

1:

Order by Growth Increasing Rate:

$2/N, 12, \sqrt{N}, N, N \log \log N, N \log N, N \log(N^2), N \log^2 N, N^{1.5}, N^2, N^2 \log N, N^3, 2^{N/2}, 2^N$

Table of comparisons on final page, where the tabular values denote the results of a limit comparison test (x denotnig infinities). Only one pair of identical growth was found, which makes sense by the rules of logarithms.

2:

Given : $a_n = a_{n-1}^2, a_0 = 2, a_1 = 4, a_2 = 16$

a) Alice's fee for hour N :

Looking at the pattern, $2^2 = 4, 2^4 = 16, 2^8 = 256$, it is noted that the degree of 2 is represented by 2^N every step.

$$\boxed{Fee = 2^{2^N}}$$

b) Estimate for number of hours to reach S Hourly:

Using the formula for part a, then algebraically solving for N .

$$S(N) = 2^{2^N}, N(S) = \log_2(\log_2 S), \boxed{O(\log_2(\log_2 S))}$$

c) Estimate for number of hours to reach S Total:

$S(N)_{total} = \sum_{N=1}^K 2^{2^N}$, so $N(S)$ is $O(\log_2(\log_2 S))$, N times,

$$\text{bringing it to } O(N \log_2(\log_2 S)) = \boxed{O((\log_2(\log_2 S))^{\log_2(\log_2 S)})}.$$

3:

a) $O(N)$

$$y = cx, y(100p) = 0.8ms, c = \frac{0.8ms}{100p}$$

$$y(x) = 1.20E5ms, x = \frac{100 * 1.20E5p}{0.8} = \boxed{1.50E7p}$$

b) $O(N \log N)$

$$y = cx \log x, y(100p) = 0.8ms, c = 1.74E - 3 \frac{ms}{p},$$

$$x \log x(y) = y/c, x \log x(1.2E5ms) \rightarrow \boxed{4.51E6p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{c) } O(N^2) \\ & y = cx^2, y(100p) = 0.8ms, x(y) = \sqrt{\frac{y}{c}}, \\ & c = 8E - 5\frac{ms}{p}, x(1.20E5)^2 \rightarrow \boxed{38729p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{d) } O(N^3) \\ & x(y) = \left(\frac{y}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, c = 8E - 7\frac{ms}{p}, \\ & x(1.20E5) \rightarrow \boxed{5313p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{e) } O(2^N) \\ & x(y) = \log_2 \frac{y}{c}, c = 6.31E - 31\frac{ms}{p}, \\ & x(1.20E5) \rightarrow \boxed{81p} \end{aligned}$$

0.1 4:

$$\text{Given : } Foo(N) = 221N \log_2 N, Bar(N) = 2N^2$$

It is noted that the two functions share solutions in the following way.

$$\frac{2}{221} = \frac{\log_2 N}{N} = \log_2 N^{\frac{1}{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\frac{2}{221}} = N^{\frac{1}{N}}$$

Considering that it is impossible to complete any decimal of an operation;
For $N < 1$, $Bar > Foo$. For $1 < N < 1120$, $Bar < Foo$. For $N > 1120$, $Bar > Foo$.

$$\text{a) } N > 10,000$$

Using the ranges previously established.

Better runtime: \boxed{Foo}

$$\text{b) } N < 100$$

Better runtime: \boxed{Bar}

$$\text{c) } N = 1000$$

Number of operations is just under the cross over point.

Using $\frac{1}{\Delta N} \int_{N_1}^{N_2} f(N) dN = \langle f(N) \rangle$ ranging from 0 to 1000

we find $\langle Foo \rangle = 1E6, \langle Bar \rangle = 6.7E5$

Better avg runtime: \boxed{Bar}

d) Will *Bar* always be faster than *Foo*?

No, *Foo* completes the first operation instantaneously, and as mentioned, *Foo* will be the faster algorithm for operation sets (N) greater than 1120.

[illegible]