

5CCS2FC2: Foundations of Computing II

Tutorial Sheet 2

- 2.1 Describe an algorithm, that can be implemented on a Turing Machine, that accepts the following language

$$E_{DFA} = \{\langle A \rangle : A \text{ is a DFA such that } L(A) = \emptyset\}$$

In other words, E_{DFA} is the language of all DFAs that do not accept any words (including the empty string ε).

- 2.2 Show that the following language is decidable by reducing it to the language E_{DFA} ,

$$E_{NFA} = \{\langle A \rangle : A \text{ is an NFA such that } L(A) = \emptyset\}$$

- 2.3 (*Tricky!*) Show that the following language is decidable, by reducing it to the language E_{DFA} :

$$EQ_{DFA} = \{\langle A, B \rangle : A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs such that } L(A) = L(B)\}$$

In other words, EQ_{DFA} is the language of all pairs of ‘*equivalent*’ DFAs that accept precisely the same words.

2.4 Show that the following language is undecidable

$$\text{EQ}_{TM} = \{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle : M_1, M_2 \text{ are TMs such that } L(M_1) = L(M_2)\}.$$

by a reduction from the language E_{TM} .

2.5 (i) Show that the language A_{TM} is recursively enumerable by constructing a sound and complete algorithm that recognises all words $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M encodes a TM that accepts w .

(ii) Hence, or otherwise, show that its complement $\overline{\text{A}_{TM}}$ is *not* recursively enumerable.

2.6 (*Tricky!*)

(i) Show that the language $\overline{\text{EQ}_{TM}}$ is not recursively enumerable by reducing A_{TM} to its complement EQ_{TM} . (In other words, that EQ_{TM} is not co-recursively enumerable.)

(ii) Show that the language $\overline{\text{EQ}_{TM}}$ is also not co-recursively enumerable by reducing A_{TM} to $\overline{\text{EQ}_{TM}}$. (In other words, that EQ_{TM} is not recursively enumerable.)

(It follows that $\overline{\text{EQ}_{TM}}$ and EQ_{TM} are ‘harder’ than any recursively enumerable or co-recursively enumerable problem. There are not even any sound-and-complete algorithms for either problem)