

## Hash Tables [5/5]

### Efficiency Comparison (duplicate keys not allowed)

	Idea #1 Priority Queue using Heap	Idea #2 Self-Balancing Search Tree	Idea #3 Hash Table: worst case    Hash Table: average case	
Retrieve	Constant*	Logarithmic	Linear	Constant
Insert	Amortized** logarithmic	Logarithmic	Linear	Amortized constant***
Delete	Logarithmic*	Logarithmic	Linear	Constant

\*Priority Queue *retrieve* & *delete* are not Table operations in full generality. Only the item with the highest priority (key) can be retrieved/deleted.

\*\*Logarithmic if enough memory is preallocated. Otherwise, occasional reallocate-and-copy—linear time—may be required. Time per *insert*, averaged over many consecutive *inserts*, will be logarithmic. Thus, *amortized logarithmic time* (which is not a term I expect you to know).

\*\*\*Hash Table *insert* is constant-time only in a *double average* sense: averaged both over all possible inputs and over a large number of consecutive *inserts*.

Position-Oriented ADT	Corresponding Value-Oriented ADT
Sequence	SortedSequence
Binary Tree	Binary Search Tree

	Sorted Array	Unsorted Array	Sorted Linked List	Unsorted Linked List	Binary Search Tree	<i>Strongly Balanced (how?) BST</i>
Retrieve	Logarithmic	Linear	Linear	Linear	Linear	<i>Logarithmic</i>
Insert	Linear	Constant-ish	Linear	Constant	Linear	<i>Logarithmic</i>
Delete	Linear	Linear	Linear	Linear	Linear	<i>Logarithmic</i>

## Review

### More on Linked Lists [2/5]

	Smart Array	Linked List
<b>Look-up by index</b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>
<b>Search sorted</b>	<b><math>O(\log n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>
Search unsorted	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Sort	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$
<b>Insert @ given pos</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)^*</math></b>
<b>Remove @ given pos</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)^*</math></b>
<b>Splice</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
<b>Insert @ beginning</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
<b>Remove @ beginning</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
Insert @ end	$O(n)^{**}$ amortized const	$O(1)$ or $O(n)^{***}$
Remove @ end	$O(1)$	$O(1)$ or $O(n)^{***}$
Traverse	$O(n)$	$O(n)$

**Find** faster  
with an array

**Rearrange** faster  
with a Linked List

\*For Singly Linked Lists,  
insert/remove just *after* the  
given position.

- Doubly Linked Lists can help.

\*\* $O(1)$  if no reallocate-and-copy.

- Pre-allocate to ensure this.

\*\*\*For  $O(1)$ , need a pointer to  
end of list. Otherwise,  $O(n)$ .

- This can be tricky.
- And, for remove @ end, it is  
mostly impossible.
- Doubly Linked Lists can help.

## Review

### More on Linked Lists [5/5]

	Smart Array	Doubly Linked List
<b>Look-up by index</b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>
<b>Search sorted</b>	<b><math>O(\log n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>
Search unsorted	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Sort	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$
<b>Insert @ given pos</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
<b>Remove @ given pos</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
<b>Splice</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
<b>Insert @ beginning</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
<b>Remove @ beginning</b>	<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	<b><math>O(1)</math></b>
Insert @ end	$O(n)^{**}$ amortized const	$O(1)$
Remove @ end	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Traverse	$O(n)$	$O(n)$

**Find** faster  
with an array

**Rearrange** faster  
with a Linked List

With Doubly Linked Lists, we can eliminate asterisks.

**\*\* $O(1)$**  if no reallocate-and-copy.

- Pre-allocate to ensure this.

## Sequences in the C++ STL

### Efficiency [2/2]

	vector, basic_string	deque	list
Look-up by index	Constant	Constant	Linear
Search sorted	Logarithmic	Logarithmic	Linear
Insert @ given pos	Linear	Linear	Constant
Remove @ given pos	Linear	Linear	Constant
Insert @ end	Linear/ Amortized constant*	Linear/ Amortized constant**	Constant
Remove @ end	Constant	Constant	Constant
Insert @ beginning	Linear	Linear/ Amortized constant**	Constant
Remove @ beginning	Linear	Constant	Constant

The way `vector` acts at the end is the way `deque` acts at beginning and end.

\* $\Theta(1)$  if sufficient memory has already been allocated. We can pre-allocate.

\*\*Only a constant number of value-type operations are required. The C++ Standard says these are constant-time.

All four have  $\Theta(n)$  traverse & search-unsorted and  $\Theta(n \log n)$  sort.

## Queues

### In the C++ STL — Operations

---

The `std::queue` interface for the various ADT operations:

ADT Operation	Implementation
enqueue	Member function <code>push</code>
dequeue	Member function <code>pop</code>
getFront	Member function <code>front</code>
isEmpty	Member function <code>empty</code>
size	Member function <code>size</code>
create	Default constructor
destroy	Destructor
copy	Copy/move operations

← This one is different from `std::stack`, which has `top`.

`std::queue` also has:

- Member function `swap`.
- The various comparison operators (`==`, `<`, etc.).

## Allocation & Efficiency

### Amortized Constant Time [4/4]

---

Using Big-O	In Words
$O(1)$	Constant time
$O(\log n)$	Logarithmic time
$O(n)$	Linear time
$O(n \log n)$	Log-linear time
$O(n^2)$	Quadratic time
$O(c^n)$ , for some $c > 1$	Exponential time

Q. Where does *amortized constant-time* fit into the above list?

A. It does *not* fit into the list!

The above are all about the worst-case time required for a *single operation*; amortized constant-time is not.

Insert-at-end for a well written resizable array is amortized constant-time. It is also still linear time.

## Review Analysis of Algorithms

---

	Using Big-O	In Words	
	$O(1)$	Constant time	
Cannot read all of input ↑	$O(\log n)$	Logarithmic time	Faster ↑
	$O(n)$	Linear time	
	$O(n \log n)$	Log-linear time	Slower ↓
..... Probably not scalable ↓	$O(n^2)$	Quadratic time	
	$O(c^n)$ , for some $c > 1$	Exponential time	

### Useful Rules

- **Rule of Thumb.** For nested “real” loops, order is  $O(n^t)$ , where  $t$  is the number of nested loops.
- **Addition Rule.**  $O(f(n)) + O(g(n))$  is either  $O(f(n))$  or  $O(g(n))$ , whichever is larger. And similarly for  $\Theta$ . This works when adding up any *fixed, finite* number of terms.

## Review

### Parameter Passing I/II [1/2]

---

Four methods for passing a parameter or returning a value are used in C++:

	<b>By Value</b> <code>U f(T x)</code>	<b>By Reference</b> <code>U &amp; f(T &amp; x)</code>	<b>By Reference-to-Const</b> <code>const U &amp; f(const T &amp; x)</code>	<b>By Rvalue Reference</b> <code>U &amp;&amp; f(T &amp;&amp; x)</code>
Makes a copy	YES ☹	NO ☺	NO ☺	NO ☺
Allows for polymorphism	NO ☹	YES ☺	YES ☺	YES ☺
Allows implicit type conversions	YES ☺	NO ☹	YES ☺	YES ☺
Allows passing of:	Any copyable value ☺	Non-const Lvalues ☹?	Any value* ☺	Non-const Rvalues*

\*Rvalues *prefer* to be passed by Rvalue reference.