Question 3: (Fully) Parametric, Structural Estimation

Under the assumption that ϵ and ξ follow a bivariate normal distribution

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 & \rho \sigma_{\epsilon} \sigma_{\xi} \\ \rho \sigma_{\epsilon} \sigma_{\xi} & \sigma_{\xi}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

(a) Estimate the model using full information maximum likelihood (that is, deriving a likelihood function in which we are estimating the utility function and the wage equation jointly).

In this exercise we will use the estimation procedure in the slides of Static Labor Participation Estimation. In that specific slide we have:

"... the likelihood can be written in the following way:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \text{ data }) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Pr\left(P_i = 1, w = w_i \mid \boldsymbol{y}_i, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right)^{P_i} \left(\Pr\left(P_i = 0 \mid \boldsymbol{y}_i, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right)^{1 - P_i}$$

where:

$$\Pr\left(P_i = 0 \mid y_i, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_i'\alpha + \beta y_i - \mathbf{z}'\gamma}{\sigma_{\nu}}\right)$$

with $\sigma_{\nu}^2 = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_{\xi}^2 - 2\rho\sigma_{\epsilon}\sigma_{\xi}$, and

$$\begin{split} & \Pr\left(P_i = 1, w = w_i \mid y_i, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\xi}} \phi\left(\frac{w_i - \mathbf{z}'\gamma}{\sigma_{\nu}}\right) \\ & \times \Phi\left(\frac{w_i - \mathbf{X}_i'\alpha - \beta y_i - \rho_{\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}}{\sigma_{\xi}}}\left[w_i - \mathbf{z}_i'\gamma\right]}{\sigma_{\epsilon}\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \end{split}$$

" In our context, if we let $X_i' = [1, age_i, nchild_i]'$, y_i is non-labor income and $z_i' = [age_i, education_i]$, and $\Phi(.), \phi(.)$ represents the cdf and density of a standard normal.

We do it by first defining the log likelihood for each sample as we see below:

- # 3)Under the assumption that epsilon and follow a bivariate normal distribution
- # 3-a) full information maximum likelihood -----
- # Estimate the model using full information maximum likelihood
- # (that is, deriving a likelihood function in which we are estimating
- # the utility function and the wage equation jointly).

```
\# Z = educ and age
# X = const, age , nchild
# y = non labor income
# Loglikelihood P-N First sample of 1000bs
log_likelihood_n1 <- function(par){</pre>
  # Dictionary
  # par[1] = alpha_1
  \# par[2] = alpha_2
  # par[3] = alpha_3
  \# par[4] = beta
  # par[5] = gamma_1
  \# par[6] = gamma_2
  # par[7] = sigma_e
  # par[8] = sigma_xi
  # par[9] = rho
  # sigma_v
  sigma_v \leftarrow sqrt(par[7]^2 + par[8]^2 - 2*par[9]*par[7]*par[8])
  # Here we define the Argument of Pr(P=0)
  arg_pr_0 \leftarrow (par[1] + par[2]*X_n1[,2] + par[3]*X_n1[,3] + par[4]*Y_n1 - par[5]*Z_n1[,1]
  # Define we properly define Pr(0)
  pr_0 <- pnorm(arg_pr_0)</pre>
  pr_0 \leftarrow exp(pr_0) / (1 + exp(pr_0))
  # Here we define Arg 1 of Pr(1), the argument of the density normal
  arg_pr_1 \leftarrow (W_n1 - par[5]*Z_n1[,1] - par[6]*Z_n1[,2]) / sigma_v
  # Here we define the Arg 2 of Pr(1), the argument of the cdf normal
  arg_pr_2 <- W_n1 - par[1] - par[2] *X_n1[,2] - par[3] *X_n1[,3] -par[4] *Y_n1
  arg_pr_2 <- arg_pr_2 -(par[9]*par[7]/sigma_v)*(W_n1-par[5]*Z_n1[,1]-par[6]*Z_n1[,2])
  arg_pr_2 <- arg_pr_2 / (par[7]*sqrt(1-par[9]^2))</pre>
  # Values of Pr(1)
  pr_1 <- (1/par[8]) * dnorm(arg_pr_1) * rnorm(arg_pr_2)</pre>
  pr_1 \leftarrow exp(pr_1)/(1 + exp(pr_1))
```

```
# Objective function
log_like = sum(L_n1*log(pr_1) + (1 - L_n1)*(log(pr_0)))
return(log_like)
}
```

Now we define the same function but for the sample of 5000 obs.

```
log_likelihood_n2 <- function(par){</pre>
  # Dictionary
  # par[1] = alpha_1
  \# par[2] = alpha_2
  \# par[3] = alpha_3
 \# par[4] = beta
  # par[5] = gamma_1
  \# par[6] = gamma_2
  # par[7] = sigma_e
  # par[8] = sigma_xi
  # par[9] = rho
  # sigma_v
  sigma_v \leftarrow sqrt(par[7]^2 + par[8]^2 - 2*par[9]*par[7]*par[8])
 # Arg pr_0
  arg_pr_0 \leftarrow (par[1] + par[2]*X_n2[,2] + par[3]*X_n2[,3] + par[4]*Y_n2 - par[5]*Z_n2[,1]
  # Define Pr(0)
 pr_0 <- pnorm(arg_pr_0)</pre>
 pr_0 \leftarrow exp(pr_0) / (1 + exp(pr_0))
  # Arg 1 of pR(1)
  arg_pr_1 \leftarrow (W_n2 - par[5]*Z_n2[,1] - par[6]*Z_n2[,2]) / sigma_v
  # Arg 2 de pr2
  arg_pr_2 <- W_n2 - par[1] - par[2]*X_n2[,2]- par[3]*X_n2[,3] -par[4]*Y_n2
  arg_pr_2 <- arg_pr_2 -(par[9]*par[7]/sigma_v)*(W_n2-par[5]*Z_n2[,1]-par[6]*Z_n2[,2])
  arg_pr_2 <- arg_pr_2 / (par[7]*sqrt(1-par[9]^2))</pre>
  # Pr(1)
 pr_1 <- (1/par[8]) * dnorm(arg_pr_1) * rnorm(arg_pr_2)</pre>
```

```
pr_1 <- exp(pr_1)/(1 + exp(pr_1))

# Objective
log_like = sum(L_n2*log(pr_1) + (1 - L_n2)*(log(pr_0)))
return(log_like)
}</pre>
```

Finally with following commands we optimize the log likelihood function in order to find the parameters. For initial values we could use the *lucky guess* which are values we previously estimated and know are near the optimum or we can start by using a random draw of number between -100 and 100 and keep iterating the process until we converge to the desired point.

```
# Guesses
lucky_guess <- c(9.156, 0.858, -0.609, 1.265, 1232.229, 60.274,100, 100, 0)
random_guess <- sample(-100:100, 9)

# See if has correct number of parameters =9
length(lucky_guess)
length(random_guess)

# Optim with luck_guess
optim(lucky_guess, log_likelihood_n1, method = "Nelder-Mead")
optim(lucky_guess, log_likelihood_n2, method = "Nelder-Mead")

# Optim with random_guess
optim(random_guess, log_likelihood_n1, method = "Nelder-Mead")
optim(random_guess, log_likelihood_n2, method = "Nelder-Mead")
optim(random_guess, log_likelihood_n2, method = "Nelder-Mead")</pre>
```

Table 8: Estimation Parametric-Structural

5000 Obs.	$1000~\mathrm{Obs}$
56.35703	49.70609
47.66128	-26.41636
44.87264	34.93082
-219.79045	-149.24950
1277.57623	1322.04343
113.59084	145.01691
145.38495	122.68997
149.16555	103.24569
40.25317	103.24569
	56.35703 47.66128 44.87264 -219.79045 1277.57623 113.59084 145.38495 149.16555

Parameter	5000 Obs.	1000 Obs
Optim Value	-3465.75	-693.1539

(b) Compare your results with those obtained in Question 2. Discuss.