–9, 211–15
  - and resilience, 179
  - in writing, 170n
- Overbrook High School (Philadelphia), 158–62, 176
- Owen, David, 61–62, 65–66

- P
- PandaWhale, 41
- Park, Joyce, 41–42, 48–49
- Parker, Andrew, 52–53
- Parker, Sean, 31
- Parker, Sharon, 76
- Patterson, Ray, 115n
- Pausch, Randy, 199
- Payne, Don, 75, 86
- PayNearMe, business pitch for, 1–5, 8–9
- Pelham, Brett, 229
- Pennebaker, James, 136–37, 170n

- Perlow, Leslie, 172
- Perspective taking, 86–93
  - and advice seeking, 151–52
  - and givers, 88–91, 92–93
  - meaning of, 87–88
  - and older siblings, 90–91n
  - and takers, 88, 91–92
- Persuasion
  - in negotiation. *See* Negotiation
  - and powerless speech, 142–48
- Phelps, Nancy, 138
- Photographs, takers, recognizing in, 35–37
- Pink, Daniel, 130, 135
- Pisano, Gary, 70
- Plesse, Sherryann, 22, 255
- Polio vaccine, as collaborative effort, 79–81
- Politics
  - as getting business, 10
  - givers and success in, 10–16
- Porter, Terry, 120, 124
- Potential in others, recognizing. *See* Talent development
- Powerful communication
  - limitations of, 132, 148
  - of takers, 130, 133, 147
- Powerless communication, 130–54
  - advertising theme example, 142–46
  - advice seeking in, 150–53
  - downsides to, 146–47
  - elements of, 130–31
  - and group performance, 147–48
  - lawyer and success, 126–29, 134–35, 141–42, 146
  - listening in, 136–37, 139–40
  - and negotiating, 148–54
  - and persuasion, 142–48
  - practicing, 265
  - pratfall effect, 134–35, 134n
  - and presenting, 131–35
  - questioning in, 139–42
  - and selling, 136–42
  - tentative markers in, 144–46
  - vulnerability, expressing in, 133–35, 151
- Pratfall effect, 134–35, 134n
- Prestige, influence through, 130
- Productivity problem, and givers, 58–59, 155, 172–73, 186–87, 210–11
- Pronoia
  - defined, 48
  - and givers, 48–50
- Psychological safety
  - created by givers, 85–86
  - negative aspects of, 85n
- Punishment
  - gossip as, 34
  - of takers by matchers, 33–34
- Pushover effect
  - assertiveness problems, 201–9
  - empathy trap, 195–201
  - otherish approach to, 205–9, 211–15
  - trusting others, 189–94



Putnam, Robert, 55–56

## Q

Quaid, Randy, 73

## Questions

intention questions, 142, 142n

in powerless speech, 139–42

Quigley, Jim, 265

## R

Ramsay, Jack, 110, 117

Random acts of kindness site, 267

## Reciprocity

and burnout, 165–73, 177–85

and collaboration, 63–93

and crediting others, 77–93

and culture, 5–6n

and motivation, 156–78

and networking, 34–60

powerless communication, 130–54

pushover effect and givers, 189–215

styles. *See* Givers; Matchers; Takers

talent development, 94–125

Reciprocity Ring, 239–45, 261–62

giving, ease of, 243–44

and takers, 244–45

Renkoo, 41, 48–49

Repacholi, Betty, 90

## Reputation

effects of giving on, 38–40, 67, 76–81, 145, 187, 244

gossip as punishment, 34

social network information on, 38–39

## Resilience

and happiness, 183–84

and otherish, 179

Resource Systems Group, 44

Responsibility bias, 81–86

Richards, Ann, 44–45

Rifkin, Adam Forrest, 40–43

five-minute favor, 54–60, 264–65

as giver, 41–43, 46–50, 53–56

giver network of, 46–50, 52–56

Green Day fan site by, 46–48

maxim of, 47

and other Adam Rifkin, 228–29, 232

productivity of, 59

Rifkin, Adam (Hollywood Adam), 228–29, 232

## Rivals

helping in emergency, 226n

in politics and givers, 12–15, 199–200

Robbins, Frederick, 79, 82

Robertson, Oscar, 121

Rocket Lawyer, 9

Roosevelt, Theodore, 126

Rosen, Ben, 187

Rosenthal, Robert, 98–99

Ross, Michael, 81

Rosso, Brent, 248

Rouf, Raymond, 43, 53, 55  
Ryan, Richard, 175

## S

Sacks, Mike, 63, 93  
St. Aubin, Ed de, 68, 69, 92  
Salary  
    glass ceiling and women, 201–3, 203–4n  
    less for givers, 203–4n  
    of taker CEOs, 36  
Salk, Jonas  
    credit for work, claiming, 79–81  
    and perspective gap, 91n  
    and responsibility bias, 82  
Salespeople  
    as givers, 7, 135–42  
Salk, Peter, 81  
Sands, Greg, 192–93  
*Saturday Night Live*, 62, 72–74  
Schwartz, Barry, 243  
Schwartz, Shalom, 20–21  
Scully, Mike, 64  
Second Life, 264  
Seeley, Elizabeth, 179  
*Seinfeld*, 62, 93  
Self-fulfilling prophecy, 99–102  
    teacher behaviors related to, 99–102  
Self-interest, as motivation of givers, 156–58  
Selfless giving  
    asking for help, avoiding, 176–77, 268  
    empathy trap, 195–201  
    as pathological altruism, 157–58, 170, 170n  
    in politics and giver, 12–15  
    productivity problem of, 172–73, 186–87  
    pushover effect and givers, 189–215  
Selling  
    and givers, 137–42  
    and powerless speech, 136–42  
    stereotypical views of, 135  
SendLove, 264  
Service sector, givers in, 17–20  
ServiceSpace, 266  
Shader, Danny, 1–5, 8–9, 192–93  
Shambora, Jessica, 42  
Shields, James, 11–12  
Shipp, Abbie, 187  
Showtime, 53–54, 229  
Sicol, Fiore, 81  
Silten, Bobbi, 16  
Silverstein, Shel, 21  
Simmons, Russell, talent in others, recognizing, 119–20  
Simon, Carley, 128  
Simon, Herbert, 155, 185  
Simon, Sam, 63, 67, 88–89  
Simonsohn, Uri, 231n  
*Simpsons, The*, 63–64, 66  
    as collaborative effort, 74, 76–77, 77n, 83–86  
Sincerity screening, 189–94

- and agreeable versus disagreeable persons, 191–93
- Singer, Tania, 165
- Skender, C. J.
  - habits of, 107n
  - talent in others, recognizing, 96–97, 101–2, 105–6, 117–18
- Skilling, Jeff, 37
- Small, Deborah, 231
- Smith, Adam, 216, 220, 222
- Smith, Charles Miller, 180
- Smith, Dean, 123n
- Smith, Tommie, 110–11
- Smith, Will, 93, 158
- Soccorsy, Ben, 168
- Social networks. *See also* Networking
  - beginning of, 38
  - best networker (2011), 31, 41–43
  - reputational information, access to, 38–39
  - takers, recognizing on, 30, 39–41, 43
- Social support, burn-out, benefits for, 177–78
- Sonju, Norm, 118
- Sonnentag, Sabine, 176n
- Sorenson, Derek, as giver, shift to, 250–54
- Spencer, Graham, 46–50
- Spitznagel, Eric, 66
- Sprinkling, versus chunking, 170–75
- Stanton, Edwin, 16
- Staw, Barry, 112–13
- Stephen, Andrew, 231
- Stern, Ithai, 150
- Stockton, John, 109
- Stossel, John, 128–29
- Stress. *See also* Burn-out
  - fight or flight, 177
- Student Advisory Centre, 184–85
- Stuttering, 128–29
- Success
  - of givers, 6–10, 15–17, 156–58
  - giver view of, 257
  - matcher view of, 256–57
  - taker view of, 256
  - traits related to, 4
  - wealth-giving connection, 181–82
- Summit of Industrialized Nations, 29
- Sunk cost fallacy, 113
- Sun Tzu, 21–22
- Superhero traits, 234–35
- Sze, David, 41n

## T

- Tafel, Edgar, 68
- Tag questions, in powerless speech, 144
- Takers
  - advice seeking from, 153
  - becoming givers, 219–20, 245–49
  - board of director positions, 150
  - call center productivity, 162–63
  - CEO takers, recognizing, 34–38
  - and collaboration, 69–70

- and creativity, 65, 67–69
- disguised as givers, 31–39
- and empathy trap, 195–201
- and escalation of commitment, 113–17
- expectations of others, low, 101
- favours, expected return from, 44–45, 58
- first impressions of, 32
- geniuses as, 63
- independence versus interdependence, 69–73, 81
- influence, traits related to, 130
- “kissing up, kicking down,” 32–33
- losses/decline of, 28, 33–34, 45, 67–69, 80–81
- negotiation by, 149
- and networking, 29–30, 39–41, 43–46, 56
- perspective gap, 88, 91–92
- in power, behavior of, 33
- powerful communication, 130, 133, 147
- punished by matchers, 33–34
- in Reciprocity Ring, 244–45
- responsibility bias of, 82, 84
- sincerity screening to identify, 189–94
- social networks revelations about, 39–41, 43
- success, view of, 256
- tendencies related to, 4, 25

#### Talent development, 94–125

- basketball players and coach, 108–11, 115–22, 124–25
- concert pianist study, 104–5
- disappointing candidates, investing in, 108–12
- encouragement, effects of, 102–8
- escalation of commitment concept, 112–17
- and givers, 101–2, 106–7, 114–17
- grit and success, 105–6
- investment theory of intelligence, 104
- and matchers, 101
- practice and success, 104
- self-fulfilling prophecy, 99–102
- and takers, 101, 113–14
- teachers and students, 96–97, 99–102, 105–8
- from test results, 98–99, 100
- traditional approach to, 103–4
- undervalued persons, giving chance to, 118–25

#### Taliesin, 69

#### Taylor, Shelley, 178

#### Teachers

- burn-out, 160–61, 165–66
- as givers, 101–2, 106–7, 160–78
- self-fulfilling prophecy, 99–102
- student potential, developing, 96–97, 101–2, 105–8
- Teach for America (TFA), 159–62

#### Team Vanguard, 175

#### Teams and teamwork. *See* Collaboration

#### Tentative markers, in powerless speech, 144–46

#### Thompson, Wayne, 111, 115, 117–18

#### Tit for tat

- generous tit for tat, 198–201
- as matcher strategy, 198

#### Tocqueville, Alexis de, 242

#### Todd, Mary, 199

Traynham, Beth, potential, recognized by others, 95, 97, 105

Trumbull, Lyman, 11–14, 199–200

Trusting others, 189–94

    empathy trap, 195–201

    sincerity screening, 189–94

Tutu, Desmond, 184

Twain, Mark, 1, 10

## U

Ultimatum game, 33

*Up Your Giggy*, 65

Uzzi, Brian, 30

## V

Values, cross-cultural view, 20–21

Venture capitalists, as givers, 1–9, 17, 23–25

Virgin Unite, 184

Vitti, Jon, 77

Vohs, Kathleen, 183

Volkswagen, ads, success of, 142–46

Volunteers

    and happiness, 183

    hundred hour rule and happiness, 173–74

Vulnerability

and powerless communication, 151

    and powerless speech, 133–35

## W

Waal, Frans de, 223

Walker, Charls, 29

Walker, Larry, 156

Walker, Pinkney, 29

Wallaert, Matt, 265

Walter, Jorge, 50

Walton, Bill, 117, 119, 129

Walton, Dave, powerless communication style of, 126–29, 134–35, 141–42, 146

Ward, Elsie, 79

Wealth, -giving connection, 181–82

Webster, Gregory, 38

Weinberg, Larry, 122

Weiner, Jeff, 41

Weinstein, Dan, 44

Weinstein, Netta, 175

Welch, Jack, 128–29

Welle, Brian, 263

Weller, Thomas, 79, 82

Wentworth, John, 199–200

Westphal, James, 150

Wikipedia, 223

Willer, Rob, 34, 76, 218, 227

Williams, Evan, 31, 52

Wiseman, Liz, 63

Women

    as givers versus men, 203–4n

    glass ceiling, 201–3, 203–4n

*Wonder Years, The*, 62

Workplace

    givers, fears of, 22–23, 241–43, 254–55



- job crafting, 262–63
- reciprocity patterns in, 5–6

Wright, Frank Lloyd

- career ups and downs of, 67–69
- collaborative style of, 69, 78
- credit for work, claiming, 78, 92
- dishonesty of, 68, 78
- family motto of, 73
- and perspective gap, 91–92, 92n
- taker traits of, 67–70, 78

Wright, John, 68, 92

Wrzesniewski, Amy, 262–63

Wuthnow, Robert, 242

Y

Younger, Julius, 79–81

YouTube, 49

Yurochko, Francis, 79

Z

Zak, Sonya, 218

Zellman, Harold, 68

ZocDoc, 267

\* [Alan Fiske](#), an anthropologist at UCLA, finds that [people engage in a mix of giving, taking, and matching](#) in every human culture—from North to South America, Europe to Africa, and Australia to Asia. While living with a West African tribal group in Burkina Faso called the Mossi, Fiske found people switching between giving, taking, and matching. When it comes to land, the Mossi are givers. If you want to move into their village, they will automatically grant you land without expecting anything in return. But in the marketplace, the Mossi are more inclined toward taking, haggling aggressively for the best prices. And when it comes to cultivating food, the Mossi are likely to be matchers: everyone is expected to make an equal contribution, and meals are divided into even shares.

\* Interestingly, in ultimatum games, it's rare for the divider to propose anything that's so lopsided. More than three quarters of dividers propose a perfectly even split, acting like matchers.

\* In the computer industry study, when taker CEOs were at the helm, firms had more fluctuating, extreme performance, as measured by total shareholder returns and return on assets. They had bigger wins, but bigger losses. The takers were supremely confident in their bets, so they swung for the fences. They made bold, grandiose moves, which included more and larger acquisitions, as well as major upheavals to company strategy. Sometimes these moves paid off, but in the long run, the takers often put their companies in jeopardy.

[\\*](#) This is a nod to a “Weird Al” Yankovic song about nerds, which includes the line, “I’m fluent in JavaScript as well as Klingon.” For the record, Rifkin worries about the amount of time that he has wasted in his life typing two spaces after a period, instead of one.



[\\*](#) Technically, since LinkedIn employees have a host of advantages in connecting with people on LinkedIn, insiders were excluded from the *Fortune* analysis. Unofficially, it is noteworthy that Rifkin topped every LinkedIn employee except two: founder Reid Hoffman and board member and investor David Sze.

\* Of course, when takers and matchers give to receive, they do so with different aims. Takers are usually looking to get as much as possible, whereas matchers are motivated to maintain equal exchanges.

\* Although my focus is on George Meyer, it's important to acknowledge that the comedy on *The Simpsons* has always been a collective achievement. In particular, Meyer is quick to praise Jon Swartzwelder, who has written five dozen episodes, more than double any other writer in show history. Other contributors with many writing credits include Joel Cohen, John Frink, Dan Greaney, Al Jean, Tim Long, Ian Maxtone-Graham, Carolyn Omine, Don Payne, Matt Selman, and Jon Vitti. Of course, Meyer notes, this list doesn't include the creators and many other writers, producers, and animators who have shaped the show's success. Meyer started sharing credit early on. "In *Army Man*, I felt if people were going to write, they should get credit for it, especially since they were doing it for free." He used a unique Army symbol to acknowledge each writer's contribution. "It was a bad decision," Meyer says, laughing, "because I had to cut all of them out with an X-Acto knife, and rubber-cement them to this board I was using. It was hard to find them in the pattern on my bedspread."

[\\*](#) Many insiders believe that the credit-taking incident, coupled with the attention Salk gave to the media, was a major reason why the National Academy of Sciences never admitted Salk. But debate continues about why he wasn't awarded a Nobel Prize. Some scientists have argued that although the polio vaccine made an invaluable applied contribution to public health, it wasn't an original contribution to fundamental scientific knowledge.

\* Is there a dark side to psychological safety? Many managers believe that by tolerating mistakes, they're sending a message that it's okay to make mistakes. Such mistakes might not be disastrous on a television sitcom, but consider a setting where lives are on the line: hospital units. Edmondson asked members of eight hospital units to rate how much psychological safety they felt in the unit, and how many medication errors they made. Sure enough, the higher the psychological safety, the greater the number of errors reported. In units where health care professionals felt their mistakes would be forgiven, they seemed more likely to deliver the wrong medication to patients, putting them at risk for ineffective treatment or allergic reactions. It makes intuitive sense that tolerance for errors would cause people to become complacent and make more errors, but Edmondson wasn't convinced. She reasoned that psychological safety was increasing comfort with reporting errors, not causing errors. Sure enough, the higher a unit's psychological safety, the more errors reported. But when Edmondson examined more objective, independent data on medication errors, the psychologically safe units didn't actually make more errors. In fact, the higher the psychological safety in a unit, the *fewer* errors they made. Why? In the units that lacked psychological safety, health care professionals hid their errors, fearing retribution. As a result, they weren't able to learn from their mistakes. In the units with high psychological safety, on the other hand, reporting errors made it possible to prevent them moving forward.

\* Of course, my wife observed, our friends will love the candlesticks—they just didn't know that such an exquisite gift existed. If they did, the candlesticks surely would have been on their registry. And she was right.

\* Growing up as the oldest child in his family, Meyer had plenty of opportunities to practice perspective taking. Studies show that having [younger siblings](#) develops our giver instincts by providing experience with teaching, child care, feeding, and cleaning. Experts have long recognized that as older siblings, particularly if we're the firstborn, we're charged with taking care of our younger siblings, which requires acute attention to their unique needs and wants—and how they differ from our own. But Frank Lloyd Wright and Jonas Salk were firstborns: Wright had two younger sisters and Salk had two younger brothers. There's something else in Meyer's family background that may have nudged him in the giver direction. In a series of studies led by the Dutch psychologist Paul van Lange, givers had more siblings than the takers and matchers. The givers averaged two siblings; the takers and matchers averaged one and a half siblings. More siblings meant more sharing, which seemed to predispose people toward giving. It may not be a coincidence that George Meyer is the oldest of eight siblings. Interestingly, van Lange's data showed a sister effect, not just a sibling effect. The givers didn't have more brothers than the takers and matchers, but they were 50 percent more likely to have sisters. It is noteworthy that of Meyer's seven younger siblings, five are sisters.

\* Skender compulsively makes lists of everything, from his favorite songs to the ten best days of his life, and arranges the dollar bills in his wallet according to the order of their serial numbers. He owns more than eight hundred pairs of suspenders, each of which has a unique name and number. He alphabetizes his socks and his underwear and lays out his clothes weeks in advance. For more than two decades, he has worn a bow tie every Monday, Thursday, and Saturday—even when mowing his lawn. He is religious about being the first to arrive in his parking garage at work, usually before five A.M., yet he is known for staying past midnight at review sessions to help students prepare for exams. He translates his advice about reciprocity into the language of accounting: “I’d rather have a large accounts receivable than a large accounts payable.” To put his teaching load in perspective, a typical college professor teaches between three and eight classes a year. Over a career, that amounts to somewhere between one hundred and three hundred classes. Skender has nearly doubled this, and he recently told his dean that he intends to teach thirty-five more years. In calendar year 2012 alone, more than two thousand students took Skender’s courses. To accommodate the demand, the university once moved his class to a special oversized room away from the main campus. Even when he teaches early in the morning, his classroom is packed, and many more students wish they could enroll. For one eight A.M. class, he had 190 students on the waiting list.



\* To be fair, Bowie's career was hampered by injuries. In college, he missed two full seasons due to shin injuries. Before the draft, to make sure Bowie was completely healthy, Inman subjected him to a seven-hour physical examination. Bowie had a solid first season, but after that, injuries caused him to miss 81 percent of the games in the next four seasons, including nearly two entire seasons. And Inman and his scouts weren't the only ones to bet on Bowie over Jordan. In June 1984, after the draft, a *Chicago Tribune* headline read "Apologetic Bulls 'Stuck' with Jordan." The general manager of the Bulls, Rod Thorn, seemed disappointed. "We wish he were 7 feet, but he isn't," Thorn lamented. "There just wasn't a center available. What can you do? Jordan isn't going to turn this franchise around . . . He's a very good offensive player, but not an overpowering offensive player." Even Jordan seemed to endorse the Bowie selection: "Bowie fits in better than I would," he said during his rookie year, as Portland had "an overabundance of big guards and small forwards." Perhaps the best defense of Inman's choice was offered by Ray Patterson, who ran the Houston Rockets in 1984, having selected Hakeem Olajuwon first in that draft before Bowie and Jordan: "Anybody who says they'd have taken Jordan over Bowie is whistling in the dark. Jordan just wasn't that good."

\* Interestingly, Jordan's basketball coach at the University of North Carolina, the legendary [Dean Smith](#), had more of a giver style. Against his own interests, and strong resistance from his assistants, Smith advised Jordan to enter the NBA draft early, before his senior year. Smith had a rule: "We do what's best for the player out of season and what's best for the team in season." As NBA salaries skyrocketed, Smith encouraged every player who had a good shot at being picked in the top five or ten to leave college early and secure his financial future, as long as he promised to come back and finish his education later. In his thirty-six years as head coach, Smith sent nine athletes to the draft early, and seven made good on their promises. Although Smith was encouraging his best players to leave the team, putting his players' interests first seemed to help him recruit top talent and build trust and loyalty. Smith retired with 879 wins, then more than any coach in NCAA history; his teams made eleven Final Fours and won two national championships. As Chris Granger, executive vice president at the NBA, explains, "[Talented people are attracted to those who care about them](#). When you help someone get promoted out of your team, it's a short-term loss, but it's a clear long-term gain. It's easier to attract people, because word gets around that your philosophy is to help people."

\* It's worth noting that the pratfall effect depends on the audience's self-esteem. Powerless communication humanizes the communicator, so it should be most appealing to audiences who see themselves as human: those with average self-esteem. Indeed, Aronson and colleagues found that when competent people make blunders, audiences with average self-esteem respond more favorably than audiences with high and low self-esteem.

\* The same pattern showed up in another study, where more than six hundred [salespeople responsible for women's products](#) completed a questionnaire that revealed whether they were givers: did they try to offer the product that was best suited to customers' needs? When researchers tracked their sales revenue, the givers initially had no advantage. As they came to understand their customers, the givers pulled further and further ahead. By the third and fourth quarters, the givers were bringing in significantly more revenue. The givers gathered more information about customers' needs and were more flexible in how they responded to customers.

\* Part of the reason that [intention questions](#) work is that they elicit commitment: once people say yes, they feel compelled to follow through. But interestingly, research suggests that intention questions can work even when people initially say no. The questions trigger reflection, and if the behavior is attractive, some people change their mind and decide to do it.

\* [Disclaimer](#): Certain types of disclaimers are riskier than other forms of powerless communication. For example, it's common for people to start a sentence with "I don't mean to sound selfish, but . . ." Psychologists have shown that this type of disclaimer backfires: it heightens the expectation that the speaker is going to say something selfish, which leads the listener to search for—and find—information that confirms the speaker's selfishness.

\* Interestingly, when leaders and managers delivered the same message, it didn't work. The scholarship students were able to speak from firsthand experience about the importance of the callers' work, and what it meant to them personally. Although we often look to leaders and managers to inspire employees, when it comes to combating giver burnout, there may be an advantage of [outsourcing inspiration](#) to the clients, customers, students, and other end users who can attest to the impact of givers' products and services.

\* Research shows that on the job, people who engage in selfless giving end up feeling [overloaded and stressed](#), as well as experiencing conflict between work and family. This is even true in marriages: in one study of married couples, people who failed to maintain an [equilibrium](#) between their own needs and their partner's needs became more depressed over the next six months. By prioritizing others' interests and ignoring their own, selfless givers exhaust themselves.



\* The salutary effects of being otherish may even be [visible in our writing](#). The psychologist James Pennebaker has been able to trace gains in health to the words that people use in their journal entries. “The writings of those whose health improved showed a high rate of the use of I-words on one occasion and then high rates of the use of other pronouns on the next occasion, and then switching back and forth in subsequent writings,” Pennebaker explains in *The Secret Life of Pronouns*, such that “healthy people say something about their own thoughts and feelings in one instance and then explore what is happening with other people before writing about themselves again.” The people whose journal entries are purely selfish or selfless, on the other hand, are much less likely to show health improvements.

[\\*](#) The optimal number of hours per year may drop below one hundred as we age. In one study of American adults over sixty-five, those who volunteered between one and forty hours in 1986 were more likely to be alive in 1994 than those who volunteered zero or more than forty hours. This was true even after controlling for health conditions, physical activity, religion, income, and a host of other factors that might influence survival.

\* Interestingly, the [emotional boost from giving doesn't always kick in right away](#). When psychologist Sabine Sonnentag and I surveyed European firefighters and rescue workers, we found that on days when they had a substantial positive impact on others, they were energized at home after work, but not during work. Seeing their impact helped them experience greater meaning and mastery, but it was only after reflecting on the impact of their actions that they experienced the full charge from giving.

\* There's a catch: [as people get richer](#), they give more money in total, but they give smaller fractions of their annual income. In one study, psychologists demonstrated that merely thinking about socioeconomic status is enough to change the amount of charitable giving that we think is appropriate. When people thought about themselves as somewhere in the middle of the wealth ladder, they felt obligated to give 4.65 percent of their annual income to charity. But when they imagined themselves at the top of the ladder, they only reported an obligation to give 2.9 percent of their annual income to charity. Similar trends can be found in the real world: in the United States, households making less than \$25,000 a year donate 4.2 percent of their income to charity. Households making more than \$100,000 a year donate just 2.7 percent of their income to charity.

\* New research shows that these tendencies are heavily influenced by biological forces. In one study, psychologists used MRI to [scan the brains](#) of people who reported being agreeable versus disagreeable on a survey. The agreeable people had greater volume in the regions of the brain that process the thoughts, feelings, and motivations of others, such as the posterior cingulate cortex. According to behavioral geneticists, at least a third of agreeableness, and possibly more than half, is heritable—attributable to genes. Whether people have an agreeable or disagreeable personality seems to be at least partially hardwired.

\* Psychologists originally made the same mistake, including characteristics such as being altruistic within the broad trait of agreeableness. More recent research has shown that (a) compassion and politeness are two separate aspects of agreeableness, (b) the compassion dimension is more related to honesty and humility than to agreeableness, and (c) agreeableness can be distinguished from giver values. Throughout the book, I've taken care to focus primarily on studies that were explicitly designed to investigate giving, taking, or matching. At a few points, though, I have used studies of agreeableness to capture givers in places where survey items directly reference giving, like "I love to help others."