UT Austin CSE 386D

## Homework 7

Jonathan Zhang EID: jdz357

**Exercise (8.1).** If A is positive definite, show that its eigenvalues are positive. If A is symmetric and has positive eigenvalues, then A is positive definite.

*Proof.* Suppose that A is positive definite. Let  $(\lambda, \nu)$  be an eigenpair for A. Then by the positive definiteness,

$$0 < (v, Av) = (v, \lambda v) = \lambda (v, v) = \lambda ||v||^2.$$

Since this quantity is strictly positive, we must have  $\lambda > 0$ .

On the other side, assume now that A has all positive eigenvalues. Then, A admits a diagonalization  $A = U\Lambda U^*$  for some  $U^* = U^{-1}$  unitary matrix. Pick  $x \neq 0$ , and set  $y = U^*x \neq 0$ . Then

$$(x,Ax) = (x,U\Lambda U^*x) = (y,\Lambda y).$$

Expand  $y = \sum y_i e_i$ , then

$$(y, \Lambda y) = \sum_{i} \overline{y_i} y_i \lambda_i > 0$$

since  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\overline{y_i}y_i = |y_i|^2 \ge 0$  since  $y \ne 0$  by assumption. This shows that  $(x, Ax) = (y, \Lambda y) > 0$  and A is positive definite.

CSE 386D UT Austin

**Exercise (8.3).** Suppose we wish to find  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  satisfying  $-\Delta u + cu^2 = f \in L^2(\Omega)$  for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain. For consistency, we would require that  $cu^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Determine the smallest p such that if  $c \in L^p(\Omega)$ , then this holds. Depends on d.

*Proof.* We want  $cu^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Suppose that  $u \in L^r(\Omega)$  and  $c \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Then by Generalized Hölder's, we have the estimate

$$||cu^2||_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} c^2 u^4 \le ||c||_p^2 ||u||_r^4$$

provided that 2/p + 4/r = 1. That is, given r, we determine  $p = \frac{2}{1 - 4/r}$ , and if  $c \in L^p$ , then we have  $cu^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

We make use now of the Sobolev embedding theorem. We know  $u \in H^2(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ . Let j = 0, m = 2, p = 2. Then we have

- 1. If 4 < d, then  $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{0,r}(\Omega)$  for every  $r \le \frac{2d}{d-4}$ . Let us first investigate when  $r = \frac{2d}{d-4}$ . Substituting in, we find  $p = \frac{2d}{8-d}$ . We immediately find that we have problems when d > 8, which is admissible in this case. Enforcing that  $p \ge 1$ , we obtain  $r \ge 4$ , so we must have  $r \in [4, \frac{2d}{d-4}]$ . However, we run into an issue when d > 8. Then, there are no values for r that work, and hence the argument breaks down.
- 2. If d < 4, then  $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_B^0(\Omega) = C^0(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . In this case, we obtain  $r = \infty$ , and calculate p = 2, so c can be in  $L^2$ .

Therefore, we have the following result:

d	p
< 4	2
4	2+
5	10/3
6	6
7	14
8	∞

UT Austin CSE 386D

**Exercise** (8.5). Suppose that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a smooth, bounded, connected domain. Let

$$H = \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u = 0, \nabla u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \right\}.$$

Show that H is a Hilbert space, and prove there exists C > 0 such that

$$||u||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \sum_{|\alpha|=2} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

*for every*  $u \in H$ .

*Proof.* 1. H is the finite intersection of two null spaces. The null space of the averaging operator and the null space of the  $\gamma_1$  operator. Therefore, H is closed. H also inherits the  $H^2(\Omega)$  inner product.

2. Assume to the contrary that the inequality fails. That is, there exists a sequence  $u_n \in H$  such that

$$\|u_n\|_{H^1}=1 \qquad ext{and} \qquad \sum_{|lpha|=2} \|D^lpha u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} o 0.$$

We remark that the second assumption implies that  $D^{\alpha}u_n \to 0$  in  $L^2$  for every  $|\alpha| = 2$  multiindex. We claim now that  $u_n$  is a bounded sequence in H. This follows since

$$||u_n||_{H^2}^2 = ||u_n||_{H^1}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} ||D^{\alpha}u_n||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 1 + C$$

where  $C < \infty$  is the bound for the sequence  $\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|D^{\alpha}u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ . We know it is bounded since it converges. Thus, we conclude there is a subsequence  $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$  in H.

On one hand, we have a bounded sequence in  $H^1$  from which we can extract a weakly converging subsequence, denoted with the same symbol,  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1$ . This implies weak convergence  $D^{\alpha}u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup D^{\alpha}u$  in  $L^2$  for every  $|\alpha|=2$ . However, we know already that  $D^{\alpha}u_n \to 0$  for every such multiindex, so we conclude that  $D^{\alpha}u=0$  almost everyhwere. Condition  $\nabla u \cdot n=0$  implies then that  $\nabla u=0$ .

On the other hand, the R-K theorem implies that there exists a subsequence  $u_{n_{k_{\ell}}} \to u$  in  $H^1$ . Strong convergence implies strong convergence of  $\nabla u_{n_{k_{\ell}}} \to \nabla u$  in  $L^2$ . Finally, we need the Poincare estimate to show the result. Notice that

$$1 = \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leftarrow \|u_{n_{k_{\ell}}}\|_{H^1}^2 = \|u_{n_{k_{\ell}}}\|^2 + \|\nabla u_{n_{k_{\ell}}}\|^2 \le \left(C_P^2 + 1\right)\|\nabla u_{n_{k_{\ell}}}\|^2 \to \left(C_P^2 + 1\right)\|\nabla u\|^2 \to 0.$$

CSE 386D UT Austin

**Exercise (8.8).**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  bounded, connected, Lipschitz domain. Let  $V \subset \Omega$  have positive measure. Let  $H = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_V = 0\}$ .

- 1. Why is H a Hilbert space?
- 2. Prove that there exists C > 0 such that

$$||u|| \le C||\nabla u||$$

*for every*  $u \in H$ .

- 1. H is the null space of the restriction to V operator. Since restriction is continuous, then H is closed. As a closed subspace of Hilbert space  $H^1(\Omega)$ , then H is itself a Hilbert space.
- 2. Go by contradiction. Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence  $u_n \in H$  such that

$$||u_n|| = 1$$
 and  $||\nabla u_n|| \to 0$ .

Now, from every bounded sequence in a Hilbert space, we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence  $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \in H$ . Weak convergence of  $u_{n_k}$  implies weak convergence of  $\nabla u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$  in  $L^2$ . Since the norm is continuous we pass to the limit and we have that  $\nabla u = 0$ , i.e. u is a constant. But if u vanishes on V, then the only possibility is that  $u \equiv 0$ .

On the other side, we know from the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem that we have  $u_{n_k} \to u$  in  $L^2$ . Convergence in  $L^2$  also implies convergence in the norm, but since  $||u_n|| = 1$  that means ||u|| = 1, a contradiction.