	Assignment 5a - Deep Learning
	In this assignment, you will
	 Load cifar10 image dataset from TensorFlow and process it. Build an image classifier based on the given model structure. Train the model and plot the learning curves with respect to the number of epochs.
	 Evaluate the model on the test set. Report performance metrics. Discuss your findings. Optional not graded) Try different model structure or parameters, report your results and discuss your findings.
	6. (Optional - not graded) Try different model structure or parameters, report your results and discuss your findings. Your Information
	Name: Jane Downer
	CWID: A20452471 Section: 02
In [<pre>import tensorflow as tf from tensorflow.keras import datasets, layers, models from tensorflow.keras.models import Sequential from tensorflow.keras.layers import Conv2D, Dense, Flatten, MaxPool2D, AveragePooling2D from tensorflow.keras.optimizers import Adam from time import time import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from sklearn.metrics import classification_report</pre>
	Dataset
	Download the dataset from TensorFlow using tf.keras.datasets.cifar10.load_data() function. https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/datasets/cifar10/load_data Normalize pixel values (between 0 and 255) to be between 0 and 1.
	Note: this part of the code has already been provided.
In [<pre>(train_images, y_train), (test_images, y_test) = datasets.cifar10.load_data() X_train, X_test = train_images/255.0, test_images/255.0</pre>
	Model Structure
	Use Sequential API (https://www.tensorflow.org/guide/keras/sequential_model) to build a model with the following structure: 1. Conv2D Layer (128 filters, 3*3 kernel, 1*1 strides, 'same' padding, 'relu' activation)
	2. MaxPool2D Layer (2*2 pool size)
	3. Conv2D Layer (64 filters, 3*3 kernel, 1*1 strides, 'same' padding, 'relu' activation)
	 MaxPool2D Layer (2*2 pool size) Conv2D Layer (32 filters, 3*3 kernel, 1*1 strides, 'same' padding, 'relu' activation)
	6. AveragePooling2D Layer (3*3 pool size)
	7. Flatten Layer8. Dense Layer (32 units, 'relu' activation)
	9. Dense Layer (10 units, 'softmax' activation)
In [# TODO
	<pre>model = models.Sequential() model.add(layers.Conv2D(filters=128,</pre>
	<pre>padding='same',</pre>
	<pre>strides=(1,1), padding='same', activation='relu'))</pre>
	<pre>model.add(layers.MaxPool2D(pool_size=(2,2))) model.add(layers.Conv2D(filters=32,</pre>
	<pre>activation='relu')) model.add(layers.AveragePooling2D(pool_size=(2,2))) model.add(Flatten()) model.add(tf.keras.layers.Dense(</pre>
	<pre>units=32,</pre>
	Metal device set to: Apple M1 Pro 2022-04-03 21:58:39.393952: I tensorflow/core/common_runtime/pluggable_device_factory.cc:305] Could not identify NUMA node of platform GPU ID 0, defaulting to 0. Your kernel may not have been built with NUMA support. 2022-04-03 21:58:39.394210: I tensorflow/core/common_runtime/pluggable_device_factory.cc:271] Created TensorFlow device (/job:localhost/replica:0/task:0/device:GPU:0 with 0 MB memory) -> physical PluggableDevice (dev
	ice: 0, name: METAL, pci bus id: <undefined>) Model Training</undefined>
	Set Adam with learning rate 1e-3 as the optimizer, sparse categorical crossentropy as the loss function and accuracy as the metrics to compile the model.
In [Set the number of epochs to 20, validation_split to 0.2, and then fit the model. # TODO
	<pre>model.compile(optimizer = 'adam',</pre>
	<pre>history = model.fit(X_train,</pre>
	validation_split=0.2) Epoch 1/20
	2022-04-03 21:58:40.274195: W tensorflow/core/platform/profile_utils/cpu_utils.cc:128] Failed to get CPU frequency: 0 Hz 2022-04-03 21:58:40.881415: I tensorflow/core/grappler/optimizers/custom_graph_optimizer_registry.cc:113] Plugin optimizer for device_type GPU is enabled. 1250/1250 [====================================
	2022-04-03 21:58:56.623357: I tensorflow/core/grappler/optimizers/custom_graph_optimizer_registry.cc:113] Plugin optimizer for device_type GPU is enabled. 1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 2/20 1250/1250 [====================================
	1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 5/20 1250/1250 [===========] - 15s 12ms/step - loss: 0.8886 - accuracy: 0.6877 - val_loss: 0.9226 - val_accuracy: 0.6828 Epoch 6/20 1350/1250 [====================================
	1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 8/20 1250/1250 [============] - 15s 12ms/step - loss: 0.7506 - accuracy: 0.7372 - val_loss: 0.8463 - val_accuracy: 0.7073 Epoch 9/20
	1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 11/20 1250/1250 [============] - 15s 12ms/step - loss: 0.6565 - accuracy: 0.7690 - val_loss: 0.8134 - val_accuracy: 0.7198 Epoch 12/20
	1250/1250 [=============] - 15s 12ms/step - loss: 0.6304 - accuracy: 0.7786 - val_loss: 0.7828 - val_accuracy: 0.7327 Epoch 13/20 1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 14/20 1250/1250 [=============] - 15s 12ms/step - loss: 0.5846 - accuracy: 0.7936 - val_loss: 0.8096 - val_accuracy: 0.7404 Epoch 15/20
	1250/1250 [============] - 14s 12ms/step - loss: 0.5659 - accuracy: 0.8012 - val_loss: 0.8015 - val_accuracy: 0.7381 Epoch 16/20 1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 17/20 1250/1250 [====================================
	1250/1250 [============] - 14s 11ms/step - loss: 0.5070 - accuracy: 0.8203 - val_loss: 0.7869 - val_accuracy: 0.7437 Epoch 19/20 1250/1250 [====================================
	Epoch 20/20 1250/1250 [====================================
	Visualization Cot the loss and accuracy on training set and validation set by accessing model, history, history
	Get the loss and accuracy on training set and validation set by accessing model.history.history Plot the two loss curves where the x axis is the number of epochs and y axis is the loss.
	Plot the two accuracy curves where the x-axis is the number of epochs and y-axis is the accuracy.
In [Out[5]	<pre>list(history.history.keys()) ['loss', 'accuracy', 'val_loss', 'val_accuracy']</pre>
	<pre># Accuracy - given plt.plot(history.history['accuracy'], label='train_accuracy')</pre>
	<pre>plt.plot(history.history['val_accuracy'], label = 'val_accuracy') plt.plot(history.history['val_accuracy'], label = 'val_accuracy') plt.xlabel('Epoch')</pre>

plt.ylabel('Accuracy') plt.legend(loc='lower right')

plt.show()

0.4 -0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 Epoch In [… # Loss plt.plot(history.history['loss'], label='loss') plt.plot(history.history['val_loss'],label='val_loss') plt.xlabel('Epoch') plt.ylabel('Loss') plt.legend(loc='lower right') plt.show()

> 14 -1.2

> 0.8 -

In [... # TODO

0.6 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 Epoch Evaluation Evaluate on the test set, print the classification report using sklearn.metrics.classification_report. Make sure you provide the label names for each class. $https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.classification_report.html\\$

pred = model.predict(x=X_test)

def predict(output): preds = []

for o in output: preds.append(o.tolist().index(max(o))) return preds

predictions = predict(pred)

y_true = y_test y_pred = np.asarray(predictions) print(classification_report(y_true, y_pred)) precision recall f1-score support 0.74 1000 0.78 0.76

1000 0.84 0.82 0.83 0.70 0.56 0.62 1000 0.52 0.57 0.55 1000 0.67 0.63 0.65 1000

0.63 0.62 0.63 1000 0.92 0.64 0.75 1000

0.87 1000 0.62 0.72 0.86 0.82 0.84 1000

0.80 0.74 0.88 1000 0.71 10000 0.73 0.71 0.71 10000

accuracy macro avg weighted avg 0.73 0.71 0.71 10000

Final Discussion

In [... results = model.evaluate(x=X_test,y=y_test) print('Loss: {}\nAccuracy: {}'.format(results[0],results[1])) Loss: 0.9125737547874451 Accuracy: 0.714400053024292

Discuss your findings. 1. General discussion 2. Which class has the highest F1-score? Discuss the possible reasons. 3. Which class has the lowest F1-score? Discuss the possible reasons.

4. Any thoughts on why this structure might or might not be a good structure? 1. Validation loss decreases and then levels out, and training loss is still decreasing after 20 epochs. We have not overfit the model, and will not overfit until we notice validation loss increasing. Accuracy reaches about 71%, which is ok, but could be better. F1-scores:

The classes are airplane, automobile, bird, cat, deer, dog, frog, horse, ship, and truck. I would imagine that it is easier for the model to distinguish between the various types of animals (there are 4 four-legged animals in

the 5 animal classes), and I would also imagine that it would be relatively easy to distingiuish between animals and vehicles in general. Therefore, I predict that vehicles will generally have higher F1 scores than animals. 1. Class 8 (ship) and Class 2 (automobile) are almost tied for highest F1 score. It is possible that it is easier to detect and correctly identify ships and cars more than it is other vehicles, which makes sense to me, as their shapes are simpler.

2. The class with the lowest F1-score is class 3 (cat). Again, I would imagine that it is easier to detect and identify vehicles than it is for animals. Sure enough, vehicles have an average F1 score of 0.795, and animals have an average F1 score of 0.653. It's unclear to me what would make cats more difficult to identify than other animals, but it probably doesn't help that four out of six animals are four-legged mammals.

vehical_scores = [0.71,0.83,0.84,0.8]

animal_scores = [0.62,0.55,0.65,0.63,0.75,0.72] 1. I would be interested to increase the number of training epochs, since validation loss does not yet begin to increase and training loss has not yet leveled off. I would also be interested to train the model on two categories at a time to see if distinguishing between two categories is

Optional Step This step will not be graded.

particularly difficult for specific classes.

Try different model structure or parameters, report your results and discuss your findings.