Homework 9

Jonathan Zhang EID: jdz357

Unless otherwise stated, denote by (\cdot,\cdot) as the L^2 inner product, and $\|\cdot\|$ as $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Exercise (19). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Consider the BVP

$$-\nabla^2 u + u^3 = f$$

with u=0 on $\partial\Omega$. We attempt to solve iteratively from $u_0=0$ by computing for each $n=1,2,\ldots$ the solutions to the linear BVP

$$-\nabla^2 u_n + u_{n-1}^2 u_n = f, \qquad u_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

- 1. Find appropriate variational problems for the linear BVP's and show that they are well defined in $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ provided that $u_{n-1} \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$. (Hint: Sobolev Imbedding Theorem.)
- 2. Show that there is a unique solution $u_n \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ assuming that $u_{n-1} \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Moreover, find a bound for the norm of u_n .
- 3. Show that the nonlinear BVP has a weak solution. Extract a subsequence of u_n that converges weakly to some u and show that u satisfies the weak form of the nonlinear BVP.

Proof. 1. Assume that $u_{n-1} \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is given. Multiply by a test function and integrate by parts the first term,

$$-\left(\nabla^2 u_n, v\right) + \left(u_{n-1}^2 u_n, v\right) = (f, v)$$
$$\left(\nabla u_n, \nabla v\right) - \left\langle \nabla u_n \cdot n, v\right\rangle + \left(u_{n-1}^2 u_n, v\right) = (f, v)$$

For $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$B(u_n, v) := (\nabla u_n, \nabla v) + (u_{n-1}^2 u_n, v) = (f, v) =: F(v).$$

The VP reads

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ (\nabla u_n, \nabla v) + (u_{n-1}^2 u_n v) = (f, v) \\ \text{for every } v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We need to check that the second integral is well-defined. We recall that for the zero spaces, we simply extend by zero to the whole space with the equality $||f||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = ||f||_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}$.

Let p=2, j=0, m=1, and d=4. The Sobolev Embedding Theorem tells us that $H^1_0(\Omega)\equiv W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)\hookrightarrow W^{0,q}_0(\Omega)\equiv L^q(\Omega)$ for all finite $q\leq dp/(d-mp)=4$. We will use exactly q=4 for the estimate

$$\begin{split} |\left(u_{n-1}^2 u_n,v\right)| &\leq \|u_{n-1}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \|u_n\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^4(\Omega)} & \text{H\"older's} \\ &\leq C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} & \text{Sobolev Emb.} \\ &= C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} & \text{Bd. Ext. Op.} \end{split}$$

So, the problem is well-defined in the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ in the sense that all terms are well-defined, and the solution obtained from solving the VP which lives presently in $H^1_0(\Omega)$ can be easily extended to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

2. We have a symmetric functional setting, so Lax-Milgram is the tool. The right hand side is trivially continuous by Hölder's Inequality given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. The left hand side is also continuous,

$$B(u_{n}, v) = (\nabla u_{n}, \nabla v) + (u_{n-1}^{2} u_{n}, v)$$

$$\leq \|\nabla u_{n}\| \|\nabla v\| + C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})}^{2} \|u_{n}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})} \|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})}$$

$$\leq \left(1 + C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})}^{2}\right) \|u_{n}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}.$$

B is also coercive,

$$B(v, v) = \|\nabla v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u_{n-1}^2 v^2$$

$$\geq \|\nabla v\|^2$$

$$\geq C_P^{-2} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

By Lax-Milgram, there exists unique $u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ which we can extend to $u_n \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Moreover,

$$||u_n||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = ||u_n||_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} \le C_P^2 ||f||.$$

3. Notice that u_n has just been shown to be a bounded sequence in the Hilbert space $H^1_0\left(\Omega\right)$. Therefore, there is a subsequence $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$, furthermore, $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in $L^2(\Omega)^4$. We argue that u is indeed a weak solution to the nonlinear PDE. For every test function $v, (\cdot, \nabla v)$ is a linear functional on $L^2(\Omega)^4$, so $(\nabla u_{n_k}, \nabla v) \rightarrow (\nabla u, \nabla v)$. Additionally, $u_{n_{k-1}}^2 u_{n_k} \rightarrow u^3$, so $\left(u_{n_{k-1}}^2 u_{n_k}, v\right) \rightarrow \left(u^3, v\right)$. This implies

$$B(u_{n_k}, v) \to (\nabla u, \nabla v) + (u^3, v) = (f, v)$$

which is exactly the weak form of the original nonlinear problem.

Exercise (21). $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded domain with Lipschitz $\partial \Omega$, define

$$H(\operatorname{div},\Omega) = \left\{ v \in (L^2(\Omega))^d : \nabla \cdot v \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}.$$

1. Show that $H(div, \Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(u,v)_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} = (u,v) + (\nabla \cdot u, \nabla \cdot v) \,.$$

2. The trace Theorem does not imply that $\partial_{\nu}v=v\cdot\nu$ exists on $\partial\Omega$. Nevertheless, show that $\partial_{\nu}:H\left(\operatorname{div},\Omega\right)\to H^{-1/2}\left(\partial\Omega\right)=\left(H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)\right)^*$ is a well defined bounded linear operator in the sense that

$$\int\limits_{\partial\Omega} v \cdot \nu \phi d\sigma(x) = \int\limits_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot v \phi dx + \int\limits_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla \phi dx.$$

3. Prove the following inf-sup condition: there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{w \in L^2} \sup_{v \in H(div,\Omega)} \frac{(w, \nabla \cdot v)}{\|w\| \|v\|_{H(div,\Omega)}} \ge \gamma > 0.$$

Hint solve $\Delta \varphi = w$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and consider $v = \nabla \varphi$.

Proof. 1. $H(\operatorname{div},\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)^d$ is clearly a linear subspace. What remains to show is that $H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)$ is closed with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)}$. Let u_n be a Cauchy sequence in $H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)$ with $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)^d$. Convergence in norm? div is continuous in this norm?

2.

3. First consider $\nabla^2 \varphi = w$. The VP is

$$\begin{cases} \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ (\nabla \varphi, \nabla v) = -(w, v) \\ \text{for every } v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

Since $w \in L^2(\Omega)$, the RHS is continuous. The bilinear form is continuous with constant one and coercive by the Poincaré inequality. Therefore, the problem admits a unique solution in $H^1_0(\Omega)$. Moreover, by elliptic regularity, the solution $\varphi \in H^2(\Omega)$ and $\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|w\|$. Now, let us consider $v = \nabla \varphi \implies \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot \nabla \varphi = \Delta \varphi = w$. Then

$$\frac{(w, \nabla \cdot (\nabla \varphi))}{\|w\| \|\nabla \varphi\|_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)}} = \frac{\|w\|^2}{\|w\| \|\nabla \varphi\|_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)}}$$

$$= \frac{\|w\|}{\|\nabla \varphi\|_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)}}$$

$$= \frac{\|w\|}{\sqrt{\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \varphi\|^2}}$$

$$\geq \frac{\|w\|}{\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\Omega)}}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{C} > 0,$$

which implies the result.

Exercise (25). Consider the finite element method.

- 1. Modify the method to account for nonhomogeneous Neumann conditions.
- 2. Modify the method to account for nonhomogeneous Dirichlet conditions.

Proof. 1. Compatibilty condition:

2. Consider the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
-u'' = f & x \in (0,1) \\
u(0) = u_0 \\
u(1) = u_1.
\end{cases}$$

Define the lift $u_D(x) = u_0 + (u_1 - u_0)x$, and write $u = w + u_D$.

Exercise (27). Suppose $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded connected. Recall the H^1 seminorm is $|u|_{H^1} = \left\{\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|D^\alpha u\|^2\right\}^{1/2}$.

1. Show that there is a constant C_{Ω} such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\| \le C_{\Omega} |u|_{H^1}.$$

2. Let $\Omega = (0,h)^d$ for h > 0. Show that there is a constant C independent of h and u such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\| \le Ch|u|_{H^1}.$$

Change var. to integrate over $(0,1)^d$ and then use previous.

3. Let $\Omega = (0,1)^d$ and let P be the set of piecewise discontinuous constants over the grid of spacing h = 1/N for some positive integer N. Show that there is a constant C independent of h, u, such that

$$\inf_{p \in P} \|u - p\| \le Ch|u|_{H^1}.$$

Proof. 1. We always have

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\| \le \|u - d\|,$$

so it is sufficient to demonstrate the result for a particular $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $d = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u$. Then, u - d has average zero, and the Poincare Inequality implies

$$\exists C_P > 0 : ||u - d|| \le C_P ||\nabla u|| = C_P |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

2. Use scaling arguments. Let $\xi \in \hat{\Omega} = (0,1)^d$ be the master element coordinates. Notice $x_i = h\xi_i, dx = h^d d\xi$. Then

$$\begin{split} \|u-c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} (u(x)-c)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\hat{\Omega}} ((u\circ h)(\xi)-c)^2 \, h^d d\xi \qquad (x=h\xi) \\ &= h^d \|u\circ h-c\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega})}^2 \\ &\leq h^d C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 |u\circ h|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega})}^2 \qquad \text{(by previous)} \\ &= h^d C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 \|\hat{\nabla}(u\circ h)\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega})^d}^2 \\ &= h^d C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 \int_{\hat{\Omega}} (\hat{\nabla}(u\circ h)(\xi))^2 d\xi \\ &= C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 \int_{\Omega} h^2 \, (\nabla u)^2 \, dx \\ &= h^2 C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{split}$$

Finally, take infimum on both sides with respect to c.

3. Idea: consider each element separately, and apply the previous result. In 1D, for example, we have

$$||u-p||^2 = \int_0^1 (u(x)-p)^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (u(x)-p)^2 dx.$$

On each interval, we can apply the previous result. Summing a finite number of times, we just increase the constant. In d dimensions, with each dimension split into N_i elements, we have $N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_d$ small domains. Then we have

$$||u-p||^2 = \int_{\Omega} (u(x) - p)^2 dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_1 \times \dots \times N_d} \int_{\Omega_i} (u(x) - p)^2 dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_1 \times \dots \times N_d} C^2 h^2 |u|_{H^1(\Omega_i)}^2 \qquad \text{(previous result on } \Omega_i\text{)}$$

$$= C^2 h^2 |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

We remark that we have slightly glanced over the translation transformation required to shift an arbitrary element Ω_i to align with $(0,h)^d$. However, in the case where $\Omega=(0,1)^d$, this is merely a translation which the integral does not care about.

Exercise (29). Consider the problem $\Omega=(0,1)\subset\mathbb{R}$, $f\in L^{2}\left(0,1\right)$,

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & x \in \Omega \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

- 1. Find the Green's Function.
- 2. Instead impose Neumann BC's, and find the Green's function. Recall we now require $-\partial^2/\partial x^2G(x,y)=\delta_y(x)-1$.

Proof. 1.

2.