## Exercises from the *HoTT Book*

John Dougherty
June 28, 2014

## Introduction

The following are solutions to (eventually all of) the exercises from *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. The Coq code given alongside the by-hand solutions requires the HoTT version of Coq, available at the HoTT github repository. It will be assumed throughout that it has been imported by

```
\langle chap 01.v \rangle \equiv Require Import HoTT.
```

The introduction to Coq from the HoTT repo is assumed. Each exercise has its own Section in the Coq file, so Context declarations don't extend beyond the exercise—and sometimes they're even more restricted than that.

## 1 Type Theory

End Exercise1.

**Exercise 1.1 (p. 56)** Given functions  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$ , define their **composite**  $g \circ f: A \to C$ . Show that we have  $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f$ .

```
Solution Define g \circ f :\equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot g(f(x)). Then if h : C \to D, we have h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot h((g \circ f)x) \equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot h((\lambda(y : A) \cdot g(fy))x) \equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot h(g(fx)) and (h \circ g) \circ f \equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot (h \circ g)(fx) \equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot (\lambda(y : A) \cdot h(gy))(fx) \equiv \lambda(x : A) \cdot h(g(fx)) So h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f. In Coq, we have \langle chap01.v \rangle + \equiv Section Exercise 1. Definition compose {A B C:Type} (g : B -> C) (f : A -> B) := fun x => g (f x). Theorem compose_assoc : forall (A B C D : Type) (f : A -> B) (g : B-> C) (h : C -> D), compose h (compose g f) = compose (compose h g) f. Proof. trivial. Qed.
```

**Exercise 1.2 (p. 56)** Derive the recursion principle for products  $rec_{A\times B}$  using only the projections, and verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Do the same for  $\Sigma$ -types.

**Solution** The recursion principle states that we can define a function  $f: A \times B \to C$  by giving its value on pairs. Suppose that we have projection functions  $\operatorname{pr}_1: A \times B \to A$  and  $\operatorname{pr}_2: A \times B \to B$ . Then we can define a function of type

$$\mathsf{rec}_{A \times B} : \prod_{C : \mathcal{U}} (A \to B \to C) \to A \times B \to C$$

in terms of these projections as follows

$$\mathsf{rec}'_{A \times B}(C, g, p) \vcentcolon\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p)$$

or, in Coq,

 $\langle chap01.v \rangle + \equiv$ 

Section Exercise2\_prd.

Variable A B : Type.

```
Definition recprod (C : Type) (g : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) (p : A * B) := g (fst p) (snd p).
```

Goal recprod A (fun a  $\Rightarrow$  fun b  $\Rightarrow$  a) = fst. trivial. Qed. Goal recprod B (fun a  $\Rightarrow$  fun b  $\Rightarrow$  b) = snd. trivial. Qed.

End Exercise2\_prd.

Section Exercise2\_sm.

Variable A : Type.

Variable B : A -> Type.

Definition recsm (C : Type) (g : forall (x : A), B x -> C) (p : exists (x : A), B x) := g (projT1 p) (projT2 p).

We must then show that

$$\operatorname{rec}'_{A \times B}(C, g, (a, b)) \equiv g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a, b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a, b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

which in Coq is also trivial:

Now for the  $\Sigma$ -types. Here we have a projection

$$\operatorname{pr}_1: \left(\sum_{x:A} B(x)\right) \to A$$

and another

$$\mathsf{pr}_2: \prod_{p: \sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} B(\mathsf{pr}_1(p))$$

Define a function of type

$$\operatorname{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} \left( \prod_{(x:A)} B(x) \to C \right) \to \left( \sum_{(x:A)} B(x) \right) \to C$$

by

$$\mathsf{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p)$$

And in Coq, We then verify that

$$\mathsf{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)}B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) \equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

which is again trivial in Coq:

**Exercise 1.3 (p. 56)** Derive the induction principle for products  $\operatorname{ind}_{A \times B}$  using only the projections and the propositional uniqueness principle uppt. Verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Generalize uppt to  $\Sigma$ -types, and do the same for  $\Sigma$ -types.

Solution The induction principle has type

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A \times B} : \prod_{C: A \times B \to \mathcal{U}} \left( \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y)) \right) \to \prod_{z: A \times B} C(z)$$

For a first pass, we can define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,z):\equiv g(\operatorname{pr}_1 z)(\operatorname{pr}_2 z)$$

However, we have  $g(\operatorname{pr}_1 x)(\operatorname{pr}_1 x):C((\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x))$ , so the type of this  $\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}$  is

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}: \prod_{C: A\times B \to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{z: A\times B} C((\mathsf{pr}_1 z, \mathsf{pr}_2 z))$$

To define  $ind_{A \times B}$  with the correct type, we need the transport operation from the next chapter. The uniqueness principle for  $A \times B$  is

$$\mathsf{uppt}: \prod_{x:A\times B} \big( (\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x) =_{A\times B} x \big)$$

By the transport principle, there is a function

$$(\mathsf{uppt}\,x)_*:C((\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x))\to C(x)$$

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,z) :\equiv (\operatorname{uppt} z)_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1 z)(\operatorname{pr}_2 z))$$

has the right type. In Coq we first define uppt, then use it with transport to give our  $ind_{A\times B}$ . We now have to show that

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C, g, (a, b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

Unfolding the left gives

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\operatorname{uppt}(a,b))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b))) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{uppt}((a,b)))(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{id}_{C((a,b))}(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv g(a)(b) \end{split}$$

which was to be proved. In Coq, it's as trivial as always:

For  $\Sigma$ -types, we define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x:A)}B(x)}: \prod_{C:(\Sigma_{(x:A)}B(x))\to \mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(a:A)} \prod_{(b:B(a))} C((a,b))\right) \to \prod_{p:\Sigma_{(x:A)}B(x)} C(p)$$

at first pass by

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x:A)}\,B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1p)(\mathsf{pr}_2p)$$

We encounter a similar problem as before. We need a uniqueness principle for  $\Sigma$ -types, which would be a function

$$\mathsf{uppt}: \prod_{p: \sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} \left( (\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p) =_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} p \right)$$

As for product types, we can define

$$\mathsf{uppt}((a,b)) :\equiv \mathsf{refl}_{(a,b)}$$

which is well-typed, since  $\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b) \equiv a$  and  $\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b) \equiv b$ . Thus, we can write

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x;A)}\,B(x)}(C,g,p):\equiv (\operatorname{uppt} p)_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1p)(\operatorname{pr}_2b)).$$

and in Coq,

Now we must verify that

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)}B(x)}(C,g,(a,b))\equiv g(a)(b)$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x:A)}B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\operatorname{uppt}(a,b))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a,b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a,b))) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{\Sigma_{(x:A)}B(x)}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{uppt}(a,b))(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{=_{\Sigma_{(x:A)}B(x)}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\operatorname{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{id}_{C((a,b))}(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv g(a)(b) \end{split}$$

which Coq finds trivial:

Exercise 1.4 (p. 56) Assuming as given only the *iterator* for natural numbers

$$\mathsf{iter}: \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \to (C \to C) \to \mathbb{N} \to C$$

with the defining equations

$$\operatorname{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, 0) :\equiv c_0,$$
  
 $\operatorname{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, \operatorname{succ}(n)) :\equiv c_s(\operatorname{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, n)),$ 

derive a function having the type of the recursor  $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Show that the defining equations of the recursor hold propositionally for this function, using the induction principle for  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Solution** Fix some  $C: \mathcal{U}$ ,  $c_0: C$ , and  $c_s: \mathbb{N} \to C \to C$ . iter(C) allows for the n-fold application of a single function to a single input from C, whereas  $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$  allows each application to depend on n, as well. Since n just tracks how many applications we've done, we can construct n on the fly, iterating over elements of  $\mathbb{N} \times C$ . So we will use the iterator

$$\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N} \times C} : \mathbb{N} \times C \to (\mathbb{N} \times C \to \mathbb{N} \times C) \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times C$$

to derive a function

$$\Phi: \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \to (\mathbb{N} \to C \to C) \to \mathbb{N} \to C$$

which has the same type as  $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

The first argument of  $\text{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}$  is the starting point, which we'll make  $(0,c_0)$ . The second input takes an element of  $\mathbb{N}\times C$  as an argument and uses  $c_s$  to construct a new element of  $\mathbb{N}\times C$ . We can use the first and second elements of the pair as arguments for  $c_s$ , and we'll use succ to advance the second argument, representing the number of steps taken. This gives the function

$$\lambda x$$
. (succ(pr<sub>1</sub> $x$ ),  $c_s$ (pr<sub>1</sub> $x$ , pr<sub>2</sub> $x$ )):  $\mathbb{N} \times C \to \mathbb{N} \times C$ 

for the second input to  $iter_{\mathbb{N}\times C}$ . The third input is just n, which we can pass through. Plugging these in gives

$$\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}((0,c_0),\lambda x.(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)),n):\mathbb{N}\times C$$

from which we need to extract an element of C. This is easily done with the projection operator, so we have

$$\Phi_{C}(c_0,c_s,n) :\equiv \operatorname{pr}_2\bigg(\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)\right),n\big)\bigg)$$

which has the same type as  $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$ . In Coq we first define the iterator and then our alternative recursor:

Now to show that the defining equations hold propositionally for  $\Phi$ . First we need a small calculation about how the first element of the pair advances through iteration. That is, we will be interested in the function

$$\Theta(n) :\equiv \operatorname{pr}_1\bigg(\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)\right),n\big)\bigg)$$

We show that  $\Theta(n) = \mathbb{N} n$  is inhabited for all n, by induction. For the family

$$E(n) :\equiv (\Theta(n) =_{\mathbb{N}} n)$$

The induction principle for  $\mathbb N$  gives us a function

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(E): E(0) \to \left(\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} E(n) \to E(\operatorname{succ}(n))\right) \to \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} E(n)$$

which is just a functional version of usual notion of induction on IN. So for the base case, we show that

$$\Theta(0) \equiv \mathsf{pr}_1\bigg(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N} \times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x,\mathsf{pr}_2 x)),0\big)\bigg) \equiv \mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0) \equiv 0$$

Thus  $\operatorname{refl}_0: (\Theta(0) =_{\mathbb{N}} 0) \equiv E(0)$ . For the induction step, we suppose that  $n: \mathbb{N}$  and that  $\operatorname{refl}_n: E(n)$ . Unravelling the definition a bit, we get

$$\begin{split} &\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{pr}_1\bigg(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1x),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x)),\mathsf{succ}(n)\big)\bigg) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{pr}_1(\mathsf{succ}(\Theta(n)),c_s(\Theta(n),\Phi_C(c_0,c_s,n))) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{succ}(\Theta(n)) \end{split}$$

From which we conclude that  $\operatorname{refl}_{\Theta(\operatorname{succ}(n))}: \Theta(\operatorname{succ}(n)) =_{\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{succ}(\Theta(n))$ . To replace the  $\Theta(n)$  on the right hand side of this equality, we use  $\operatorname{refl}_n$  and the indiscernability of identicals. We have the family

$$F(m) :\equiv (\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) =_{\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{succ}(m))$$

and the indiscernability of identicals gives us a function

$$f: \prod_{(x,y,\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(p:x=\mathbb{N}^y)} F(x) \to F(y)$$

on the basis of this. Plugging in the appropriate arguments, we obtain

$$f\left(\Theta(n), n, \mathsf{refl}_n, \mathsf{refl}_{\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))}\right) : F(n) \equiv \left(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) =_{\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{succ}(n)\right) \equiv E(\mathsf{succ}(n))$$

So, discharging the assumption of the induction step,

$$e :\equiv \lambda n. \, \lambda \mathsf{refl}_n. \, f\left(\Theta(n), n, \mathsf{refl}_n, \mathsf{refl}_{\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))}\right) : \prod_{n : \mathbb{N}} E(n) \to E(\mathsf{succ}(n))$$

thus

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(E,\operatorname{refl}_0,e):\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}}\,E(n)\equiv\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}}\left(\Theta(n)=_{\mathbb{N}}\,n\right)$$

We're now prepared to show that the definitional equalities hold propositionally for  $\Phi$ . To do this, we must show that

$$\Phi_{C}(c_0, c_s, 0) =_{C} c_0$$

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \left( \Phi_{C}(c_0, c_s, \mathsf{succ}(n)) =_{C} c_s(n, \Phi_{C}(c_0, c_s, n)) \right)$$

are inhabited. Since C,  $c_0$ , and  $c_s$  are fixed, define

$$\Psi(n) :\equiv \Phi_C(c_0, c_s, n)$$

for brevity. The first equality is straightforward:

$$\Psi(0) \equiv \operatorname{pr}_2\bigg(\operatorname{iter}_{\mathbb{N} \times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\left(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{pr}_1 x),c_s(\operatorname{pr}_1 x,\operatorname{pr}_2 x)\right),0\big)\bigg) \equiv \operatorname{pr}_2(0,c_0) \equiv c_0$$

So  $\operatorname{refl}_{\Psi(0)}: \Psi(0) =_{\mathcal{C}} c_0$ . This establishes the first equality. Given the family

$$G(n) :\equiv \Psi(\operatorname{succ}(n)) =_C c_s(n, \Psi(n)),$$

the induction principle for N gives us a function

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(G): G(0) \to \left(\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} G(n) \to G(\operatorname{succ}(n))\right) \to \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} G(n)$$

In the base case,

$$\begin{split} &\Psi(1) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\bigg(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1x),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x)),1\big)\bigg) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\bigg(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0)),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0),\mathsf{pr}_2(0,c_0))\bigg) \\ &\equiv c_s(0,c_0) \end{split}$$

So  $\operatorname{refl}_{\Psi(1)}: G(0)$ . Now suppose that  $n: \mathbb{N}$  and  $\operatorname{refl}_{\Psi(\operatorname{\mathsf{succ}}(n))}: G(n)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} & \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n)) \\ & \equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\bigg(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0),\lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1x),c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1x,\mathsf{pr}_2x)),\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n))\big)\bigg) \\ & \equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\bigg(\mathsf{succ}(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))),c_s(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)),\Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)))\bigg) \\ & \equiv c_s(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)),\Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n))) \end{split}$$

We can again use the indiscernability of identicals here. Define the family

$$H(m) :\equiv \Psi(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(n))) =_C c_s(m, \Psi(\operatorname{succ}(n)))$$

Then the indiscernability of identicals gives us a function

$$h: \prod_{(m,i:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(p:m=\mathbb{N}^i)} H(m) \to H(i)$$

and

$$h(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)),\mathsf{succ}(n),\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(E,\mathsf{refl}_0,g,\mathsf{succ}(n))):\Psi(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n)))=_{C}c_s(\mathsf{succ}(n),\Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)))$$

Abstracting out the context, we obtain an object

$$j: \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} G(n) \to G(\mathsf{succ}(n))$$

So

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(G,\operatorname{refl}_{\Psi(1)},j):\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}}\,G(n)\equiv\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}}\,\left(\Phi_{C}(c_{0},c_{s},\operatorname{succ}(n))=_{C}\,c_{s}(n,\Phi_{C}(c_{0},c_{s},n))\right)$$

Thus proving the second equality propositionally.

In Coq, we can repeat this proof, but there's surely a better way.

**Exercise 1.5 (p. 56)** Show that if we define  $A + B := \sum_{(x:2)} rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$ , then we can give a definition of ind A + B for which the definitional equalities stated in §1.7 hold.

**Solution** Define A + B as stated. We need to define a function of type

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A+B}': \prod_{C: (A+B) \to \mathcal{U}} \left( \prod_{(a:A)} C(\operatorname{inl}(a)) \right) \to \left( \prod_{(b:B)} C(\operatorname{inr}(b)) \right) \to \prod_{(x:A+B)} C(x)$$

which means that we also need to define inl':  $A \rightarrow A + B$  and inr' $B \rightarrow A + B$ ; these are

$$\operatorname{inl}'(a) :\equiv (0_2, a)$$
  $\operatorname{inr}'(b) :\equiv (1_2, b)$ 

In Coq, we can use sigT to define coprd as a  $\Sigma$ -type: Suppose that  $C: A+B \to \mathcal{U}$ ,  $g_0: \prod_{(a:A)} C(\mathsf{inl'}(a))$ ,  $g_1: \prod_{(b:B)} C(\mathsf{inr'}(b))$ , and x: A+B; we're looking to define

$$ind'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, x)$$

We will use  $\operatorname{ind}_{\Sigma_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)}$ , and for notational convenience will write  $\Phi :\equiv \Sigma_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)$ .  $\operatorname{ind}_{\Phi}$  has signature

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Phi}: \prod_{C:(\Phi) \to \mathcal{U}} \left( \prod_{(x:2)} \prod_{(y: \operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x))} C((x,y)) \right) \to \prod_{(p:\Phi)} C(p)$$

So

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\Phi}(C): \left(\prod_{(x:2)}\prod_{(y:\operatorname{rec}_{2}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))}C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{(p:\Phi)}C(p)$$

To obtain something of type  $\prod_{(x:2)} \prod_{(y:rec_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))$  we'll have to use ind<sub>2</sub>. In particular, for  $B(x) := \prod_{(y:rec_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))$  we have

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(B):B(0_{\mathbf{2}})\to B(1_{\mathbf{2}})\to \prod_{x:\mathbf{2}}B(x)$$

along with

$$g_0:\prod_{a:A}C(\mathsf{inl'}(a))\equiv\prod_{a:\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_2)}C((0_2,a))\equiv B(0_2)$$

and similarly for  $g_1$ . So

$$\mathsf{ind_2}(B,g_0,g_1): \prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \prod_{(y:\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))$$

which is just what we needed for  $ind_{\Phi}$ . So we define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,x) :\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C,\operatorname{ind}_2\left(\prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)}C((x,y)),g_0,g_1\right),x\right)$$

and, in Coq, we use  $\operatorname{sigT\_rect}$ , which is the built-in  $\lambda A.\lambda B.\operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)}B(x)}$ :

Now we must show that the definitional equalities

$$\operatorname{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \operatorname{inl}'(a)) \equiv g_0(a)$$
  
 $\operatorname{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \operatorname{inr}'(b)) \equiv g_1(b)$ 

hold. For the first, we have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,\operatorname{inl}'(a)) &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,(0_2,a)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left( C,\operatorname{ind}_2 \left( \prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1 \right),(0_2,a) \right) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_2 \left( \prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1,0_2 \right) (a) \\ &\equiv g_0(a) \end{split}$$

and for the second,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,\operatorname{inr}'(b)) &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{A+B}'(C,g_0,g_1,(1_2,b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{\sum_{(x:2)}\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left( C,\operatorname{ind}_2 \left( \prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1 \right),(1_2,b) \right) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_2 \left( \prod_{y:\operatorname{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)),g_0,g_1,1_2 \right) (b) \\ &\equiv g_1(b) \end{split}$$

Trivial calculations, as Coq can attest:

**Exercise 1.6 (p. 56)** Show that if we define  $A \times B :\equiv \prod_{(x:2)} \mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$ , then we can give a definition of  $\mathsf{ind}_{A \times B}$  for which the definitional equalities stated in §1.5 hold propositionally (i.e. using equality types).

**Solution** Define

$$A \times B :\equiv \prod_{x \cdot 2} \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$$

Supposing that a: A and b: B, we have an element  $(a, b): A \times B$  given by

$$(a,b) :\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{2}(\operatorname{rec}_{2}(\mathcal{U},A,B),a,b)$$

Defining this type and constructor in Coq, we have

An induction principle for  $A \times B$  will, given a family  $C : A \times B \to \mathcal{U}$  and a function

$$g: \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y)),$$

give a function  $f: \prod_{(x:A\times B)} C(x)$  defined by

$$f((x,y)) :\equiv g(x)(y)$$

So suppose that we have such a *C* and *g*. Writing things out in terms of the definitions, we have

$$C: \left(\prod_{x:2} \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)\right) \to \mathcal{U}$$
$$g: \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C(\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), x, y))$$

We can define projections by

$$\operatorname{pr}_1 p :\equiv p(0_2) \qquad \operatorname{pr}_2 p :\equiv p(1_2)$$

Since p is an element of a dependent type, we have

$$p(0_2) : rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, 0_2) \equiv A$$
  
 $p(1_2) : rec_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, 1_2) \equiv B$ 

which checks out. Then we have

$$\begin{split} g(\mathsf{pr}_1p)(\mathsf{pr}_2p) &: C(\mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B),(\mathsf{pr}_1p),(\mathsf{pr}_2p))) \\ &\equiv C(\mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B),(\mathsf{pr}_1p),(\mathsf{pr}_2p))) \\ &\equiv C((p(0_2),p(1_2))) \end{split}$$

So we have defined a function

$$f': \prod_{p:A \times B} C((p(0_2), p(1_2)))$$

But we need one of the type

$$f: \prod_{p:A\times B} C(p)$$

To solve this problem, we need to appeal to function extensionality from §2.9. This implies that there is a function

$$\mathsf{funext}: \prod_{f,g:A\times B} \left(\prod_{x:2} \left(f(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} g(x)\right)\right) \to \left(f =_{A\times B} g\right)$$

So, consider

$$\mathsf{funext}(p,(\mathsf{pr}_1p,\mathsf{pr}_2p))): \left(\prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} \left(p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_\mathbf{2}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} (p(0_\mathbf{2}),p(1_\mathbf{2}))(x)\right)\right) \to \left(p =_{A\times B} (p(0_\mathbf{2}),p(1_\mathbf{2}))\right)$$

We just need to show that the antecedent is inhabited, which we can do with ind2. So consider the family

$$E :\equiv \lambda(x : \mathbf{2}). (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)} (p(0_2), p(1_2))(x)))$$
  

$$\equiv \lambda(x : \mathbf{2}). (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)} \mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, B), p(0_2), p(1_2), x))$$

We have

$$\begin{split} E(0_2) &\equiv (p(0_2) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_2)} \mathsf{ind}_2(\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B),p(0_2),p(1_2),0_2)) \\ &\equiv (p(0_2) =_{\mathsf{rec}_2(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_2)} p(0_2)) \end{split}$$

Thus  $\operatorname{refl}_{p(0_2)}: E(0_2)$ . The same argument goes through to show that  $\operatorname{refl}_{p(1_2)}: E(1_2)$ . This means that

$$h \coloneqq \operatorname{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(E,\operatorname{refl}_{p(0_2)},\operatorname{refl}_{p(1_2)}) : \prod_{x : 2} \left( p(x) =_{\operatorname{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left( p(0_2), p(1_2) \right) \right)$$

and thus

funext
$$(p, (pr_1p, pr_2p), h) : p =_{A \times B} (p(0_2), p(1_2))$$

So, by the transport principle, there is a function

$$(\operatorname{funext}(p,(\operatorname{pr}_1p,\operatorname{pr}_2p),h))_*:C((\operatorname{pr}_1p,\operatorname{pr}_2p))\to C(p)$$

and we may define

$$\operatorname{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,p) :\equiv (\operatorname{funext}(p,(\operatorname{pr}_1p,\operatorname{pr}_2p),h))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1p)(\operatorname{pr}_2p))$$

In Coq we can repeat this construction using Funext.

Now, we must show that the definitional equality holds propositionally. That is, we must show that the type

$$ind_{A\times B}(C, g, (a, b)) =_{C((a,b))} g(a)(b)$$

is inhabited. Unfolding the left hand side gives

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ind}_{A \times B}(C, g, (a, b)) &\equiv (\operatorname{funext}((a, b), (\operatorname{pr}_1(a, b), \operatorname{pr}_2(a, b)), h))_*(g(\operatorname{pr}_1(a, b))(\operatorname{pr}_2(a, b))) \\ &\equiv (\operatorname{funext}((a, b), (a, b), h))_*(g(a)(b)) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ind}_{A \times B}(D, d, (a, b), (a, b), \operatorname{funext}((a, b), (a, b), h))(g(a)(b)) \end{split}$$

where  $D(x, y, \text{funext}((a, b), (a, b), h)) :\equiv C(x) \rightarrow C(y)$  and

$$d :\equiv \lambda x. \operatorname{id}_{C(x)} : \prod_{x:A \times B} D(x, x, \operatorname{refl}_x)$$

But the defining equality of

**Exercise 1.7 (p. 56)** Give an alternative derivation of  $\operatorname{ind}_{=_A}'$  from  $\operatorname{ind}_{=_A}$  which avoids the use of universes.

**Exercise 1.8 (p. 56)** Define multiplication and exponentiation using  $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Verify that  $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0, \times, 1)$  is a semiring using only  $ind_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Solution** For multiplication, we need to construct a function mult :  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ . Defined with pattern-matching, we would have

$$\mathsf{mult}(0,m) :\equiv 0$$
 $\mathsf{mult}(\mathsf{succ}(n),m) :\equiv m + \mathsf{mult}(n,m)$ 

so in terms of  $rec_N$  we have

$$\mathsf{mult} :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \lambda n.\, 0, \lambda n.\, \lambda g.\, \lambda m.\, \mathsf{add}(m, g(m)))$$

For exponentiation, we have the function  $\exp : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , with the intention that  $\exp(e, b) = b^e$ . In terms of pattern matching,

$$\exp(0,b) :\equiv 1$$
  
$$\exp(\operatorname{succ}(e),b) :\equiv \operatorname{mult}(b,\exp(e,b))$$

or, in terms of  $rec_{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\exp : \equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \lambda n. 1, \lambda n. \lambda g. \lambda m. \operatorname{mult}(m, g(m)))$$

In Coq, we can define these by

To verify that  $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0, \times, 1)$  is a semiring, we need stuff from Chapter 2.

**Exercise 1.9 (p. 56)** Define the type family Fin :  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{U}$  mentioned at the end of §1.3, and the dependent function fmax :  $\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \mathsf{Fin}(n+1)$  mentioned in §1.4.

**Solution** Fin(n) is a type with exactly n elements. Essentially, we want to recreate  $\mathbb{N}$  using types; so we will replace 0 with  $\mathbf{0}$  and succ with a coproduct. So we define Fin recursively:

$$\mathsf{Fin}(0) \coloneqq \mathbf{0}$$
  $\mathsf{Fin}(\mathsf{succ}(n)) \coloneqq \mathsf{Fin}(n) + \mathbf{1}$ 

or, equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Fin} :\equiv \operatorname{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}, \lambda C. C + \mathbf{1})$$

**Exercise 1.10 (p. 56)** Show that the Ackermann function ack :  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ack}(0,n) &\equiv \operatorname{succ}(n), \\ \operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),0) &\equiv \operatorname{ack}(m,1), \\ \operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),\operatorname{succ}(n)) &\equiv \operatorname{ack}(m,\operatorname{ack}(\operatorname{succ}(m),n)), \end{aligned}$$

is definable using only  $rec_N$ .

**Exercise 1.11 (p. 56)** Show that for any type A, we have  $\neg \neg \neg A \rightarrow \neg A$ .

**Solution** Suppose that  $\neg\neg\neg A$  and A. Supposing further that  $\neg A$ , we get a contradiction with the second assumption, so  $\neg\neg A$ . But this contradicts the first assumption that  $\neg\neg\neg A$ , so  $\neg A$ . Discharging the first assumption gives  $\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$ .

In type-theoretic terms, the first assumption is  $x : ((A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$ , and the second is a : A. If we further assume that  $h : A \to \mathbf{0}$ , then  $h(a) : \mathbf{0}$ , so discharging the h gives

$$\lambda(h:A\to\mathbf{0}).h(a):(A\to\mathbf{0})\to\mathbf{0}$$

But then we have

$$x(\lambda(h:A\to\mathbf{0}).h(a)):\mathbf{0}$$

so discharging the a gives

$$\lambda(a:A).x(\lambda(h:A\to\mathbf{0}).h(a)):A\to\mathbf{0}$$

And discharging the first assumption gives

$$\lambda(x:((A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}).\lambda(a:A).x(\lambda(h:A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}).h(a)):(((A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0})$$

This is automatic for Coq, though not trivial One nice thing is that we can get a proof out of Coq by printing this Goal. It returns

```
fun (A : Type) (X : ~~~ A) (XO : A) \Rightarrow X (fun X1 : A \rightarrow Empty \Rightarrow X1 X0) : forall A : Type, ~~~ A
```

**Exercise 1.12 (p. 56)** Using the propositions as types interpretation, derive the following tautologies.

- (i) If *A*, then (if *B* then *A*).
- (ii) If *A*, then not (not *A*).
- (iii) If (not A or not B), then not (A and B).

**Solution** (i) Suppose that *A* and *B*; then *A*. Discharging the assumptions,  $A \to B \to A$ . That is, we have

$$\lambda(a:A).\lambda(b:B).a:A\to B\to A$$

and in Coq,

(ii) Suppose that A. Supposing further that  $\neg A$  gives a contradiction, so  $\neg \neg A$ . That is,

$$\lambda(a:A).\lambda(f:A\to\mathbf{0}).f(a):A\to(A\to\mathbf{0})\to\mathbf{0}$$

(iii) Finally, suppose  $\neg A \lor \neg B$ . Supposing further that  $A \land B$  means that A and that B. There are two cases. If  $\neg A$ , then we have a contradiction; but also if  $\neg B$  we have a contradiction. Thus  $\neg (A \land B)$ .

Type-theoretically, we assume that  $x : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0})$  and  $z : A \times B$ . Conjunction elimination gives  $\operatorname{pr}_1 z : A$  and  $\operatorname{pr}_2 z : B$ . We can now perform a case analysis. Suppose that  $x_A : A \to \mathbf{0}$ ; then  $x_A(\operatorname{pr}_1 z) : \mathbf{0}$ , a contradicton; if instead  $x_B : B \to \mathbf{0}$ , then  $x_B(\operatorname{pr}_2 z) : \mathbf{0}$ . By the recursion principle for the coproduct, then,

$$f(z) :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{(A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0})}(\mathbf{0}, \lambda x. x(\mathsf{pr}_1 z), \lambda x. x(\mathsf{pr}_2 z)) : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

Discharging the assumption that  $A \times B$  is inhabited, we have

$$f: A \times B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) + (B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

So

$$swap(A \times B, (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) + (B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, f) : (A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) + (B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow A \times B \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

**Exercise 1.13 (p. 57)** Using propositions-as-types, derive the double negation of the principle of excluded middle, i.e. prove *not* (*not* (*P or not P*)).

**Solution** Suppose that  $\neg(P \lor \neg P)$ . Then, assuming P, we have  $P \lor \neg P$  by disjunction introduction, a contradiction. Hence  $\neg P$ . But disjunction introduction on this again gives  $P \lor \neg P$ , a contradiction. So we must reject the remaining assumption, giving  $\neg \neg(P \lor \neg P)$ .

In type-theoretic terms, the initial assumption is that  $g: P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$ . Assuming p: P, disjunction introduction results in  $\mathsf{inl}(p): P + (P \to \mathbf{0})$ . But then  $g(\mathsf{inl}(p)): \mathbf{0}$ , so we discharge the assumption of p: P to get

$$\lambda(p:P).g(\mathsf{inl}(p)):P\to\mathbf{0}$$

Applying disjunction introduction again leads to contradiction, as

$$g(\operatorname{inr}(\lambda(p:P).g(\operatorname{inl}(p)))): \mathbf{0}$$

So we must reject the assumption of  $\neg (P \lor \neg P)$ , giving the result:

$$\lambda(g: P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}). g(\mathsf{inr}(\lambda(p: P). g(\mathsf{inl}(p)))) : (P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

Finally, in Coq,

**Exercise 1.14 (p. 57)** Why do the induction principles for identity types not allow us to construct a function  $f: \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(p:x=x)} (p = \text{refl}_x)$  with the defining equation

$$f(x, refl_x) :\equiv refl_{refl_x}$$
 ?

Exercise 1.15 (p. 57) Show that indiscernability of identicals follows from path induction.