

Incentive Contracting

Individuals forced to endure risks & the tradeoff between incentive & risk takes center stage.

- Principal-Agent Models to study above mentioned problem:
risk neutral risk averse

- Certainty Equivalent - risk averse decision maker accept certain outcome instead of enduring L outcome.

- Risk premium - (Expected outcome from L - CE)

- CARA - Utility function to study IC: -

$$u(x) = A - e^{-rx}$$

where, $r \geq 0$ is Arrow-Pratt coefficient of absolute risk aversion.

$$\text{i.e.; } R_A = r = \frac{-u''(x)}{u'(x)}$$

If $r=0$, risk neutral.

r increases, more risk averse.

$R_A \perp$ initial wealth.

- Arrow-Pratt approximation for the risk premium -

$$RP = \frac{r \text{Var}(x)}{2}$$

Model Effort directly observable
Effort will not be observable

e = Agent exert effort
 $c(e)$ = Agent suffers cost \leftarrow st. convex
 $P(e)$ = Principal payoff \leftarrow st. concave

① Effort can be directly observable (Full/Complete information)

Principal chooses e^* to

$$\max_e p(e) - w(e)$$

, $w(e)$ = wage for effort

IR constraint
of Agent \rightarrow

where, $w(e) - c(e) \geq \underline{u}$ \underline{u} = Reservation utility (best outside option)

FOC wrt. e gives:-

e^* = Agent's effort

Optimal wage $w(e^*) = c(e^*) + \underline{u}$

Principal's profit (π^*) = $P(e^*) - c(e^*)$

② Effort will not be observable

$z = e + x$ be observable

\uparrow output/realized sales \uparrow Random demand & $E(x) = 0$
noise term

Let demand in other markets = y that is correlated with x
and $E(y) = 0$.

∴ effort not observable, with noise agent could exert low effort & blame lousy demand (x).

So, principal can provide incentive based on output (z) & other information of y .

Wage contract / schedule :-

$$w = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Salary}}}{\alpha} + \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{incentive}}}{\beta} (\underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{sales}}}{z} + \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Adjustment to sales}}}{\gamma y})$$

Demand in other markets

Effort unobservable, maximize CE of both agent & Principal.

CE(agent) = CE of w net of effort costs

$$\max_e \alpha + \beta e - c(e) - \frac{r\beta^2 \text{var}(\alpha + \gamma y)}{2}$$

FOC wrt e gives :-

$$\beta = c'(e) \leftarrow \text{Agent's marginal cost of effort (incentive)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \& \text{ CE(Principal)} &= \text{net of wage} \\ &= P(e) - \alpha - \beta e \end{aligned}$$

Efficient contract maximizes sum of CE(agent) & CE(Principal) subject to contract feasibility (meaning agent effort will maximize his CE) $\rightarrow \beta = c'(e)$

$$\max_e \text{CE(agent)} + \text{CE(Principal)} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \beta = c'(e)$$

$$\alpha + \beta e - c(e) - \frac{r\beta^2 \text{var}(\alpha + \gamma y)}{2} + P(e) - \alpha - \beta e$$

$$\max_e P(e) - c(e) - \frac{r\beta^2 \text{var}(\alpha + \gamma y)}{2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \beta = c'(e)$$

Plug $\beta = c'(e)$ & choose $\gamma = \gamma^*$

$$\max_e P(e) - c(e) - \frac{r(c'(e))^2 \text{var}(\alpha + \gamma^* y)}{2}$$

Note: If x & y correlated, $\gamma = 0$ in order to reduce the agent's risk.

FOC w.r.t. e gives: -

e^*
 β^* after plugging values for $c'(e)$
Optimal wage schedule $w^*(n)$

* FOC of $CE(\text{agent})$: $\beta = c'(e)$ ~~show~~

$$\text{Ratio of } \Delta\beta \text{ to } \Delta e = c''(e) = \frac{\beta' - \beta}{e' - e}$$

$$\& \text{ so } \frac{1}{c''(e)} = \frac{e' - e}{\beta' - \beta} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{responsiveness of effort} \\ \text{to incentives.} \end{array}$$

- $c''(e)$ high \rightarrow want lower incentive $\beta \rightarrow$ agent less responsive
- $c''(e)$ low \rightarrow want higher $\beta \rightarrow$ agent stronger response.

$$\beta^* = \frac{P'(e)}{1 + rc''(e) \text{Var}(x + \gamma^* y)}$$

Depends on four factors: -

- $P'(e) \rightarrow$ incremental profits (the effect).
- $\text{Var}(x + \gamma^* y) \rightarrow$ precision with which desired activities are assessed (-ve effect).
- $r \rightarrow$ tolerance for risk (-ve effect)
- $\frac{1}{c''(e)} \rightarrow$ Agent's responsiveness to incentives.