Search Wikipedia

Edit | View history

Read



The Free Encyclopedia

Main page

Featured content

Current events

Random article

Wikipedia store

About Wikipedia

Community portal

Recent changes

Contact page

What links here

Related changes

Upload file

Special pages

Permanent link

Wikidata item

Cite this page

Print/export

Languages

العربية বাংলা

Español

日本語

Português

≭A 17 more

Edit links

Русский

中文

Page information

Download as PDF

Printable version

Bahasa Indonesia

Interaction

Help

Tools

Donate to Wikipedia

Contents

Creator deity

Article

Talk

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

A creator deity or creator god (often called the Creator) is a deity or god responsible for the creation of the Earth, world, and universe in human religion and mythology. In monotheism, the single God is often also the creator. A number of monolatristic traditions separate a secondary creator from a primary transcendent being, identified as a primary creator. [1]

Contents [hide] 1 Monotheism 1.1 Atenism 1.2 Abrahamic religions 1.3 Sikhism 1.4 Bahá'í 2 Monolatrism 3 Monism 4 Non-creationism 4.1 Buddhism 4.2 Jainism 5 Polytheism 5.1 Platonic demiurge 5.2 Hinduism 6 Other 6.1 Chinese traditional cosmology 6.2 Kazakh 7 See also 8 Notes 9 References

God **General conceptions** [show] **Specific conceptions** [hide] **Creator** · Demiurge · Deus · Father Form of the Good · Great Architect · Monad Mother · Summum bonum · Supreme Being · Sustainer The All The Lord Trinity Tawhid Ditheism Monism Personal Unitarianism In particular religions [show] **Attributes** [show] **Experiences** · Practices [show] **Related topics** [show] V.T.E

Part of a series on

Q

Monotheism [edit]

Atenism [edit] See also: Atenism

Initiated by Pharaoh Akhenaten and Queen Nefertiti around 1330 BCE, during the New Kingdom period in ancient Egyptian history. They built an entirely new capital city (Akhetaten) for themselves and worshippers of their sole creator god on a wilderness. His father used to worship Aten alongside other gods of their polytheistic religion. Aten, for a long time before his father's time, was revered as a god among the many gods and goddesses in Egypt. Atenism faded away after the death of the pharaoh. Despite different views, Atenism is considered by some scholars to be one of the frontiers of monotheism in human history.

Abrahamic religions [edit]

See also: Ex nihilo and Logos (Christianity)

Further information: Abrahamic religions and Genesis creation narrative The Abrahamic creation narrative is made up of two stories, roughly equivalent to the two first chapters of the Book of Genesis. [2] The first account (1:1 through 2:3) employs a repetitious structure of divine fiat and fulfillment, then the statement "And there was

9.1 Bibliography

evening and there was morning, the [xth] day," for each of the six days of creation. In each of the first three days there is an act of division: day one divides the darkness from light, day two the "waters above" from the "waters below", and day three the sea from the land. In each of the next three days these divisions are populated: day four populates the darkness and light with sun, moon, and stars; day five populates seas and skies with fish and fowl; and finally, land-based creatures and mankind populate the land. [3]

The first (the Priestly story) was concerned with the cosmic plan of creation, while the second (the Yahwist story) focuses on man as cultivator of his environment and as a moral agent. [2] The second account, in contrast to the regimented seven-day scheme of Genesis 1, uses a simple flowing narrative style that proceeds from God's forming the first man through the Garden of Eden to the institution of marriage. In contrast to the omnipotent God of Genesis 1 creating a god-like humanity, the God of Genesis 2 can fail as well as succeed. The humanity he creates is not god-like, but is punished for acts which would lead to their becoming god-like (Genesis 3:1-24) and the order and method of creation itself differs.^[4] "Together, this combination of parallel character and contrasting profile point to the different origin of materials in Genesis 1:1 and Gen 2:4, however elegantly they have now been combined."^[5]

An early conflation of Greek philosophy with the narratives in the Hebrew Bible came from Philo of Alexandria (d. AD 50), writing in the context of Hellenistic Judaism. Philo equated the Hebrew creator-deity Yahweh with Aristotle's Unmoved Mover (First Cause)^{[6][7]} in an attempt to prove that the Jews had held monotheistic views even before the Greeks. [citation needed] A similar theoretical proposition was demonstrated by Thomas Aquinas, who linked Aristotelian philosophy with the Christian faith, followed by the statement that God is the First Being, the First Mover, and is Pure Act. [8]

The deuterocanonical 2 Maccabees has two relevant passages. At chapter 7, it narrows about the mother of a Jewish proto-martyr telling to her son: "I beseech thee, my son, look upon heaven and earth, and all that is in them: and consider that God made them out of nothing, and mankind also"; [9][10] at chapter 1, it refers a solemn prayer hymned by Jonathan, Nehemiah and the Priest of Israel, while making sacrifices in honour of God: "O Lord, Lord God, Creator of all things, who art fearefull, and strong, and righteous, and

mercifull, and the onely, and gracious king".[11] The Prologue to Gospel of John begins with: "In the beginning was the Word was with God, and the Word was God. / 2 The same was in the beginning with God. / 3 All things were made by him, and

Nowadays, theologians debate whether the Bible itself teaches if this creation by God is a creation ex nihilo. Traditional interpreters [13] argue on grammatical and syntactical grounds that this is the meaning of Genesis 1:1, which is commonly rendered: "In the

beginning God created the heavens and the earth." However, other interpreters [14] understand creation ex nihilo as a 2nd-century theological development. According to this view, church fathers opposed notions appearing in pre-Christian creation myths and in

without him was not any thing made that was made.".[12]

transcendent and inaccessible directly, his image is reflected in his creation. The purpose of creation is for the created to have the capacity to know and love its creator. [29]

monism which holds that all spiritual reality is one, and material monism which holds that everything including all material reality is one and the same thing. [32]

Buddhism denies a creator deity and posits that mundane deities such as Mahabrahma are misperceived to be a creator. [33]

cosmos; a self-regulating mechanism whereby the individual reaps the fruits of his own actions through the workings of the karmas.

Christianity affirms the creation by God since its early time in the Apostles' Creed ("I believe in God, the Father almighty, creator of heaven and earth.", 1st century AD), that is symmetrical to the Nicene Creed (4th century AD).

Gnosticism—notions of creation by a demiurge out of a primordial state of matter (known in religious studies as chaos after the Greek term used by Hesiod in his Theogony). [15] Jewish thinkers took up the idea, [16] which became important to Judaism. According to Islam, God, known in Arabic as Allah, is the all-powerful and all-knowing Creator, Sustainer, Ordainer, and Judge of the universe. Creation is seen as an act of divine choice and mercy, one with a grand purpose: "And We (Royal we) did not create the heaven and earth and that between them in play."[17] Rather, the purpose of humanity is to be tested: "Who has created death and life, that He may test you which of you is best in deed. And He is the All-Mighty, the Oft-Forgiving;"[18] Those who pass the test are rewarded with Paradise: "Verily for the Righteous there will be a fulfilment of (the heart's) desires;"[19]

According to the Islamic teachings, God exists above the heavens and the creation itself. The Quran mentions, "He it is Who created for you all that is on earth. Then He Istawa (rose over) towards the heaven and made them seven heavens and He is the All-

Knower of everything."[20] At the same time, God is unlike anything in creation: "There is nothing like unto Him, and He is the Hearing, the Seeing."[21] and nobody can perceive God in totality: "Vision perceives Him not, but He perceives [all] vision; and He is the Subtle, the Acquainted."[22] God in Islam is not only majestic and sovereign, but also a personal God: "And indeed We have created man, and We know what his ownself whispers to him. And We are nearer to him than his jugular vein (by Our Knowledge)."[23] Allah commands the believers to constantly remember Him ("O you who have believed, remember Allah with much remembrance"[24]) and to invoke Him alone ("And whoever invokes besides Allah another deity for which he has no proof - then his account is only with his Lord. Indeed, the disbelievers will not succeed."[25]). Islam teaches that God as referenced in the Quran is the only god and the same God worshipped by members of other Abrahamic religions such as Christianity and Judaism.

One of the biggest responsibilities in the faith of Sikhism is to worship God as "The Creator", termed Waheguru, who is shapeless, i.e., Nirankar, Akal, and Alakh Niranjan. The religion only takes after the belief in "One God for All" or Ik Onkar. Bahá'í [edit] In the Bahá'í Faith God is the imperishable, uncreated being who is the source of all existence. [26] He is described as "a personal God, unknowable, inaccessible, the source of all Revelation, eternal, omniscient, omnipresent and almighty". [27][28] Although

Monolatristic traditions would separate a secondary creator from the primary transcendent being, identified as a primary creator. [1] According to Gaudiya Vaishnavas, Brahma is the secondary creator and not the supreme. [30] Vishnu is the primary creator. According to Vaishnava belief Vishnu creates the basic universal shell and provides all the raw materials and also places the living entities within the material world, fulfilling their own independent will. Brahma works with the materials provided by Vishnu to actually create what

Monolatrism [edit]

See also: Brahma

Sikhism [edit]

Main article: Sikh beliefs

Monism [edit]

Buddhism [edit]

Main article: Monism

Non-creationism [edit]

Monism is the philosophy that asserts oneness as its fundamental premise, and it contradicts the dualism-based theistic premise that there is a creator God that is eternal and separate from the rest of existence. There are two types of monism, namely spiritual

Jainism [edit]

See also: Creator in Buddhism

Jainism does not support belief in a creator deity. According to Jain doctrine, the universe and its constituents - soul, matter, space, time, and principles of motion have always existed (a static universe similar to that of Epicureanism and steady state cosmological

• *Unkulunkulu* in Zulu mythology

Main article: Jainism and non-creationism

model). All the constituents and actions are governed by universal natural laws. It is not possible to create matter out of nothing and hence the sum total of matter in the universe remains the same (similar to law of conservation of mass). Similarly, the soul of each living being is unique and uncreated and has existed since beginningless time. [a][34] The Jain theory of causation holds that a cause and its effect are always identical in nature and therefore a conscious and immaterial entity like God cannot create a material entity like the universe. Furthermore, according to the Jain concept of divinity, any soul who

Through the ages, Jain philosophers have adamantly rejected and opposed the concept of creator and omnipotent God and this has resulted in Jainism being labeled as nāstika darsana or atheist philosophy by the rival religious philosophies. The theme of noncreationism and absence of omnipotent God and divine grace runs strongly in all the philosophical dimensions of Jainism, including its cosmology, karma, moksa and its moral code of conduct. Jainism asserts a religious and virtuous life is possible without the idea of a creator god.^[35]

destroys its karmas and desires achieves liberation. A soul who destroys all its passions and desires has no desire to interfere in the working of the universe. Moral rewards and sufferings are not the work of a divine being, but a result of an innate moral order in the

Polytheism [edit] In polytheistic creation, the world often comes into being organically, e.g. sprouting from a primal seed, sexually, by miraculous birth (sometimes by parthenogenesis), by hieros gamos, violently, by the slaying of a primeval monster, or artificially, by a divine demiurge

Mbombo of Bakuba mythology, who vomited out the world upon feeling a stomach

American contexts:

or "craftsman". Sometimes, a god is involved, wittingly or unwittingly, in bringing about creation. Examples include: Sub Saharan African contexts:

• Nanabozho (Great Rabbit), Ojibwe deity, a shape-shifter and a cocreator of the world [36][37] Cōātlīcue in Aztec mythology

are believed to be planets in Puranic terminology, and he supervises the population of them. [31]

 Chiminigagua (and/or Bague) in Muisca mythology Viracocha in Inca mythology A trickster deity in the form of a Raven in Inuit mythology

 Near Eastern contexts: Egyptian mythology Atum in Ennead, whose semen becomes the primal component of the universe

 Neith, who wove all of the universe and existence into being on her loom. • 'El in Canaanite religion

Ptah creating the universe by the Word

 Marduk killing Tiamat in the Babylonian Enûma Eliš Asian contexts: Esege Malan in Mongolian mythology, king of the skies

• In Hinduism, its Vedic scriptures call the unchanging eternal reality as Brahman. The Nasadiya Sukta of the Rig Veda expresses doubt whether there is or is not any creator deity, and whether even gods know who or what created the universe. European contexts: • The sons of *Borr* slaying the primeval giant *Ymir* in Norse mythology

• Izanagi and Izanami-no-Mikoto in Japanese mythology, who churned the ocean with a spear, creating the islands of Japan

 Rod in Slavic mythology • Ipmil or Radien-Áhči (Radien Father) in Sámi mythology Oceanic contexts:

• Makemake, creator of humanity, the god of fertility and the chief god of the "Tangata manu" or "bird-man" cult of Rapa Nui mythology. • Ranginui, the Sky Father, and Papatūānuku, the Earth Mother in Māori mythology

Kamuy in Ainu mythology, who built the world on the back of a trout

Platonic demiurge [edit] Main article: Demiurge Further information: Neoplatonism and Gnosticism and Great Architect of the Universe

In Shaktism, the Great Goddess creates the Trimurti. [48][46][54]

after the monad. In Gnostic dualism, the demiurge is an imperfect spirit and possibly an evil being, transcended by divine Fullness (Pleroma). Unlike the Abrahamic God, Plato's demiurge is unable to create ex-nihilo. Hinduism [edit] Main article: Hindu views on evolution

monotheism, monism, as well as a deified Hidden Principles behind the great happenings and processes of nature.^[45]

tradition and philosophy followed. Hinduism is sometimes referred to as henotheistic (i.e., involving devotion to a single god while accepting the existence of others), but any such term is an overgeneralization. [40] The Nasadiya Sukta (Creation Hymn) of the Rig Veda is one of the earliest texts [41] which "demonstrates a sense of metaphysical speculation" about what created the universe, the concept of god(s) and The One, and whether even The One knows how the universe came into being. [42][43] The Rig Veda praises various deities, none superior nor inferior, in a henotheistic manner. [44] The hymns repeatedly refer to One Truth and Reality. The "One Truth" of Vedic literature, in modern era scholarship, has been interpreted as

The post-Vedic texts of Hinduism offer multiple theories of cosmogony, many involving Brahma. These include Sarga (primary creation), ideas related to the Indian thought that there are two levels of reality, one primary

that is unchanging (metaphysical) and other secondary that is always changing (empirical), and that all observed reality of the latter is in an endless repeating cycle of existence, that cosmos and life we experience is continually created, evolved, dissolved and then

Hinduism is a diverse system of thought with beliefs spanning monotheism, polytheism, panentheism, panentheis

Plato, in his dialogue *Timaeus*, describes a creation myth involving a being called the demiurge (δημιουργός "craftsman"). Neoplatonism and Gnosticism continued and developed this concept. In Neoplatonism, the demiurge represents the second cause or dyad,

re-created. [46] The primary creator is extensively discussed in Vedic cosmogonies with Brahman or Purusha or Devi among the terms used for the primary creator, while the Vedic and post-Vedic texts name different gods and goddesses as secondary creators (often Brahma in post-Vedic texts), and in some cases a different god or goddess is the secondary creator at the start of each cosmic cycle (kalpa, aeon). [48][46] Brahma is a "secondary creator" as described in the *Mahabharata* and Puranas, and among the most studied and described. [49][50][51] Born from a lotus emerging from the navel of Vishnu, Brahma creates all the forms in the universe, but not the primordial universe itself. In contrast, the Shiva-focussed Puranas describe Brahma and Vishnu to have been created by Ardhanarishvara, that is half Shiva and half Parvati; or alternatively, Brahma was born from Rudra, or Vishnu, Shiva and Brahma creating each other cyclically in different aeons (kalpa).^[48] Thus in most Puranic texts, Brahma's creative activity depends on the presence and power of a higher god.^[53]

In other versions of creation, the creator deity is the one who is equivalent to the Brahman, the metaphysical reality in Hinduism. In Vaishnavism, Vishnu creates Brahma and orders him to order the rest of universe. In Shaivism, Shiva may be treated as the creator.

Other [edit] Chinese traditional cosmology [edit]

Pangu can be interpreted as another creator deity. In the beginning there was nothing in the universe except a formless chaos. However this chaos began to coalesce into a cosmic egg for eighteen thousand years. Within it, the perfectly opposed principles of yin

with a swing of his giant axe, creating the Earth (murky Yin) and the Sky (clear Yang). To keep them separated, Pangu stood between them and pushed up the Sky. This task took eighteen thousand years, with each day the sky grew ten feet higher, the Earth ten

After eighteen thousand years [55] had elapsed, Pangu was laid to rest. His breath became the wind; his voice the thunder; left eye the moon; his body became the mountains and extremes of the world; his blood formed rivers; his muscles the

fertile lands; his facial hair the stars and milky way; his fur the bushes and forests; his bones the valuable minerals; his sweat fell as rain; and the fleas on his fur carried by the wind became human beings all over the world.

and yang became balanced and Pangu emerged (or woke up) from the egg. Pangu is usually depicted as a primitive, hairy giant with horns on his head (like the Greek Pan) and clad in furs. Pangu set about the task of creating the world: he separated Yin from Yang

Shangdi is another creator deity, possibly prior to Pangu: sharing concepts similar to Abrahamic faiths. Kazakh [edit]

1. ^ Ninian Smart (2007). "Polytheism" . Encyclopædia Britannica. Encyclopædia Britannica Online. Retrieved 5 July 2007.

The first writer to record the myth of Pangu was Xu Zheng during the Three Kingdoms period.

See also [edit] Biblical cosmology

 Cosmological argument Creationism Dating creation

According to Kazakh folk tales, Jasagnan is the creator of the world. [56]

 Existence Intelligent designer Tzimtzum

Deism

Notes [edit]

References [edit]

1. ^{A a b} (2004) Sacred Books of the Hindus Volume 22 Part 2: Pt. 2, p. 67, R.B. Vidyarnava, Rai Bahadur Srisa Chandra Vidyarnava 2. ^{A a b} Alter 1981, p. 141.

4. ^ Carr 1996, p. 62-64. 5. **^** Carr 1996, p. 64. 6. A Yonge, Charles Duke (1854). "Appendices A Treatise

3. ^ Ruiten 2000, pp. 9-10.

more calculated to display the want of true nobility existing in the soul, than the notion of causes, in general, being secondary and

created causes, combined with an ignorance of the one first cause, the uncreated God, the Creator of the universe, who for

these and innumerable other reasons is most excellent, reasons which because of their magnitude human intellect is unable to apprehend?" The Works of Philo Judaeus: the contemporary of Josephus. London: H. G. Bohn" . Cornerstone publications.org.

Archived from the original

on 28 September 2015. 7. A Plato Laws Book X, Public Domain-Project Gutenberg. "ATHENIAN: Then I suppose that I must repeat the singular argument of those who manufacture the soul according to their

own impious notions; they affirm that which is the first cause of the generation and destruction of all things, to be not first. but last, and that which is last to be first, and hence they have fallen into error about the true nature of the Gods... Then we must say that self-motion being the origin of all motions, and the first which arises among things at rest as well as among things in 8. ^ "On the simplicity of God, in " Summa Theologiae", Part I,

Concerning the World (1): But what can be worse than this, or

motion, is the eldest and mightiest principle of change, and that which is changed by another and yet moves other is second." Question 3" . Priory of Dominican Order (in Latin and English). Translated by Fathers of the English Dominican Province. Benziger Bros. edition. 1947. Archived

from the original on 2 October 2011. Retrieved 6 October 2018. "Ostensum est autem supra quod Deus est primum movens immobile. Unde manifestum est quod Deus non est corpus. Secundo, quia necesse est id quod est primum ens, esse in actu, et nullo modo in potentia. Licet enim in uno et eodem quod exit de potentia in actum, prius sit potentia quam actus tempore, simpliciter tamen actus prior est potentia, quia quod est in potentia, non reducitur

in actum nisi per ens actu. Ostensum est autem supra quod Deus est primum ens. Impossibile est igitur quod in Deo sit aliquid in potential... . Now it has been already proved (Question [2], Article [3]), that God is the First Mover, and is Himself

unmoved. Therefore it is clear that God is not a body. Secondly, because the first being must of necessity be in act, and in no way in potentiality. For although in any single thing that passes from potentiality to actuality, the potentiality is prior in time to the actuality; nevertheless, absolutely speaking, actuality is prior to potentiality; for whatever is in potentiality can be reduced into actuality only by some being in actuality. Now it has been already proved that God is the First Being. It is therefore impossible that in God there should be any potentiality." 9. ^ "1611 King James Bible. Second book of Maccabees, chapter

7, verse 8"₺. kingjamesbibleonline.org. Archived₺ from the

• Flood, Gavin D. (1996), *An Introduction to Hinduism*, Cambridge University Press

Privacy policy About Wikipedia Disclaimers Contact Wikipedia Developers Statistics Cookie statement Mobile view

11. ^ "1611 King James Bible. Second book of Maccabees, chapter 1, verse 24" ☑. kingjamesbibleonline.org. Archived ☑ from the original on 24 December 2012. 12. * "Greek New Testament and Wiki English Translation. Gospel of John, chapter 1, verses 1 to 3"

divide (in English and Greek). Archived down from the original on 21 August 2011. 13. ^ Collins, C. John, Genesis 1-4: A Linguistic, Literary, and

10. * "Greek Septuagint and Wiki English Translation. 2 Maccabees

14 September 2016.

2006), 50ff.

7:58" ☑ (in English and Greek). Archived ☑ from the original on

feet wider, and Pangu ten feet taller. In some versions of the story, Pangu is aided in this task by the four most prominent beasts, namely the Turtle, the Qilin, the Phoenix, and the Dragon.

14. ^ May, Gerhard (2004). Creatio ex nihilo ☑ [Creation from nothing]. Continuum International. p. xii. ISBN 978-0-567-08356-2. Retrieved 23 November 2009. "If we look into the early Christian sources, it becomes apparent that the thesis of *creatio* ex nihilo in its full and proper sense, as an ontological statement, only appeared when it was intended, in opposition to

the idea of world-formation from unoriginate matter, to give

expression to the omnipotence, freedom and uniqueness of

Theological Commentary (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing,

God." 15. * May, Gerhard (1978). Schöpfung aus dem Nichts. Die Entstehung der Lehre von der creatio ex nihilo [Creation from Nothingness: the origin of the doctrine of creatio ex nihilo]. AKG 48 (in German). Berlin/New York: de Gruyter. p. 151f. ISBN 3-11-007204-1. 16. ^ Siegfried, Francis (1908). "Creation" ₽. The Catholic Encyclopedia, volume 4. New York: Robert Appleton Company. Retrieved 30 September 2008. "Probably the idea of creation never entered the human mind apart from Revelation. Though some of the pagan philosophers attained to a relatively high

conception of God as the supreme ruler of the world, they seem never to have drawn the next logical inference of His being the absolute cause of all finite existence. [...] The descendants of Sem and Abraham, of Isaac and Jacob, preserved the idea of creation clear and pure; and from the opening verse of Genesis to the closing book of the Old Testament the doctrine of creation runs unmistakably outlined and absolutely undefiled by any extraneous element. "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth." In this, the first, sentence of the Bible we see the fountain-head of the stream which is carried over to the new order by the declaration of the mother of the Machabees: "Son, look upon heaven and earth, and all that is in them: and consider that God made them out of nothing" (2 Maccabees 7:28). One has only to compare the Mosaic account of the creative work with that recently discovered on the clay tablets

unearthed from the ruins of Babylon to discern the immense difference between the unadulterated revealed tradition and the puerile story of the cosmogony corrupted by polytheistic myths. Between the Hebrew and the Chaldean account there is just sufficient similarity to warrant the supposition that both are

versions of some antecedent record or tradition; but no one can

pure, even if incomplete, truth, while the Babylonian story is both

avoid the conviction that the Biblical account represents the

legendary and fragmentary (Smith, "Chaldean Account of

Genesis", New York, 1875)."

19. A Qur'an [78:31], Yusuf Ali Translation 20. A Qur'an [2:29], Muhsin Khan Translation 21. A Qur'an [42:11], Sahih International Translation 22. A Qur'an [6:103], Sahih International Translation 23. A Qur'an [50:16], Muhsin Khan Translation 24. A Qur'an [33:41], Sahih International Translation 25. A Qur'an [23:117], Sahih International Translation 26. **^** Hatcher 1985, p. 74

17. A Qur'an [21:16], Sahih International Translation

18. A Qur'an [67:2], Muhsin Khan Translation

29. **^** Smith 2008, p. 111 30. A Nandalal Sinha (1934) The Vedânta-sûtras of Bâdarâyaṇa, with the Commentary of Baladeva. p. 413 31. ^ "Secondary Creation" ☑. Krishna.com. Archived from the original do on 26 November 2009. Retrieved 6 August 2009. 32. ^ Owen Anderson (2015). The Declaration of Independence and God: Self-Evident Truths in American Law

☑. Cambridge

University Press. p. 234. ISBN 978-1-316-40464-5.

27. **^** Smith 2008, p. 106

28. **^** Effendi 1944, p. 139

University Press. pg. 36-8

34. ^ Nayanar (2005b), p.190, Gāthā 10.310 35. ^ *Soni, Jayandra (1998). E. Craig (ed.). "Jain Philosophy" ₽. Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy. London: Routledge. Archived from the original

on 5 July 2008. Retrieved 27 June 2008. 36. ^ "The Great Hare" ☑. Community-2.webtv.net. Retrieved 29 June 2010.

37. ^ "Nanabozho, Access genealogy" ₽. Accessgenealogy.com.

33. A Harvey, Peter (2013). An Introduction to Buddhism: Teachings,

History and Practices (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge

Retrieved 29 June 2010. 38. A Julius J. Lipner (2010), Hindus: Their Religious Beliefs and Practices, 2nd Edition, Routledge, ISBN 978-0-415-45677-7, page 8; Quote: "(...) one need not be religious in the minimal sense described to be accepted as a Hindu by Hindus, or describe oneself perfectly validly as Hindu. One may be

polytheistic or monotheistic, monistic or pantheistic, even an

agnostic, humanist or atheist, and still be considered a Hindu."

39. ^ Chakravarti, Sitansu (1991), *Hinduism, a way of life* ☑, Motilal Banarsidass Publ., p. 71, ISBN 978-81-208-0899-7 40. ^ See Michaels 2004, p. xiv and Gill, N.S. "Henotheism" ♂. About, Inc. Archived from the original

on 17 March 2007. Retrieved 5 July 2007. 41. **^** Flood 1996, p. 226.

42. **^** Flood 1996, p. 226; Kramer 1986, pp. 20–21

43. ^ • Translation 1: Max Muller (1859). A History of Ancient

pp. 559-565.

Green & Co, pages 260-271;

Hindu tradition".

Sanskrit Literature . Williams and Norgate, London.

ISBN 0-8091-2781-4. • Translation 3: David Christian (2011). Maps of Time: An Introduction to Big History. University of California Press. pp. 17–18. ISBN 978-0-520-95067-2. 44. ^ Max Muller (1878), Lectures on the Origins and Growth of Religions: As Illustrated by the Religions of India, Longmans

• Translation 2: Kenneth Kramer (1986). World Scriptures: An

Introduction to Comparative Religions. Paulist Press. p. 21.

Purānic ☑, p. 8, at Google Books, London Missionary Society, Calcutta 45. A HN Raghavendrachar (1944), Monism in the Vedas Archived 6 February 2015 at the Wayback Machine, The half-yearly journal of the Mysore University: Section A - Arts, Volume 4, Issue 2, pages 137-152;

K Werner (1982), Men, gods and powers in the Vedic outlook,

William Joseph Wilkins, Hindu Mythology: Vedic and

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain & Ireland, Volume 114, Issue 01, pages 14-24; **H Coward** (1995), Book Review:" The Limits of Scripture: Vivekananda's Reinterpretation of the Vedas", Journal of Hindu-Christian Studies, Volume 8, Issue 1, pages 45-47, Quote: "There is little doubt that the theo-monistic category is an appropriate one for viewing a wide variety of experiences in the

46. A a b c d Tracy Pintchman (1994), The Rise of the Goddess in the Hindu Tradition, State University of New York Press, ISBN 978-0791421123, pages 122-138 47. And Gonda (1969), The Hindu Trinity , Anthropos, Bd 63/64, H 1/2, pages 213-214 48. ^{A a b c} Stella Kramrisch (1994), The Presence of Siva, Princeton

University Press, ISBN 978-0691019307, pages 205-206

49. A Bryant, ed. by Edwin F. (2007). Krishna: a sourcebook. New York: Oxford University Press. p. 7. ISBN 978-0-19-514891-6. 50. ^ Sutton, Nicholas (2000). Religious doctrines in the Mahābhārata (1st ed.). Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass Publishers. p. 182. ISBN 81-208-1700-1. 51. Asian Mythologies by Yves Bonnefoy & Wendy Doniger. Page

52. A Bryant, ed. by Edwin F. (2007). Krishna: a sourcebook. New York: Oxford University Press. p. 18. ISBN 978-0-19-514891-6. 53. A Frazier, Jessica (2011). The Continuum companion to Hindu studies. London: Continuum. p. 72. ISBN 978-0-8264-9966-0.

Introduction
☑. Oxford University Press. pp. 64–65. ISBN 978-0-19-564441-8. 55. ^ (Note: In ancient China, 18,000 does not exactly mean eighteen thousand, it is meant to be "many", or "a number that could not be counted").

56. ^ 人类起源神话: 西北地区民族(04): 哈萨克族2-1❷

54. ^ Arvind Sharma (2000). Classical Hindu Thought: An

• Michaels, Axel (2004), *Hinduism. Past and present*, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press

Bibliography [edit]

original on 20 April 2017.

Categories: Creator deities | Creation myths

• Kramer, Kenneth (1986), World scriptures: an introduction to comparative religions ☑, ISBN 978-0-8091-2781-8

This page was last edited on 9 January 2020, at 02:14 (UTC). Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

WIKIMEDIA [Powered By MediaWiki