Exercises from the *HoTT Book*

John Dougherty

June 30, 2014

Introduction

The following are solutions to (eventually all of) the exercises from *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. The Coq code given alongside the by-hand solutions requires the HoTT version of Coq, available at the HoTT github repository. It will be assumed throughout that it has been imported by

Require Import HoTT.

The introduction to Coq from the HoTT repo is assumed. Each exercise has its own Section in the Coq file, so Context declarations don't extend beyond the exercise—and sometimes they're even more restricted than that.

1 Type Theory

Exercise 1.1 (p. 56) Given functions $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$, define their **composite** $g \circ f: A \to C$. Show that we have $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f$.

Solution Define $g \circ f :\equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot g(f(x))$. Then if $h : C \to D$, we have

$$h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv \lambda(x:A).h((g \circ f)x) \equiv \lambda(x:A).h((\lambda(y:A).g(fy))x) \equiv \lambda(x:A).h(g(fx))$$

and

$$(h \circ g) \circ f \equiv \lambda(x:A). (h \circ g)(fx) \equiv \lambda(x:A). (\lambda(y:A).h(gy))(fx) \equiv \lambda(x:A).h(g(fx))$$

So $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f$. In Coq, we have

Definition compose {A B C:Type} $(g: B \to C)$ $(f: A \to B) := \text{fun } x \Rightarrow g (f x)$.

Theorem compose_assoc : \forall (A B C D : Type) ($f : A \rightarrow B$) ($g : B \rightarrow C$) ($h : C \rightarrow D$), compose h (compose g f) = compose (compose h g) f.

Exercise 1.2 (p. 56) Derive the recursion principle for products $rec_{A\times B}$ using only the projections, and verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Do the same for Σ -types.

Section Exercise2a.

Context $\{A B : Type\}.$

Solution The recursion principle states that we can define a function $f: A \times B \to C$ by giving its value on pairs. Suppose that we have projection functions $pr_1: A \times B \to A$ and $pr_2: A \times B \to B$. Then we can define a function of type

$$\mathsf{rec}_{A\times B}: \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} (A\to B\to C)\to A\times B\to C$$

in terms of these projections as follows

$$\operatorname{rec}'_{A \times B}(C, g, p) :\equiv g(\operatorname{pr}_1 p)(\operatorname{pr}_2 p)$$

or, in Coq,

Definition recprod (C: Type) ($g: A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$) ($p: A \times B$) := g (fst p) (snd p).

We must then show that

$$\mathsf{rec}'_{A \times B}(C, g, (a, b)) \equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a, b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a, b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

which in Coq is also trivial:

Goal $\forall C g a b$, recprod C g (a, b) = g a b.

Now for the Σ -types. Here we have a projection

$$\operatorname{pr}_1: \left(\sum_{x:A} B(x)\right) \to A$$

and another

$$\mathsf{pr}_2: \prod_{p: \sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} B(\mathsf{pr}_1(p))$$

Define a function of type

$$\operatorname{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} B(x) \to C \right) \to \left(\sum_{(x:A)} B(x) \right) \to C$$

by

$$\operatorname{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv g(\operatorname{pr}_1 p)(\operatorname{pr}_2 p)$$

Definition recsm (C: Type) (g: \forall (x: A), B $x \to C$) (p: \exists (x: A), B x) := g (projT1 p) (projT2 p).

We then verify that

$$\mathsf{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)}B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) \equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

which is again trivial in Coq:

Goal $\forall C g a b$, recsm C g (a; b) = g a b.

Exercise 1.3 (p. 56) Derive the induction principle for products $\operatorname{ind}_{A \times B}$ using only the projections and the propositional uniqueness principle uppt. Verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Generalize uppt to Σ -types, and do the same for Σ -types.

Solution The induction principle has type

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}: \prod_{C: A\times B\to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{z: A\times B} C(z)$$

For a first pass, we can define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,z) :\equiv g(\operatorname{pr}_1 z)(\operatorname{pr}_2 z)$$

However, we have $g(pr_1x)(pr_1x) : C((pr_1x, pr_2x))$, so the type of this ind $A \times B$ is

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}: \prod_{C: A\times B\to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{z: A\times B} C((\mathsf{pr}_1 z, \mathsf{pr}_2 z))$$

To define $ind_{A \times B}$ with the correct type, we need the transport operation from the next chapter. The uniqueness principle for $A \times B$ is

$$\mathsf{uppt}: \prod_{x:A\times B} \left((\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x) =_{A\times B} x \right)$$

By the transport principle, there is a function

$$(\mathsf{uppt}\,x)_*:C((\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x))\to C(x)$$

so

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A \times B}(C, g, z) :\equiv (\operatorname{uppt} z)_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1 z)(\operatorname{pr}_2 z))$$

has the right type. In Coq we first define uppt, then use it with transport to give our $ind_{A\times B}$.

Definition uppt
$$(x : A \times B) : (\text{fst } x, \text{ snd } x) = x$$
.

Definition indprd (
$$C: A \times B \rightarrow \text{Type}$$
) ($g: \forall (x:A) (y:B), C(x, y)$) ($z: A \times B$) := (uppt z) # (g (fst z) (snd z)).

We now have to show that

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C, g, (a, b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

Unfolding the left gives

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\operatorname{uppt}(a,b))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b))) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{uppt}((a,b)))(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{id}_{C((a,b))}(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv g(a)(b) \end{split}$$

which was to be proved. In Coq, it's as trivial as always:

Goal $\forall C g a b$, indprd C g (a, b) = g a b.

For Σ -types, we define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)}B(x)}: \prod_{C:(\sum_{(x:A)}B(x))\to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(a:A)}\prod_{(b:B(a))}C((a,b))\right) \to \prod_{p:\sum_{(x:A)}B(x)} C(p)$$

at first pass by

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(r:A)}B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv g(\operatorname{pr}_1 p)(\operatorname{pr}_2 p)$$

We encounter a similar problem as before. We need a uniqueness principle for Σ -types, which would be a function

$$\mathsf{upst}: \prod_{p: \sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} \left(\left(\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p \right) =_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} p \right)$$

As for product types, we can define

$$\mathsf{upst}((a,b)) :\equiv \mathsf{refl}_{(a,b)}$$

which is well-typed, since $pr_1(a, b) \equiv a$ and $pr_2(a, b) \equiv b$. Thus, we can write

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv (\operatorname{upst} p)_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1 p)(\operatorname{pr}_2 b)).$$

and in Coq,

Definition upst $(p : \{x : A \& B x\}) : (projT1 p; projT2 p) = p.$ Definition indsm $(C : \{x : A \& B x\} \rightarrow Type) (g : \forall (a:A) (b:B a), C (a; b)) (p : \{x : A \& B x\}) := (upst p) # (g (projT1 p) (projT2 p)).$

Now we must verify that

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\operatorname{uppt} (a,b))_* (g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b))) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{\Sigma_{(x:A)} B(x)}} (D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{uppt} (a,b)) (g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{\Sigma_{(x:A)} B(x)}} (D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{refl}_{(a,b)}) (g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{id}_{C((a,b))} (g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv g(a)(b) \end{split}$$

which Coq finds trivial:

Goal $\forall C g a b$, indsm C g (a; b) = g a b.

Exercise 1.4 (p. 56) Assuming as given only the iterator for natural numbers

$$\mathsf{iter}: \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \to (C \to C) \to \mathbb{N} \to C$$

with the defining equations

$$\mathsf{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, 0) :\equiv c_0,$$
$$\mathsf{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, \mathsf{succ}(n)) :\equiv c_s(\mathsf{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, n)),$$

derive a function having the type of the recursor $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$. Show that the defining equations of the recursor hold propositionally for this function, using the induction principle for \mathbb{N} .

Solution Fix some $C : \mathcal{U}$, $c_0 : C$, and $c_s : \mathbb{N} \to C \to C$. iter(C) allows for the n-fold application of a single function to a single input from C, whereas $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$ allows each application to depend on n, as well. Since n just tracks how many applications we've done, we can construct n on the fly, iterating over elements of $\mathbb{N} \times C$. So we will use the iterator

$$\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}: \mathbb{N}\times C \to (\mathbb{N}\times C \to \mathbb{N}\times C) \to \mathbb{N}\to \mathbb{N}\times C$$

to derive a function

$$\Phi: \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \to (\mathbb{N} \to C \to C) \to \mathbb{N} \to C$$

which has the same type as rec_N .

The first argument of $\text{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}$ is the starting point, which we'll make $(0,c_0)$. The second input takes an element of $\mathbb{N}\times C$ as an argument and uses c_s to construct a new element of $\mathbb{N}\times C$. We can use the first and second elements of the pair as arguments for c_s , and we'll use succ to advance the second argument, representing the number of steps taken. This gives the function

$$\lambda x. (\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x), c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x, \operatorname{pr}_2 x)) : \mathbb{N} \times C \to \mathbb{N} \times C$$

for the second input to $iter_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{C}}$. The third input is just n, which we can pass through. Plugging these in gives

$$\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}((0,c_0),\lambda x.(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)),n):\mathbb{N}\times C$$

from which we need to extract an element of C. This is easily done with the projection operator, so we have

$$\Phi_{C}(c_0,c_s,n) :\equiv \operatorname{pr}_2\bigg(\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)\right),n\big)\bigg)$$

which has the same type as $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$. In Coq we first define the iterator and then our alternative recursor:

```
Fixpoint iter (C: Type) (c0: C) (cs: C \rightarrow C) (n: nat): C:=

match n with

|0 \Rightarrow c0|
|S n \Rightarrow cs (iter C c0 cs n)

end.

Definition Phi (C: Type) (c0: C) (cs: nat \rightarrow C \rightarrow C) (n: nat):=

snd (iter (nat \times C)

(0, c0)
(fun x \Rightarrow (S (fst x), cs (fst x) (snd x)))
n).
```

Now to show that the defining equations hold propositionally for Φ . To do this, we must show that

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(C,c_0,c_s,0) =_C c_0 \\ &\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \left(\Phi_C(c_0,c_s,\mathrm{succ}(n)) =_C c_s(n,\Phi(C,c_0,c_s,n)) \right) \end{split}$$

are inhabited. Since C, c_0 , and c_s are fixed, define for brevity. The first equality is straightforward:

$$\Phi(C,c_0,c_s,0) \equiv \operatorname{pr}_2\bigg(\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)\right),0\big)\bigg) \equiv \operatorname{pr}_2(0,c_0) \equiv c_0$$

and in Coq,

```
Goal \forall C c0 cs, Phi C c0 cs 0 = c0.
```

So $\operatorname{refl}_{c_0}: \Phi(C, c_0, c_s, 0) =_C c_0$. This establishes the first equality. We prove the second by strengthening the induction hypothesis. Define Φ' as the argument of pr_2 in the above definition; i.e., such that $\Phi = \operatorname{pr}_2 \Phi'$.

```
Definition Phi' (C: Type) (c0:C) (cs: nat \rightarrow C \rightarrow C) (n: nat) := iter (nat \times C) (0, c0) (fun x \Rightarrow (S (fst x), cs (fst x) (snd x))) n.
```

We then show that for all $n : \mathbb{N}$,

$$P(n) :\equiv (\Phi'(C, c_0, c_s, \text{succ}(n)) =_C (n, c_s(n, \text{pr}_2\Phi'(C, c_0, c_s, n))).$$

For the base case, consider $\Phi'(C, c_0, c_s, 0)$; we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\mathsf{succ}(0)) &\equiv \mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1x),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x)),\mathsf{succ}(0)\big) \\ &\equiv (\lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1x),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x)))\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,0) \\ &\equiv (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0)),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0),\mathsf{pr}_2\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,0)))) \\ &\equiv (\mathsf{succ}(0),c_s(0,\mathsf{pr}_2\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,0))) \end{split}$$

For the induction step, suppose that $n : \mathbb{N}$ and that P(n) is inhabited. Then

$$\begin{split} \Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(n))) &\equiv \operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1x,\operatorname{pr}_2x)\right),\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(n)))\big) \\ &\equiv (\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1x,\operatorname{pr}_2x)\right))\,\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(n)) \\ &\equiv \left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(n))),\\ &c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(n)),\operatorname{pr}_2\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(n)))\right) \\ &=_C\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1(\operatorname{succ}(n),c_s(n,\operatorname{pr}_2\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,n)))),\operatorname{pr}_2\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(n)))\right) \\ &=_C\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(n),c_s(\operatorname{succ}(n),\operatorname{pr}_2\Phi'(C,c_0,c_s,\operatorname{succ}(n)))\right) \end{split}$$

Where the step introducing the propositional equality is an application of the indiscernability of identicals as applied to the induction hypothesis. We have thus shown that P(n) holds for all n.¹ Applying pr_2 to either side gives

$$\Phi(C, c_0, c_s, \text{succ}(n)) \equiv \text{pr}_2\Phi(C, c_0, c_s, \text{succ}(n)) =_C \text{pr}_2(n, c_s(n, \Phi(C, c_0, c_s, n))) \equiv \text{pr}_2(n, c_s(n, \Phi(C, c_0, c_s, n)))$$

for all *n*, meaning that the defining equations hold propositionally. I need to learn more Coq to do this proof in that.

Exercise 1.5 (p. 56) Show that if we define $A + B := \sum_{(x:2)} rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$, then we can give a definition of ind_{A+B} for which the definitional equalities stated in \S1.7 hold.

Solution Define A + B as stated. We need to define a function of type

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A+B}': \prod_{C: (A+B) \to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(a:A)} C(\operatorname{inl}(a)) \right) \to \left(\prod_{(b:B)} C(\operatorname{inr}(b)) \right) \to \prod_{(x:A+B)} C(x)$$

which means that we also need to define inl': $A \rightarrow A + B$ and inr' $B \rightarrow A + B$; these are

$$\operatorname{inl}'(a) :\equiv (0_2, a)$$
 $\operatorname{inr}'(b) :\equiv (1_2, b)$

In Coq, we can use sigT to define coprd as a Σ -type: Suppose that $C: A+B \to \mathcal{U}$, $g_0: \prod_{(a:A)} C(\mathsf{inl'}(a))$, $g_1: \prod_{(b:B)} C(\mathsf{inr'}(b))$, and x: A+B; we're looking to define

$$\operatorname{ind}'_{A+B}(C,g_0,g_1,x)$$

We will use $\operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)}$, and for notational convenience will write $\Phi :\equiv \Sigma_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)$. $\operatorname{ind}_{\Phi}$ has signature

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Phi}: \prod_{C:(\Phi) \to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:2)} \prod_{(y: \operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x))} C((x, y)) \right) \to \prod_{(p:\Phi)} C(p)$$

So

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Phi}(C): \left(\prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \prod_{(y:\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{(p:\Phi)} C(p)$$

¹Rather more sketchily than before I lost the first file—redo this?

To obtain something of type $\prod_{(x:2)} \prod_{(y: \mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x))} C((x, y))$ we'll have to use ind_2 . In particular, for $B(x) := \prod_{(y: \mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x))} C((x, y))$ we have

$$\mathsf{ind_2}(B):B(0_\mathbf{2})\to B(1_\mathbf{2})\to \prod_{x:\mathbf{2}}\,B(x)$$

along with

$$g_0: \prod_{a:A} C(\mathsf{inl'}(a)) \equiv \prod_{a: \mathsf{rec_2}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, \mathsf{O_2})} C((\mathsf{O_2}, a)) \equiv B(\mathsf{O_2})$$

and similarly for g_1 . So

$$\mathsf{ind_2}(\mathit{B}, \mathit{g_0}, \mathit{g_1}) : \prod_{(\mathit{x}:2)} \prod_{(\mathit{y}: \mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathit{A}, \mathit{B}, \mathit{x}))} C((\mathit{x}, \mathit{y}))$$

which is just what we needed for ind_{Φ} . So we define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,x) :\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C,\operatorname{ind}_2\left(\prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)}C((x,y)),g_0,g_1\right),x\right)$$

and, in Coq, we use $sigT_rect$, which is the built-in $\lambda A. \lambda B. \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}$:

Now we must show that the definitional equalities

$$\operatorname{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \operatorname{inl}'(a)) \equiv g_0(a)$$

 $\operatorname{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \operatorname{inr}'(b)) \equiv g_1(b)$

hold. For the first, we have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,\operatorname{inl}'(a)) &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,(0_2,a)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C,\operatorname{ind}_2 \left(\prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1 \right),(0_2,a) \right) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_2 \left(\prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1,0_2 \right) (a) \\ &\equiv g_0(a) \end{split}$$

and for the second,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,\operatorname{inr}'(b)) &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,(1_2,b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C,\operatorname{ind}_2 \left(\prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1 \right),(1_2,b) \right) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_2 \left(\prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1,1_2 \right) (b) \\ &\equiv g_1(b) \end{split}$$

Trivial calculations, as Coq can attest:

Exercise 1.6 (p. 56) Show that if we define $A \times B := \prod_{(x:2)} rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$, then we can give a definition of $ind_{A \times B}$ for which the definitional equalities stated in \S1.5 hold propositionally (i.e. using equality types).

Solution Define

$$A \times B :\equiv \prod_{x:2} \operatorname{rec}_{2}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$$

Supposing that a: A and b: B, we have an element $(a, b): A \times B$ given by

$$(a,b) :\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B),a,b)$$

Defining this type and constructor in Coq, we have

An induction principle for $A \times B$ will, given a family $C : A \times B \to \mathcal{U}$ and a function

$$g: \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y)),$$

give a function $f : \prod_{(x:A \times B)} C(x)$ defined by

$$f((x,y)) :\equiv g(x)(y)$$

So suppose that we have such a C and g. Writing things out in terms of the definitions, we have

$$C: \left(\prod_{x:2} \operatorname{rec}_{2}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)\right) \to \mathcal{U}$$
$$g: \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C(\operatorname{ind}_{2}(\operatorname{rec}_{2}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), x, y))$$

We can define projections by

$$\operatorname{pr}_1 p :\equiv p(0_2)$$
 $\operatorname{pr}_2 p :\equiv p(1_2)$

Since *p* is an element of a dependent type, we have

$$p(0_2) : rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, 0_2) \equiv A$$

 $p(1_2) : rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, 1_2) \equiv B$

which checks out. Then we have

$$\begin{split} g(\mathsf{pr}_1p)(\mathsf{pr}_2p) &: C(\mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B),(\mathsf{pr}_1p),(\mathsf{pr}_2p))) \\ &\equiv C(\mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B),(\mathsf{pr}_1p),(\mathsf{pr}_2p))) \\ &\equiv C((p(0_2),p(1_2))) \end{split}$$

So we have defined a function

$$f': \prod_{p:A \times B} C((p(0_2), p(1_2)))$$

But we need one of the type

$$f: \prod_{p:A\times B} C(p)$$

To solve this problem, we need to appeal to function extensionality from \S2.9. This implies that there is a function

funext :
$$\prod_{f,g:A\times B} \left(\prod_{x:2} \left(f(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} g(x) \right) \right) \to \left(f =_{A\times B} g \right)$$

So, consider

$$\mathsf{funext}(p,(\mathsf{pr}_1p,\mathsf{pr}_2p))): \left(\prod_{x:2} \left(p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} (p(0_2),p(1_2))(x)\right)\right) \to \left(p =_{A \times B} (p(0_2),p(1_2))\right)$$

We just need to show that the antecedent is inhabited, which we can do with ind₂. So consider the family

$$E :\equiv \lambda(x : \mathbf{2}). (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)} (p(0_2), p(1_2))(x)))$$

$$\equiv \lambda(x : \mathbf{2}). (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)} \mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B), p(0_2), p(1_2), x))$$

We have

$$\begin{split} E(0_2) &\equiv (p(0_2) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_2)} \mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B),p(0_2),p(1_2),0_2)) \\ &\equiv (p(0_2) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_2)} p(0_2)) \end{split}$$

Thus $\operatorname{refl}_{p(0_2)}: E(0_2)$. The same argument goes through to show that $\operatorname{refl}_{p(1_2)}: E(1_2)$. This means that

$$h \coloneqq \operatorname{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(E,\operatorname{refl}_{p(0_2)},\operatorname{refl}_{p(1_2)}) : \prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} \left(p(x) =_{\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} (p(0_2),p(1_2))\right)$$

and thus

funext
$$(p, (pr_1p, pr_2p), h) : p =_{A \times B} (p(0_2), p(1_2))$$

So, by the transport principle, there is a function

$$(\mathsf{funext}(p,(\mathsf{pr}_1p,\mathsf{pr}_2p),h))_*:C((\mathsf{pr}_1p,\mathsf{pr}_2p))\to C(p)$$

and we may define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A \times B}(C, g, p) :\equiv (\operatorname{funext}(p, (\operatorname{pr}_1 p, \operatorname{pr}_2 p), h))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1 p)(\operatorname{pr}_2 p))$$

In Coq we can repeat this construction using *Funext*.

Now, we must show that the definitional equality holds propositionally. That is, we must show that the type

$$ind_{A\times B}(C, g, (a, b)) =_{C((a,b))} g(a)(b)$$

is inhabited. Unfolding the left hand side gives

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\operatorname{funext}((a,b),(\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b),\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b)),h))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b))) \\ &\equiv (\operatorname{funext}((a,b),(a,b),h))_*(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{funext}((a,b),(a,b),h))(g(a)(b)) \end{split}$$

where $D(x, y, \mathsf{funext}((a, b), (a, b), h)) :\equiv C(x) \to C(y)$ and

$$d :\equiv \lambda x. \operatorname{id}_{C(x)} : \prod_{x:A \times B} D(x, x, \operatorname{refl}_x)$$

But the defining equality of

Exercise 1.7 (p. 56) Give an alternative derivation of $\operatorname{ind}_{=_A}^{\prime}$ from $\operatorname{ind}_{=_A}$ which avoids the use of universes.

Exercise 1.8 (p. 56) Define multiplication and exponentiation using $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$. Verify that $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0, \times, 1)$ is a semiring using only $ind_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Solution For multiplication, we need to construct a function mult : $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. Defined with pattern-matching, we would have

$$\mathsf{mult}(0,m) :\equiv 0$$
 $\mathsf{mult}(\mathsf{succ}(n),m) :\equiv m + \mathsf{mult}(n,m)$

so in terms of $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$\mathsf{mult} :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \lambda n. 0, \lambda n. \lambda g. \lambda m. \mathsf{add}(m, g(m)))$$

For exponentiation, we have the function $\exp : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, with the intention that $\exp(e, b) = b^e$. In terms of pattern matching,

$$\begin{split} \exp(0,b) &\coloneqq 1 \\ \exp(\operatorname{succ}(e),b) &\coloneqq \operatorname{mult}(b,\exp(e,b)) \end{split}$$

or, in terms of $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\exp :\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \lambda n. 1, \lambda n. \lambda g. \lambda m. \operatorname{mult}(m, g(m)))$$

In Coq, we can define these by

To verify that $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0, \times, 1)$ is a semiring, we need stuff from Chapter 2.

Exercise 1.9 (p. 56) Define the type family Fin : $\mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{U}$ mentioned at the end of \S1.3, and the dependent function fmax : $\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \operatorname{Fin}(n+1)$ mentioned in \S1.4.

Solution Fin(n) is a type with exactly n elements. Essentially, we want to recreate \mathbb{N} using types; so we will replace 0 with $\mathbf{0}$ and succ with a coproduct. So we define Fin recursively:

$$\mathsf{Fin}(0) \coloneqq \mathbf{0}$$
 $\mathsf{Fin}(\mathsf{succ}(n)) \coloneqq \mathsf{Fin}(n) + \mathbf{1}$

or, equivalently,

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Fin}} :\equiv \operatorname{\mathsf{rec}}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}, \lambda C. C + \mathbf{1})$$

Exercise 1.10 (p. 56) Show that the Ackermann function ack : $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ack}(0,n) &\equiv \operatorname{succ}(n), \\ \operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),0) &\equiv \operatorname{ack}(m,1), \\ \operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),\operatorname{succ}(n)) &\equiv \operatorname{ack}(m,\operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),n)), \end{aligned}$$

is definable using only $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Solution Define

$$ack :\equiv rec_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, succ, \lambda m. \lambda r. rec_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}, r(1), \lambda n. \lambda s. r(s(r, n))))$$

To show that the defining equalities hold, we'll suppress the first argument of rec_N for clarity. For the first we have

$$\operatorname{ack}(0, n) \equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\operatorname{succ}, \lambda m. \lambda r. \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(r(1), \lambda n. \lambda s. r(s(r, n))), 0)(n) \equiv \operatorname{succ}(n)$$

For the second,

```
 \begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),0) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \operatorname{succ}, \lambda m. \lambda r. \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( r(1), \lambda n. \lambda s. r(s(r,n)) \right), \operatorname{succ}(m) \right) (0) \\ &\equiv \left( \left( \lambda r. \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( r(1), \lambda n. \lambda s. r(s(r,n)) \right) \right) \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \operatorname{succ}, \lambda m. \lambda r. \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( r(1), \lambda n. \lambda s. r(s(r,n)) \right), m \right) \right) (0) \\ &\equiv \left( \left( \lambda r. \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( r(1), \lambda n. \lambda s. r(s(r,n)) \right) \right) \operatorname{ack}(m,-) \right) (0) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \operatorname{ack}(m,1), \lambda n. \lambda s. \operatorname{ack}(m,s(\operatorname{ack}(m,-),n)), 0 \right) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ack}(m,1) \end{aligned}
```

Finally, using the first few steps of this second calculation again,

```
\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),\operatorname{succ}(n)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}\big(\operatorname{succ},\lambda m.\,\lambda r.\,\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}\big(r(1),\lambda n.\,\lambda s.\,r(s(r,n))\big),\operatorname{succ}(m)\big)(\operatorname{succ}(n)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}\big(\operatorname{ack}(m,1),\lambda n.\,\lambda s.\,\operatorname{ack}(m,s(\operatorname{ack}(m,-),n)),\operatorname{succ}(n)\big) \\ &\equiv (\lambda s.\,\operatorname{ack}(m,s(\operatorname{ack}(m,-),n)))\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}\big(\operatorname{ack}(m,1),\lambda n.\,\lambda s.\,\operatorname{ack}(m,s(\operatorname{ack}(m,-),n)),n\big) \end{aligned}
```

Exercise 1.11 (p. 56) Show that for any type A, we have $\neg \neg \neg A \rightarrow \neg A$.

Solution Suppose that $\neg\neg\neg A$ and A. Supposing further that $\neg A$, we get a contradiction with the second assumption, so $\neg\neg A$. But this contradicts the first assumption that $\neg\neg\neg A$, so $\neg A$. Discharging the first assumption gives $\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$.

In type-theoretic terms, the first assumption is $x : ((A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$, and the second is a : A. If we further assume that $h : A \to \mathbf{0}$, then $h(a) : \mathbf{0}$, so discharging the h gives

$$\lambda(h:A\to\mathbf{0}).h(a):(A\to\mathbf{0})\to\mathbf{0}$$

But then we have

$$x(\lambda(h:A\to\mathbf{0}).h(a)):\mathbf{0}$$

so discharging the *a* gives

$$\lambda(a:A).x(\lambda(h:A\to\mathbf{0}).h(a)):A\to\mathbf{0}$$

And discharging the first assumption gives

$$\lambda(x:((A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}).\lambda(a:A).x(\lambda(h:A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}).h(a)):(((A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0})$$

This is automatic for Coq, though not trivial One nice thing is that we can get a proof out of Coq by printing this Goal. It returns

fun
$$(A : Type)$$
 $(X : \neg \neg \neg A)$ $(X0 : A) \Rightarrow X$ (fun $X1 : A \rightarrow Empty \Rightarrow X1 X0$)
 $: \forall A : Type, \neg \neg \neg A \rightarrow \neg A$

Exercise 1.12 (p. 56) Using the propositions as types interpretation, derive the following tautologies.

- (i) If *A*, then (if *B* then *A*).
- (ii) If A, then not (not A).
- (iii) If (not A or not B), then not (A and B).

Solution (i) Suppose that *A* and *B*; then *A*. Discharging the assumptions, $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$. That is, we have

$$\lambda(a:A).\lambda(b:B).a:A\to B\to A$$

and in Coq,

(ii) Suppose that A. Supposing further that $\neg A$ gives a contradiction, so $\neg \neg A$. That is,

$$\lambda(a:A).\lambda(f:A\to\mathbf{0}).f(a):A\to(A\to\mathbf{0})\to\mathbf{0}$$

(iii) Finally, suppose $\neg A \lor \neg B$. Supposing further that $A \land B$ means that A and that B. There are two cases. If $\neg A$, then we have a contradiction; but also if $\neg B$ we have a contradiction. Thus $\neg (A \land B)$.

Type-theoretically, we assume that $x : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0})$ and $z : A \times B$. Conjunction elimination gives $\operatorname{pr}_1 z : A$ and $\operatorname{pr}_2 z : B$. We can now perform a case analysis. Suppose that $x_A : A \to \mathbf{0}$; then $x_A(\operatorname{pr}_1 z) : \mathbf{0}$, a contradicton; if instead $x_B : B \to \mathbf{0}$, then $x_B(\operatorname{pr}_2 z) : \mathbf{0}$. By the recursion principle for the coproduct, then,

$$f(z) :\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{(A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0})}(\mathbf{0}, \lambda x. x(\operatorname{pr}_1 z), \lambda x. x(\operatorname{pr}_2 z)) : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

Discharging the assumption that $A \times B$ is inhabited, we have

$$f: A \times B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) + (B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

So

$$swap(A \times B, (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) + (B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, f) : (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) + (B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow A \times B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

Exercise 1.13 (p. 57) Using propositions-as-types, derive the double negation of the principle of excluded middle, i.e. prove *not* (*not* (*P* or *not P*)).

Solution Suppose that $\neg(P \lor \neg P)$. Then, assuming P, we have $P \lor \neg P$ by disjunction introduction, a contradiction. Hence $\neg P$. But disjunction introduction on this again gives $P \lor \neg P$, a contradiction. So we must reject the remaining assumption, giving $\neg \neg(P \lor \neg P)$.

In type-theoretic terms, the initial assumption is that $g: P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$. Assuming p: P, disjunction introduction results in $\mathsf{inl}(p): P + (P \to \mathbf{0})$. But then $g(\mathsf{inl}(p)): \mathbf{0}$, so we discharge the assumption of p: P to get

$$\lambda(p:P).g(\mathsf{inl}(p)):P\to\mathbf{0}$$

Applying disjunction introduction again leads to contradiction, as

$$g(\operatorname{inr}(\lambda(p:P),g(\operatorname{inl}(p)))):\mathbf{0}$$

So we must reject the assumption of $\neg (P \lor \neg P)$, giving the result:

$$\lambda(g: P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}). g(\mathsf{inr}(\lambda(p: P). g(\mathsf{inl}(p)))) : (P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

Finally, in Coq,

Exercise 1.14 (p. 57) Why do the induction principles for identity types not allow us to construct a function $f: \prod_{(p:x=x)} \prod_{(p:x=x)} (p = \text{refl}_x)$ with the defining equation

$$f(x, refl_x) :\equiv refl_{refl_x}$$

Exercise 1.15 (p. 57) Show that indiscernability of identicals follows from path induction.