## Homework 9

Jonathan Zhang EID: jdz357

Unless otherwise stated, denote by  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  as the  $L^2$  inner product, and  $\|\cdot\|$  as  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ .

**Exercise (19).** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Consider the BVP

$$-\nabla^2 u + u^3 = f$$

with u=0 on  $\partial\Omega$ . We attempt to solve iteratively from  $u_0=0$  by computing for each  $n=1,2,\ldots$  the solutions to the linear BVP

$$-\nabla^2 u_n + u_{n-1}^2 u_n = f, \qquad u_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

- 1. Find appropriate variational problems for the linear BVP's and show that they are well defined in  $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  provided that  $u_{n-1} \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . (Hint: Sobolev Imbedding Theorem.)
- 2. Show that there is a unique solution  $u_n \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  assuming that  $u_{n-1} \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . Moreover, find a bound for the norm of  $u_n$ .
- 3. Show that the nonlinear BVP has a weak solution. Extract a subsequence of  $u_n$  that converges weakly to some u and show that u satisfies the weak form of the nonlinear BVP.

*Proof.* 1. Assume that  $u_{n-1} \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  is given. Multiply by a test function and integrate by parts the first term,

$$-\left(\nabla^2 u_n, v\right) + \left(u_{n-1}^2 u_n, v\right) = (f, v)$$
$$\left(\nabla u_n, \nabla v\right) - \left\langle \nabla u_n \cdot n, v\right\rangle + \left(u_{n-1}^2 u_n, v\right) = (f, v)$$

For  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we have

$$B(u_n, v) := (\nabla u_n, \nabla v) + (u_{n-1}^2 u_n, v) = (f, v) =: F(v).$$

The VP reads

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ (\nabla u_n, \nabla v) + (u_{n-1}^2 u_n v) = (f, v) \\ \text{for every } v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We need to check that the second integral is well-defined. We recall that for the zero spaces, we simply extend by zero to the whole space with the equality  $||f||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = ||f||_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}$ .

Let p=2, j=0, m=1, and d=4. The Sobolev Embedding Theorem tells us that  $H^1_0(\Omega)\equiv W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)\hookrightarrow W^{0,q}_0(\Omega)\equiv L^q(\Omega)$  for all finite  $q\leq dp/(d-mp)=4$ . We will use exactly q=4 for the estimate

$$\begin{split} |\left(u_{n-1}^2 u_n,v\right)| &\leq \|u_{n-1}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \|u_n\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^4(\Omega)} & \text{H\"older's} \\ &\leq C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} & \text{Sobolev Emb.} \\ &= C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} & \text{Bd. Ext. Op.} \end{split}$$

CSE 386D UT Austin

So, the problem is well-defined in the space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  in the sense that all terms are well-defined, and the solution obtained from solving the VP which lives presently in  $H^1_0(\Omega)$  can be easily extended to  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ .

2. We have a symmetric functional setting, so Lax-Milgram is the tool. The right hand side is trivially continuous by Hölder's Inequality given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . The left hand side is also continuous,

$$B(u_{n}, v) = (\nabla u_{n}, \nabla v) + (u_{n-1}^{2} u_{n}, v)$$

$$\leq \|\nabla u_{n}\| \|\nabla v\| + C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})}^{2} \|u_{n}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})} \|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})}$$

$$\leq \left(1 + C \|u_{n-1}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{4})}^{2}\right) \|u_{n}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}.$$

The bilinear form is also coercive,

$$B(v, v) = \|\nabla v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u_{n-1}^2 v^2$$

$$\geq \|\nabla v\|^2$$

$$\geq C_P^{-2} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

By Lax-Milgram, the problem admits a unique solution  $u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  which we can extend by zero to  $u_n \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ . Moreover, we have the estimate

$$||u_n||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = ||u_n||_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} \le C_P^2 ||f||.$$

Note the bound is independent of n.

3. Notice that  $u_n$  has just been shown to be a bounded sequence in the Hilbert space  $H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Therefore, there is a subsequence  $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1_0(\Omega)$ , furthermore,  $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)^4$ . We argue that u is indeed a weak solution to the nonlinear PDE. For every test function v,  $(\cdot, \nabla v)$  is a linear functional on  $L^2(\Omega)^4$ , so  $(\nabla u_{n_k}, \nabla v) \rightarrow (\nabla u, \nabla v)$ . Additionally,  $u_{n_{k-1}}^2 u_{n_k} \rightarrow u^3$ , so  $\left(u_{n_{k-1}}^2 u_{n_k}, v\right) \rightarrow \left(u^3, v\right)$ . This implies

$$B(u_{n_k}, v) \to (\nabla u, \nabla v) + (u^3, v) = (f, v)$$

which is exactly the weak form of the original nonlinear problem.

2 Oden Institute

**Exercise (21).**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  bounded domain with Lipschitz  $\partial \Omega$ , define

$$H\left(\mathit{div},\Omega\right) = \left\{v \in (L^2(\Omega))^d : \nabla \cdot v \in L^2(\Omega)\right\}.$$

1. Show that  $H(div, \Omega)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(u, v)_{H(div,\Omega)} = (u, v) + (\nabla \cdot u, \nabla \cdot v).$$

2. The trace Theorem does not imply that  $\partial_{\nu}v=v\cdot\nu$  exists on  $\partial\Omega$ . Nevertheless, show that  $\partial_{\nu}:H\left(\operatorname{div},\Omega\right)\to H^{-1/2}\left(\partial\Omega\right)=\left(H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)\right)^*$  is a well defined bounded linear opprator in the sense that

$$\int\limits_{\partial\Omega} v \cdot \nu \phi d\sigma(x) = \int\limits_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot v \phi dx + \int\limits_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla \phi dx.$$

3. Prove the following inf-sup condition: there exists  $\gamma>0$  such that

$$\inf_{w \in L^2} \sup_{v \in H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} \frac{(w, \nabla \cdot v)}{\|w\| \|v\|_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)}} \ge \gamma > 0.$$

Hint solve  $\Delta \varphi = w$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and consider  $v = \nabla \varphi$ .

*Proof.* 1. H (div,  $\Omega$ )  $\subset L^2(\Omega)^d$  is clearly a linear subspace. What remains to show is that H (div,  $\Omega$ ) is closed with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{H(\operatorname{div},\Omega)}$ . Remark: the proposed inner product is indeed an inner product since it is a combination of inner products on  $L^2(\Omega)^d$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $u_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in H (div,  $\Omega$ ) with  $u_n \to u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)^d$ .

2.

3.

CSE 386D UT Austin

## Exercise (25). Consider the finite element method.

- 1. Modify the method to account for nonhomogeneous Neumann conditions.
- 2. Modify the method to account for nonhomogeneous Dirichlet conditions.

Proof. 1. asdf

2. asdf

**Exercise (27).** Suppose  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded connected. Recall the  $H^1$  seminorm is  $|u|_{H^1} = \left\{\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|D^\alpha u\|^2\right\}^{1/2}$ .

1. Show that there is a constant  $C_{\Omega}$  such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\| \le C_{\Omega} |u|_{H^1}.$$

2. Let  $\Omega = (0,h)^d$  for h > 0. Show that there is a constant C independent of h and u such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\| \le Ch|u|_{H^1}.$$

Change var. to integrate over  $(0,1)^d$  and then use previous.

3. Let  $\Omega=(0,1)^d$  and let P be the set of piecewise discontinuous constants over the grid of spacing h=1/N for some positive integer N. Show that there is a constant C independent of h, u, such that

$$\inf_{p \in P} \|u - p\| \le Ch|u|_{H^1}.$$

*Proof.* 1. We always have

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\| \le \|u - d\| \qquad \forall u,$$

so it is sufficient to demonstrate the result for a particular  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $d = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u$ . Then, u-d has average zero, and we can apply the Poincare Inequality for functions with zero average,

$$\exists C_P > 0 : ||u - d|| \le C_P ||\nabla u|| = C_P |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

2. Use scaling arguments. Let  $\xi \in \hat{\Omega} = (0,1)^d$  be the master element coordinates. Notice  $x_i = h\xi_i, dx = h^d d\xi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u-c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} (u(x)-c)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\hat{\Omega}} ((u\circ h)(\xi)-c)^2 \, h^d d\xi \qquad (x=h\xi) \\ &= h^d \|u\circ h-c\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega})}^2 \\ &\leq h^d C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 |u\circ h|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega})}^2 \qquad \text{(by previous)} \\ &= h^d C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 \|\hat{\nabla}(u\circ h)\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega})^d}^2 \\ &= h^d C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 \int_{\hat{\Omega}} (\hat{\nabla}(u\circ h)(\xi))^2 d\xi \\ &= C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 \int_{\Omega} h^2 \, (\nabla u)^2 \, dx \\ &= h^2 C_{\hat{\Omega}}^2 |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, take infimum on both sides with respect to c.

CSE 386D UT Austin

3. Idea: consider each element separately, and apply the previous result. In 1D, for example, we have

**Exercise (29).** Consider the problem  $\Omega = (0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \in L^2(0,1)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & x \in \Omega \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

- 1. Find the Green's Function.
- 2. Instead impose Neumann BC's, and find the Green's function. Recall we now require  $-\partial^2/\partial x^2 G(x,y)=\delta_y(x)-1$ .

Proof. 1. asdf

2. asdf