Exercises from the *HoTT Book*

John Dougherty

June 28, 2014

Introduction

The following are solutions to (eventually all of) the exercises from *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. The Coq code given alongside the by-hand solutions requires the HoTT version of Coq, available at the HoTT github repository. It will be assumed throughout that it has been imported by

```
Require Import HoTT.
```

The introduction to Coq from the HoTT repo is assumed. Each exercise has its own `Section` in the Coq file, so `Context` declarations don't extend beyond the exercise—and sometimes they're even more restricted than that.

1 Type Theory

Exercise 1.1 (p. 56) Given functions $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$, define their **composite** $g \circ f : A \to C$. Show that we have $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f$.

Solution Define $g \circ f :\equiv \lambda(x : A).\, g(f(x))$. Then if $h : C \to D$, we have

$$h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv \lambda(x : A).\, h((g \circ f)x) \equiv \lambda(x : A).\, h((\lambda(y : A).\, g(fy))x) \equiv \lambda(x : A).\, h(g(fx))$$

and

$$(h \circ g) \circ f \equiv \lambda(x : A).\, (h \circ g)(fx) \equiv \lambda(x : A).\, (\lambda(y : A).\, h(gy))(fx) \equiv \lambda(x : A).\, h(g(fx))$$

So $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f$. In Coq, we have

⟨*ex01.v*⟩≡

```
Definition compose {A B C:Type} (g : B → C) (f : A → B) :=
    fun x ⇒ g (f x).

Theorem compose_assoc : forall (A B C D : Type) (f : A → B) (g : B→ C) (h : C → D),
    compose h (compose g f) = compose (compose h g) f.
Proof.
  trivial.
Qed.
```

Exercise 1.2 (p. 56) Derive the recursion principle for products $\mathrm{rec}_{A\times B}$ using only the projections, and verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Do the same for Σ-types.

Solution The recursion principle states that we can define a function $f : A \times B \to C$ by giving its value on pairs. Suppose that we have projection functions $\mathsf{pr}_1 : A \times B \to A$ and $\mathsf{pr}_2 : A \times B \to B$. Then we can define a function of type

$$\mathsf{rec}_{A\times B} : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} (A \to B \to C) \to A \times B \to C$$

in terms of these projections as follows

$$\mathsf{rec}'_{A\times B}(C, g, p) :\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p)$$

or, in Coq,

⟨*ex02a.v*⟩≡
```
Definition recprod (C : Type) (g : A → B → C) (p : A * B) :=
  g (fst p) (snd p).
```

We must then show that

$$\mathsf{rec}'_{A\times B}(C, g, (a, b)) \equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a, b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a, b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

which in Coq is also trivial:

⟨*ex02a.v*⟩+≡
```
Goal forall C g a b, recprod C g (a, b) = g a b. trivial. Qed.
```

Now for the Σ-types. Here we have a projection

$$\mathsf{pr}_1 : \left(\sum_{x:A} B(x)\right) \to A$$

and another

$$\mathsf{pr}_2 : \prod_{p:\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} B(\mathsf{pr}_1(p))$$

Define a function of type

$$\mathsf{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} B(x) \to C\right) \to \left(\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)\right) \to C$$

by

$$\mathsf{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C, g, p) :\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p)$$

⟨*ex02b.v*⟩≡
```
Definition recsm (C : Type) (g : forall (x : A), B x → C) (p : exists (x : A), B x) :=
  g (projT1 p) (projT2 p).
```

We then verify that

$$\mathsf{rec}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C, g, (a, b)) \equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a, b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a, b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

which is again trivial in Coq:

⟨*ex02b.v*⟩+≡
```
Goal forall C g a b, recsm C g (a; b) = g a b. trivial. Qed.
```

Exercise 1.3 (p. 56) Derive the induction principle for products $\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}$ using only the projections and the propositional uniqueness principle uppt. Verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Generalize uppt to Σ-types, and do the same for Σ-types.

Solution The induction principle has type

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B} : \prod_{C:A\times B\to\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y)) \right) \to \prod_{z:A\times B} C(z)$$

For a first pass, we can define

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,z) :\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1 z)(\mathsf{pr}_2 z)$$

However, we have $g(\mathsf{pr}_1 x)(\mathsf{pr}_1 x) : C((\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x))$, so the type of this $\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}$ is

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B} : \prod_{C:A\times B\to\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y)) \right) \to \prod_{z:A\times B} C((\mathsf{pr}_1 z, \mathsf{pr}_2 z))$$

To define $\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}$ with the correct type, we need the transport operation from the next chapter. The uniqueness principle for $A \times B$ is

$$\mathsf{uppt} : \prod_{x:A\times B} \left((\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x) =_{A\times B} x \right)$$

By the transport principle, there is a function

$$(\mathsf{uppt}\, x)_* : C((\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)) \to C(x)$$

so

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,z) :\equiv (\mathsf{uppt}\, z)_*(g(\mathsf{pr}_1 z)(\mathsf{pr}_2 z))$$

has the right type. In Coq we first define uppt, then use it with transport to give our $\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}$.

⟨*ex03a.v*⟩≡
```
Definition uppt (x : A * B) : (fst x, snd x) = x.
  destruct x; reflexivity.
Defined.

Definition indprd (C : A * B → Type) (g : forall (x:A) (y:B), C (x, y)) (z : A * B) :=
      (uppt z) # (g (fst z) (snd z)).
```

We now have to show that

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

Unfolding the left gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\mathsf{uppt}\,(a,b))_*(g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b))) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\mathsf{uppt}((a,b)))(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\mathsf{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\mathsf{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{id}_{C((a,b))}(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv g(a)(b)
\end{aligned}$$

which was to be proved. In Coq, it's as trivial as always:

⟨*ex03a.v*⟩+≡
```
Goal forall C g a b, indprd C g (a, b) = g a b. trivial. Qed.
```

For Σ-types, we define

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} : \prod_{C:(\sum_{(x:A)} B(x))\to\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(a:A)}\prod_{(b:B(a))} C((a,b))\right) \to \prod_{p:\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} C(p)$$

at first pass by

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p)$$

We encounter a similar problem as before. We need a uniqueness principle for Σ-types, which would be a function

$$\mathsf{upst} : \prod_{p:\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} \left((\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p) =_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)} p\right)$$

As for product types, we can define

$$\mathsf{upst}((a,b)) :\equiv \mathsf{refl}_{(a,b)}$$

which is well-typed, since $\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b) \equiv a$ and $\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b) \equiv b$. Thus, we can write

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,p) :\equiv (\mathsf{upst}\, p)_*(g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 b)).$$

and in Coq,

⟨*ex03b.v*⟩≡
```
Definition upst (p : {x:A & B x}) : (projT1 p; projT2 p) = p.
  destruct p; reflexivity.
Defined.

Definition indsm (C : {x:A & B x} → Type) (g : forall (a:A) (b:B a), C (a; b))
                 (p : {x:A & B x}) :=
    (upst p) # (g (projT1 p) (projT2 p)).
```

Now we must verify that

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) \equiv g(a)(b)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\mathsf{uppt}\,(a,b))_*(g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b))) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{=_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\mathsf{uppt}\,(a,b))(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{=_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\mathsf{refl}_{(a,b)})(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{id}_{C((a,b))}(g(a)(b)) \\
&\equiv g(a)(b)
\end{aligned}$$

which Coq finds trivial:

⟨*ex03b.v*⟩+≡
```
Goal forall C g a b, indsm C g (a; b) = g a b. trivial. Qed.
```

Exercise 1.4 (p. 56) Assuming as given only the *iterator* for natural numbers

$$\mathsf{iter} : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \to (C \to C) \to \mathbb{N} \to C$$

with the defining equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, 0) &:\equiv c_0, \\ \mathsf{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, \mathsf{succ}(n)) &:\equiv c_s(\mathsf{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, n)), \end{aligned}$$

derive a function having the type of the recursor $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Show that the defining equations of the recursor hold propositionally for this function, using the induction principle for $\mathbb{N}$.

Solution Fix some $C : \mathcal{U}$, $c_0 : C$, and $c_s : \mathbb{N} \to C \to C$. $\mathsf{iter}(C)$ allows for the n-fold application of a single function to a single input from C, whereas $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$ allows each application to depend on n, as well. Since n just tracks how many applications we've done, we can construct n on the fly, iterating over elements of $\mathbb{N} \times C$. So we will use the iterator

$$\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C} : \mathbb{N} \times C \to (\mathbb{N} \times C \to \mathbb{N} \times C) \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times C$$

to derive a function

$$\Phi : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \to (\mathbb{N} \to C \to C) \to \mathbb{N} \to C$$

which has the same type as $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

The first argument of $\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}$ is the starting point, which we'll make $(0, c_0)$. The second input takes an element of $\mathbb{N} \times C$ as an argument and uses c_s to construct a new element of $\mathbb{N} \times C$. We can use the first and second elements of the pair as arguments for c_s, and we'll use succ to advance the second argument, representing the number of steps taken. This gives the function

$$\lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)) : \mathbb{N} \times C \to \mathbb{N} \times C$$

for the second input to $\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}$. The third input is just n, which we can pass through. Plugging these in gives

$$\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0, c_0), \lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), n\big) : \mathbb{N} \times C$$

from which we need to extract an element of C. This is easily done with the projection operator, so we have

$$\Phi_C(c_0, c_s, n) :\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\Big(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0, c_0), \lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), n\big)\Big)$$

which has the same type as $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$. In Coq we first define the iterator and then our alternative recursor:

Now to show that the defining equations hold propositionally for Φ. First we need a small calculation about how the first element of the pair advances through iteration. That is, we will be interested in the function

$$\Theta(n) :\equiv \mathsf{pr}_1\Big(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0, c_0), \lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), n\big)\Big)$$

We show that $\Theta(n) =_{\mathbb{N}} n$ is inhabited for all n, by induction. For the family

$$E(n) :\equiv (\Theta(n) =_{\mathbb{N}} n)$$

The induction principle for $\mathbb{N}$ gives us a function

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(E) : E(0) \to \Big(\textstyle\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} E(n) \to E(\mathsf{succ}(n))\Big) \to \textstyle\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} E(n)$$

which is just a functional version of usual notion of induction on $\mathbb{N}$. So for the base case, we show that

$$\Theta(0) \equiv \mathsf{pr}_1\Big(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0, c_0), \lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), 0\big)\Big) \equiv \mathsf{pr}_1(0, c_0) \equiv 0$$

Thus $\mathsf{refl}_0 : (\Theta(0) =_{\mathbb{N}} 0) \equiv E(0)$. For the induction step, we suppose that $n : \mathbb{N}$ and that $\mathsf{refl}_n : E(n)$. Unravelling the definition a bit, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{pr}_1\Big(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0, c_0), \lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), \mathsf{succ}(n)\big)\Big) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{pr}_1(\mathsf{succ}(\Theta(n)), c_s(\Theta(n), \Phi_C(c_0, c_s, n))) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{succ}(\Theta(n))
\end{aligned}$$

From which we conclude that $\mathsf{refl}_{\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))} : \Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) =_{\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{succ}(\Theta(n))$. To replace the $\Theta(n)$ on the right hand side of this equality, we use refl_n and the indiscernability of identicals. We have the family

$$F(m) :\equiv (\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) =_{\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{succ}(m))$$

and the indiscernability of identicals gives us a function

$$f : \prod_{(x,y,\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(p:x=_{\mathbb{N}}y)} F(x) \to F(y)$$

on the basis of this. Plugging in the appropriate arguments, we obtain

$$f\left(\Theta(n), n, \mathsf{refl}_n, \mathsf{refl}_{\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))}\right) : F(n) \equiv (\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)) =_{\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{succ}(n)) \equiv E(\mathsf{succ}(n))$$

So, discharging the assumption of the induction step,

$$e :\equiv \lambda n.\, \lambda \mathsf{refl}_n.\, f\left(\Theta(n), n, \mathsf{refl}_n, \mathsf{refl}_{\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))}\right) : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} E(n) \to E(\mathsf{succ}(n))$$

thus

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(E, \mathsf{refl}_0, e) : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} E(n) \equiv \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} (\Theta(n) =_{\mathbb{N}} n)$$

We're now prepared to show that the definitional equalities hold propositionally for Φ. To do this, we must show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_C(c_0, c_s, 0) =_C c_0 \\
&\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \Big(\Phi_C(c_0, c_s, \mathsf{succ}(n)) =_C c_s(n, \Phi_C(c_0, c_s, n))\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

are inhabited. Since C, c_0, and c_s are fixed, define

$$\Psi(n) :\equiv \Phi_C(c_0, c_s, n)$$

for brevity. The first equality is straightforward:

$$\Psi(0) \equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\Big(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0, c_0), \lambda x.\, (\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), 0\big)\Big) \equiv \mathsf{pr}_2(0, c_0) \equiv c_0$$

So $\mathsf{refl}_{\Psi(0)} : \Psi(0) =_C c_0$. This establishes the first equality.

Given the family

$$G(n) :\equiv \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)) =_C c_s(n, \Psi(n)),$$

the induction principle for $\mathbb{N}$ gives us a function

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(G) : G(0) \to \left(\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} G(n) \to G(\mathsf{succ}(n))\right) \to \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} G(n)$$

In the base case,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi(1)\\
&\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\left(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0), \lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), 1\big)\right)\\
&\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\Big(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0)), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1(0,c_0), \mathsf{pr}_2(0,c_0))\Big)\\
&\equiv c_s(0,c_0)
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathsf{refl}_{\Psi(1)} : G(0)$. Now suppose that $n : \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathsf{refl}_{\Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n))} : G(n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n))\\
&\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\left(\mathsf{iter}_{\mathbb{N}\times C}\big((0,c_0), \lambda x.\,(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{pr}_1 x), c_s(\mathsf{pr}_1 x, \mathsf{pr}_2 x)), \mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n))\big)\right)\\
&\equiv \mathsf{pr}_2\Big(\mathsf{succ}(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n))), c_s(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)), \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)))\Big)\\
&\equiv c_s(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)), \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)))
\end{aligned}$$

We can again use the indiscernability of identicals here. Define the family

$$H(m) :\equiv \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n))) =_C c_s(m, \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)))$$

Then the indiscernability of identicals gives us a function

$$h : \prod_{(m,i:\mathbb{N})} \prod_{(p:m=_{\mathbb{N}} i)} H(m) \to H(i)$$

and

$$h(\Theta(\mathsf{succ}(n)), \mathsf{succ}(n), \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(E, \mathsf{refl}_0, g, \mathsf{succ}(n))) : \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(n))) =_C c_s(\mathsf{succ}(n), \Psi(\mathsf{succ}(n)))$$

Abstracting out the context, we obtain an object

$$j : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} G(n) \to G(\mathsf{succ}(n))$$

So

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(G, \mathsf{refl}_{\Psi(1)}, j) : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} G(n) \equiv \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \left(\Phi_C(c_0, c_s, \mathsf{succ}(n)) =_C c_s(n, \Phi_C(c_0, c_s, n))\right)$$

Thus proving the second equality propositionally.

In Coq, we can repeat this proof, but there's surely a better way.

Exercise 1.5 (p. 56) Show that if we define $A + B :\equiv \sum_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$, then we can give a definition of ind_{A+B} for which the definitional equalities stated in §1.7 hold.

Solution Define $A + B$ as stated. We need to define a function of type

$$\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B} : \prod_{C:(A+B)\to\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(a:A)} C(\mathsf{inl}(a))\right) \to \left(\prod_{(b:B)} C(\mathsf{inr}(b))\right) \to \prod_{(x:A+B)} C(x)$$

which means that we also need to define $\mathsf{inl}' : A \to A + B$ and $\mathsf{inr}' B \to A + B$; these are

$$\mathsf{inl}'(a) :\equiv (0_{\mathbf{2}}, a) \qquad\qquad \mathsf{inr}'(b) :\equiv (1_{\mathbf{2}}, b)$$

In Coq, we can use `sigT` to define `coprd` as a Σ-type: Suppose that $C : A + B \to \mathcal{U}$, $g_0 : \prod_{(a:A)} C(\mathsf{inl}'(a))$, $g_1 : \prod_{(b:B)} C(\mathsf{inr}'(b))$, and $x : A + B$; we're looking to define

$$\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, x)$$

We will use $\mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)}$, and for notational convenience will write $\Phi :\equiv \sum_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$. ind_Φ has signature

$$\mathsf{ind}_\Phi : \prod_{C:(\Phi)\to\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \prod_{(y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{(p:\Phi)} C(p)$$

So

$$\mathsf{ind}_\Phi(C) : \left(\prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \prod_{(y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))\right) \to \prod_{(p:\Phi)} C(p)$$

To obtain something of type $\prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \prod_{(y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))$ we'll have to use $\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}$. In particular, for $B(x) :\equiv \prod_{(y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))$ we have

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(B) : B(0_{\mathbf{2}}) \to B(1_{\mathbf{2}}) \to \prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} B(x)$$

along with

$$g_0 : \prod_{a:A} C(\mathsf{inl}'(a)) \equiv \prod_{a:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_{\mathbf{2}})} C((0_{\mathbf{2}}, a)) \equiv B(0_{\mathbf{2}})$$

and similarly for g_1. So

$$\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(B, g_0, g_1) : \prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \prod_{(y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x))} C((x,y))$$

which is just what we needed for ind_Φ. So we define

$$\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, x) :\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C, \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}} \left(\prod_{y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)), g_0, g_1\right), x\right)$$

and, in Coq, we use `sigT_rect`, which is the built-in $\lambda A.\, \lambda B.\, \mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:A)} B(x)}$:

Now we must show that the definitional equalities

$$\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \mathsf{inl}'(a)) \equiv g_0(a)$$
$$\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \mathsf{inr}'(b)) \equiv g_1(b)$$

hold. For the first, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \mathsf{inl}'(a)) &\equiv \mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, (0_{\mathbf{2}}, a)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C, \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}} \left(\prod_{y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)), g_0, g_1 \right), (0_{\mathbf{2}}, a) \right) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}} \left(\prod_{y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)), g_0, g_1, 0_{\mathbf{2}} \right) (a) \\
&\equiv g_0(a)
\end{aligned}$$

and for the second,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, \mathsf{inr}'(b)) &\equiv \mathsf{ind}'_{A+B}(C, g_0, g_1, (1_{\mathbf{2}}, b)) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\sum_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \left(C, \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}} \left(\prod_{y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)), g_0, g_1 \right), (1_{\mathbf{2}}, b) \right) \\
&\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}} \left(\prod_{y:\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} C((x,y)), g_0, g_1, 1_{\mathbf{2}} \right) (b) \\
&\equiv g_1(b)
\end{aligned}$$

Trivial calculations, as Coq can attest:

Exercise 1.6 (p. 56) Show that if we define $A \times B :\equiv \prod_{(x:\mathbf{2})} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$, then we can give a definition of $\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}$ for which the definitional equalities stated in §1.5 hold propositionally (i.e. using equality types).

Solution Define

$$A \times B :\equiv \prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$$

Supposing that $a : A$ and $b : B$, we have an element $(a, b) : A \times B$ given by

$$(a, b) :\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), a, b)$$

Defining this type and constructor in Coq, we have

An induction principle for $A \times B$ will, given a family $C : A \times B \to \mathcal{U}$ and a function

$$g : \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C((x,y)),$$

give a function $f : \prod_{(x:A\times B)} C(x)$ defined by

$$f((x,y)) :\equiv g(x)(y)$$

So suppose that we have such a C and g. Writing things out in terms of the definitions, we have

$$C : \left(\prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x) \right) \to \mathcal{U}$$
$$g : \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(y:B)} C(\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), x, y))$$

We can define projections by

$$\mathsf{pr}_1 p :\equiv p(0_{\mathbf{2}}) \qquad\qquad \mathsf{pr}_2 p :\equiv p(1_{\mathbf{2}})$$

Since p is an element of a dependent type, we have

$$p(0_{\mathbf{2}}) : \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, 0_{\mathbf{2}}) \equiv A$$
$$p(1_{\mathbf{2}}) : \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, 1_{\mathbf{2}}) \equiv B$$

which checks out. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p) &: C(\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), (\mathsf{pr}_1 p), (\mathsf{pr}_2 p))) \\ &\equiv C(\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), (\mathsf{pr}_1 p), (\mathsf{pr}_2 p))) \\ &\equiv C((p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}}))) \end{aligned}$$

So we have defined a function

$$f' : \prod_{p:A\times B} C((p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}})))$$

But we need one of the type

$$f : \prod_{p:A\times B} C(p)$$

To solve this problem, we need to appeal to function extensionality from §2.9. This implies that there is a function

$$\mathsf{funext} : \prod_{f,g:A\times B} \left(\prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} (f(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} g(x)) \right) \to (f =_{A\times B} g)$$

So, consider

$$\mathsf{funext}(p, (\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p))) : \left(\prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}}))(x)) \right) \to (p =_{A\times B} (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}})))$$

We just need to show that the antecedent is inhabited, which we can do with $\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}$. So consider the family

$$\begin{aligned} E &:\equiv \lambda(x:\mathbf{2}).\, (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}}))(x))) \\ &\equiv \lambda(x:\mathbf{2}).\, (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}}), x)) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(0_{\mathbf{2}}) &\equiv (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_{\mathbf{2}})} \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B), p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}}), 0_{\mathbf{2}})) \\ &\equiv (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,0_{\mathbf{2}})} p(0_{\mathbf{2}})) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathsf{refl}_{p(0_{\mathbf{2}})} : E(0_{\mathbf{2}})$. The same argument goes through to show that $\mathsf{refl}_{p(1_{\mathbf{2}})} : E(1_{\mathbf{2}})$. This means that

$$h :\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{\mathbf{2}}(E, \mathsf{refl}_{p(0_{\mathbf{2}})}, \mathsf{refl}_{p(1_{\mathbf{2}})}) : \prod_{x:\mathbf{2}} (p(x) =_{\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathcal{U},A,B,x)} (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}})))$$

and thus

$$\mathsf{funext}(p, (\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p), h) : p =_{A\times B} (p(0_{\mathbf{2}}), p(1_{\mathbf{2}}))$$

So, by the transport principle, there is a function

$$(\mathsf{funext}(p, (\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p), h))_* : C((\mathsf{pr}_1 p, \mathsf{pr}_2 p)) \to C(p)$$

and we may define

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,p) :\equiv (\mathsf{funext}(p,(\mathsf{pr}_1 p,\mathsf{pr}_2 p),h))_*(g(\mathsf{pr}_1 p)(\mathsf{pr}_2 p))$$

In Coq we can repeat this construction using `Funext`.

Now, we must show that the definitional equality holds propositionally. That is, we must show that the type

$$\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) =_{C((a,b))} g(a)(b)$$

is inhabited. Unfolding the left hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{ind}_{A\times B}(C,g,(a,b)) &\equiv (\mathsf{funext}((a,b),(\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b),\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b)),h))_*(g(\mathsf{pr}_1(a,b))(\mathsf{pr}_2(a,b)))\\ &\equiv (\mathsf{funext}((a,b),(a,b),h))_*(g(a)(b))\\ &\equiv \mathsf{ind}_{=_{A\times B}}(D,d,(a,b),(a,b),\mathsf{funext}((a,b),(a,b),h))(g(a)(b))\end{aligned}$$

where $D(x,y,\mathsf{funext}((a,b),(a,b),h)) :\equiv C(x)\to C(y)$ and

$$d :\equiv \lambda x.\,\mathsf{id}_{C(x)} : \prod_{x:A\times B} D(x,x,\mathsf{refl}_x)$$

But the defining equality of

Exercise 1.7 (p. 56) Give an alternative derivation of $\mathsf{ind}'_{=_A}$ from $\mathsf{ind}_{=_A}$ which avoids the use of universes.

Exercise 1.8 (p. 56) Define multiplication and exponentiation using $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Verify that $(\mathbb{N},+,0,\times,1)$ is a semiring using only $\mathsf{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Solution For multiplication, we need to construct a function $\mathsf{mult}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$. Defined with pattern-matching, we would have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{mult}(0,m) &:\equiv 0\\ \mathsf{mult}(\mathsf{succ}(n),m) &:\equiv m+\mathsf{mult}(n,m)\end{aligned}$$

so in terms of $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$\mathsf{mult} :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N},\lambda n.\,0,\lambda n.\,\lambda g.\,\lambda m.\,\mathsf{add}(m,g(m)))$$

For exponentiation, we have the function $\mathsf{exp}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$, with the intention that $\mathsf{exp}(e,b)=b^e$. In terms of pattern matching,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{exp}(0,b) &:\equiv 1\\ \mathsf{exp}(\mathsf{succ}(e),b) &:\equiv \mathsf{mult}(b,\mathsf{exp}(e,b))\end{aligned}$$

or, in terms of $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\mathsf{exp} :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N},\lambda n.\,1,\lambda n.\,\lambda g.\,\lambda m.\,\mathsf{mult}(m,g(m)))$$

In Coq, we can define these by

To verify that $(\mathbb{N},+,0,\times,1)$ is a semiring, we need stuff from Chapter 2.

Exercise 1.9 (p. 56) Define the type family $\mathsf{Fin}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathcal{U}$ mentioned at the end of §1.3, and the dependent function $\mathsf{fmax}:\prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})}\mathsf{Fin}(n+1)$ mentioned in §1.4.

Solution $\mathsf{Fin}(n)$ is a type with exactly n elements. Essentially, we want to recreate $\mathbb{N}$ using types; so we will replace 0 with $\mathbf{0}$ and succ with a coproduct. So we define Fin recursively:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Fin}(0) &:\equiv \mathbf{0}\\ \mathsf{Fin}(\mathsf{succ}(n)) &:\equiv \mathsf{Fin}(n) + \mathbf{1}\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathsf{Fin} :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}, \lambda C.\, C + \mathbf{1})$$

Exercise 1.10 (p. 56) Show that the Ackermann function $\mathsf{ack} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{ack}(0, n) &\equiv \mathsf{succ}(n),\\ \mathsf{ack}(\mathsf{succ}(m), 0) &\equiv \mathsf{ack}(m, 1),\\ \mathsf{ack}(\mathsf{succ}(m), \mathsf{succ}(n)) &\equiv \mathsf{ack}(m, \mathsf{ack}(\mathsf{succ}(m), n)),\end{aligned}$$

is definable using only $\mathsf{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Exercise 1.11 (p. 56) Show that for any type A, we have $\neg\neg\neg A \to \neg A$.

Solution Suppose that $\neg\neg\neg A$ and A. Supposing further that $\neg A$, we get a contradiction with the second assumption, so $\neg\neg A$. But this contradicts the first assumption that $\neg\neg\neg A$, so $\neg A$. Discharging the first assumption gives $\neg\neg\neg A \to \neg A$.

In type-theoretic terms, the first assumption is $x : ((A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$, and the second is $a : A$. If we further assume that $h : A \to \mathbf{0}$, then $h(a) : \mathbf{0}$, so discharging the h gives

$$\lambda(h : A \to \mathbf{0}).\, h(a) : (A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

But then we have

$$x(\lambda(h : A \to \mathbf{0}).\, h(a)) : \mathbf{0}$$

so discharging the a gives

$$\lambda(a : A).\, x(\lambda(h : A \to \mathbf{0}).\, h(a)) : A \to \mathbf{0}$$

And discharging the first assumption gives

$$\lambda(x : ((A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}).\, \lambda(a : A).\, x(\lambda(h : A \to \mathbf{0}).\, h(a)) : (((A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to (A \to \mathbf{0})$$

This is automatic for Coq, though not trivial One nice thing is that we can get a proof out of Coq by printing this `Goal`. It returns

```
fun (A : Type) (X : ~ ~ ~ A) (X0 : A) ⇒ X (fun X1 : A → Empty ⇒ X1 X0)
    : forall A : Type, ~ ~ ~ A → ~ A
```

Exercise 1.12 (p. 56) Using the propositions as types interpretation, derive the following tautologies.

(i) If A, then (if B then A).
(ii) If A, then not (not A).
(iii) If (not A or not B), then not (A and B).

Solution (i) Suppose that A and B; then A. Discharging the assumptions, $A \to B \to A$. That is, we have

$$\lambda(a:A).\,\lambda(b:B).\,a : A \to B \to A$$

and in Coq,

(ii) Suppose that A. Supposing further that $\neg A$ gives a contradiction, so $\neg\neg A$. That is,

$$\lambda(a:A).\,\lambda(f:A \to \mathbf{0}).\,f(a) : A \to (A \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

(iii) Finally, suppose $\neg A \vee \neg B$. Supposing further that $A \wedge B$ means that A and that B. There are two cases. If $\neg A$, then we have a contradiction; but also if $\neg B$ we have a contradiction. Thus $\neg(A \wedge B)$.

Type-theoretically, we assume that $x : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0})$ and $z : A \times B$. Conjunction elimination gives $\mathsf{pr}_1 z : A$ and $\mathsf{pr}_2 z : B$. We can now perform a case analysis. Suppose that $x_A : A \to \mathbf{0}$; then $x_A(\mathsf{pr}_1 z) : \mathbf{0}$, a contradicton; if instead $x_B : B \to \mathbf{0}$, then $x_B(\mathsf{pr}_2 z) : \mathbf{0}$. By the recursion principle for the coproduct, then,

$$f(z) :\equiv \mathsf{rec}_{(A\to\mathbf{0})+(B\to\mathbf{0})}(\mathbf{0}, \lambda x.\, x(\mathsf{pr}_1 z), \lambda x.\, x(\mathsf{pr}_2 z)) : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

Discharging the assumption that $A \times B$ is inhabited, we have

$$f : A \times B \to (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

So

$$\mathsf{swap}(A \times B, (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, f) : (A \to \mathbf{0}) + (B \to \mathbf{0}) \to A \times B \to \mathbf{0}$$

Exercise 1.13 (p. 57) Using propositions-as-types, derive the double negation of the principle of excluded middle, i.e. prove *not (not (P or not P))*.

Solution Suppose that $\neg(P \vee \neg P)$. Then, assuming P, we have $P \vee \neg P$ by disjunction introduction, a contradiction. Hence $\neg P$. But disjunction introduction on this again gives $P \vee \neg P$, a contradiction. So we must reject the remaining assumption, giving $\neg\neg(P \vee \neg P)$.

In type-theoretic terms, the initial assumption is that $g : P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$. Assuming $p : P$, disjunction introduction results in $\mathsf{inl}(p) : P + (P \to \mathbf{0})$. But then $g(\mathsf{inl}(p)) : \mathbf{0}$, so we discharge the assumption of $p : P$ to get

$$\lambda(p:P).\,g(\mathsf{inl}(p)) : P \to \mathbf{0}$$

Applying disjunction introduction again leads to contradiction, as

$$g(\mathsf{inr}(\lambda(p:P).\,g(\mathsf{inl}(p)))) : \mathbf{0}$$

So we must reject the assumption of $\neg(P \vee \neg P)$, giving the result:

$$\lambda(g:P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}).\,g(\mathsf{inr}(\lambda(p:P).\,g(\mathsf{inl}(p)))) : (P + (P \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}) \to \mathbf{0}$$

Finally, in Coq,

Exercise 1.14 (p. 57) Why do the induction principles for identity types not allow us to construct a function $f : \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(p:x=x)} (p = \mathsf{refl}_x)$ with the defining equation

$$f(x, \mathsf{refl}_x) :\equiv \mathsf{refl}_{\mathsf{refl}_x} \qquad ?$$

Exercise 1.15 (p. 57) Show that indiscernability of identicals follows from path induction.

Complete Chapter 1 source

⟨*chap01.v*⟩≡

```
Require Import HoTT.

Section Exercise1.

  ⟨ex01.v⟩
End Exercise1.

Section Exercise2a.
  Context {A B : Type}.

  ⟨ex02a.v⟩
End Exercise2a.

Section Exercise2b.
  Context {A : Type}.
  Context {B : A → Type}.

  ⟨ex02b.v⟩
End Exercise2b.

Section Exercise3a.
  Context {A B : Type}.

  ⟨ex03a.v⟩
End Exercise3a.

Section Exercise3b.
  Context {A : Type}.
  Context {B : A → Type}.

  ⟨ex03b.v⟩
End Exercise3b.
```