ADVERTISEMENT

Mother Jones

Please support Mother Jones with a year-end donation. We won't BS you: We're running well behind our \$600,000 goal, and we can't afford to come up short heading into 2020, not with so much on the line. If you value our reporting, please consider pitching in today. \$600,000

We still need to raise \$368,000: Whether you can give \$5 or \$500, it all matters.

Donate

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2013 ISSUE

Where Does Facebook Stop and the NSA Begin?

Sometimes it's hard to tell the difference. **CLARA JEFFERY and MONIKA BAUERLEIN**

ADVERTISEMENT

Donate

PODCASTS

Illustration: Dale Stephanos "That social norm is just something that has evolved over time" is how Mark

Zuckerberg justified hijacking your privacy in 2010, after Facebook imperiously

comfortable sharing more information and different kinds." Riiight. Little did we

know that by that time, Facebook (along with Google, Microsoft, etc.) was <u>already</u>

collaborating with the National Security Agency's PRISM program that swept up

reset everyone's default settings to "public." "People have really gotten

personal data on vast numbers of internet users. In light of what we know now, Zuckerberg's high-hat act has a bit of a creepy feel, like that guy who told you he was a documentary photographer, but turned out to be a Peeping Tom. But perhaps we shouldn't be surprised: At the core of Facebook's business model is the notion that our personal information is not, well, ours. And much like the NSA, no matter how often it's told to stop using data in ways we didn't authorize, it just won't quit. Not long after Zuckerberg's "evolving norm" dodge, Facebook had to promise the feds it would stop doing things like putting your picture in ads targeted at your "friends"; that promise lasted only until this past summer, when it suddenly "clarified" its right to do with your (and your kids') photos whatever it sees fit. And just this week, Facebook analytics chief Ken Rudin told the Wall Street Journal that the company is

There will be a lot of talk in coming months about the government surveillance golem assembled in the shadows of the internet. Good. But what about the pervasive claim the private sector has staked to our digital lives, from where we (and our phones) <u>spend the night</u> to how often we <u>text our spouse</u> or <u>swipe our</u> <u>Visa</u> at the liquor store? It's not a stretch to say that there's a corporate spy operation equal to the NSA—indeed, sometimes it's hard to tell the difference.

ADVERTISEMENT

Advertise with Mother Jones

experimenting with new ways to suck up your data, such as "how long a user's

cursor hovers over a certain part of its website, or whether a user's newsfeed is

visible at a given moment on the screen of his or her mobile phone."

Advertise with Mother Jones

ADVERTISEMENT

Yes, Silicon Valley libertarians, we know there is a difference: When we hand over information to Facebook, Google, Amazon, and PayPal, we click "I Agree." We don't clear our cookies. We recycle the opt-out notice. And let's face it, that's exactly what internet companies are trying to get us to do: hand over data without thinking of the transaction as a commercial one. It's all so

casual, cheery, intimate—like, *like*?

now, Zuckerberg's highhat act has a bit of a creepy feel, like that guy who told you he was a documentary photographer, but turned out to be a Peeping Tom. But beyond all the Friends and Hangouts and Favorites, there's cold, hard cash,

In light of what we know

repackage, sift, and sell. And it's your data that, as we've now learned, tech giants also pass along to the government. Let's review: Companies have given the NSA access to the records of every phone call made in the United States. Companies have inserted NSA-designed "back doors" in security software, giving the government (and, potentially, hackers—or other governments) access to everything from bank records to medical data. And oh, yeah, companies also <u>flat-</u> out sell your data to the NSA and other agencies. To be sure, no one should expect a bunch of engineers and their lawyers to turn into privacy warriors. What we could have done without was the industry's pearlclutching when the eavesdropping was finally revealed: the insistence (with eerily similar wording) that "we have never heard of PRISM"; the Captain Renault-like shock—shock!—to discover that data mining was going on here. Only after it

and, as they say on Sand Hill Road, when the product is free, you are the product.

It's your data that makes Facebook worth \$100 billion and Google \$300 billion. It's

your data that info-mining companies like Acxiom and Datalogix package,

became undeniably clear that they had known and had cooperated did they duly <u>hurl indignation</u> at the NSA and the FISA court that approved the data demands. Heartfelt? Maybe. But it also served a branding purpose: Wait! Don't unfriend us! Kittens! O hai, check out Mark Zuckerberg at this year's TechCrunch conference: The NSA really "blew it," he said, by insisting that its spying was mostly directed at foreigners. "Like, oh, wonderful, that's really going to inspire confidence in American internet companies. I thought that was really bad." Shorter: What

matters is how quickly Facebook can achieve total world domination.

Email

Sign Up

Maybe the biggest upside to l'affaire Snowden is that Americans are starting to

"people from your past"). A solid majority say it's very important to control access

wise up. "Advertisers" rank barely behind "hackers or criminals" on the <u>list of</u>

entities that internet users say they don't want to be tracked by (followed by

SIGN UP FOR OUR NEWSLETTERS

Subscribe and we'll send Mother Jones straight to your inbox.

to their email, downloads, and location data. Perhaps that's why, outside the more sycophantic crevices of the tech press, the new iPhone's biometric capability was not greeted with the unadulterated exultation of the pre-PRISM era. The truth is, for too long we've been content to play with our gadgets and let the geekpreneurs figure out the rest. But that's not their job; change-the-world blather notwithstanding, their job is to make money. That leaves the hard stuff like how much privacy we'll trade for either convenience or security—in someone

(posting Carlos Dangerrific selfies? So long as you want your boss, and your high school nemesis, to see 'em), and, more urgently, it's our job to prod our elected representatives to take on the intelligence agencies and their private-sector pals. The NSA was able to do what it did because, post-9/11, "with us or against us" absolutism cowed any critics of its expanding dragnet. Facebook does what it does because, unlike Europe—where both privacy and the ability to know what companies have on you are codified as fundamental rights—we haven't been conditioned to see Orwellian overreach in every algorithm. That is now changing,

else's hands: ours. It's our responsibility to take charge of our online behavior

evolving. WE NEED YOUR HELP. December is our most important fundraising month, and—being *Mother* Jones, we'll level with you—we're well behind where we need to be to hit our big \$600,000 budget. If you value our journalism, please help us close the gap with a year-end gift today—\$5 or \$500, it all makes a difference.

Since our start as a nonprofit in 1976, reader support has always been the

spine that kept Mother Jones strong. It means everything to us. We can go

after stories that advertising revenue would never pay for. We don't have to

and both the NSA and Mark Zuckerberg will have to accept it. The social norm is

worry about risk-averse corporations holding us back or answer to profitdriven owners. But we do need to earn the support of some 250,000 readers like you, one at a time, to fund our journalism and keep it free for everyone. Heading into 2020, it's never been more important to have truly independent journalism that reports the truth without fear, favor, or false equivalency (more on that here), and we hope you'll help us close our fundraising gap with a year-end donation today.

More about: Civil Liberties

Tech

Donate

Six Ways to Keep the Government

Out of Your Files

JOSH HARKINSON

RELATED

Meet the Data Brokers Who Help

Corporations Sell Your Digital Life

DANA LIEBELSON

ADVERTISEMENT

Privacy Is Dead. Long Live

Transparency!

KEVIN DRUM

How We Got From 9/11 to Massive

DAVE GILSON, ALEX PARK, AND AJ

NSA Spying on Americans: A

Timeline

VICENS

WE RECOMMEND

A Group of Students Were Never Taught About Their Voting Rights—So They're Suing **DAVID BEARD**

The Three Lawyers Who've Purged

Thousands of Voters

PEMA LEVY

Researchers Say About Santa's Unhealthy Lifestyle **DAVE GILSON**

Claus and Effect: What Medical

It's Time for Santa's Elves to Unionize

NATHALIE BAPTISTE

ADVERTISEMENT

JACOB BLICKENSTAFF

On the Road With Joan Shelley

LATEST

In Rural Colorado, the Kids of Coal

NICK BOWLIN

articles. We'd love your feedback.

Miners Learn to Install Solar Panels

The Award for Best New Species of

2019: A Tiny Primate That Looks

JACKIE FLYNN MOGENSEN

Like Baby Yoda

Why Is This Federal Pollution

Tracker Shutting Down?

MICHAEL SCHULSON

ADVERTISEMENT

We have a new comment system! We are now using Coral, from Vox Media, for comments on all new + VIEW COMMENTS

December 2019 October 2019

Email

Store

Subscribe

mailbox.

Independent. In print. In your

year of Mother Jones for just \$12.

Inexpensive, too! Subscribe today and get a full

Smart. Fearless. Audio. It's us but for your ears. Listen on Apple Podcasts. Subscribe »

Looking for news you can trust?

Sign Up

N Powered by WordPress.com VIP

August 2019

f **y ©** 3 ⊠

MotherJones **ABOUT Our Staff Financials** Jobs

Events

SUPPORT Ways to Give

Advertise With Us

Subscribe to our free newsletters.

SUBSCRIBE **Magazine Subscriptions** Give a Gift Subscription **Email Newsletters Podcasts**

Customer Service

Terms of Service Privacy Policy