UT Austin CSE 386D

Homework 2

Jonathan Zhang EID: jdz357

Problem 6.9:

Suppose that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $p \in (1,2)$. Show that there exist $f_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $f = f_1 + f_2$. Define $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2$. Show that this definition is well defined, that is, independent of the choices f_1 and f_2 .

Solution Write f as

$$f = f\chi_{[x:|f(x)<1]} + f\chi_{[x:|f(x)\geqslant1]}$$

and define

$$f_2(x) = f\chi_{[x:|f(x)<1]}, \qquad f_1(x) = f\chi_{[x:|f(x)\geqslant 1]}.$$

Then,

$$||f_1||_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| \chi_{[x:|f(x)| \ge 1]} dx = \int_{x:|f(x)| \ge 1} |f(x)| \le \int_{x:|f(x)| \ge 1} |f(x)|^p \le ||f||_p^p.$$

$$||f_2||_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 \chi_{[x:|f(x)| < 1]} dx = \int_{x:|f(x)| < 1} |f(x)|^2 \le \int_{x:|f(x)| < 1} |f(x)|^p \le ||f||_p^p.$$

Next, suppose that f admits two different decompositions, $f=f_1+f_2=g_1+g_2$, where $f_i,g_i\in L^i\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ for i=1,2. Then,

$$\hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2 = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_1(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_1(x) + f_2(x)) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_1(x) + g_2(x)) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

$$= \hat{g}_1 + \hat{g}_2.$$

So, the proposed definition of \hat{f} is well-defined.

Oden Institute

CSE 386D UT Austin

Problem 6.11:

Let the ground field be $\mathbb C$ and define $T:L^2\left(\mathbb R^d\right)\to L^2$ by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int e^{-|x-y|^2/2} f(y) dy.$$

Use the Fourier transform to show that T is a positive, injective but not surjective operator.

Solution Define $\varphi(x) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$. Observe that T is nothing more than convolution, i.e.

$$(Tf)(x) = (\varphi * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x - y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-|x - y|^2/2} f(y) dy.$$

If we consider now the Fourier transform,

$$\widehat{(Tf)} = \widehat{(\varphi * f)} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{f}.$$

The Fourier transform preserves inner product, so

$$(Tf, f) = \left(\widehat{(Tf)}, \widehat{f}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{d/2} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \left\{\widehat{f}(\xi)\right\}^2 d\xi \geqslant 0$$

Notice this is the case since $\varphi = \hat{\varphi} > 0$. So we conclude that T is positive.

Since T is linear, it is sufficient to check Tf=0. We can consider equivalently the Fourier transform, and using the Plancherel Theorem,

$$\widehat{Tf} = 0 = (2\pi)^{d/2} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \, \widehat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} \varphi(\xi) \, \widehat{f}(\xi) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0.$$

So *T* is injective.

Consider now solving the equation $Tf = \varphi$, or equivalently, the equation $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{Tf}\right) = \varphi$. Since the Fourier transform is a unitary operator, then

$$\left(\widehat{Tf}\right)(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} \varphi(\xi) \, \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

However, since $\varphi > 0$, then it must be that

$$\hat{f}\left(\xi\right) = (2\pi)^{-d/2},$$

but this cannot be since the constant function $(2\pi)^{-d/2} \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, we cannot find a solution to this equation, so T is not surjective.

2 Oden Institute

UT Austin CSE 386D

Problem 6.15:

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\hat{\varphi}(0) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}$, and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-d}\varphi(x/\varepsilon)$. Prove that $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \to \delta_0$ and $\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \to (2\pi)^{-d/2}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. In what sense do these convergences take place?

Notice first that

$$\hat{\varphi}(0) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 1$$

We claim that $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \to \delta_0$ in \mathcal{S}' . Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\langle \varphi_{\varepsilon}, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon^{-d} \varphi(x/\varepsilon) f(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(u) f(\varepsilon u) du$$

$$\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(u) f(0) du$$

$$= f(0)$$

$$= \langle \delta_0, f \rangle$$

where we have used the variable substitution $u=x/\varepsilon$, $du=\varepsilon^{-d}dx$ and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem to justify passing the limit under the integral since $f(\varepsilon u)\to f(0)$ uniformly. Indeed, notice that for fixed u, the integrand converges pointwise to the desired result, and it is dominated by the measurable function $\|f\|_{\infty}\varphi(u)$.

Next,

$$\widehat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon^{-d} \mathcal{F}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}x\right)\right) = \varepsilon^{-d} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-d} \widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi)$$

and so

$$\langle \widehat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon \xi) f(\xi) d\xi \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (2\pi)^{-d/2} f(\xi) d\xi = \left\langle (2\pi)^{-d/2}, f \right\rangle$$

by the same dominated convergence argument.

Oden Institute 3