Main Page - Back

Alternating Inference Chains

From sudokuwiki.com, the puzzle solver's site



If there is a super-theory of sudoku, or a strategy which encapsulates much of what we've discussed so far, it has to be Alternating Inference Chains (AICs). It's very useful to split out chain-like strategies into X-Wings, XY-Chains, Forcing Chains, XYZ-Wings, X-Cycles, Nice Loops and so on, since they have special characteristics which make them spottable. But it turns out they are all part of a large extended family.

The chief characteristic of all chains is that they start on a candidate which has a strong inference to another candidate, which then has a weak inference to the next candidate, and so on, alternating until the start point is reached. This may not have been apparent with XY-Chains, for example, which were not described in this way, but that was because of their special spottable characteristics. We'll re-cast these previous examples as AICs later on. But AICs can often do tricks that none of the other strategies can hope to replicate, which means that we can use them to generalize and expand our solving armoury.

As we saw in the previous chapter, alternation is just what X-Cycles are about. However, you'll remember that X-Cycles are applied only to a single candidate number. AICs, on the other hand, take everything from an X-Cycle and extend the logic to as many different candidate numbers as necessary.

AICs ask the question "How many ways are there to make a strong or a weak link?" If there is more than one way, we can join them up in an alternating manner and make deductions leading to eliminations. Let's look back on the previous chain-like strategies and note the following:

- We can link two candidates of the same value in a unit this is called "bi-location".
- We can link two different candidates in the same cell this is called "bi-value".

There is also a third way (Almost Locked Sets, covered on another page), but for now let's keep it simple and stick to these two dimensions - links between cells and within cells.

But what about grouped nodes used in X-Cycles? They seem to be a mixture of both. To gather grouped nodes under one of the two types, we need to drop "candidate" and think of a "candidate premise". The candidate premise for a single digit X in a cell is telling us that "X is true for this cell". A grouped node is simply a candidate premise that "X is true in cells A, B or C".

We can form a link to or from a grouped node on the same digit (bi-location) or within one or more of the cells in the node to a different digit (bi-value).

More complicated candidate premises are possible, such as "candidates X, Y and Z are all true in cells A, B and C" - which is just what we need for a WXYZ-Wing. Mostly, a candidate premise will refer to a single candidate, certainly in the first part of this chapter.

Once we know how to construct these loops, we can start making deductions. Let's look at the example on the right.

AIC Rule 1, 1 taken off J9 - chain ends: A9 J3 AIC on 1 (Alternating Inference Chain, length 6): 1[A9]=3[A9]-3[A7]=3[J7]-3[J3]=1[J3]-

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7.3	8	9
A	12	9	4	-5	123	7	3 6	8	1233
B	1 5	8	7	1 6	4 3	4 6	2	1 9	1 3 5 9
C	2 5 6	3	1 2 5	1 8	12	9	5 6	4	7
D	4	5 7	6	3	9	1	5 7 8	7	2 5 8
E	8	2	9	4	7	5	1	3	6
F	1 3 5 7	1 5 7	1 3 5	2	6	8	4	7 9	5 9
G	9	4	12	1 78	1 5 8	3	7	6	12
H	123 5 7	1 5 7	8	1 6 7	4.5	7=6	9	12	123
J	1 3	6	V	9	1 4 8	2	7 8	5	3 4 8

AIC Example: Load Example or: From the Start

Here we have a classic and pleasingly short Alternating Inference Chain with one discontinuity. We're using Nice Loop Rule 3, which tells us that the candidate between two weak links can be removed, in this case the 1 in J9. How does this loop work?

Let's start in A9 on number 1. If 1 is not the value of this cell, then 3 must be (there's a strong link from 1 to 3 in A9, which is bi-value). Next, we go from the 3 in A9 to the 3 in A7. There are more than two 3s in that row so the link must be weak (although even if there were only two, a strong link could be declared as having weak inference).

We stick with 3 at this point to make a link of strong inference down to J7 (there being only two 3s in this column), then a link of weak inference to J3 (there being four 3s in row J). Finally, J3 is bi-value, so a strong link exists to the 1 there. In summary, our logic goes as follows:

- If 1 is in A9, then it's not in J9.
- If 1 is not in A9, 3 must be, so 3 is not in A7, which forces 3 to be in J7, which means 3 is not in J3, which leaves a 1 in J3. A 1 in J3 means that there can be no 1 in J9.

Therefore, there is no 1 in J9.

A nice feature of AICs is that they are bi-directional - they work in both directions. To re-cast this chain the other way, we could argue:

- If 1 is in J3, it is not in J9.
- If 1 is not in J3, then 3 must be, which means 3 is not in J7, which means 3 must be in A7, which means 3 is not in A9, so 1 must be, since it's the only alternative.

Therefore, 1 can't be in J9.

This next example is an interesting use of the AIC which is fairly common. It helps us decide which candidates in a Naked Pair are the solutions. The solver gives us this information:

AIC Rule 2, on 7 (Alternating Inference Chain, length 6): 5[A7]=5[A3]-5[C2]=7[C2]-7[H2]=7[H7]- Chain ends A7 cannot be 7 and H7 cannot be 5

The two ends of the chain share a unit in common - column 7. One can reason several ways, such as

- If A7 is not 5 then A3 will be 5, so that forces C2 to be 7, which takes the 7 from H2 leaving H7 as 7, but that's a contradition, since 7 is also the remainder in A7; and
- If H7 is not 7 then H2 must be 7 making C2 5. That removes 5 from A3 and forces A7 to be 5 again two 5's in the column no good.

ī	1	2	3	A 14 A	5	6	74	8	9
A	4 6 78	2	4,5 6	4 6	9	3	5 7	1	6 78
В	1	4 8	4 6 89	5	2 4 6	7	6 9	2 8 9	3
С	6 7 9	75	3	2 6	1	8	4	2 5 9	2 6 7
D	4 8	6	2 4 8	2 4 9	3	2 4 9	1	7	5
Ε	3	1	2	8	7	5	6 9	2 4 9	2 4 6
F	5	9	7	1	2 4 6	2 4 6	8	3	2 4
G	4 6 789	3	1	4 6 7 9	5	4 6 9	2	4 8	4 78
Н	2	78	4 6	3	4 6	1	5	4 5 8	9
1	4 7 9	45	45	2 4 7 9	8	2 4 9	3	6	1

AIC Example 2: Load Example or : From the Start

So the chain is safely bi-directional and we must conclude that 7 can't be in A7 and 5 can't be in H7.

Some AICs are continuous - that is they form a ring or loop and one can follow the logic around from any starting point and in either direction. The solver will sometimes return an example as 'AIC Rule 3' - which I explain here. Thanks to a reader for providing the example.

It's quite a long chain and I've drawn the links between the candidates - think line being strong links, double thin line being weak links. Although it starts on A5 this is only because the solver starts searching in the top row.

- Node G6 can only be (9/7), removing 2/4
- Node B3 can only be (7/5), removing 2

AIC Rule 3, on 6 (Alternating Inference Chain, length 12):

6[A5]=9[A5]-9[G5]=9[G6]-7[G6]=7[G2]-7[J3]=7[B3]-5[B3]=5[B5]-5[C5]=6[C5]-

Ĭ	1 2 3	1 3	3	2.3	5	2
A	8	7 9	4	7 9	8	7
В	6	7		23 4 7	45	8
С	2 3 5 8	3 8 9	2 5 8	2 3 9	99	1
D	7	5	6	8	3	
E	1 4 8	1 4 8	9	1 4	2	e
F	1 4	2	3	1 4 9	7	
G	2 4 5 8	4 Ø 8	T	6	4 89	4 0°2
Н	9	4 3	2	5	1	4
J	2 3 4 5	6	5 (7)8	23 4 7	4 8	4 7

This AIC is full of links between cells (bi-locational) and within cells (bi-value). The interesting cells are the two where eliminations are made. The Rule here concerns weak links within the same cell where other candiates are present. The chain is acting like a very long, extended Hidden Pair. Where a Hidden Pair can be proved, the other candidates can be removed. So, the weak links in B3 and G6 are saying if NOT A then B. Taking B3, we are assured that if 5 is not the solution 7 will be and vis versa. We don't know which yet, but

Alternating Inference Chains

the 2 can go.

Where you can identify a chain which is closed, any bi-value weak link can lose unused candidates



Comments...

Saturday 17-Oct-2009

... by: Laura

On the aic strategy, is there a limit to how many strong links having weak interference you can use on 1 example? Also, when you go from 1 number to another in the same cell and there are 3 numbers in that cell, is that a weak link or strong? Thank-you

Laura

Add your comments

A confirmation email will be sent to you after submitting.

	Your Name
Email Addres	s - required for confirmation (it will not be displayed here)
	Your comment or question
	Remember me
	Add Comment!

Please keep your comments relevant to this article. **Email addresses are never displayed, but they are required** to confirm your comments.

When you enter your name and email address, you'll be sent a link to confirm your comment. If you want to be remembered, the check box above will store a cookie with your name and email address on your computer.

Line breaks and paragraphs are automatically converted - no need to use or
br> tags.

Article created on 12-April-2008. Views: 10813

This page was last modified on 19-April-2009, at 18:18.

All text is copyright and for personal use only but may be reproduced with the permission of the author.

Copyright Andrew Stuart @ Scanraid Ltd, 2009