# Texas Tech University Department of Economics Spring 2018

Eco 4306: Economic and Business Forecasting

# Midterm 1

Short questions (45 points)
Q1. 7.5 points
Q2. 7.5 points
Q3. 7.5 points
Q4. 7.5 points
Q4. 7.5 points
Q5. 7.5 points
Q6. 7.5 points
Applied problems (60 points)
Q7. 10 points
Q8. 10 points
Q9. 10 points
Q10. 10 points
Q11. 10 points
Q12. 10 points

Name:

ID:

Good luck!

# Question 1 (7.5 points)

Explain the concepts of point forecast, interval forecast, density forecast.

See slides 28 and 29 in lec01\_02slides.pdf.

**Question 2** (7.5 points)

Explain what loss function is.

See slides 19 to 23 in lec 06slides.pdf.

## Question 3 (7.5 points)

Give two examples of loss function, one symmetric, one asymmetric. Draw their graphs.

See slides 24 an 25 in lec06slides.pdf.

## Question 4 (7.5 points)

Consider Fed forecasting inflation. Is it likely to have (1) a symmetric loss function, or (2) an asymmetric loss function with larger losses for negative forecast errors, or (3) an asymmetric loss function with larger losses for positive forecast errors? Explain.

See HW3 part (g) hw03sol.pdf.

& account o (1.0 points	Question	<b>5</b>	(7.5)	points'	)
-------------------------	----------	----------	-------	---------	---


Define an AR(1) model (write its equation) and describe how its AC and PAC functions look like. See slides 6 and 9 in lec09slides.pdf.

# **Question 6** (7.5 points)

Define an MA(2) model (write its equation) and describe how its AC and PAC functions look like. See slides 24 and 25 in lec07slides.pdf.

### Question 7 (10 points)


Figure below shows the histograms for the real GDP growth rate and the quarterly return for S&P500 Index during the period 1985Q1-2007Q4. Is the GDP growth rate normally distributed in this sample? How about the returns for S&P500 Index?



- GDP: p-value for Jarque-Bera statistic is 0.20, since it is larger than 0.1 we can not reject hypothesis that this time series is normally distributed
- SP500: p-value for Jarque-Bera statistic is 0.00, so we can strongly reject hypothesis that this time series is normally distributed this is mainly because of kurtosis much larger than 3 (fat tails)

#### Question 8 (10 points)


Figure below shows the time series for the S&P500 Index, the log transformed S&P500 Index, and also their first differences. Explain which of the four series are nonstationary, first order weakly stationary, second order weakly stationary.



- SP500: it is nonstationary, because it is growing and thus mean is not constant over time
- log og SP500: it is nonstationary, because it is growing and thus mean is not constant over time
- first difference of SP500: it is first order weakly stationary stationary, it fluctuates around a constant mean, but its variance is not constant over time, with larger fluctuations toward the end of the sample
- first difference of log of SP500: it may be first order weakly stationary or second order weakly nonstationary mean does not appear to be growing over time, whether variance is constant over time or not would need to be further tested

#### Question 9 (10 points)

Figure below shows the time series for U.S. real GDP., RGDP, Index of Consumer Sentiment CSENT, U.S. unemployment rate. UNRATE and Employment (Total Nonfarm Payrolls) PAYEMS. Explain which of the four series are nonstationary, first order weakly stationary, second order weakly stationary.



- 'RGDP0': it is nonstationary, because it is growing and thus mean is not constant over time
- 'CSENT': it appears to be stationary since the mean does not grow over time, and there does not seem to be any change in volatility over time
- 'UNRATE': it appears to be stationary, the mean does not appear to be growing over time, and there does not seem to be any significant change in volatility over time
- 'PAYEMS': it is nonstationary, because it is growing and thus mean is not constant over time

## Question 10 (10 points)

Figure below show the correlogram for the percentage change in the house price index in San Diego MSA during 1975Q1-2008Q3. Discuss which AR/MA/ARMA models would you consider as plausible candidates for this time series and explain why.

Date: 02/25/17 Time: 13:30 Sample: 1975Q1 2008Q3 Included observations: 134

Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
-		1	0.487	0.487	32.436	0.000
· 🗀		2	0.487	0.328	65.201	0.000
· 🗀	<u> </u>  -	3	0.403	0.123	87.756	0.000
· ⊨		4	0.466	0.225	118.26	0.000
· 🗀	<u> </u>	5	0.258	-0.142	127.65	0.000
· 🗀		6	0.277	-0.001	138.56	0.000
· 🗀		7	0.265	0.075	148.65	0.000
· 🗀	<u>                                    </u>	8	0.185	-0.093	153.61	0.000
· 🗀 ·		9	0.114	-0.041	155.51	0.000
· <b>j</b> i ·	III	10	0.049	-0.115	155.86	0.000
1 <b>)</b> 1	<u>                                    </u>	11	0.011	-0.090	155.88	0.000
· <b>d</b> ·	[	12	-0.065	-0.062	156.51	0.000
· <b>[</b> ] ·	1 1	13	-0.073	-0.024	157.32	0.000
<b>₁</b> Щ ₁	<b>   </b>	14	-0.124	-0.041	159.65	0.000
<b>4</b> ·	[	15	-0.157	-0.056	163.41	0.000
<b>4</b> '	1 1	16	-0.164	0.006	167.55	0.000
· <b>=</b> ·		17	-0.123	0.077	169.90	0.000
<b>=</b> '		18	-0.176	-0.027	174.75	0.000
<b>=</b> '	' <b>[</b>   '	19	-0.227	-0.097	182.90	0.000
		20	-0.117	0.123	185.07	0.000

Since ACF declines very slowly in an oscillating way, and in addition only lags 1, 2, and 4 are statistically significant in PACF, two good candidate models are AR(2) and AR(4).

#### Question 11 (10 points)

Figure below shows the correlogram for the residuals from AR(2) and AR(4) models for the percentage change in the house price index in San Diego MSA. For a good model, the residuals should be white noise with no time dependence. Do the residuals from AR(2) and AR(4) model satisfy this property? Explain why yes or why no.

Date: 02/25/17 Time: 13:32 Sample: 1975Q1 2008Q3 Included observations: 134 residuals from AR(2) model Date: 02/25/17 Time: 13:31 Sample: 1975Q1 2008Q3 Included observations: 134 residuals from AR(4) model

Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob	Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	1 -0.060 2 -0.157 3 0.081 4 0.272 5 -0.106 6 0.002 7 0.161 8 0.061 9 -0.004 11 0.022 12 -0.054 13 0.005 14 -0.033 15 -0.074 16 -0.073	-0.060 -0.162 0.062 0.265 -0.053 0.065 0.116 0.030 -0.028 -0.047 -0.078 -0.036 -0.036 -0.030	0.4987 3.9233 4.8320 15.227 16.821 16.822 20.544 21.089 21.089 21.158 21.599 21.602 21.765 22.599 22.625	0.028 0.000 0.001 0.002 0.001 0.002 0.004 0.007 0.012 0.017 0.028 0.040 0.047 0.067	Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	1 0.032 2 0.031 3 0.034 4 0.069 5 -0.077 6 0.036 7 0.149 8 0.043 9 0.046 10 -0.005 11 0.002 12 -0.078 13 -0.021 14 -0.038 15 -0.041 16 -0.023	0.032 0.030 0.032 0.066 -0.083 0.036 0.149 0.032 0.044 -0.031 -0.017 -0.063 -0.027 -0.048 -0.052 -0.024	0.1413 0.2749 0.4337 1.0957 1.9315 5.2995 5.5614 5.8696 5.8700 6.7815 6.8510 7.0708 7.3252 7.4099	0.165 0.347 0.151 0.234 0.320 0.438 0.555 0.560 0.653 0.719 0.772 0.829
· þ ·		18 -0.105 19 -0.182	-0.070 -0.146		0.026	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		18 -0.078 19 -0.161		12.652	0.860 0.629


Residuals from the AR(2) model do not appear to be white noise - lag 4 in ACF and PACF is statistically significant, so there is time dependence in residuals. Including two more lags allows in the AR model fixes this problem: residuals from the AR(4) appear to be white noise, there are no statistically significant lags in ACF and PACF, so there does not seem to be any time dependence in residuals of the AR(4) model.

#### Question 12 (10 points)

Consider the one quarter ahead Fed's forecast for inflation during the 1979Q4-1987Q3 period.

Suppose that we want to test whether the Fed's forecast are optimal under the symmetric quadratic loss function, which would imply that  $E(y_{t+1}) = f_{t,1}$  and thus the forecast error  $e_{t,1} = y_{t+1} - f_{t,1}$  would have to satisfy  $E(e_{t,1}) = 0$ , and in the regression  $e_{t,1} = \beta_0 + e_t$  coefficient  $\beta_0$  should be zero. Figure below shows that time series plot for the forecast errors, and the results of that regression.

Interpret these results; what can we say about Fed's loss function during 1979Q4-1987Q3 based on them?



Dependent Variable: GPGDP\_E1 Method: Least Squares Date: 02/19/19 Time: 18:00 Sample (adjusted): 1980Q1 1987Q3 Included observations: 31 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
С	-1.017073	0.202722	-5.017080	0.0000
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.000000 0.000000 1.128708 38.21948 -47.23215 1.562466	Mean depend S.D. depende Akaike info cr Schwarz crite Hannan-Quin	ent var iterion rion	-1.017073 1.128708 3.111751 3.158009 3.126830

Based on on the time series plot the forecast error appears to be negative most of the time, thus the inflation forecast  $f_{t,1}$  tends overestimate the true inflation  $y_{t+1}$ . This is confirmed by the negative and statistically significant estimate of  $\beta_0$  in the regression. It suggests that the Fed's loss function is not symmetric quadratic but rather asymmetric, with larger losses associated with underestimating inflation. This makes sense intuitively, since the main goal of Fed in the 1980s was to bring down inflation from double digit levels.