UT Austin CSE 386D

Homework 7

Jonathan Zhang EID: jdz357

Exercise (8.1). If A is positive definite, show that its eigenvalues are positive. If A is symmetric and has positive eigenvalues, then A is positive definite.

Proof. Suppose that A is positive definite. Let (λ, ν) be an eigenpair for A. Then by the positive definiteness,

$$0 < (v, Av) = (v, \lambda v) = \lambda (v, v) = \lambda ||v||^2.$$

Since this quantity is strictly positive, we must have $\lambda > 0$.

On the other side, assume now that A has all positive eigenvalues. Then, A admits a diagonalization $A = U\Lambda U^*$ for some $U^* = U^{-1}$ unitary matrix. Pick $x \neq 0$, and set $y = U^*x \neq 0$. Then

$$(x,Ax) = (x,U\Lambda U^*x) = (y,\Lambda y).$$

Expand $y = \sum y_i e_i$, then

$$(y, \Lambda y) = \sum_{i} \overline{y_i} y_i \lambda_i > 0$$

since $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\overline{y_i}y_i = |y_i|^2 \ge 0$ since $y \ne 0$ by assumption. This shows that $(x, Ax) = (y, \Lambda y) > 0$ and A is positive definite.

CSE 386D UT Austin

Exercise (8.3). Suppose we wish to find $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $-\Delta u + cu^2 = f \in L^2(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain. For consistency, we would require that $cu^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Determine the smallest p such that if $c \in L^p(\Omega)$, then this holds. Depends on d.

Proof. We want $cu^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Suppose that $u \in L^r(\Omega)$ and $c \in L^p(\Omega)$. Then by Generalized Hölder's, we have the estimate

$$||cu^2||_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} c^2 u^4 \le ||c||_p^2 ||u||_r^4$$

provided that 2/p + 4/r = 1. That is, given r, we determine $p = \frac{2}{1 - 4/r}$, and if $c \in L^p$, then we have $cu^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

We make use now of the Sobolev embedding theorem. We know $u \in H^2(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega)$. Let j = 0, m = 2, p = 2. Then we have

- 1. If 4 < d, then $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{0,r}(\Omega)$ for every $r \le \frac{2d}{d-4}$. Let us first investigate when $r = \frac{2d}{d-4}$. Substituting in, we find $p = \frac{2d}{8-d}$. We immediately find that we have problems when d > 8, which is admissible in this case. Restricting to $p \ge 1$, we obtain $r \ge 4$, so we must have $r \in [4, \frac{2d}{d-4}]$.
- 2. If d < 4, then $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_B^0(\Omega) = C^0(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In this case, we obtain $r = \infty$, and calculate p = 2, so c can be in L^2 .

UT Austin CSE 386D

Exercise (8.5). Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a smooth, bounded, connected domain. Let

$$H = \left\{ u \in H^{2}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u = 0, \nabla u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \right\}.$$

Show that H is a Hilbert space, and prove there exists C > 0 such that

$$||u||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \sum_{|\alpha|=2} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for every $u \in H$.

Proof. 1. Hilbert Space.

2. Proof by contradiction.

CSE 386D UT Austin

Exercise (8.8). $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded, connected, Lipschitz domain. Let $V \subset \Omega$ have positive measure. Let $H = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_V = 0\}$.

- 1. Why is H a Hilbert space?
- 2. Prove that there exists C > 0 such that

$$||u|| \le C||\nabla u||$$

for every $u \in H$.

- 1. H is the null space of the restriction to V operator. Since restriction is continuous, then H is closed. As a closed subspace of Hilbert space $H^1(\Omega)$, then H is itself a Hilbert space.
- 2. Go by contradiction. Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence $u_n \in H$ such that

$$||u_n|| = 1$$
 and $||\nabla u_n|| \to 0$.

Now, from every bounded sequence in a Hilbert space, we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \in H$. Weak convergence of u_{n_k} implies weak convergence of $\nabla u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in L^2 . Since the norm is continuous we pass to the limit and we have that $\nabla u = 0$, i.e. u is a constant. But if u vanishes on V, then the only possibility is that $u \equiv 0$.

On the other side, we know from the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem that we have $u_{n_k} \to u$ in L^2 . Convergence in L^2 also implies convergence in the norm, but since $||u_n|| = 1$ that means ||u|| = 1, a contradiction.