

## Homework 7

Jonathan Zhang      EID: jdz357

**Exercise (8.1).** *If  $A$  is positive definite, show that its eigenvalues are positive. If  $A$  is symmetric and has positive eigenvalues, then  $A$  is positive definite.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is positive definite. Let  $(\lambda, v)$  be an eigenpair for  $A$ . Then by the positive definiteness,

$$0 < (v, Av) = (v, \lambda v) = \lambda (v, v) = \lambda \|v\|^2.$$

Since this quantity is strictly positive, we must have  $\lambda > 0$ .

On the other side, assume now that  $A$  has all positive eigenvalues. Then,  $A$  admits a diagonalization  $A = U\Lambda U^*$  for some  $U^* = U^{-1}$  unitary matrix. Pick  $x \neq 0$ , and set  $y = U^*x \neq 0$ . Then

$$(x, Ax) = (x, U\Lambda U^*x) = (y, \Lambda y).$$

Expand  $y = \sum y_i e_i$ , then

$$(y, \Lambda y) = \sum_i \bar{y}_i y_i \lambda_i > 0$$

since  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\bar{y}_i y_i = |y_i|^2 \geq 0$  since  $y \neq 0$  by assumption. This shows that  $(x, Ax) = (y, \Lambda y) > 0$  and  $A$  is positive definite.

□

**Exercise (8.3).**

**Exercise (8.5).**

**Exercise (8.8).**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  bounded, connected, Lipschitz domain. Let  $V \subset \Omega$  have positive measure. Let  $H = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_V = 0\}$ .

1. Why is  $H$  a Hilbert space?
2. Prove that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|u\| \leq C \|\nabla u\|$$

for every  $u \in H$ .

1.  $H$  is the null space of the restriction to  $V$  operator. Since restriction is continuous, then  $H$  is closed. As a closed subspace of Hilbert space  $H^1(\Omega)$ , then  $H$  is itself a Hilbert space.
2. Go by contradiction. Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence  $u_n \in H$  such that

$$\|u_n\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, from every bounded sequence in a Hilbert space, we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence  $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \in H$ . Weak convergence of  $u_{n_k}$  implies weak convergence of  $\nabla u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$  in  $L^2$ . Since the norm is continuous we pass to the limit and we have that  $\nabla u = 0$ , i.e.  $u$  is a constant. But if  $u$  vanishes on  $V$ , then the only possibility is that  $u \equiv 0$ .

On the other side, we know from the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem that we have  $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2$ . Convergence in  $L^2$  also implies convergence in the norm, but since  $\|u_n\| = 1$  that means  $\|u\| = 1$ , a contradiction.