

# CLU MS Clinical Psychology Thesis Handbook

Jamie Bedics, PhD, ABPP, Program Director

2020-07-03

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Goal of the Handbook</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Scholarly Research . . . . .	4
1.2	Comprehensive Exam . . . . .	5
1.3	Thesis . . . . .	6
1.4	Research Project Option . . . . .	6
1.5	Pros and Cons: Thesis Vs. Comps . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Coursework Relevant to the Thesis</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Thesis Checklist - Overview</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Thesis Topic Selection . . . . .	14
3.2	Open Science Framework . . . . .	15
3.3	Committee Assignment . . . . .	16
3.4	Committee Approval of Proposal . . . . .	19
3.5	Academic Good Standing . . . . .	19
<b>4</b>	<b>Format of Paper - Overview</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1	Title Page . . . . .	21
4.2	Signature Page . . . . .	22
4.3	Dedication (optional) . . . . .	24
4.4	Acknowledgements (optional) . . . . .	24
4.5	Table of Contents . . . . .	24
4.6	Abstract (APA style) . . . . .	25
4.7	Introduction (APA style) . . . . .	26

<i>CONTENTS</i>	3
4.8 Method (APA style) . . . . .	26
4.9 Data Analytic Method (APA style) . . . . .	28
4.10 Results (APA style) . . . . .	28
4.11 Discussion (APA style) . . . . .	28
4.12 References (APA style) . . . . .	29
4.13 Tables, Figures, & Appendices (APA style) . . . . .	29
<b>5 Rubric for Written Thesis</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>6 Thesis Binding</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>7 Thesis Commons</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>8 Presentations and Publications</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>9 General Guidelines</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>10 Resources</b>	<b>43</b>

# Chapter 1

## Goal of the Handbook

---

The goal of this handbook is to provide students with the information needed to successfully complete the master's thesis in the MS in Clinical Psychology Program (MSCP) at California Lutheran University (CLU). The manual should be understood as a supplement to the broader policies and procedures defined by the program<sup>1</sup> and the university.

If this is the printed version, updates to this handbook can be found at: <https://jdbedics.github.io/thesishandbook/>

### 1.1 Scholarly Research

---

**Overview:** Scholarly research requires the skills of scientific inquiry as a method of addressing a problem. As a participant in research activities in the MSCP program, students are expected to develop the following abilities:

1. Create or contribute empirical knowledge to the existing body of information in a discipline.
2. Carryout systematic inquiry of a body of literature.
3. Use tools of research including analyzing existing research, implementing research designs, using and/or developing instrumentation, employing appropriate methods of data analysis, and handling the logistics of conducting a research study.

---

<sup>1</sup>See the *MS Clinical student Handbook* found on Blackboard under *Graduate Psychology Community/MS Clinical Psych/*

4. Work with faculty or other professionals on a research project.
5. Use scholarly writing techniques including the mastery of the new APA Publication Manual 7th Edition.
6. Create and share reproducible and transparent research materials including open data and code consistent with the principles of open science.

Research conducted in the MSCP program may be a thesis or a research project. While both are important contributions to the body of knowledge in a discipline, they have different purposes as described in the following sections. The most critical being that the thesis can replace the comprehensive exam for the partial fulfillment of the requirements necessary for the degree.

---

## 1.2 Comprehensive Exam

By default, students entering the MSCP program are required to complete the comprehensive exam. Students can, however, choose to *opt out* of the comprehensive exam and instead complete a thesis.

### What is the comprehensive exam?

- A closed book essay test that covers all the material studied during the program.
- The test is offered at the end of the Spring Semester during the second year.
- The exam consists of a morning session (9AM-Noon) and an afternoon session (1PM-4PM).
- During each session, students choose to respond to 3 of 5 questions.
- Students are given a review sheet to facilitate studying for the exam.

### How the comprehensive exam *replaces* the thesis

- Students register for the exam by paying a *comprehensive exam fee* during the semester they take the exam (typically Spring of their 2nd year).
- Students **do not** take *PSYC 566 Thesis (3 units)* in the Spring of their 2nd year.
- As a result, students who take the comprehensive exams graduate with a total of **37-units** instead of 40-units with the thesis option.

- Students who take the comprehensive exam are also **not** required to take *PSYC 565 Research Practicum* in the Fall of their 2nd year. Instead, students can choose an elective course in consultation with the Program Director.<sup>2</sup>

### 1.3 Thesis

The thesis is the result of an original empirical investigation that creates new knowledge within a discipline. It attempts to address a problem related to lack of knowledge and is generally composed of the following elements:

1. Identification of a problem caused by lack of knowledge;
2. Background and literature review of existing information about the problem;
3. Methods to be used for obtaining the needed knowledge;
4. Resulting new knowledge;
5. Interpretation of the new knowledge;
6. A paper written in the style of *APA Publication Manual 7th Edition*;
7. Transparent and open sharing of materials and results through the use of the Open Science Framework at CLU.

#### Tasks:

- Students complete all the requirements outlined in this manual.
- Students enroll in *PSYC 565 Research Practicum (3 units)* during the Fall of their 2nd year.
- Students enroll in *PSYC 566 Thesis (3 units)* during the Spring of their 2nd year.
- Students who fail to complete the thesis by the end of the 2nd year can:
  1. Take *PSYC 599-01 Thesis Continuation* and pay all associated fees every semester until the thesis is completed or,
  2. Take the comprehensive exam and pay the comprehensive exam fee in the semester immediately following the last semester thesis units were taken.

### 1.4 Research Project Option

#### Research Project + Comprehensive Exam

---

<sup>2</sup>As noted below, students can intend to complete the thesis and take PSYC 565 and PSYC 566 but switch to the comprehensive exam for a variety of reasons. In these cases, students are still required to pay the comprehensive exam fee although they have already paid for thesis units.

Students can complete their own independent research project, identical to the thesis, but without the coursework (PSYC 565 or PSYC 566) and obligation to follow the requirements in this manual. There are two scenarios where a student might choose to take the comprehensive exam and work on a research project :

1. A student can decide, from the beginning of the program, that they want to avoid the pressure and extra work of the thesis requirements but use the program to work on a research project at their own pace and with faculty support. They could take PSYC 565 in the Fall of their second year to support their research project but will not take PSYC 566 during the Spring Semester of their 2nd year. In this case, PSYC 565 will act as their elective.
2. Students might attempt the thesis but, for a variety of reasons, fall behind and not be able to complete all the necessary requirements of the thesis in order to graduate. If this occurs, then the student can always move to the comprehensive exam (in order to graduate) while continuing to work on their thesis as an independent research project (for no credit).

In both of these scenarios, students are required to take the comprehensive exam and pay the comprehensive exam fee in order to graduate.

---

## 1.5 Pros and Cons: Thesis Vs. Comps

### *Thesis “Pros”*

- Students gain a high degree of expertise and mastery in the area under study.
- The thesis timeline creates accountability and structure in completing the research project.
- Doctoral programs often look favorably upon a completed thesis that demonstrates students’ ability to successfully complete a research project.
- Doctoral programs that require a thesis might *wave* any thesis requirement they might have based upon the completed thesis at CLU.
- Students can have the thesis bound into a book (see Section 6 Thesis Binding).
- Students can earn quality letters for recommendation from their thesis committee members. These letters are often more meaningful than letters from employers or course instructors.

### *Thesis “Cons”*

- Despite the structure offered through coursework, the thesis requires a considerable amount of extra work and self-discipline. The amount of autonomy and work can be quite stressful.
  - Students take an extra 3-units (PSYC 566) in the Spring of their 2nd year for a total of 40-units versus 37-units for the comprehensive exam option.
- 

### *Comps “Pros”*

- Students are given a review sheet to help them study.
- The exam is completed in a single day compared to the thesis that takes two-years.
- Questions that are not adequately answered can be successfully remitted before “failing.”
- Students can still complete an **research project**, as noted in the prior section, which would allow the first three *thesis pros* to be achieved by the student. In the end, a *good research project* is just as valued as a *good thesis*.
- Students can choose any 3-credit elective during their second year.
- The entire program is **37-units** versus 40-units with the thesis option.

### *Comps “Cons”*

- A six-hour, closed book, essay test can be stressful and exhausting.
  - Research experience is valued by PHD programs and many, but not all, PSYD programs.
  - The research project, if chosen, could not be as structured as the thesis option.
-



## Chapter 2

# Coursework Relevant to the Thesis

The knowledge gained from every course taken at CLU can be used to improve the development of the thesis or research project. For example, if you have an interest in a specific disorder then it makes sense that you study that disorder in *PSYC 510 Psychopathology*. In *PSYC 560 Statistics I: Exploratory Data Analysis*, you might consider finding open data that allows you to better understand your problem area using method of data visualization.

There are, however, specific courses where the thesis is explicitly incorporated into course assignments. The following are the MSCP courses that explicitly incorporate elements of the thesis into the syllabi:

PSYC#	Course	Semester	Year	Task
564	Adv. Research Methods	Fall	One	Start Lit Review; Start References
562	Statistics II: Regression	Spring	One	Data Analytic Plan
552	Psychometrics	Spring	One	Method
521	Ethics	Summer	One	Pre-Registration
565	Research Practicum	Fall	Two	IRB, Intro, Method
566	Thesis	Spring	Two	Complete Draft due May 1st

PSYC#	Course	Semester	Year	Task
599	Thesis Continuation	Every semester Post 2nd year	Two+	Every semester until the thesis is complete

### PSYC 564 Advanced Research Methods

In PSYC 564, students develop the beginning of the literature review and begin to master the 7th edition of the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association.

---

### PSYC 562: Statistics II: Regression

In this course, students learn the basics of statistical modeling with an emphasis on regression. Although the focus of the course is on regression, students can work with the instructor to consider models that might address their research hypotheses. If students have a published article that closely approximates the study they want to run then students might learn more about the analyses conducted in that article.

---

### PSYC 552: Psychometrics

The emphasis in this course is psychometric theory which includes an understanding of the concepts of reliability and validity. Students can use this course to examining the psychometric properties of the most likely methods of measurement they will use in their research such as specific self-report questionnaires.

---

### PSYC 521: Clinical and Research Ethics

In this course, students learn about the concept of open science which includes reproducibility, transparency, and pre-registration. Students continue to develop their OSF page and learn how to pre-register their hypotheses.

---

### PSYC 565: Research Practicum

In addition to finishing up their introduction and method sections, students main task is to complete the CLU IRB, <https://www.callutheran.edu/research/irb/>. The IRB process is very extensive and students are required to complete an online ethical training. Once the IRB is successfully passed, students can collect their data. The process of getting IRB approval can be a lengthy one and require several revisions. Students submit their IRB in collaboration with their Committee Chair who will submit the IRB proposal to the IRB committee on the student's behalf.

---

### PSYC 566: Thesis

During this semester, students work independently on their thesis (with their committee members) and complete their results and discussion sections. The semester requires the student to structure their own time as there are no formal class meetings. Students are often collecting data during this final semester.

In order to pass PSYC 566, students are required to have a complete draft of their thesis that is approved by the chair, reader, and program director by **May 1st**. Students often do not complete the thesis for a variety of reasons including an inability to collect data, medical and health problems, or other life priorities. In these circumstances, students have two options:

**1. Continue the thesis** - Students can choose to continue the thesis and enroll in PSYC 599 (see next section), and pay the associated fee for every semester until the thesis is complete. Students are assigned the following grade of "IP" for PSYC 566 until the thesis is approved:

- **"IP" (In Progress)** is given for theses, practica, internships and courses wherein the work has been evaluated and found to be satisfactory to date, but the assignment of a grade must await its completion. "IP" carries no credit until replaced by a permanent grade. The "IP" grade may be replaced by the appropriate final letter grade within one calendar year from the start of the class. "IP" grades which have not been resolved will be changed to "F" (undergraduate) or "NC" (graduate) at the time the student's degree is posted.

**2. Drop the Thesis and take the Comprehensive Exam** - Students can register for the comprehensive exam and pay the associated fee. The comprehensive exam is offered every semester and should be taken the first semester the student does not register for PSYC 599.

---

**PSYC 599: Thesis Continuation**

Students who do not complete the thesis by the end of their second year and choose to continue to work on thesis must register for *PSYC 599: Thesis Continuation* every semester until the thesis is approved by the committee members and Program Director.

---

## Chapter 3

# Thesis Checklist - Overview

Students who wish to pursue the thesis option are required to meet with Dr. Bedics at the end of every semester in order to review their progress according to the following timeline. Students who miss any of the following steps can be removed from the thesis option and will be required to complete the comprehensive exam in order to graduate.

	Task	Date Due	Year	Finished
1.	Thesis Topic Approved	October 1st	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Setup OSF	October 1st	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Literature Review Draft Psych 564	December 15th	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Academic Good Standing	December 15th	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Method Section Draft	May 1st	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.	Literature Review Revision	May 1st	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Academic Good Standing	May 15th	First Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.	Committee Assignment	June 30th	Summer	<input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Reader
9.	Academic Good Standing	July 3rd	Summer	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.	Enroll in PSYC 565	August 1st	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
11.	Complete Pre-Registration	October 1st	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
12.	Committee Approval of Proposal	September 1st	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.	IRB Submitted	November 1st	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
14.	Academic Good Standing	December 15th	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
15.	Enroll in PSYC 566	December 15th	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.	Dr. Bedics approval of Final	May 1st	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
17.	Committee Approval of Final	May 10th	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Reader
18.	Final OSF Approval	May 1st	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
19.	Thesis Commons	Summer (Required)	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
20.	Thesis Binding	Optional	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
21.	GitHub Blog	Optional	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
22.	Shiny App	Optional	Second Year	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 3.1 Thesis Topic Selection

---

### Defining the Problem Area

The general thesis topic is required to be selected during the beginning of the first semester of the first year. The thesis topic, does not, however, determine the hypotheses, methodology or general approach taken by the student to understand the problem (e.g. experimental, quasi-experimental, meta-analytic methods). It would be premature for any student to attempt to define a hypothesis in the first year when their understanding of the topic area is limited and not justified by a thorough literature review. Hypotheses are typically developed after the first year of study when the student has a better grasp of the problem to be solved (see the Wampold article in Section 10 References for a guide on hypothesis development).

---

### *Tips for finding a Topic*

Students are often unnecessarily delayed in choosing an area to study which could impact the success of the thesis. In choosing a topic students should keep a few points in mind:

1. It certainly is helpful if you are **passionate** about the topic. When a person is passionate about a topic they naturally want to read about and understand the area under study. They often go to bed thinking about the topic and will wake up excited and thinking about what they will do next in relation to their project. It can be a very exciting time because you're working on something you love and have fun doing.
2. **Reflect on your interests.** It's okay if you are not particularly passionate about any specific area of study. Passion often starts by first examining an area of **interest** with some degree of focus, commitment, and consistency. If you have areas of interest then the next step is to simply select one area, commit to it, and start reading and writing. Passion often comes *after* we put the work in.
3. **Select *one* interest. Don't flip-flop.** The problem is that we all have multiple interests. For example, you might really like schizophrenia but at the same time you're really interested in forensic psychology and the prison system. One semester you might want to study psychosis and the next semester you might become more interested in the prison system. Students can "flip flop" for a variety of reasons which can have two unfortunate consequences. First, you never get anything done. When you don't get

anything done then it's hard to feel good about yourself and you also make no progress. Second, continued indecisiveness could implicitly instill in the student a sense that they should always feel comfortable with their topic, that work on the thesis should always be easy and interesting, or that they might be “missing out” by not researching some other area of interest. In reality, none of these are true. One of the greatest challenges of doing research is developing the ability to consistently make small steps on a project even when the feeling is not there or other demands are present and taking up our time. It is likely that your lifestyle and habits will change when you are dedicated to research. You will simply be working more (much, much, more) and have less leisure time.

4. **The thesis will not define you.** Students should understand that the topic they select to study will not define their career. In this sense, the thesis topic itself is not really that important. What is more important is that students pick a topic so they can learn *how* to do competent research. You can't learn how to do competent research if you don't have a focused topic. In sum, it would be nice if you are passionate or, at minimum, interested in the topic but it simply is not ***necessary*** in order to complete a thesis and learn how to conduct good research.
5. **Find an article that excites you.** One way to find a topic is to choose an area of interest and read as many research articles in the subject that you can find. In doing so, it will be easiest for you to restrict your reading to the introduction and discussion sections only. Diving into the method and results sections can sometimes be overwhelming and demoralizing. Once you find an article that excites you then can consider the method and results sections. A second important point here is that good science should include **replication**. An excellent thesis would be a proposed replication of the study that was found to be interesting. The model for the thesis (e.g., lit. reviewed, method, data analysis) can be the published paper. In the past, students in the MSCP program have taken this approach with considerable success and have even had the authors on those papers act as committee members.

**Due:** October 1st, first year.

---

## 3.2 Open Science Framework

**Creating a transparent and reproducible workflow**



## Open Science Framework

OSF is a repository that allows researchers to transparently share their work with the larger scientific community. During the course of the program, students use OSF to organize their thesis and other independent research projects. Instructions for setting up an OSF project can be found [here](#) and will be reviewed with Dr. Bedics during students first advising meeting.

In addition to organizing students' workflow, OSF allows students to showcase their work to their peers and potential employers and doctoral advisors. Students in the thesis option are required to use OSF by logging in through the CLU SSO and it is strongly recommended for students completing the research project.

**Due:** October 1st, first year.

---

### 3.3 Committee Assignment

---

Committee members are faculty or experts in the field that support the students work on the thesis. Students work with the program director to find the most appropriate committee members to support their research project. The committee is composed of a Chair and a Reader. Their roles are described below as is the process for finding and selecting committee members.

---

#### Committee Chair

The chair must have *content knowledge* of the area under investigation for the thesis. For example, if the thesis is on schizophrenia then the chair must have



extensive knowledge of schizophrenia. The chair is either a part-time or full-time faculty member at CLU and is chosen with the approval of the Program Director, Dr. Bedics. There can be exceptions to the above criteria with the approval of Dr. Bedics.

#### Committee Reader

The reader must have either content knowledge or expert *methodological knowledge* of the area under investigation for the thesis. For example, if the thesis is on schizophrenia and utilizes an experimental design then the reader can either have knowledge of schizophrenia **or** knowledge of the experimental methods proposed. The reader can be a part-time or full-time faculty member at CLU or a professional in the community with at least a Master's degree that has the aforementioned expertise. The reader must be chosen with approval of Program Director and thesis Committee Chair. There can be exceptions to the above criteria with the approval of the Program Director.

---

### 3.3.1 Finding a Committee

For many faculty, being on a thesis committee is a lot of work and they are often reluctant to agree to help students. Consequently, how students choose to approach faculty is very critical. Please consider these guidelines and talk to Dr. Bedics prior to reaching out to potential committee members.

---

#### 1. Identifying Potential Faculty

Search the CLU website for potential faculty that could contribute to your idea. Look at faculty interests in graduate psychology, undergraduate psychology, as well as other departments at CLU. Students could also identify faculty at other universities that might serve on the committee. Once identified, students should email Dr. Bedics to discuss.

---

#### 2. Foot-in-the-Door

Students first introduce themselves to faculty through email. **Do not ask them to join your committee** in the first email. Instead, ask them if they have time to answer questions about your project. If they don't have time or incentive to do this then they will not be willing to be on your committee. The foot-in-the-door strategy also allows students to get feedback from the person even if they are not willing to be on students' committee.

Here is a properly formatted and professional email using the foot-in-the-door strategy:

*Dear Dr. ###,*

*My name is and I am currently developing my master's thesis project at California Lutheran University in the MS Clinical Psychology Program. The topic is . I think your expertise in would help me in thinking through some of the details of the thesis. I was curious if you could make time to answer a few questions over email or perhaps even chat over the phone or during your office hours? I have attached a brief summary of my project.*

*Thank you for your time and consideration.*

*Sincerely,*

*First Name Last Name*

If they reply, and are positive, then you it is your responsibility to be flexible with *your* time. Also, please never ask for an appointment in the same week.

---

**3. Requesting Committee Membership** – If the initial meeting goes well then Dr. Bedics will email the faculty member to discuss the role of the Chair and Reader.

---

#### 4. Working with your committee

The committee will be most successful when students establish clear expectations for meeting with their committee members. Clearly established expectations will prevent students from emailing *too little* or *too much*. It is best to suggest *fewer* meetings at first, perhaps one at the beginning and one at the end of the semester. You will have more meetings with your chair than your reader. Establish the following:

- Make a clear statement that you are respectful of their time and do not want to meet too much or too little. Faculty often appreciate such direct and respectful statements.
- Suggest two meetings a semester and go from there. They might suggest more or a timeline based upon other criteria.

---

**Due:** June 30th, Summer after First Year

---

### 3.4 Committee Approval of Proposal

---

During the Summer Semester following the first year, committee members read the literature review and method section and provide a general statement of approval to Dr. Bedics. Based upon this approval, students are allowed to progress to the **thesis track** and enroll in *PSYC 565 Research Practicum* in the Fall of the second year and *PSYC 566 Thesis* in the Spring of the second year.

**Due:** September 1st, Second Year

---

### 3.5 Academic Good Standing

---


Academic good standing refers to maintaining a GPA above a 3.0 throughout the entire program and acting consistently with all policies and procedures defined by the program and university. Any student who receives below a B- in any course, at anytime in the program, is not allowed to complete the thesis for course credit and partial fulfillment of the degree and will be required to take the comprehensive exam. They can, however, complete a research project with the support of full-time faculty.

**Due:** Every semester

---

## Chapter 4

# Format of Paper - Overview




---

The thesis paper is completed in a manner consistent with the Publication Manual of the APA (7th Edition). Note that certain sections *do not* follow APA instead and, instead, follow a style shown this manual.

Order in Paper	Section	Style
1	Title Page*	CLU Style
2	Signature Page*	CLU Style
3	Dedication*	CLU Style
4	Acknowledgements*	CLU Style
5	Table of Contents*	CLU Style
6	Abstract	APA Style 7th Ed.
7	Introduction	APA Style 7th Ed.
8	Method	APA Style 7th Ed.
9	Results	APA Style 7th Ed.
10	Discussion	APA Style 7th Ed.
11	References	APA Style 7th Ed.
12	Tables	APA Style 7th Ed.
13	Figures	APA Style 7th Ed.
14	Appendices	APA Style 7th Ed.

## 4.1 Title Page

This page provides the name of the thesis project, names of the university and school or department, and date of completion. The title page should be prepared in accordance with the sample page found in this section. The date at the bottom of the page is the month and year the degree is awarded. The title page is unnumbered but is counted as page “i.”



## 4.2 Signature Page

This page provides the name of the author and blank lines for the signatures of the committee members, Program Director, and the Graduate Dean. The pages

are signed when the committee members and Program Director determine that the thesis is complete. It should bear original signatures for all copies (typically three). The date at the bottom of the page is the date the degree is awarded; however, the page is not counted in the numbering system.

The signatures below certify that the Master Thesis of

Jason L. Smith

has been approved by the Graduate School of Psychology of the

California Lutheran University in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of Master of Science

Approved:

Accepted:

Sunyoung Park, Ph.D.

Chair

Jamie D. Bedics, Ph.D., ABPP

Director, Master of Science in  
Clinical Psychology

Jamie D. Bedics, Ph.D., ABPP

Reader

Richard J. Holigrocki, Ph.D.

Dean, Graduate School of  
Psychology

Date

Date

Note: The director and dean DO NOT  
CHANGE

### 4.3 Dedication (optional)

This optional page contains a brief dedication to the individual(s) whom the author wishes to honor. If included, this page is numbered as page “ii” (lower case Roman numeral).

---

### 4.4 Acknowledgements (optional)

This optional page lists persons and/ or institutions whom the author wishes to thank for their assistance in completing the thesis or project. Such assistance can be provision of personal, financial, or moral support, or access to data sets or subject populations. A brief statement as to the type of assistance provided may follow each person or institution named. If included, this page continues the lower case Roman numeral sequence begun above.

---

### 4.5 Table of Contents

The table of contents page will be consistent with the below image. Students can include additional sections as they see fit.



Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Signature Page.....	ii
Dedication.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Abstract.....	1
Introduction.....	2
Method.....	21
Data Analytic Plan.....	28
Results.....	30
Discussion.....	35
References.....	45
Tables.....	55
Figures.....	60
Appendices.....	64

4.6 Abstract (APA style)

The abstract follows APA style and is typically completed in the Spring Semester of the second year. A draft of the abstract, without results and without conclud-

ing statements, might be drafted during *PSYC 565 Research Practicum* during the of the second year.

---

## 4.7 Introduction (APA style)

### Understanding the Problem

The development of the literature review begins during the Fall Semester of the first year during PSYC 564 Advanced Research Methods. The literature review will become the “introduction” section of the final thesis paper. The literature review demonstrates the student’s mastery of the literature surrounding the *problem* to be addressed by the thesis. Initial drafts, such as that from PSYC 564, are 10-12 pages in length.

The development of the literature review is, however, ongoing throughout the two-years of the program until the final draft is submitted on May 1st of the second year. The typical length of a *completed* introduction section is between **20-40 pages** long but there is no maximum length.

In terms of content, students are encouraged to consider multiple perspectives in their work and also to integrate their thoughts related to culture and diversity regarding the topic area. The idea here is that a good understanding of a problem area involves a thorough understanding of the context. Context includes a consideration of the place, time, and people under study as well as the same characteristics of those who are doing the investigating.

### Due:

1. December 15th, first year (First Major Draft);
  2. May 15th, end of first year (Second Major Draft with Hypotheses);
  3. May 1st, end of second year (Final Draft).
- 

## 4.8 Method (APA style)

### Solving the Problem

The method sections defines the procedures of the thesis. The method section consists of the participant selection, selection of methods of measurements or materials, the procedure, and the data analytic method. The method section can be worked on in *PSYC 552 Psychometrics* and *PSYC 562 Statistics II*:

*Regression* during the Spring Semester of the first year. The method section is finalized during *PSYC 565 Research Practicum* in the Fall Semester of the second year when students prepare their submission to the CLU IRB.

Students at CLU also pre-registered their hypotheses using AS Predicted. A pre-registration is method of distinguishing between exploratory and confirmatory hypotheses. The concept of pre-registration is discussed during *PSYC 521 Clinical and Research Ethics* during the Summer Semester.

Lastly, the method section should include a **power analysis**. The primary purpose of the power analysis is to provide students with the best understanding of the size of the sample needed to successfully test their hypothesis(-es). In other words, students should never have to say in their discussion “The study was limited due to a small sample size. Future research should include a larger sample size.” When authors make this statement they are basically stating that they ran a pointless study. If the study is *under-powered* then the truth cannot be adequately discerned from the outset. If the study finds significance, then it might be a false positive; if a study does not find significance then the authors say they likely would have if they had enough people. In each of these instances there is no credible chance to fail and, meaningfully, advance science.

The power analysis should be calculated during the Summer Semester between year 1 and year 2 or during *PSYC 565 Research Practicum* in the Fall Semester of the second year. A power analysis ***must be completed prior*** to IRB submission.

#### Video Resources:

1. A conceptual overview of a power analysis can be found here. If you like that professor, here is another video by him that I like on his personal website
2. An overview of power can also be found here through the Center for Open Science.  
Part 1: What is Statistical Power  
Part 2: Consequences of low power
3. An article on the topic can found in 10 Resources.

#### Due:

1. May 1st, first year (First Draft);
  2. December 15th, second year (Second Draft including power analysis)
-

## 4.9 Data Analytic Method (APA style)

The data analytic plan can be developed during *PSYC 562 Statistics II: Regression* in the Spring Semester of the first year and finalized during *PSYC 565 Research Practicum* in the Fall Semester of the second year.

At CLU, we exclusively use the statistical program language called R. The data analytic section will also include a power analysis as well a link to the study's pre-registration of the data analysis as noted in the method section of this manual.

---

## 4.10 Results (APA style)

The results are typically drafted during the Spring Semester of the second year. *PSYC 566 Thesis* is an independent study course. There are no class meetings. Instead, students meet with their thesis chair to review and write their analyses in the results section.

The results section should be organized by study hypotheses.

---

## 4.11 Discussion (APA style)

The discussion is a critical section of the research paper and is typically **10-pages** minimum. In the discussion the student provide the following:

- A summary of the proposed hypotheses and rationale.
- A review of the results in narrative form without the presentation of any statistics.
- A discussion of the implications of the results for each hypothesis.
- A discussion of future steps for each hypothesis.
- A discussion of the limitations of the study in addressing each hypothesis.
- Similar to the introduction, please consider culture and diversity in your discussion of the findings.

The discussion section demonstrates the student's ability to place their study in the context of the larger literature and think more philosophically. It is a space for creativity and ingenuity.

---

## 4.12 References (APA style)

References follow APA style. Please make sure:

1. All citations *in text* are in the *reference section*
2. All citations in the *reference section* are cited *in text*.

---

## 4.13 Tables, Figures, & Appendices (APA style)

Tables and Figures can be essential for helping a reader understand your data analysis and conclusions. Please follow APA formatting for all Tables and Figures.

If students choose to bind their thesis then they pay careful attention to formatting in order to maintain the margins of the paper (1.5" all around). This can especially be a problem for Tables.

Appendices often include a student's curriculum vita, IRB approval form, and other materials.

---

## Chapter 5

# Rubric for Written Thesis

Abstract	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>APA Style</b>	(90% and Above) Follows APA Style (7th) perfectly	(80-89%) 1-2 APA Style errors	(Below 80%) More than three style errors
<b>Content</b>	Abstract follows APA Style (7th) perfectly	Abstract has 1-2 APA Style errors	Abstract has more than three style errors
<b>Keywords</b>	Abstract includes appropriate keywords	Keywords are incomplete	Keywords are missing

Introduction	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Problem Statement</b>	(90% and Above) The problem being addressed through the paper is clearly stated by the end of the first paragraph	(80-89%) Problem statement is provided by is not clearly stated	(Below 80%) The problem statement is either absent or included in another area of the introduction where it does not fit

Introduction	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Theoretical Review</b>	The student clearly identifies a relevant theory(-ies) and provides a thorough historical review of the theory and its development	A theory(-ies) are identified by a comprehensive review is not provided	Theory(-ies) are not clearly identified
<b>Organization</b>	The literature review presents a logical, structured and integrated critical analysis of other studies. Appropriate subheadings are used in APA Style	The literature review presents an adequate critical analysis of other studies that is presented logically.	The literature review is disjointed, does not flow logically and does not present a critical analysis of other studies.
<b>Cultural Context</b>	The student is attentive to the cultural context of the theory including its application. The student are also attentive to the culture and historical context of the researchers espousing the theory.	The cultural context of the theory is acknowledged	There is not recognition of the cultural relevance of theory or it is reviewed superficially
<b>Hypotheses Format</b>	Hypotheses are listed at the end of the introduction and are made in declarative statements making a specific prediction	Hypotheses are declarative predictions with acceptable clarity	Hypotheses are not declarative predictions and/or are not clearly stated

Introduction	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Hypothesis Validity</b>	The hypothesis(-es) made are clearly supported by the literature review	Hypotheses are adequately supported by the literature review	Hypotheses are not clearly supported by the literature review
<b>APA Style</b>	Follows APA Style (7th) perfectly	1-2 APA Style errors	More than three style errors

Method	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Participants</b>	(90% and Above) Sampling procedures are clearly described	(80-89%) Sampling procedures are adequately described	(Below 80%) Sampling procedures are not clearly described.
<b>IRB Approval</b>	Students required to complete the IRB for the study did so and mentioned in clearly in the method	IRB approval is mentioned	The IRB was not mentioned although required for this study
<b>Instrumentation</b>	Information regarding instruments utilized in the study is provided including psychometric properties and evidence of cultural validity.	Information regarding instruments utilized in the study is provided including psychometric properties and evidence of cultural validity.	Information regarding instruments utilized in the study is missing.
<b>Research Design</b>	The research design is described in detail.	The research design is presented adequately.	The research design in inadequately described.
<b>Data Analysis Section: Hypotheses</b>	A data analytic plan is mentioned for each hypothesis and thoroughly addressed	A data analytic plan for each hypothesis is provided	The data analytic plan is inadequate and not organized



Method	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Data Analysis Section:</b> Assumptions	Statistical assumptions necessary for the valid interpretation of each statistical test are provided	Statistical assumptions are mentioned with sufficient detail	There was either no mention of statistical assumptions or they were not clearly organized.
<b>Data Analysis:</b> Power Analysis and Stopping Rule	A power analysis and stopping rule are clearly stated	Power analysis and stopping rule for data collection are adequately described	No mention of power analysis or a stopping rule are described
<b>Data Analysis:</b> Software	Statistical software and relevant packages are mentioned and cited and integrated into analysis plan	Software is mentioned and cited	Software was not mentioned or cited
<b>Data Analysis:</b> Meta-Analysis only	PRISM guidelines are referenced and thoughtfully addressed	PRISM guidelines are cited	There is no mention of PRISM or appropriate guidelines for meta-analysis
<b>OSF Material</b>	An OSF link to material is provided in the method and the link had the correct material	An OSF link is provided	No link to OSF materials is provided
<b>Preregistration</b>	A preregistration was completed, cited, and was comprehensive	A preregistration was completed	A preregistration was not completed
<b>APA Style</b>	Follows APA Style (7th) perfectly	1-2 APA Style errors	More than three style errors
<hr/>			
Results	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
	(90% and Above)	(80-89%)	(Below 80%)

Results	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Organization</b>	The results were clearly organized by hypotheses	Results included all hypotheses	Results were disorganized or inadequately addressed hypotheses
<b>Assumptions</b>	Statistical assumptions were addressed for each hypothesis	Assumptions were adequately reviewed	Statistical assumptions were not mentioned
<b>Effect Sizes</b>	Effect sizes were presented and interpreted beyond arbitrary categorization	Effect sizes were reviewed	Effect sizes were not reviewed
<b>Figure</b>	Figures effectively capture data and/or included some measurement of variance	Figures were included and effective	No data visualization was provided
<b>Table</b>	Table was included for necessary information and was informative	A table was provided to support paper	If needed, table was not included
<b>APA Style</b>	Follows APA Style (7th) perfectly	1-2 APA Style errors	More than three style errors
<hr/>			
Discussion	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
	(90% and Above)	(80-89%)	(Below 80%)

Discussion	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Evaluation</b>	An overview of the significant findings of the study is clearly presented which include an examination of findings that fail to support the research question/hypothesis. A discussion of the generalizability of the results is included.	An overview of the significant findings of the study is adequately presented which include an examination of findings that fail to support the research question/hypothesis. An adequate discussion of the generalizability of the results is included.	An overview of the significant findings of the study is not presented. A discussion of the generalizability of the results is missing.
<b>Exploratory Findings</b>	Student carefully reviews exploratory findings separate from confirmatory findings and carefully discussing limitations of findings	Student notes exploratory findings and does not confuse with confirmatory results	Student either confuses exploratory findings with confirmatory findings or does not mention exploratory findings.
<b>Comparison with Literature</b>	A careful consideration of the findings of the study in comparison to the work of others is presented.	Consideration of the findings of the study in comparison to the work of others is adequately presented.	Consideration of the findings of the study in comparison to the work of others is not presented.
<b>Limitations</b>	Limitations of the study that may affect the validity or the generalizability of the results are clearly discussed.	Limitations of the study that may affect the validity or the generalizability of the results are adequately discussed.	Limitations of the study that may affect the validity or the generalizability of the results are not included.

Discussion	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>Future Research</b>	A discussion that includes appropriate and comprehensive recommendations for further research is provided.	A discussion that includes appropriate recommendations for further research is provided.	A discussion that includes recommendations for further research is not provided.
<b>Cultural Considerations</b>	Student thoughtfully considered the role of culture with respect to the population under study as well as the current historical context in which the study was completed	Student considered culture in understanding the results and implications of the study	Student did mention or consider larger contextual factors
<b>APA Style</b>	Follows APA Style (7th) perfectly	1-2 APA Style errors	More than three style errors

Additional Material	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>References</b>	(90% and Above) References are complete and presented using APA 7 formatting guidelines.	(80-89%) References are presented using APA 7 formatting guidelines.	(Below 80%) References are incomplete and are not presented using APA 7 formatting guidelines.
<b>Appendices</b>	Appendices and supplemental materials are provided and labeled appropriately.	Appendices and supplemental materials are provided and labeled.	Appendices and supplemental materials are not provided.

Additional Material	Outstanding (3)	Acceptable (2)	Unacceptable (1)
<b>OSF Project Page</b>	OSF materials (e.g., code, data) are provided, are clear, and do an exceptionanl job of showcasing student work.	OSF materials are adequately provided (minimum code)	The student's OSF repository was inadequately complete
<b>Overall Writing</b>	The writing style reflects continuity in the presentation of ideas, smoothness of expression, an interesting tone, economy of expression, as well as precision and clarity.	The writing style is adequately effective in communicating ideas, has a consistent tone and is understandable.	The writing style is difficult to follow, lacks clarity and does not flow smoothly.

## Chapter 6

# Thesis Binding

The following are instructions for binding your thesis. The binding of your thesis is *optional* and at your expense. You are responsible for the spelling, grammar, and correct APA formatting of your thesis. A bound thesis is a **final** thesis.<sup>1</sup>

1. The margins for the final thesis, throughout the whole document, should be 1.5" on **all** sides for binding.
2. At least three (3) bound copies of the Thesis must be ordered.
  - a. One copy for the Graduate School of Psychology (required), one copy for the Thesis Committee Chair (the Chair might decline), and one personal copy for your possession. You can order more if you prefer. If the Chair declines, students still have to order 3 copies due to requirements from the bindery.
  - b. The three copies must be printed on *25% rag or cotton fiber water-marked white paper, at least 20 pound weight, 8½ x 11 inches in size* (EZERASE, or similar paper is not acceptable). A good example is Southworth Fine Business Paper, 25% cotton, 24 pound, white, stock #403C which is available for purchase from Office Depot, OfficeMax, or Staples. A similar 20 pound weight paper is also available at Amazon.
  - c. Original signed signature pages on the same paper must be submitted with each of the three copies.
3. Additional personal copies may be ordered at the same time.
  - a. Personal copies may be printed on paper of the student's choice (e.g., 20 pound paper).

---

<sup>1</sup>The pricing is subject to change based on bindery costs.

- b. Signature pages for the personal copies may be photocopies of the originals as long as they are on paper that is identical to the rest of the thesis.
- 4. Copies for binding must be delivered to the Program Specialist.
  - a. The copies delivered to the Program Specialist should be packaged with bright colored paper separating the individual copies.
  - b. Students are responsible for paying binding fees for all copies (the three required copies and for any additional personal copies). The cost is about \$40 per copy (no matter the length), and to be paid by check to CLU. Prices may change.
  - c. The Program Specialist will forward the copies to the bindery as they are delivered.
- 5. The bound copies are typically ready in about 6-8 weeks and are distributed as follows:
  - a. The Graduate School of Psychology copy and the Thesis Committee Chair copy will be delivered via campus mail by the Program Specialist.
  - b. Students will be notified when their personal copies are ready for pick-up.
- 6. If you have any questions regarding the binding process, please do not hesitate to contact Mengmeng Liu, Graduate Program Specialist, at 805-493-3662 or at [mengmengliu@callutheran.edu](mailto:mengmengliu@callutheran.edu).

## Chapter 7

# Thesis Commons



Thesis Commons is a place for students to publish their thesis. Thesis Commons is supported by OSF and is a way to both archive and showcase your work along with your OSF project.



## Chapter 8

# Presentations and Publications

The faculty hope you present your work at conferences and in publications. Presenting your work can be a very fun and rewarding experience.

Typical conferences attended by CLU MSCP students include:

- Western Psychological Association
- American Psychological Association
- Association for Psychological Science

Faculty can also recommend various conferences given students area of interest (e.g., education, psychotherapy, neuropsychology, etc).

Please remember to contact your chair *prior* to submitting your work to any professional outlet. Your committee members will typically be authors on all of your publicly published work.

## Chapter 9

# General Guidelines

Successful completion of the thesis requires students to remain in good standing in accordance with the the guidelines regarding academic success and integrity set forth in the university's Graduate Catalog and the MS Clinical Psychology Student Handbook.

---

### Integrity

Academic dishonesty diminishes the quality of scholarship and defrauds those who depend upon the integrity of the educational system. Consult the CLU Graduate Catalog for definitions of cheating, fabrication, facilitating academic dishonesty, and plagiarism. According to university policy, students who engage in academic dishonesty may be in jeopardy of disciplinary action, including suspension or expulsion from the university.

---

### Failure to Complete the Thesis

Many students are unable to complete the thesis within the expected two years of study. Students who do not successfully complete the thesis within the first two years are required to maintain **continuous enrollment** by registering for *PSYC 599C-01 Thesis Continuation* **every semester** until the thesis is successfully completed.

Under special circumstances, students can take a leave of absence from the university. Such a leave of absence is completed in accordance with university policies which can be found through the university registrar.

---

## Chapter 10

# Resources

---

The classic article describes the process of developing meaningful hypotheses:

Wampold, B. E., Davis, B., & Good, Roland H., I., II. (1990). Hypothesis validity of clinical research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 58(3), 360-367. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.58.3.360>

---

Power Analysis Resources:

Maxwell, S. E., Kelley, K., & Rausch, J. R. (2008). Sample size planning for statistical power and accuracy in parameter estimation. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 537-563. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093735>

1. Part 1: What is Statistical Power
  2. Part 2: Consequences of low power
  3. Overview
  4. Overview
- 

Preregistration:

1. Preregistration Overview
  2. Data Colada Guide
  3. The Preregistration Revolution
  4. R Markdown Packages
-