

The effect of starvation on the dynamics of consumer populations

Yeakel Kempes Redner

April 13, 2016

1 Introduction

The behavioral ecology of most, if not all, organisms is influenced by the energetic state of individuals. An individual's energetic state directly influences how it invests its stores in an uncertain environment. Such behaviors are generally manifested as trade-offs, which often concern investing in individual maintenance and growth vs. producing offspring [Mangel], among a host of other behavioral duties [REFS]. The timing of these behaviors is often important and is under strong selective pressure, as they tend to have large effects on the future fitness of the organism [Mangel]. To what extent, and when, organisms invest in these two necessary biological functions – growth and maintenance vs. reproduction – may be driven by habitat, seasonality, evolutionary history, inter- or intra-specific interactions, or even resource limitation. The influence of resource limitation on an organism's ability to maintain its nutritional stores may lead to delays or shifts in reproduction.

Maximizing fitness between growth and maintenance activities vs. reproductive behav-

iors in large part structures the life-history of species, and this can be achieved by a variety
 of potential mechanisms all of which, to some extent, depend on resource availability: *i*)
 Behavioral: The investment of time and energy towards reproductive and parental behav-
 iors depends on resource availability (1). For example, reindeer invest less in calves born
 after harsh winters (when the mother's energetic state is poor) than in calves born after
 moderate winters (2), whereas many bird species invest differently in broods during peri-
 ods of resource scarcity (3, 4), sometimes delaying or foregoing reproduction for an entire
 season (5). Resource limitation can also alter the behaviors of species in well-mixed en-
 vironments: freshwater and marine zooplankton have been observed to avoid reproduction
 under nutritional stress (6), while those that do reproduce evince lower survival rates (7).
 Similarly, artificially induced stress has been observed to decrease reproductive success in
 Atlantic cod (8). *ii*) Physiological: Diverse mammals (47 species in 10 families) exhibit de-
 layed implantation whereby females postpone fetal development (blastocyst implantation),
 often timing with accumulation of nutritional reserves (9, 10). Furthermore, many mam-
 mals (including humans) suffer irregular menstrual cycling and higher rates of spontaneous
 abortion during periods of nutritional stress (11, 12). *iii*) Spatio-temporal: Organisms may
 also separate maintenance/growth from reproduction over space and time. For example,
 many salmonids, birds, and some mammals return to migratory breeding sites to reproduce
 after one or multiple seasons in alternative environments spent accumulating body mass and
 nutritional reserves (13? , 14). The existence of so many independently evolved mechanisms
 across such a diverse suite of organisms points to the importance and universality of the
 fundamental tradeoff between spending energy on ones own tissues and spending energy on
 passing down genetic material.

Organisms employ different strategies to avoid reproduction during times of nutritional

38 stress, and how this is achieved has received tremendous empirical and theoretical attention,
39 owing to the importance of these activities in shaping life-history [REF]. What is less well
40 understood is how resource limitation affect dynamics at the level of the population? Tra-
41 ditional Lotka-Volterra models assume a resource density dependence on population growth
42 rates, thus implicitly incorporating the requirement of resource availability for reproduction.
43 However, resource limitation and the subsequent effects of starvation can also be accounted
44 for *explicitly*, such that reproductive growth of a population is only allowed to occur if in-
45 dividuals have sufficient energetic reserves. Incorporating energetic dynamics that occur on
46 an individual level [REF] into a population-based framework (15, 16), though straightfor-
47 ward conceptually, has many challenges that arise mathematically (17), and this has limited
48 simple theoretical models aiding our understanding of larger-scale dynamics.

49 Here we explore how reproductive trade-offs, which occur at the level of the individual,
50 may influence the dynamics of a population. We first establish a simple stage-structured
51 population model that captures the essential dynamics of energetic reproductive tradeoffs,
52 and explore the impact of different fluxes on system-level stability. By relating different rate
53 constants to allometric relationships, we uncover important constraints in the timescales of
54 different physiological processes that determine dynamics and investigate how organisms of
55 alternative body sizes and taxonomic affinities are expected to evince contrasting population-
56 level dynamics. We then develop a more general dynamic model in order to understand if
57 there are common attributes of these energetically-constrained systems that have implica-
58 tions beyond assumptions inherent to the specific model. We show [WHAT]?

2 Methods

2.1 Model description

We first integrate energetics into the dynamics of a consumer-resource system by assuming that the consumer population can be divided into discrete energetic states, the occupation of each being contingent on the consumption of a single resource R . In the simplest case, there are only two energetic states for the consumer population: *i*) an energetically replete (full) state F , where the consumer reproduces at rate λ , and *ii*) an energetically deficient (hungry) state H , where reproduction is suppressed, and mortality occurs at rate μ . Consumers transition from state F to state H by starvation at rate σ and in proportion to the lack of food $1 - R$. Conversely, consumers recover from state H to the full state F at rate ρ and in proportion to the density of resources consumed R . The resource has logistic growth with a linear growth rate a and a carrying capacity of unity. Resources are eliminated by the consumer in either state: by energetically deficient consumers at rate ρ , and by energetically replete consumers at rate b . Accordingly, the system of equations is written

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}F &= \lambda F + \rho H R - \sigma(1 - R)F, \\ \frac{d}{dt}H &= \sigma(1 - R)F - \rho R H - \mu H, \\ \frac{d}{dt}R &= aR(1 - R) - R(\rho H + bF).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

There are three steady states for the 2-stage consumer-resource system: two trivial steady states at $(R^* = 0, H^* = 0, F^* = 0)$ and $(R^* = 1, H^* = 0, F^* = 0)$, and one non-trivial internal steady state where $(R^* > 0, H^* > 0, F^* > 0)$. The latter steady state is the one of chief

76 ecological interest, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^* &= \frac{a\lambda\mu(\mu + \rho)}{(\lambda\rho + \mu\sigma)(\lambda\rho + \mu m)}, \\
 H^* &= \frac{a\lambda^2(\mu + \rho)}{(\lambda\rho + \mu\sigma)(\lambda\rho + \mu m)}, \\
 R^* &= \frac{\mu(\sigma - \lambda)}{\lambda\rho + \mu\sigma}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

77 Because there is only one internal steady state, as long as it is stable the population trajec-
 78 tories will be globally attracted to it for any set of initial conditions greater than zero.

79 Analysis of the stability of the consumer-resource system is explored with respect to the
 80 local stability of the internal steady state, which is the only feasible steady state as long
 81 as both the consumer and resource have non-zero, positive, values. In a multidimensional
 82 system, linear stability is determined with respect to the Jacobian Matrix \mathbf{J} , which is a
 83 matrix where each element is defined by the partial derivative of each equation with respect
 84 to each variable. In the case of the 2-stage consumer model, the Jacobian evaluated at the
 85 internal steady state (denoted by $|_*$) is written

$$\mathbf{J}|_* = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda\rho(\sigma-\lambda)}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} & \frac{\mu\rho(\sigma-\lambda)}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} & \frac{\alpha\lambda(\mu+\rho)}{m\mu+\lambda\rho} \\ \frac{\lambda(\mu+\rho)\sigma}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} & -\frac{\mu(\mu+\rho)\sigma}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} & -\frac{\alpha\lambda(\mu+\rho)}{m\mu+\lambda\rho} \\ -\frac{m\mu(\sigma-\lambda)}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} & -\frac{\mu\rho(\sigma-\lambda)}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} & -\frac{\alpha\mu(\sigma-\lambda)}{\lambda\rho+\mu\sigma} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

86 If the parameters of the Jacobian matrix at the internal steady state are such that its
 87 leading eigenvalue is < 0 , then the system is stable to small pulse perturbations, conditioned
 88 on the value of the starvation rate σ relative to the value of the consumer reproduction
 89 rate λ . As σ nears and becomes lower than a given λ , the resource steady state R^* crosses

the origin and exchanges stability to become unstable. As such, a transcritical bifurcation exists at $\lambda = \sigma$, such that the existence of an internal stable fixed point is dependent on the condition that $\sigma > \lambda$. Biologically, this means that the rate of starvation is greater (operating on a smaller timescale) than the rate of consumer reproduction (operating on a relatively longer timescale). As will be shown in Section XX, this general expectation will hold for most classes of organisms, while the exact difference in timescales between reproduction and starvation can be derived using allometric scaling relationships.

Oscillating, or cyclic, dynamics present additional risks to populations. If cycles are large, stochastic effects may result in extinction. In continuous-time systems, cycles arise when a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis and attain positive real parts. This condition is called a Hopf bifurcation, and is defined by $\text{Det}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$, where \mathbf{S} is the Sylvester matrix, which is composed of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial describing the Jacobian matrix. Although the Hopf condition for the specific 2-stage model cannot be easily written, the analytical solution can be explored using a symbolic computational language such as *Mathematica*.

2.2 Analysis of a generalized model

We may gain additional insight by that we do not know the specific rate functions from our 2-stage consumer resource model presented in Eq. 1. For example, we assume a linear mortality term for hungry foragers μH , though we may wish to assert that our knowledge of consumer mortality involves those that are energetically deficient, but nothing else. In this case, we would assume only that the rate of mortality is governed by the function $M(H)$. Substituting general functions for all rate laws from Eq. 1, we obtain the generalized ODE system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}F &= G(F) + S(R, H) - K(R, F), \\
\frac{d}{dt}H &= K(R, F) - S(R, H) - M(H), \\
\frac{d}{dt}R &= P(R) - L(R, H, F).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

113 where $G(F)$ determines consumer growth, $S(R, H)$ and $K(R, F)$ are the recovery and starva-
 114 tion functions, respectively, $M(H)$ determines consumer mortality, and $P(R)$ and $L(R, H, F)$
 115 are functions describing the growth and consumption-loss of resources, respectively.

116 If the system is written in this generalized manner, we cannot solve for the steady state
 117 solution (F^*, H^*, R^*) , however we can normalize the system to the unknown steady states.
 118 We denote normalized variables and functions in lowercase, such that $f = F/F^*$, $h = H/H^*$,
 119 $r = R/R^*$, and for example the normalized mortality function $m(h) = M(H)/M^*$, where M^*
 120 is shorthand for $M(H^*)$. Additional rearrangements of terms under equilibrium conditions
 121 allows us to define two additional sets of scaling parameters with intuitive biological proper-
 122 ties: the turnover rates of full foragers, hungry foragers, and the resource (α_f , α_h , α_r), and
 123 the proportional branching biomass through different compartments of the model, generally
 124 designated by the parameter β . For instance, β_f is the proportion of full consumer growth
 125 due to reproduction, whereas $(1 - \beta_f)$ is the proportion of full consumer growth due to re-
 126 cruitment *from* the hungry forager class. Similarly, β_h is the proportion of hungry consumer
 127 loss due to mortality, whereas $(1 - \beta_h)$ is the proportion of hungry consumer loss due to
 128 recruitment *into* the full consumer class (see SUPP for a detailed derivation). Substituting
 129 the normalized variables and functions into Eq. 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{f} &= \alpha_f [\beta_f g(f) + (1 - \beta_f)s(r, h) - k(r, f)], \\
\dot{h} &= \alpha_h [k(r, f) - (1 - \beta_h)s(r, h) - \beta_h m(h)], \\
\dot{r} &= \alpha_r [p(r) - l(r, h, f)],
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and linearization of this normalized, general ODE system yields the Jacobian matrix

$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{gen}}|_* = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_f \left(\beta_f \frac{\partial g}{\partial f} - \frac{\partial k}{\partial f} \right) & \alpha_f (1 - \beta_f) \frac{\partial s}{\partial h} & \alpha_f \left((1 - \beta_f) \frac{\partial s}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \right) \\ \alpha_h \frac{\partial k}{\partial f} & -\alpha_h \left(\beta_h \frac{\partial m}{\partial h} + (1 - \beta_h) \frac{\partial s}{\partial h} \right) & \alpha_h \left(\frac{\partial k}{\partial r} - (1 - \beta_h) \frac{\partial s}{\partial r} \right) \\ -\alpha_r \frac{\partial l}{\partial f} & -\alpha_r \frac{\partial l}{\partial h} & \alpha_r \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial l}{\partial r} \right) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Due to the normalization procedure, the partial derivatives in Eq. 8 have tangible biological meaning. Because, for example, the partial derivative (containing functions and variables normalized to the unknown steady states) $\partial g / \partial f = \partial \log G / \partial \log F$, it scales in such a way that it represents the percent change in consumer growth (governed by $G(F)$) relative to a percent change in the density of full consumers F , more commonly known as a functional elasticity. For example, if growth is a linear function (e.g. $G(F) = \lambda F$), $\partial g / \partial f = 1$; if growth is a quadratic function (e.g. $G(F) = \lambda F^2$), $\partial g / \partial f = 2$, while more complex functions may depend on the value of the steady state. For example, if consumer growth is modeled as Holling Type II growth, such that $G(F) = c_1 F^2 / (c_2 + F^2)$, where c_1 and c_2 are unknown constants, then its elasticity will vary between 0 and 2, depending on the steady state value F^* , which is unknown in the generalized system.

Deriving a Jacobian in terms of the normalized ODE system is useful because it allows

143 us to place strict constraints on the values of the unknown variables (the turnover rates,
 144 biomass branching parameters, and the functional elasticities), without assuming detailed
 145 knowledge of the functions controlling different rates within the system. In addition, we can
 146 now insert a number of assumptions that will align our generalized Jacobian more closely
 147 with the original 2-stage consumer resource system. We will assume the following: *i*) both
 148 the consumer and resource suffers linear mortality, *ii*) resource growth is logistic, *iii*) recovery
 149 and starvation are linear with respect to both full and hungry consumer densities, and *iv*)
 150 consumers and resources have equivalent turnover rates scaled to unity. These assumptions
 151 lead to the following simplifications

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i) \quad \frac{\partial m}{\partial h} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial l}{\partial r} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial l}{\partial f} = 1 - \frac{\partial l}{\partial h}, \\
 & ii) \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{R^*}\right)^{-1}, \\
 & iii) \quad \frac{\partial s}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial h} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial f} = 1, \\
 & iv) \quad \alpha_f = \alpha_r = 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

152 where R^* ranges from 0 to 1. The remaining free parameters include the timescale of hungry
 153 foragers α_h , the branching parameters β_f and β_h , the elasticity of consumer growth with
 154 respect to full consumer densities $\partial g/\partial f$, the elasticity of resource growth with respect to
 155 resource density $\partial p/\partial r$, the elasticity of resource loss with respect to full consumer density
 156 $\partial l/\partial f$, and the elasticity of starvation with respect to resource density $\partial k/\partial r$. See Table XX
 157 for a list of the free parameters in the generalized model, as well as the ranges of potential
 158 values for each. These substitutions result in the simplified Jacobian matrix

$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{gen}}|_* = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\beta_f \frac{\partial g}{\partial f} - 1\right) & (1 - \beta_f) & \left((1 - \beta_f) \frac{\partial s}{\partial r} - 1\right) \\ \alpha_h & -\alpha_h & -\alpha_h \left(1 - \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} - \beta_h\right) \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial h} - 1 & -\frac{\partial l}{\partial h} & \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - 1\right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Because the remaining free parameters have known ranges, but not specific values, we wish to assess the correlations of each to system stability. By randomly drawing values from uniform distributions bounded by the known ranges of each free parameter, we obtain an ensemble of potential Jacobian matrices whereupon the stability of each is determined by numerically calculating the real part of the leading eigenvalue. If the real part of the leading eigenvalue is $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$, we assumed the system to be stable. Replicating this procedure on the order of 10^7 times allowed us to determine the correlation of each free parameter with stability to the extent that variance was negligible.

2.3 Allometric scaling relationships

Nearly all of the rates described in the specific model, and generalized upon in the generalized model, are to some extent governed by the body size of the consumer. The scaling relationship between an organism's metabolic rate B and its body size at reproductive maturity M plays a central role in other scaling relationships. Organismal metabolic rate B is known to scale as $B = B_0 M^\eta$, where η is the scaling exponent, generally assumed to be $3/4$ for metazoans, etc. Kempes et al. [REF] show how the population-level growth rate also can be related to body size as $\lambda = \lambda_0 M^{\eta-1}$.

Population growth requires that individuals

3 Results

3.1 2-stage consumer resource model

Analysis of the continuous-time 2-stage consumer resource model shows that the equilibrial states of both populations are highly sensitive to changes in starvation and recovery rates of the consumer. The consumer and resource population densities vary inversely: when the consumer densities are high, resource densities are low, and vice versa. High starvation and low recovery rates result in low consumer densities and high resource densities. If starvation rates are low, resources have a fixed point near zero for any value of the recovery rate. Full and hungry consumer stages tend to have fixed points that are tightly correlated, the extent to which is driven by the similarity of consumer growth and mortality rates; if $\lambda = \mu$, then $F^* = H^*$.

A transcritical bifurcation exists at $\lambda = \sigma$, such that the condition $\sigma > \lambda$ is required for biologically reasonable dynamics. The TC bifurcation occurs in this model because we have assumed that all energetically replete individuals reproduce at the same rate, whereas the traditional Lotka-Volterra dynamic assumes that the reproductive rate of the consumer is scaled to resource density, such that the growth function would be $G(F, R) = \lambda RF$. Thus, the Lotka-Volterra dynamic *implicitly* accounts for starvation in reducing the reproductive rate of the consumer. However, our 2-stage model *explicitly* accounts for starvation as well as recovery, such that individuals who are not starved should adopt a reproductive rate independent of resource density.

The existence of the transcritical bifurcation reveals important biological insight. Reproduction requires maintenance and growth of biological tissues, both of which have strong scaling relationships with body size. Recent work by Kempes et al. [REF] derived the

timescale of reproduction in terms of allometric considerations, where $t_\lambda \propto M^{1-\eta}$ (REF). Starvation is the loss of energy required for maintenance, and we have shown it to have a timescale $t_\sigma \propto \log(M)$. Accordingly, the timescale of reproduction is always larger than the timescale of starvation, such that $\lambda < \sigma$. A third important parameter in our framework is the rate of recovery. The recovery timescale t_ρ controls the rate at which individuals move from the hungry class to the full class, and this requires not only tissue maintenance, but growth, such that it is bounded on the short side by t_σ . Moreover, [why is recovery timescale bounded on the high side], such that it is bounded on the long side by t_λ .

We have used scaling relationships between tissue turnover and growth to strictly constrain 5/6 population-level parameters in our 2-stage consumer resource model (including the mortality rate t_μ , which we have shown is just a xxx of t_σ). This exercise accomplishes two goals: 1) it allows us to constrain the plausible parameter space of the two-stage model, and 2)

This allows us to derive many aspects of the system in terms of consumer body mass M and the allometric scaling exponent η .

3.2 Generalized analysis

Constraining the model with allometric scaling relationships yields important insights regarding the tradeoff between [fitness and energy]

4 Discussion

- [1] D. W. Morris, "Optimal Allocation of Parental Investment," *Oikos*, vol. 49, p. 332, July 1987.
- [2] T. Tveraa, P. Fauchald, C. Henaug, and N. G. Yoccoz, "An examination of a compensatory relationship between food limitation and predation in semi-domestic reindeer," *Oecologia*, vol. 137, pp. 370–376, Nov. 2003.
- [3] S. Daan, C. Dijkstra, R. Drent, and T. Meijer, "Food supply and the annual timing of avian reproduction," in *Proceedings of the International . . .*, 1988.
- [4] A. Jacot, M. Valcu, K. van Oers, and B. Kempenaers, "Experimental nest site limitation affects reproductive strategies and parental investment in a hole-nesting passerine," *Animal Behaviour*, vol. 77, pp. 1075–1083, May 2009.
- [5] P. Barboza and D. Jorde, "Intermittent fasting during winter and spring affects body composition and reproduction of a migratory duck," *J Comp Physiol B*, vol. 172, pp. 419–434, July 2002.
- [6] S. T. Threlkeld, "Starvation and the size structure of zooplankton communities," *Freshwater Biol.*, vol. 6, pp. 489–496, Dec. 1976.
- [7] K. L. Kirk, "Life-History Responses to Variable Environments: Starvation and Reproduction in Planktonic Rotifers," *Ecology*, vol. 78, pp. 434–441, Mar. 1997.
- [8] M. J. Morgan, C. E. Wilson, and L. W. Crim, "The effect of stress on reproduction in Atlantic cod," *Journal of Fish Biology*, vol. 54, pp. 477–488, Mar. 1999.
- [9] R. A. Mead, "The Physiology and Evolution of Delayed Implantation in Carnivores,"

in *Carnivore Behavior, Ecology, and Evolution*, pp. 437–464, Boston, MA: Springer US, 1989.

[10] M. Sandell, “The Evolution of Seasonal Delayed Implantation,” *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 23–42, 1990.

[11] C. M. Bulik, P. F. Sullivan, J. L. Fear, A. Pickering, A. Dawn, and M. McCullin, “Fertility and Reproduction in Women With Anorexia Nervosa,” *J. Clin. Psychiatry*, vol. 60, pp. 130–135, Feb. 1999.

[12] A. W. Trites and C. P. Donnelly, “The decline of Steller sea lions *Eumetopias jubatus* in Alaska: a review of the nutritional stress hypothesis,” *Mammal Review*, vol. 33, pp. 3–28, Mar. 2003.

[13] T. P. Weber, B. J. Ens, and A. I. Houston, “Optimal avian migration: A dynamic model of fuel stores and site use,” *Evolutionary Ecology*, vol. 12, pp. 377–401, May 1998.

[14] J. W. Moore, J. D. Yeakel, D. Peard, J. Lough, and M. Beere, “Life-history diversity and its importance to population stability and persistence of a migratory fish: steelhead in two large North American watersheds,” *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 2014.

[15] S. A. L. M. Kooijman, *Dynamic Energy and Mass Budgets in Biological Systems*. 2000.

[16] T. Sousa, T. Domingos, J. C. Poggiale, and S. A. L. M. Kooijman, “Dynamic energy budget theory restores coherence in biology,” *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B*, vol. 365, pp. 3413–3428, Oct. 2010.

[17] O. Diekmann and J. A. J. Metz, “How to lift a model for individual behaviour to the population level?,” *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B*, vol. 365, pp. 3523–3530, Nov. 2010.