

# Homework 1

Jonathan Zhang      EID: jdz357

## Problem 6.2:

Compute the Fourier transform of  $\exp(-a|x|^2)$ ,  $a > 0$ , directly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution** First of all, notice that the Gaussian is an  $L^1$  function, so this integral makes sense. Computing directly,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2 - i\xi x} dx$$

By completing the square,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-\xi^2/4a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a(x+i\xi/2a)^2} dx.$$

We attempt to evaluate the integral by considering the corresponding complex integral over a rectangle. Disregarding the details for a moment to conclude the result, the original integral is equal to

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-\xi^2/4a} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}.$$

To compute the integral, we consider the counter-clockwise contour in  $\mathbb{C}$  given by the vertices  $R, -R, R - i\xi/2a, -R - i\xi/2a$ . Since the integrand is holomorphic, Cauchy's Theorem tells us the integral over this contour must be zero. Further, the integrals on the sides of the rectangles vanish as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  as they are each bounded by  $e^{-aR^2}$ . (This can be seen by letting  $z(t) = -R - i\xi t/2a$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  on the left edge, say. Then plug  $z$  into the integral, expand the exponent, and see that the integral in magnitude is dominated by  $e^{-aR^2}$ .) Denote the upper edge contour as  $\Gamma_1$  and the lower as  $\Gamma_3$ . Cauchy's Theorem tells us

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} + \int_{\Gamma_3} = 0$$

In particular, since  $\Gamma_1$  is oriented from right to left, we are actually interested in  $-\int_{\Gamma_1} = \int_{\Gamma_3}$ . On  $\Gamma_3$ ,  $z = t - i\xi/2a$  and so the integral is

$$\int_{\Gamma_3} e^{-a(z+i\xi/2a)^2} dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-at^2} dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}.$$

**Problem 6.3:**

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $f > 0$ , show that for every  $\xi \neq 0$ ,  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| < \hat{f}(0)$ .

**Solution** By definition,

$$\hat{f}(0) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx.$$

The weak inequality follows by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(\xi)| &= \left| (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \right| \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx \\ &= \hat{f}(0). \end{aligned}$$

What remains is to show that the inequality is strict. We can decompose the real and imaginary parts of the fourier transform as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int f(x) \cos(x \cdot \xi) dx - (2\pi)^{-d/2} i \int f(x) \sin(x \cdot \xi) dx$$

Now, notice that since  $f > 0$ ,  $f(x) \cos(x \cdot \xi) \leq f(x)$ , and so  $\Re(\hat{f})(\xi) \leq \int f(x) dx$ . If  $\xi \neq 0$ , then  $\cos(x \cdot \xi) < 1$  apart from a set of measure zero, so equality is reached only if  $\|f\|_1 = 0$ , but that would imply that  $f = 0$  almost everywhere, a contradiction to the assumption that  $f > 0$ . So, this inequality is strict whenever  $\xi \neq 0$ .

**Problem 6.4:**

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $f(x) = g(|x|)$  for some  $g$ , show that  $\hat{f}(\xi) = h(|\xi|)$  for some  $h$ . Can you relate  $g$  and  $h$ ?

**Solution** We say that  $f$  is a radial function iff  $f \circ T = f$  for every orthogonal transformation  $T$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We note that orthogonal transformations preserve inner products and thus their Jacobian is of unit magnitude. If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is radial, then for a fixed  $T$  orthogonal transformation,

$$\hat{f}(T\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-T\xi \cdot x} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(Ts) e^{-T\xi \cdot Ts} ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s) e^{-i\xi \cdot s} ds = \hat{f}(\xi)$$

and thus  $\hat{f}$  is radial and we may write  $\hat{f}(\xi) = h(|\xi|)$ . Furthermore, we see that  $g$  and  $h$  are related as  $h$  is the Fourier transform of  $g$ .

**Problem 6.6:**

Show that the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is not onto. Show that  $\mathcal{F}(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is dense in  $C_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Solution** The general strategy is to go by contradiction. We will assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is onto, and thus, by the Fourier Inversion Theorem,  $\mathcal{F}$  is one to one. Then by open mapping theorem, we conclude that there must exist a bounded inverse operator from  $C_v \rightarrow L^1$ . Without loss of generality, let us proceed in 1-D.

Let  $f_n \in C_v$  be defined as

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [-n, n] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and consider the convolution  $f_n * f_1$  :

$$(f_n * f_1)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x-y)f_1(y)dy = \int_{-1}^1 f_n(x-y)dy = \int_{-1}^1 f_n(y-x)dy$$

which is zero in the interval  $(-\infty, -n-1)$ , linear with slope 1 in  $(-n-1, -n+1)$ , unity in  $(-n+1, n-1)$ , linear with slope  $-1$  in  $(n-1, n+1)$ , and zero in  $(n+1, \infty)$ . (i.e. a trapezoid). It can be shown that the sequence  $f_n * f_1$  is precisely the Fourier transform of the sequence

$$g_n(x) = \frac{\sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi nx)}{\pi^2 x^2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_1\|_1 &= \int \frac{|\sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi nx)|}{\pi^2 x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{2n}{\pi} \int \frac{|\sin(x) \sin(x/n)|}{x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{4n}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\sin(x) \sin(x/n)|}{x^2} dx \\ &\geq \frac{4n}{\pi} \int_0^n \frac{|\sin(x) \sin(x/n)|}{x^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

To estimate,  $\sin t \geq t - t^3/6 \geq 5/6t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\sin(x/n) \geq 5x/6n$  on  $[0, n]$ . Therefore we may estimate that  $\|g_n\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . However, this contradicts the statement that there exists a bounded inverse operator from  $C_v$  to  $L^1$ . Therefore, the Fourier transform is not onto.

To show that the image of  $L^1$  is dense in  $C_v$ , we first show that if  $f$  is continuous with compact support and twice differentiable, then  $\hat{f} \in L^1$ . Indeed, for  $\xi \neq 0$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx = \frac{1}{i\xi} \int f'(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx = \frac{1}{-\xi^2} \int f''(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx$$

with each integration by parts, the boundary terms disappear due to compact support. We see that the last expression is a  $C_v$  function times  $1/\xi^2$  which shows that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded by a constant times  $\xi^{-2}$ . Furthermore, around zero, we have already shown that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded. Hence, we conclude that the range of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $L^1$  is dense in  $C_v$ .