# Supporting Information for "The dynamics of starvation and recovery"

 $_3$  Justin D. Yeakel  $^*$   $^\dagger$   $^\dagger$ , Christopher P. Kempes  $^\dagger$  , and Sidney Redner  $^\dagger$   $^\S$ 

4 \*School of Natural Science, University of California Merced, Merced, CA,†The Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM,§Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston

5 MA, and <sup>‡</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: jdyeakel@gmail.com

6 Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

# 7 Mechanisms of Starvation and Recovery

8 Our overall goal is to understand the dynamics of starva-9 tion, recovery, reproduction, and resource competition, where 10 our framework partitions starvation and reproduction into two 11 classes of the consumer: a full class that is able to reproduce 12 and a hungry class that experiences mortality at a given rate 13 and is unable to reproduce. For the dynamics of growth, re-14 production, and resource consumption past efforts have com-15 bined the overall metabolic rate as dictated by body size with 16 a growth rate that is dependent on resource abundance and in 17 turn dictates resource consumption (see Refs. (1, 2) for a brief 18 review of this perspective). This approach has been used to 19 understand a range of phenomena including a derivation of on-20 togenetic growth curves from a partitioning of metabolism into 21 maintenance and biosynthesis (e.g. (1, 3–5)) and predictions of 22 the steady-state resource abundance in communities of cells (2). 23 Here we can leverage these mechanisms with several additional 24 concepts to define our nutritional state model.

We consider the following generalized set of explicit dynamics for starvation, recovery, reproduction, and resource growth and consumption

$$\dot{F}_{d} = \lambda \left( R_{d} \right) F_{d} + \rho \left( R_{d} \right) H_{d} - \sigma \left( 1 - \frac{R_{d}}{C} \right) F_{d}, 
\dot{H}_{d} = \sigma \left( 1 - \frac{R_{d}}{C} \right) F_{d} - \rho \left( R_{d} \right) H_{d} - \mu H_{d}, 
\dot{R}_{d} = \alpha R_{d} \left( 1 - \frac{R_{d}}{C} \right) - 
\left[ \left( \frac{\rho \left( R_{d} \right)}{Y} + P_{H} \right) H_{d} + \left( \frac{\lambda \left( R_{d} \right)}{Y} + P_{F} \right) F_{d} \right]$$
[1]

25 where each term has a mechanistic meaning that we detail below  $_{26}$  (we will denote the dimensional equations with  $_d$  before intro-27 ducing the nondimensional form which is what is later derived 28 and presented in the main text). In this set of equations  $\lambda(R_d)$ 29 and  $\rho(R_d)$  are the growth and recovery functions as functions of 30 the current resource availability. Typically these can be writ-31 ten as  $\lambda(R_d) = \lambda_{max} S(R_d)$  or  $\lambda(R_d) = \lambda_{max} S(R_d)$  where  $_{32}$   $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\rho_{max}$  are the maximum growth and recovery rates re-33 spectively (each which scale with body size as discussed later), 34 and  $S(R_d)$  is a saturating function of resources, for example a 35 Michaelis-Menten or Monod function of the form  $\frac{R_d}{k+R_d}$ , where  $_{36}$  k is the half-saturation constant. A simplified version of the 37 Michaelis-Menten or Monod functional form, which captures 38 the essential features, is a linear function that saturates to a 39 constant value above a certain abundance of  $R_d$ . In these equa-40 tions Y represents the yield coefficient (e.g. (6, 7)) which is 41 the quantity of resources required to build a unit of organism 42 (e.g. gram of mammal produced per gram of grass consumed)  $_{43}$  and P is the specific maintenance rate of resource consumption 44 (g resource  $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  g organism). If we pick  $F_d$  and  $H_d$  to have 45 units of (g organisms · m<sup>-2</sup>), then all of the terms of  $\vec{R}_d$ , such  $_{46}$  as  $\frac{\rho(R_d)}{Y}H_d,$  have units of (g resource  $\cdot$  m $^{-2}$   $\cdot$  s $^{-1})$  which are  $_{47}$  the units of net primary productivity (NPP) a natural choice

<sup>48</sup> for  $\dot{R}_d$ . This choice also gives  $R_d$  as  $(g \cdot m^{-2})$  which is also <sup>49</sup> a natural unit and is simply the biomass density. In this sys-<sup>50</sup> tem of units  $\alpha$   $(s^{-1})$  is the specific growth rate of  $R_d$  and C is <sup>51</sup> the carrying capacity or maximum density of  $R_d$  in a particular <sup>52</sup> environment.

Before describing the values of each of these constants and a general nondimensionalization of the system of equations, it is important to consider the resource regimes associated with the above equations as this leads to a simplification. As discussed above, the resource saturation function should be defined by a linear regime proportional to  $R_d$  when  $R_d << k$  and a constant value for  $R_d >> k$ . Thus for hungry individuals,  $H_d$ , where  $R_d << k$ , we have that  $\rho(R_d) \approx \rho_{max} R_d/k$ , and for the full class,  $F_d$ , of organisms  $\lambda(R_d) \approx \lambda_{max}$  such that the above relationships reduce to

$$\begin{split} \dot{F}_{d} &= \lambda_{max} F_{d} + \rho_{max} R_{d} H_{d} / k - \sigma \left( 1 - \frac{R_{d}}{C} \right) F_{d}, \\ \dot{H}_{d} &= \sigma \left( 1 - \frac{R_{d}}{C} \right) F_{d} - \rho_{max} R_{d} H_{d} / k - \mu H_{d}, \\ \dot{R}_{d} &= \alpha R_{d} \left( 1 - \frac{R_{d}}{C} \right) - \\ &\left[ \left( \frac{\rho_{max} R_{d}}{Y_{H} k} + P_{H} \right) H_{d} + \left( \frac{\lambda_{max}}{Y_{F}} + P_{F} \right) F_{d} \right]. \end{split}$$
[2]

We can formally nondimensionalize this system by choosing the general rescaling of  $F = fF_d$ ,  $H = fH_d$ ,  $R = qR_d$ ,  $t = st_d$ , in which case our system of equations becomes

$$\begin{split} \dot{F} &= \frac{1}{s} \left[ \lambda_{max} F + \rho_{max} \frac{R}{qk} H - \sigma \left( 1 - \frac{R}{qC} \right) F \right], \\ \dot{H} &= \frac{1}{s} \left[ \sigma \left( 1 - \frac{R}{qC} \right) F - \rho_{max} \frac{R}{qk} H - \mu H \right], \\ \dot{R} &= \frac{1}{s} \left[ \alpha R \left( 1 - \frac{R}{qC} \right) - \frac{q}{f} \left[ \left( \frac{\rho_{max} R}{Y_H k q} + P_H \right) H + \left( \frac{\lambda_{max}}{Y_F} + P_F \right) F \right] \right]. \end{split}$$

## **Reserved for Publication Footnotes**

 $f = 1/Y_H k$ , then we are left with

$$\dot{F} = \lambda F + \xi \rho R H - \sigma (1 - R) F,$$

$$\dot{H} = \sigma (1 - R) F - \xi \rho R H - \mu H,$$

$$\dot{R} = \alpha R (1 - R) - (\rho R + \delta) H - \beta F$$
[4]

### 57 Parameter Values and Estimates

61 vious measurements and simple estimates of the parameters. 125 is given by  $Y_H = ME_d/B_\rho$  where

Metabolic rate has been generally reported to follow an ex-63 ponent close to  $\eta = 0.75$  (e.g. (3, 4) and the supplement of 64 (5)). We make this assumption in the current paper, although 65 alternate exponents, which are know to vary between roughly  $_{126}$  which, in combination, allows us to calculate  $\delta$  and  $\beta$ . 66 0.25 and 1.5 for single species (4), could be easily incorporated  $_{127}$  Finally, the value of  $\alpha$  can be roughly estimated by the  $_{67}$  into our framework, and this variation is effectively handled  $_{128}$  NPP divided by the corresponding biomass densities. This has 77 for active mammals (Table 1).

An important feature of our is the starting size,  $m_0$ , of a  $_{139}$  imum mammal size does not depend on  $\alpha$ . 79 mammal which adjusts the overall timescales for reproduction. 80 This starting size is known to follow an allometric relationship 81 with adult mass of the form ... where estimates for ... range between ... (). We choose ....

The energy to synthesize a unit of biomass,  $E_m$ , has been  $^{84}$  reported to vary between 1800 to 9500 (J  $^{-1}$ ) (e.g. (3-5)) in 85 mammals with a mean value across many taxonomic groups of <sub>86</sub> 5, 774 (J g<sup>-1</sup>) (4). The unit energy available during starvation, 87 E', could range between 7000 (J g<sup>-1</sup>), the return of the total ss energy stored during ontogeny (5) to a biochemical upper bound so of  $E' = 36,000 \text{ (J g}^{-1})$  for the energetics of palmitate (5, 8). 90 For our calculations we use the measured value for bulk tissues 91 of 7000 which assumes that the energy stored during ontogeny 92 is returned during starvation (5).

For the scaling of body composition it has been shown that fat mass follows  $M_{\rm fat} = f_0 M^{\gamma}$ , with measured relationships fol-  $_{140}$  Rate equations for invaders with modified body mass We allow 95 lowing  $0.018 M^{1.25}$  (9),  $0.02 M^{1.19}$  (10), and  $0.026 M^{1.14}$  (11).  $_{141}$  an invading subset of the resident population with mass M to 98  $u_0 = 0.383$  and  $\zeta = 1.00$  (11).

100 metabolic rate of an organism. That is, we are interested 146 resident individuals have the same proportion of non-fat tis- $_{101}$  in the relative rates of resource recovery and consumption by  $_{147}$  sues. Thus  $\chi$  is limited by the proportion of lean mass, such the total population. From (12) the total resource use of 148 that  $\chi_{\min} = -f_0 M^{\gamma-1}$ . Similarly, we assume that the invading 103 a population with an individual body size of M is given by 149 organisms do not add endogenous reserves above the asympty104  $B_{pop} = 0.00061x^{-0.03}$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>). Considering an energy den- 150 otic mass of the species M, such that  $(1 + \chi)\epsilon_{\lambda}M < M$ , and
105 sity of 18200 (J g<sup>-1</sup>) of grass (13) and an NPP between and 151  $\chi_{max} \approx 0.05$ .
106  $1.59 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $7.92 \times 10^{-5}$  (g s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) would give a range 152 — Although the starved state of invading organisms remains 107 of resource rates between 0.029 and 1.44 (W m<sup>-2</sup>). This gives 153 unchanged, the rate of starvation from the modified full state to

If we make the natural choice of s=1, q=1/C, and 109 0.00042 and 0.021, and we used a value of 0.002 in our calcu-110 lations and simulations.

Typically the value of  $\xi = C/k$  should roughly be 2. The 112 value of  $\rho$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\mu$  are all simple rates (note that we have 113 not rescaled time in our nondimensionalization) as defined in [4] 113 Hot research time in our model considers transitions over  $_{115}$  entire stages of ontogeny or nutritional states the value of Y 116 must represent yields integrated over entire life stages. Given where we have dropped the subscripts on  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\rho_{max}$  and  $\rho_{max}$  and  $\rho_{max}$  are energy density of  $E_d=18200~(\mathrm{J~g^{-1}})$  for grass (13) the 54 for simplicity, and  $\xi=C/k$ ,  $\delta=Y_HkP_H/C$ , and  $\beta=118$  maintenance value is given by  $P_F=B_0M^{3/4}/ME_d$ , and the 55  $Y_Hk\left(\frac{\lambda_{max}}{Y_F}+P_F\right)/C$ . The above equations represent the system of equations presented in the main text.

$$B_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{t_{\lambda}} B_{0} m(t)^{\eta} dt.$$
 [5]

 $_{58}$  All of the parameter values employed in our model have either  $_{122}$  Similarly, the maintenance for hungry individuals  $P_H$ been directly measured in previous studies or can be estimated 123  $B_0(\epsilon_{\sigma}M)^{3/4}/(\epsilon_{\sigma}M)E_d$  and the yield for hungry individuals 60 from combining several previous studies. Below we outline pre- 124 (representing the cost on resources to return to the full state)

$$B_{\rho} = \int_{\tau(\epsilon_{\sigma}\epsilon_{\lambda})}^{t_{\lambda}} B_{0} m(t)^{\eta} dt.$$
 [6]

68 by the 20% variations that we consider around mean trends. 129 a range of Value to Value globally. It should be noted that 69 It is important to note the exponent, because it not only de-130 the value of  $\alpha$  sets the overall scale of the  $F^*$  and  $H^*$  steady  $_{70}$  fines several scalings in our framework but also the value of the  $_{131}$  states along with the  $B_{tot}$  for each type, and as such, we use 71 metabolic normalization constant,  $B_0$ , given a set of data. For  $_{132}$   $\alpha$  as our fit parameter such that these steady states match the 72 mammals the metabolic normalization constant has been re-  $_{133}$  scale of know data from Damuth (14). We find that the best fit 73 ported to vary between 0.018 (W g<sup>-0.75</sup>) and 0.047 (W g<sup>-0.75</sup>)  $_{134}$  is  $\alpha = 9.45 \times 10^{-9}$  (s<sup>-1</sup>). However, two points are important 74 (3, 5), where the former value represents basal metabolic rate 135 to note here: first, our overall framework predicts the overall 75 and the latter represents the field metabolic rate. We employ  $_{136}$  scaling of  $F^*$  and  $H^*$  independently of  $\alpha$  and this correctly 76 the field metabolic rate for our NSM model which is appropriate  $_{137}$  matches data, and second, the asymptotic behavior of  $F^*$  and 138  $H^*$  is also independent of  $\alpha$ , that is, our prediction of the max-

Table 1: Parameter values for mammals

Parameter	Value	References
$\overline{\eta}$	3/4	(e.g. (3-5))
$E_m$	$5774 \; (J \; gram^{-1})$	(3-5)
$E'_m$	36,000	(5, 8)
$B_0$	$0.047 \; (W \; g^{-0.75})$	(5)
$\gamma$	1.19	(10)
$f_0$	0.02	(10)
ζ	1.00	(11)
$u_0$	0.38	(11)

We use the values from (10) which falls in the middle of this  $_{142}$  have an altered mass  $M'=M(1+\chi)$  where  $\chi$  varies between <sub>97</sub> range. Similarly, the muscle mass follows  $M_{\rm musc}=u_0M^{\zeta}$  with <sub>143</sub>  $chi_{\rm min}<0$  and  $chi_{\rm max}>0$ , where  $\chi<0$  denotes a leaner 144 invader and  $\chi > 0$  denotes an invader with additional endoge-We also connect the resource growth rate to the total 145 nous reserves. Importantly, we assume that the invading and

108 a ratio of total resource consumption to supply rates between 154 the starved state, the rate of recovery from the starved state to

155 the modified full state, and the maintenance rates of both, will 181 References be different, such that  $\sigma' = \sigma(M')$ ,  $\rho' = \rho(M')$ ,  $\beta' = \beta(M')$ ,  $\beta' =$  $_{157}$   $\delta' = \delta(M')$ . Rates of starvation and recovery for the invading  $_{183}$  $_{158}$  population are easily derived by adjusting the starting or end-  $_{184}$  $_{159}$  ing state before and after starvation and recovery, leading to  $_{185}$ 160 the following timescales:

$$t_{\sigma'} = \frac{-M^{1/4}}{B_0/E'_m} \log\left(\frac{\epsilon_{\sigma}}{\chi + 1}\right),$$
 [7] \(\frac{188}{189}\)
$$t_{\rho'} = \frac{-4M^{1/4}}{B_0/E'_m} \log\left(\frac{1 - (\epsilon_{\lambda}(\chi + 1))^{1/4}}{1 - (\epsilon_{\lambda}\epsilon_{\sigma})^{1/4}}\right).$$

The maintenance rates for the invading population require 194  $_{162}$  more careful consideration. First, we must recalculate the yields  $_{195}$  $_{163}$  Y, as they must now be integrated over life stages that have also  $_{196}$  $_{164}$  been slightly modified by the addition or subtraction of endoge-  $_{197}$ 165 nous reserves. Given an energy density of  $E_d = 18200 \; (\mathrm{J \; g^{-1}})$  $_{166}$  for grass (13) the maintenance value of the invading population  $_{199}$ 167 is given by  $P_F = B_0(1+\chi)M^{3/4}/(1+\chi)ME_d$ , and the yield 200 168 for a full organism will be given by  $Y_F = (1+\chi)ME_d/B_\lambda'$  (g 201 169 individual  $\cdot$  g grass  $^{-1}$ ) where  $B'_{\lambda}$  is the lifetime energy use for 202 170 the invading population reaching maturity given by

$$B'_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{t_{\lambda'}} B_0 m(t)^{\eta} dt.$$
 [8] 205

171 where

$$t_{\lambda'} = \frac{-4M^{1/4}}{B_0/E_m} \log \left( \frac{1 - (m_0/M)^{1/4}}{(1 - \epsilon_\lambda (1 + \chi))^{1/4}} \right).$$
 [9]  $^{208}_{210}$ 

172 Note that we do not use this timescale to determine the repro-173 ductive rate of the invading consumer—which is assumed to re-173 ductive rate of the invading consumer—which is assumed to re174 main the same as the resident population—but only to calulate
175 the lifetime energy use. Similarly, the maintenance for hungry
176 individuals  $P'_H = B_0 (\epsilon_\sigma (1+\chi)M)^{3/4}/(\epsilon_\sigma (1+\chi)M)E_d$  and the
177 rigid for hungry individuals (representing the cost on resource) 177 yield for hungry individuals (representing the cost on resources 217 178 to return to the full state) is given by  $Y_H'=(1+\chi)ME_d/B_{\rho~218}'$  13. 179 where

$$B_{\rho}' = \int_{\tau(\epsilon_{\sigma}\epsilon_{\lambda})}^{t_{\lambda'}} B_0 m(t)^{\eta} dt. \qquad [10]_{220}^{219}$$

180 Finally, we can calculate the maintenance of the invaders as

$$\delta' = P'_H Y'_H / \xi$$

$$\beta' = \left(\frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}}{Y'_F} + P'_F\right) Y'_H / \xi$$
[11]

187

- metabolic partitioning, and the size of microorganisms. PNAS 109:495-500.
- Kempes CP, Okegbe C, Mears-Clarke Z, Follows MJ, Dietrich LE (2014) Morphological optimization for access to dual oxidants in biofilms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:208–213.
- West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ (2001) A general model for ontogenetic growth. Nature 413:628-631.
- Moses ME, et al. (2008) Revisiting a Model of Ontogenetic Growth: Estimating Model Parameters from Theory and http://dx.doi.org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1086/679735 171:632–645.
- Hou C, et al. (2008) Energy Uptake and Allocation During Ontogeny. Science 322:736-739.
- Pirt S (1965) The maintenance energy of bacteria in growing cultures. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 163:224–231.
- Heijnen J, Roels J (1981) A macroscopic model describing yield and maintenance relationships in aerobic fermentation processes. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 23:739–763.
- Stryer L (1995) Biochemistry, Fourth Edition (W.H. Freeman and Company, New York), pp 608-611.
- Dunbrack RL, Ramsay MA (1993) The Allometry of Mammalian Adaptations to Seasonal Environments: A Critique of the Fasting Endurance Hypothesis. Oikos 66:336–342.
- 208 10. Lindstedt SL, Boyce MS (1985) Seasonality, Fasting Endurance, and Body Size in Mammals. Am. Nat. 125:873-
  - Lindstedt SL, Schaeffer PJ (2002) Use of allometry in predicting anatomical and physiological parameters of mammals. Lab. Anim. 36:1–19.
  - Allen AP, Brown JH, Gillooly JF (2002) Global biodiversity, biochemical kinetics, and the energetic-equivalence rule. Science 297:1545–1548.
  - Estermann BL, Wettstein HR, Sutter F, Kreuzer M (2001) Nutrient and energy conversion of grass-fed dairy and suckler beef cattle kept indoors and on high altitude pasture. Animal Research 50:477–493.
  - Damuth J (1987) Interspecific allometry of population density in mammals and other animals: the independence of body mass and population energy-use. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 31:193–246.