# A density result for random sparse oriented graphs and its relation to a conjecture of Woodall

#### Jair Donadelli\*

Departamento de Informática Universidade Federal do Paraná Centro Politécnico, 81531-990, Curitiba PR, Brazil jair@inf.ufpr.br

## Yoshiharu Kohayakawa<sup>†</sup>

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística Universidade de São Paulo Rua do Matão 1010, 05508–900 São Paulo SP, Brazil yoshi@ime.usp.br

Submitted: July 24, 2000; Accepted: MR Subject Classifications: 05C20, 05C38, 05C80

#### Abstract

We shall prove that for all  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $\beta > 0$  there exists a sparse oriented graph of arbitrarily large order with oriented girth  $\ell$  and such that any  $1/2 + \beta$  proportion of its arcs induces an oriented cycle of length  $\ell$ . As a corollary we get that there exist infinitely many oriented graphs with vanishing density of oriented girth  $\ell$  such that deleting any  $1/\ell$ -fraction of its edges do not destroy all cycles.

## 1 Introduction

We call the pair  $\vec{G} = (V, E)$  an oriented graph if the set of vertices V is a finite set and the set of oriented edges  $E \subseteq V \times V$ , which we call arcs, is such that  $(v, v) \notin E$  for any  $v \in V$  and if  $(u, v) \in E$  then  $(v, u) \notin E$ . Our notation will basically follow [1].

The main result of this note, Theorem 1, is related to a conjecture of Woodall, which we now describe. Given an oriented graph  $\vec{G} = (V, E)$ , we say that a subset  $B \subseteq E$  of E is an oriented cut in  $\vec{G}$  if there exists a subset  $W \subseteq V$  of V such that  $B = E(\vec{G}) \cap (W \times \overline{W})$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by a CNPq doctorate Studentship (Proc. 141633/1998-0).

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger Partially$  supported by FAPESP (Proc. 96/04505-2), by CNPq (Proc. 300334/93-1), and by MCT/FINEP/CNPq under PRONEX project 107/97.

and  $E(\vec{G}) \cap (\overline{W} \times W) = \emptyset$ , where  $\overline{W} = V \setminus W$ . A subset  $F \subseteq E$  of E is a transversal of the family of oriented cuts of  $\vec{G}$  if  $F \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all oriented cuts B in  $\vec{G}$ .

In 1978, Woodall [7] conjectured that, for any oriented graph  $\vec{G}$ , a minimum oriented cut in  $\vec{G}$  has cardinality equal to the maximum cardinality of a family of pairwise disjoint transversals of oriented cuts. Woodall's conjecture has been proved in some particular cases. Feofiloff and Younger [2], and independently Schrijver [6], proved this conjecture for source-sink connected graphs. An oriented graph is called *source-sink connected* if it is acyclic and each source is joined to each sink by an oriented path. Lee and Wakabayashi [5] recently proved the conjecture for series-parallel oriented graphs.

To relate this conjecture to Theorem 1, we consider its dual version in the case of planar oriented graphs. By the *oriented girth* of  $\vec{G}$ , we mean the length of a shortest oriented cycle in  $\vec{G}$ . We call a subset  $D \subseteq E$  of the set of arcs E a transversal of the family of oriented cycles of  $\vec{G}$  if D intersects all oriented cycles of  $\vec{G}$ . From now on, by a transversal in an oriented graph  $\vec{G}$ , we mean a transversal of the family of oriented cycles of  $\vec{G}$ .

A dual version of Woodall's conjecture may be stated as follows: for any planar oriented graph  $\vec{G}$ , the oriented girth of  $\vec{G}$  is equal to the maximum cardinality of a family of pairwise disjoint transversals. In other words, this version of the conjecture states that if  $\ell$  is the oriented girth of  $\vec{G}$  then  $\ell$  is the largest  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for which there exists a k-colouring of  $E(\vec{G})$ , say  $\varphi \colon E(\vec{G}) \to [k]$ , such that any oriented cycle of  $\vec{G}$  meets all the k colours, that is,  $|\varphi(\vec{C})| = k$  for all oriented cycles  $\vec{C} \subseteq \vec{G}$ .

We have learnt from D. Younger [8] that we cannot remove the hypothesis of planarity from the dual of Woodall's conjecture. Indeed, Thomassen constructed a tournament T on 15 vertices with oriented girth 3 for which the smallest number of arcs we have to delete to get rid of all oriented cycles is more than one third of its arcs. Hence, the oriented girth of T is larger than the maximum cardinality of a family of pairwise disjoint transversals and, therefore, T is a counterexample to this generalized dual statement.

Thomassen's tournament is as follows. Take V(T) as the disjoint union of the sets

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}, Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5\} \text{ and } Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}.$$

We define E(T) first putting arcs on each of the subsets X, Y and Z, in such a way that we must delete at least three arcs from each of the induced subgraphs T[X], T[Y] and T[Z] to get rid of all the oriented cycles in these subgraphs. For example, we may take the arcs on X to be the union of the following three oriented cycles:  $(x_1, x_2)(x_2, x_3)(x_3, x_1)$ ,  $(x_1, x_4)(x_4, x_5)(x_5, x_1)$  and  $(x_2, x_5)(x_5, x_3)(x_3, x_4)(x_4, x_2)$ .

Now add the arcs  $(y_i, x_i)$ ,  $(x_i, z_i)$  and  $(z_i, y_i)$ , for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . We complete the description of the set of arcs putting in E(T) the arcs  $(x_i, y_j)$ , for each pair  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , plus the arcs  $(y_i, z_j)$ , for each pair  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and, finally, the arcs  $(z_i, x_j)$ , for each pair  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ .

A tedious case analysis shows that to destroy all oriented cycles of T we have to delete a minimum of 20 + 5 + 5 + 3 + 3 + 3 = 39 > 35 = 105/3 arcs, out of a total of 105. Note that, in Thomassen's example T above, we have that any subset of E(T) with at least

2/3 of the arcs of T induces an oriented cycle in T. In this note we prove the following result. We write  $\vec{G}^n$  for an oriented graph on n vertices.

**Theorem 1** Let an integer  $\ell \geq 3$  and a real number  $\beta > 0$  be given. For any sufficiently large n, there exists an oriented graph  $\vec{G}^n$  with  $O(n^{1+1/(\ell-1)})$  arcs and oriented girth  $\ell$  such that any  $1/2 + \beta$  proportion of the arcs of  $\vec{G}^n$  induces an oriented cycle of length  $\ell$ .

This theorem is best possible in the following sense: any oriented graph  $\vec{G}$  contains a subgraph without oriented cycles and with at least a half of its arcs, as may be seen by taking a random linear ordering on  $V(\vec{G})$ .

Theorem 1 goes beyond Thomassen's counterexample in that it tells us that there exists an infinite family of oriented graphs showing that the planarity hypothesis may not be dropped from the dual version of Woodall's conjecture. More importantly, the graphs given by Theorem 1 are sparse, with vanishing density.

Corollary 2 There exist infinitely many oriented graphs with vanishing density whose oriented girth is larger than the maximum cardinality of a family of pairwise disjoint transversals.

This note is organized as follows. In the next section we shall describe the tools we need to prove Theorem 1. The proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 are given in Section 3. We close with a remark in Section 4. In what follows, we often tacitly assume that n is large enough for our inequalities to hold.

# 2 Auxiliary results

# 2.1 Szemerédi's regularity lemma

We now describe a version of Szemerédi's regularity lemma for sparse oriented graphs. Given an oriented graph  $\vec{G} = (V, E)$ , for any pair of disjoint sets  $U, W \subseteq V$ , we denote

 $e_{\vec{c}}(U, W) = |E_{\vec{c}}(U, W)| = |\{(a, b) \in E : a \in U \text{ and } b \in W\}|,$ 

the set of arcs and the number of arcs from 
$$U$$
 to  $W$  by  $E_{\vec{G}}(U,W)$  and by

respectively.

Suppose that  $0 < \eta \le 1$ , D > 1 and  $0 are given real numbers. We say that <math>\vec{G}$  is  $(\eta, D, p)$ -bounded if, for any pair of disjoint sets  $U, W \subseteq V$  with  $|U|, |W| \ge \eta |V|$ , we have

$$e_{\vec{G}}(U, W) \le \frac{1}{2} Dp|U||W|.$$

We define the *oriented p-density* from U to W in  $\vec{G}$  by

$$d_{\vec{G},p}(U,W) = \frac{e_{\vec{G}}(U,W)}{(p/2)|U||W|}.$$

For any  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  the pair of disjoint non-empty sets (U,W), with  $U,W \subseteq V$ , is said to be  $(\varepsilon,\vec{G},p)$ -regular if for all  $U' \subseteq U$ , with  $|U'| \ge \varepsilon |U|$ , and all  $W' \subseteq W$ , with  $|W'| \ge \varepsilon |W|$ , we have

$$\left| d_{\vec{G},p}(U,W) - d_{\vec{G},p}(U',W') \right| < \varepsilon.$$

We say that a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  of V is  $(\varepsilon, k, \vec{G}, p)$ -regular if  $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon |V|$  and  $|V_i| = |V_j|$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , and for more than  $(1 - \varepsilon)\binom{k}{2}$  pairs  $\{i, j\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we have that  $(V_i, V_j)$  and  $(V_j, V_i)$  are both  $(\varepsilon, \vec{G}, p)$ -regular.

In this note, we shall use the following lemma, which is a natural variant of Szemerédi's regularity lemma. In fact, this is a version for sparse oriented graphs of a lemma observed independently by Kohayakawa and Rödl (see, e.g., [3]).

**Lemma 3** For any real number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , integer  $k_0 \ge 1$  and real number D > 1, there exist constants  $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon, k_0, D) > 0$  and  $K = K(\varepsilon, k_0, D) \ge k_0$  such that, for any  $0 , any <math>(\eta, D, p)$ -bounded oriented graph  $\vec{G} = \vec{G}^n$  admits an  $(\varepsilon, k, \vec{G}, p)$ -regular partition for some  $k_0 \le k \le K$ .

### 2.2 A counting lemma

Suppose that m>0 and  $\ell\geq 3$  are fixed integers and  $\mathbf{V}^{(m)}=(V_i)_{i=1}^\ell$  is a fixed vector of pairwise disjoint sets, each of cardinality m. Below, the indices of the  $V_i$ 's will be taken modulo  $\ell$ . Let B>0,  $C\geq 1$ , D>1,  $\varepsilon\leq 1$ ,  $\gamma\leq 1$  be positive real numbers and let an integer  $T\geq 1$  be given. We call  $\vec{F}$  an  $(\varepsilon,\gamma,B,C,D;\mathbf{V}^{(m)},T)$ -graph if

- (i)  $E(\vec{F}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} E(V_i, V_{i+1})$  and  $|E(\vec{F})| = T$ .
- (ii) For all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  we have that the pairs  $(V_i, V_{i+1})$  are  $(\varepsilon, \vec{F}, \overline{p})$ -regular, with  $\overline{p} = Bm^{-1+1/(\ell-1)}$ , and their oriented  $\overline{p}$ -density satisfies

$$\gamma \le d_{\vec{F}, \overline{p}}(V_i, V_{i+1}) \le D.$$

(iii) For any  $U \subseteq V_i$  and  $W \subseteq V_{i+1}$ , where  $1 \le i < \ell - 1$ , such that

$$|U| \leq |W| \leq \frac{1}{2} \overline{p} m |U| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{p} m\right)^{\ell-2},$$

we have

$$e(U, W) \le C|W|. \tag{1}$$

The main technical result that we shall need is as follows. We denote by  $\vec{C}^{\ell}$  the oriented cycle of length  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 4** Let an integer  $\ell \geq 3$  be fixed, and let constants  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $C \geq 1$  and  $D \geq 1$ . be given. Then there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\ell, \sigma, \alpha, \gamma, C, D) \leq 1$ ,  $B_0 = B_0(\ell, \sigma, \alpha, \gamma, C, D) > 0$ , and  $m_0 = m_0(\ell, \sigma, \alpha, \gamma, C, D)$  such that, for all integers  $m \geq m_0$  and  $T \geq 1$ , and all real  $B \geq B_0$ , the following holds. The number of  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -graphs containing less than  $\sigma m^{\ell/(\ell-1)}$  cycles  $\vec{C}^{\ell}$  is at most

$$\alpha^T \binom{(\ell+2)m^2}{T}.$$
 (2)

We shall not prove Lemma 4 here. The interested reader may check Kohayakawa and Kreuter [4], where it is proved that the number of  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -graphs containing  $no \ \vec{C}^{\ell}$ 's is at most as given by (2) above. It may be checked that the proof of this result in [4], with some more bookkeeping, does in fact prove Lemma 4 above.

#### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

To prove our main result, we first need to recall some standard definitions. Given 0 , for any positive integer <math>n we write  $G_{n,p}$  for the random graph in the standard binomial model, where n is the number of vertices and p is the probability of edges. From  $G_{n,p}$  we get the random oriented graph  $\vec{G}_{n,p}$  by putting, for each edge  $\{u, v\} \in E(G_{n,p})$  in  $G_{n,p}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left((u,v) \in E(\vec{G}_{n,p})\right) = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left((v,u) \in E(\vec{G}_{n,p})\right) = 1/2,$$

with all these orientations independent.

Let us now start our proof. Let  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $\beta > 0$  be as given in Theorem 1. Put  $\delta = \beta/2$  and set

$$\gamma = \frac{\delta}{5}, \ \alpha = \frac{\gamma \ell}{\mathrm{e}^2(\ell+2)}, \ C = 4(\ell-1), \ D = 2, \ \sigma = \frac{1}{2}, \ \mathrm{and} \ \varrho = \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Then, there are constants  $\varepsilon$ ,  $B_0$ , and  $m_0$  for which the upper bound of Lemma 4 holds for the constants  $\ell$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ , C, and D as above. We may suppose  $\varepsilon < \delta/16$ .

In order to apply Lemma 3, take

$$k_0 = \max\{4/\delta, s\},\tag{3}$$

where s is such that for any integer  $k \geq s$  we have that  $\operatorname{ex}(k, C^{\ell}) < (1/2 + \varrho) {k \choose 2}$ , where  $\operatorname{ex}(k, C^{\ell})$  is the Turán number (see, e.g., [1]) for the cycle  $C^{\ell}$  of length  $\ell$ . We observe that when  $\ell$  is even, we could even omit "1/2" in the definition of s, as  $\operatorname{ex}(k, C^{\ell}) = o(k^2)$ .

Let  $\eta$  and K be the constants given by Lemma 3 when applied to  $\varepsilon$ ,  $k_0$ , and D as above. We may suppose  $\eta < \delta/20$ . Put

$$A = B_0 K^{1-1/(\ell-1)}$$
 and  $p = An^{-1+1/(\ell-1)}$ .

We prove Theorem 1 using the claims below, whose proofs we postpone.

Define  $\mathcal{OG} = \mathcal{OG}(n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as the set of all oriented graphs  $\vec{G}^n$  on  $V = V(\vec{G}^n) = [n]$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $\vec{G}^n$  is  $(\eta, 1 + \eta, p)$ -bounded,
- (ii)  $e(\vec{G}^n) = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n}{2} p$ ,
- (iii) for any pair of disjoint non-empty sets  $U, W \subseteq V$  satisfying

$$|U| \le |W| \le \frac{1}{2}pn|U| \le \left(\frac{1}{2}pn\right)^{\ell-2},\tag{4}$$

the upper bound on the number of arcs (1) holds.

Using Lemma 3 we prove that graphs in  $\mathcal{OG}$  contain  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -graphs in a very robust way.

Claim 1 For any  $\vec{G}^n \in \mathcal{OG}$  with large enough n, we have that any subgraph  $\vec{J} \subseteq \vec{G}^n$  of  $\vec{G}^n$  with

$$e(\vec{J}) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right) \frac{n^2 p}{2} \tag{5}$$

arcs contains a subgraph isomorphic to an

$$(\varepsilon, \gamma, pm^{1-1/(\ell-1)}, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$$
-graph,

for some integer m, with  $n/2K \le m \le n/k_0$ , and some integer  $T \ge 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{OG}'$  be the set of graphs  $\vec{G}^n$  from  $\mathcal{OG}$  such that

- (iv) any subgraph of  $\vec{G}^n$  isomorphic to an  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -graph, where  $n/2K \le m \le n/k_0$ ,  $B = pm^{1-1/(\ell-1)}$  and  $T \ge 1$ , contains at least  $\sigma_0 n^{\ell/(\ell-1)}$  oriented cycles  $\vec{C}^{\ell}$ , where  $\sigma_0 = \sigma(2K)^{-\ell/(\ell-1)}$ ,
- (v) the number of oriented cycles of length at most  $\ell 1$  in  $\vec{G}^n$  is no larger than  $\bar{A}n^{\ell/(\ell-1)}/\log\log n$ , where  $\bar{A} = (1/16)\max\{\ell 1, (\ell-1)A^{\ell-1}\}$ .

The family  $\mathcal{OG}'$  is not empty; in fact, most graphs  $\vec{G}_{n,p}$  are in  $\mathcal{OG}'$ , as our next result states.

Claim 2 With probability tending to 1 as n tends to infinity, we have  $\vec{G}_{n,p} \in \mathcal{OG}'$ .

We may now complete the proof of Theorem 1 using Claims 1 and 2.

Fix a graph  $\vec{F}^n \in \mathcal{OG}'$ . Let  $\vec{G}^n$  be a graph obtained from  $\vec{F}^n$  deleting one arc from each of the at most  $An^{\ell/(\ell-1)}/\log\log n$  cycles of length at most  $\ell-1$  in  $\vec{F}^n$ . Then, as it is easily seen, we have  $\vec{G}^n \in \mathcal{OG}$ , and, by Claim 1, any subgraph  $\vec{J} \subseteq \vec{G}^n$  with  $e(\vec{J}) \geq (1/2 + \beta)e(\vec{G}^n) \geq (1/2 + \delta)n^2p/2$  contains an

$$(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$$
-graph,

where  $B = pm^{1-1/(\ell-1)}$ . As  $\vec{G}^n \subseteq \vec{F}^n \in \mathcal{OG}'$  we have, by (iv) from definition of  $\mathcal{OG}'$ , that each  $\vec{J}$  as above contains  $(1 - o(1))\sigma_0 n^{\ell/(\ell-1)} > 0$  oriented cycles  $\vec{C}^{\ell}$ .

We have thus obtained an oriented graph satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 1, as required.

#### 3.1 Proof of Claim 1

Let  $\vec{J}$  be an oriented graph as in the statement of Claim 1. Clearly,  $\vec{J}$  is  $(\eta, 1 + \eta, p)$ -bounded (hence,  $(\eta, D, p)$ -bounded).

Let  $\mathcal{P} = (V_i)_{i=0}^k$  be an  $(\varepsilon, k, \vec{J}, p)$ -regular partition given by Lemma 3 with the above choices of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $k_0$  and D. Put  $m = |V_i| \le n/k$ , for any  $i \in [k] = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

Call R the graph whose vertex set is  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$  with  $\{V_i, V_j\}$  an edge in R if both densities  $d_{\vec{J},p}(V_i, V_j)$  and  $d_{\vec{J},p}(V_j, V_i)$  are at least  $\gamma$  and  $(V_i, V_j)$  and  $(V_j, V_i)$  are  $(\varepsilon, \vec{J}, p)$ -regular. Suppose that  $e(R) < (1/2 + \varrho)\binom{k}{2}$ .

The number of arcs in  $\vec{J}$  is

$$\begin{split} e(\vec{J}) \leq & \left\{ \varepsilon n^2 + k \binom{n/k}{2} + \varepsilon \binom{k}{2} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right)^2 + \gamma \binom{k}{2} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right)^2 + \\ & + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varrho \right) \binom{k}{2} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right)^2 \right\} (1 + \eta) p \\ < & \left( 4\varepsilon + \frac{1}{k} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + \varrho \right) (1 + \eta) \frac{n^2 p}{2} \\ < & \left( 4\varepsilon + \frac{1}{k} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + \varrho + \eta \right) \frac{n^2 p}{2} \\ < & \left( \frac{1}{2} + \delta \right) \frac{n^2 p}{2}, \end{split}$$

contradicting (5).

Therefore, R contains at least  $(1/2 + \varrho)\binom{k}{2}$  edges and, because of the choice of  $k_0$  (see (3)), we may conclude that R contains a cycle  $V_{i_1}, \ldots, V_{i_\ell}$ .

Thus, we have an  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, pm^{1-1/(\ell-1)}, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -graph given by taking  $\mathbf{V}^{(m)}$  as the vector  $(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_\ell})$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $V(\vec{J})$ , putting the set of arcs as the set  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} E_{\vec{J}}(V_{i_j}, V_{i_{j+1}})$  (here the indices are taken modulo  $\ell$ ), and letting T be the cardinality of this union. For these choices of  $\mathbf{V}^{(m)}$  and T, and the above choices for  $\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C$  and D one may easily check properties (i)–(iii) from the definition of an  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -graph. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

#### 3.2 Proof of Claim 2

We prove that  $\vec{G}_{n,p}$ , with the above choice for p, satisfies items (i)–(iii) of the definition of  $\mathcal{OG}$  and (iv) and (v) of the definition of  $\mathcal{OG}'$  with probability tending to 1 as n tends to infinity.

To check that (i) holds with high probability, observe that by Chernoff's inequality, for all pairs of disjoint sets  $U, W \subseteq V$  with  $|U|, |W| \ge \eta n$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(e(U,W) > (1+\eta)\frac{p}{2}|U||W|\right) \le \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{3}\eta^2\frac{p}{2}|U||W|\right\} 
\le \exp\left\{-\frac{A}{6}\eta^4n^{1+1/(\ell-1)}\right\}.$$

Then, the expected number of pairs of sets  $U, W \subseteq V$ , where  $V = V(G_{n,p})$ , with at least  $\eta n$  vertices and that violate the  $(\eta, 1 + \eta, p)$ -boundedness condition is at most  $4^n \exp\{-A6^{-1}\eta^4 n^{1+1/(\ell-1)}\} = o(1)$ . Thus, if X is the number of pairs of sets  $U, W \subseteq V$ with at least  $\eta n$  vertices and that violate the  $(\eta, 1 + \eta, p)$ -boundedness condition, then we have, by Markov's inequality, that  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) < 4^n \exp\{-A6^{-1}\eta^4 n^{1+1/(\ell-1)}\} = o(1)$ .

We have (ii) with high probability from Chernoff's inequality. In fact, we easily verify that for any  $\rho > 0$  the probability that  $|e(\vec{G}_{n,p}) - p\binom{n}{2}| > \rho p\binom{n}{2}$  is exponentially small in  $pn^2$ .

In order to prove that properties (iii) and (iv) hold for  $G_{n,p}$  with probability 1 - o(1), we again apply Markov's inequality to appropriate random variables.

Let us consider (iii) first. Let  $U, W \subseteq V$  be disjoint sets satisfying (4). To verify that (iii) holds with high probability, notice that the probability that (1) fails is

$$\mathbb{P}\left(e(U,W) > C|W|\right) \le \binom{|U||W|}{C|W|} \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{C|W|} \le \left(\frac{e}{C} \cdot \frac{p|U|}{2}\right)^{C|W|}.$$

Observe that  $(1/2)p|U| \le ((1/2)pn)^{\ell-2}/n \le (A/2)^{\ell-2}n^{-1/(\ell-1)}$ . From  $n - |U| \ge 1$  $n-|W| \geq |W|$ , where the last inequality comes from |W| = o(n), we may conclude that  $\binom{n}{|U|} \leq \binom{n}{|W|}$  and, therefore,  $\binom{n}{|U|}\binom{n}{|W|} \leq \binom{n}{|W|}^2$ . These inequalities imply that the expected number of subsets U and W, with cardi-

nalities u and w respectively, for which (4) holds and e(U,W) > C|W|, is at most

$$\begin{split} \sum_{1 \leq w < n/2} \sum_{u=1}^{w} \binom{n}{u} \binom{n}{w} \left( \frac{\mathrm{e}}{C} \cdot \frac{pu}{2} \right)^{Cw} &\leq \sum_{1 \leq w < n/2} w \binom{n}{w}^2 \left( \frac{\mathrm{e}}{C} \left( \frac{A}{2} \right)^{\ell-2} n^{-1/(\ell-1)} \right)^{Cw} \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq w < n/2} w \left( \frac{\mathrm{e}n}{w} \right)^{2w} \left( \frac{\mathrm{e}}{C} \left( \frac{A}{2} \right)^{\ell-2} n^{-1/(\ell-1)} \right)^{Cw} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq w < n/2} \frac{w}{w^{2w}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{e}^{1+2/C}}{C} \left( \frac{A}{2} \right)^{\ell-2} n^{(2/C) - (1/(\ell-1))} \right)^{Cw} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq w < n/2} \frac{w}{w^{2w}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{e}^{1+2/C}}{C} \left( \frac{A}{2} \right)^{\ell-2} n^{-2/C} \right)^{Cw} = o(1), \end{split}$$

for  $C = 4(\ell - 1)$ . Thus (iii) holds with probability 1 - o(1).

We now turn to (iv). Notice that we have  $K \geq n/m$ , and hence

$$B = pm^{1-1/(\ell-1)} \ge B_0(Km/n)^{1-1/(\ell-1)} \ge B_0.$$

Observe that the number of arcs T is at least  $\gamma(p/2)m^2\ell$ , and the expected number of  $(\varepsilon, \gamma, B, C, D; \mathbf{V}^{(m)}, T)$ -subgraphs of  $\vec{G}_{n,p}$  containing at most  $\sigma_0 n^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \le \sigma m^{\ell/(\ell-1)}$  cycles  $\vec{C}^{\ell}$  of length  $\ell$  is o(1). In fact, for any given positive integers m and  $T \geq \gamma(p/2)m^2\ell$ , this expected number is, by Lemma 4, at most

$$(n)_{\ell m} \alpha^{T} \binom{(\ell+2)m^{2}}{T} \binom{\frac{p}{2}}{T}^{T} \leq n^{\ell m} \left(\alpha \cdot \frac{e(\ell+2)m^{2}}{T} \cdot \frac{p}{2}\right)^{T}$$

$$\leq n^{\ell m} \left(\frac{e\alpha(\ell+2)}{\ell \gamma}\right)^{T}$$

$$= n^{\ell m} \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{T}$$

$$\leq \exp\left\{(\log n)m\ell - \gamma(p/2)m^{2}\ell\right\}$$

$$= o(n^{-3}).$$

Summing over all possible choices for m and T, we only have an additional factor of at most  $n^3$ . Thus (iv) holds with probability 1 - o(1) by Markov's inequality.

Finally, the expected number of short oriented cycles is

$$\sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} \frac{(n)_i}{2i} \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^i \le \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} n^i p^i \le \bar{A}n.$$

Invoking Markov's inequality, we see that the probability that the number of short oriented cycles should be greater than  $\bar{A}n^{\ell/(\ell-1)}/\log\log n$  is smaller than  $\log\log n/n^{1/(\ell-1)}=o(1)$ .

### 3.3 Proof of Corollary 2

Let  $\ell \geq 3$  be an integer. Set  $\beta = 1/2 - 1/\ell$  and let  $\vec{G}^n$  be an oriented graph with oriented girth  $\ell$  given by Theorem 1.

Suppose we have a family  $\mathcal{T}$  of pairwise disjoint transversals of cardinality  $|\mathcal{T}| = \ell$ . Let  $\vec{H}$  be the graph obtained from  $\vec{G}^n$  by deleting a transversal  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  of this family that satisfies  $|T| \leq e(\vec{G}^n)/\ell$ . We have  $e(\vec{H}) \geq (1 - 1/\ell)e(\vec{G}^n) = (1/2 + \beta)e(\vec{G}^n)$  and, by Theorem 1, the oriented graph  $\vec{H}$  must contain an oriented cycle, contradicting the fact that T should be a transversal.

# 4 Concluding remark

Both Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 assert the existence of sparse oriented graphs, with some given oriented girth  $\ell$ , that contain oriented  $\ell$ -cycles in a very robust way. Our proof technique is non-constructive. It would be interesting to see whether one is able to prove these results constructively.

### References

[1] Béla Bollobás, Extremal graph theory, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1978.

- [2] P. Feofiloff and D. H. Younger, Directed cut transversal packing for source-sink connected graphs, Combinatorica 7 (1987), no. 3, 255–263.
- [3] Y. Kohayakawa, Szemerédi's regularity lemma for sparse graphs, Foundations of computational mathematics (Rio de Janeiro, 1997), Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 216–230.
- [4] Y. Kohayakawa and B. Kreuter, *Threshold functions for asymmetric Ramsey properties involving cycles*, Random Structures & Algorithms **11** (1997), no. 3, 245–276.
- [5] Orlando Lee, Circuit covers in mixed graphs, Doctoral thesis, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, 1999, in Portuguese.
- [6] A. Schrijver, *Min-max relations for directed graphs*, Bonn Workshop on Combinatorial Optimization (Bonn, 1980), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982, pp. 261–280.
- [7] D. R. Woodall, *Menger and König systems*, Theory and applications of graphs (Proc. Internat. Conf., Western Mich. Univ., Kalamazoo, Mich., 1976), Springer, Berlin, 1978, pp. 620–635.
- [8] D.H. Younger, Private communication to Y. Wakabayashi.