

Matching in Multi Agent Pathfinding using M^*

Jonathan Dönszelmann¹, Jesse Mulderij¹, Mathijs de Weerd¹

¹TU Delft

jdonszelmann@student.tudelft.nl
{m.m.deweerd, j.mulderij}@tudelft.nl

Abstract

Todo

INTRODUCTION

A large number of real-world situations require the planning of collisionless routes for multiple agents. For example, the routing of trains over a rail network [1], directing robots in warehouses [2], or making sure autonomous cars do not collide on the road [3]. Problems of this nature are called *Multi agent pathfinding* problems, which in this paper will often be abbreviated as *MAPF*. Solving *MAPF* problems has been proven to be **PSPACE-hard** [4].

One algorithm to solve *MAPF* is called M^* [5]. A standard A^* algorithm as described by Stanley [6] plans agents together. This means that in each timestep, the number of possible next states grows exponentially with the number of agents. In M^* , agents follow an individually optimal path, and in each timestep, only the subset of agents which is part of a collision is jointly planned.

A related problem to *MAPF* is the Task Assignment and Pathfinding problem (often abbreviated as *TAPF*). In *TAPF*, agents are grouped into teams. Each team has the same number of goals as the team is large. Which agent ends up on which goal position does not matter. Algorithms solving *TAPF* need to find a *matching* between agents and goal positions of the same team, which produces the shortest paths for all agents. Essentially, *TAPF* is an extension of *MAPF* with the addition of matching. From now on, this problem will be referred to as *MAPFM*.

In this paper, *MAPFM* will be defined, and then

it will be investigated if it's possible to extend M^* to solve *MAPFM* problems. To do this, two methods will be proposed. These two methods will be compared, both to each other, and to a number other algorithms solving *MAPFM*. As well as this comparison, a number of extensions to M^* will be investigated applied to both *MAPFM*, and regular *MAPF* problems to improve the runtime performance of M^* .

I. PRIOR WORK

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

Stern [7] defines the Multi Agent pathfinding problem as follows:

$$\langle G, s, g \rangle$$

- G is a graph $\langle V, E \rangle$
 - V is a set of vertices
 - E is a set of edges between vertices
- s is a list of k vertices where every s_i is a starting position for an agent a_i
- g is a list of k vertices where every g_i is a target position for an agent a_i

Though algorithms presented in this paper would work on any graph G , in most examples given, G is simplified to be a 4-connected grid.

In this paper, this definition of *MAPF* is expanded with matching. The resulting problem is called *MAPFM*, and has the following definition:

$$\langle G, s, g, sc, gc \rangle$$

- sc is an array of colours sc_i for each starting vertex s_i
- gc is an array of colours gc_i for each target vertex g_i

In *MAPFM*, agents travel from start locations to goal locations (just like in *MAPF*). However, an agent’s goal vertex is any goal with the same colour as the agent’s start vertex.

Vertex conflicts and edge conflicts are disallowed in *MAPFM*, and the *sum of individual costs* is optimised (as defined in [7]).

III. M* AND MATCHING

- A. UNIFIED MATCHING
- B. PREMATCHING

IV. EXTENSIONS TO M*

- A. RECURSIVE M*
- B. OPERATOR DECOMPOSITION
- C. COLLISION AVOIDANCE TABLES
- D. MATCHING PRUNING

V. OTHER ALGORITHMS

VI. RESPONSIBLE RESEARCH

VII. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Mulderij, B. Huisman, D. Tönissen, K. van der Linden, and M. de Weerdt, “Train unit shunting and servicing: A real-life application of multi-agent path finding,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.10422*, 2020.
- [2] J. Li, A. Tinka, S. Kiesel, J. W. Durham, T. Kumar, and S. Koenig, “Lifelong multi-agent path finding in large-scale warehouses,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.07371*, 2020.
- [3] A. Mahdavi and M. Carvalho, “Distributed coordination of autonomous guided vehicles in multi-agent systems with shared resources,” in *2019 SoutheastCon*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–7.
- [4] J. E. Hopcroft, J. T. Schwartz, and M. Sharir, “On the complexity of motion planning for multiple independent objects; pspace-hardness of the warehouseman’s problem,” *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 76–88, 1984.
- [5] G. Wagner and H. Choset, “M*: A complete multirobot path planning algorithm with performance bounds,” in *2011 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems*, IEEE, 2011, pp. 3260–3267.
- [6] T. Standley, “Finding optimal solutions to cooperative pathfinding problems,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 24, 2010.
- [7] R. Stern, N. Sturtevant, A. Felner, S. Koenig, H. Ma, T. Walker, J. Li, D. Atzmon, L. Cohen, T. Kumar, *et al.*, “Multi-agent pathfinding: Definitions, variants, and benchmarks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.08291*, 2019.