

4.2c

Problem: Change the units of the variables  $\Rightarrow$  how does it affect the regression estimates? For the record, the original eqn is:

$$\hat{w} = -79.24 + 4.16h, R^2 = 0.72, \text{SER} = 12.6.$$

Solution: Instead of converting the units and performing the calculations, it would be instructive to estimate the changes in a more systematic way, namely, by using dimensional analysis (to borrow from other sciences).

To start off, we begin with  $R^2$ . Recall its formula:

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{ESS}}{\text{TSS}} = \frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

Suppose that  $y$  has dim of  $f$ , then  $R^2$ 's dim can be derived as:

$$[R^2] = \frac{\sum (y - \bar{y})^2}{\sum (y - \hat{y})^2} = 0$$

which means that  $R^2$  is dimensionless! Therefore, any change in the units of the variables won't affect  $R^2$ .

Similarly, SER is also dimensionless

$$[\text{SER}] = [\sqrt{s_y^2}] = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-2}} \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \right]^{1/2} = 0$$

Let us proceed to evaluate the changes in  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_0$ , respectively, next:

Suppose that we wanna transform  $(x_i^1, y_i^1)$  to  $(x_i^2, y_i^2)$ . The two points will be reflected by the eqns:

$$\begin{cases} x_i^1 = m x_i^2 & (m, n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \\ y_i^1 = n y_i^2 \end{cases} \quad (\star)$$

Also recall that the slope of  $\hat{\beta}_1^1$  can be calculated as:

$$\hat{\beta}_1^1 = \frac{\sum (x_i^1 - \bar{x}^1)(y_i^1 - \bar{y}^1)}{\sum (x_i^1 - \bar{x}^1)^2} = \frac{mn \sum (x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2)(y_i^2 - \bar{y}^2)}{\sum (x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2)^2}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{\beta}_1^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2)(y_i^2 - \bar{y}^2)}{\sum (x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2)^2} \quad (\text{from eqn } (\star))$$

$$\text{Then, it's easy to see that } \hat{\beta}_1^1 = \frac{n}{m} \hat{\beta}_1^2, \text{ or } \hat{\beta}_1^2 = \frac{m}{n} \hat{\beta}_1^1 \quad (\star\star)$$

Finally, we can calculate  $\hat{\beta}_0^2$ .

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_0^1 = \bar{y}^1 - \hat{\beta}_1^1 \bar{x}^1 \\ \hat{\beta}_0^2 = \bar{y}^2 - \hat{\beta}_1^2 \bar{x}^2 \end{cases}$$

Divide  $\hat{\beta}_0^2$  by  $\hat{\beta}_0^1$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{\beta}_0^2}{\hat{\beta}_0^1} &= \frac{\bar{y}^2 - \hat{\beta}_1^2 \bar{x}^2}{\bar{y}^1 - \hat{\beta}_1^1 \bar{x}^1} = \frac{n \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2 - \hat{\beta}_1^2 \bar{x}^2}{n}}{n \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^1 - \hat{\beta}_1^1 \bar{x}^1}{n}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{y}^2 - \hat{\beta}_1^2 \bar{x}^2}{\bar{y}^1 - \hat{\beta}_1^1 \bar{x}^1} \quad (\text{from eqns (x) and (x*)}) \\ &= \frac{n \bar{y}^2 - \frac{n}{m} \hat{\beta}_1^2 \cdot m \bar{x}^2}{n \bar{y}^1 - \frac{n}{m} \hat{\beta}_1^1 \cdot m \bar{x}^1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{\frac{\hat{\beta}_0^2}{\hat{\beta}_0^1} = \frac{\bar{y}^2 - \hat{\beta}_1^2 \bar{x}^2}{\bar{y}^1 - \hat{\beta}_1^1 \bar{x}^1}}$$

5.1)  $\hat{t}_S = 640.3 - 4.93(5), R^2 = 0.11, SER = 8.7 \quad (56 \text{ samples})$   
 $(23.5) \quad (2.02)$

a.) 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$  is  $\{\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 1.96 \times SE(\hat{\beta}_1)\}$

Plug in the numbers, we have:

$$CI = \{-4.93 \pm 1.96 \times 2.02\} = (-8.89, -0.97)$$

b.)  $t_{act} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{-4.93}{2.02} = -2.44$

Suppose  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is approx. normally distributed; then

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= \Pr(|z| > |t_{act}|) = 2 \phi(-|t_{act}|) \\ &= 2 \times \phi(-2.44) \\ &= 2 \times 0.01734 \quad (2 \div \text{table}.net) \\ &= 0.015 < 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  reject the null hypo

**DÁM  
ƯỚC  
MÓ**

At the 1% level,  $p\text{-value} = 0.015 > 0.01 \Rightarrow$  don't reject

$$\underline{C.1} \quad H_0: \beta_1 = -5.0, \text{ then } t^{\text{act}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{SE(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{-4.93 + 5}{2.02} = 0.035 \approx 0.04$$

$$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} = 2\phi(-|t^{\text{act}}|) = 2\phi(-0.04) = 2 \times 0.51595 = 0.431905$$

$$= 0.1684 \approx 0.05$$

$\Rightarrow$  not contained in the 95% CI for  $\beta_1$

$$\approx 0.9681 > 0.05$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\beta_1}$$
 contained in the 95% CI for  $\beta_1$

$$\underline{d.1} \quad P(-2 \leq z \leq 2) = 0.9$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(z \leq 2) - P(z \leq -2) = 0.9$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2P(z \leq 2) - 1 = 0.9 \quad | \cdot 0.5$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(z \leq 2) = 0.945 \Rightarrow z = 1.96 \quad (\text{z-table.net})$$

The 90% CI for  $\beta_1$  is then:

$$\text{CI} = \left[ \hat{\beta}_1 \pm 1.64 SE(\hat{\beta}_1) \right] = \left[ -4.93 \pm 1.64 \times 2.02 \right] = (-8.29, -1.62)$$

The 90% CI for  $\beta_0$  is then:

$$\text{CI} = \left[ \hat{\beta}_0 \pm 1.64 SE(\hat{\beta}_0) \right] = \left[ 640.3 \pm 1.64 \times 23.5 \right] = [601.76, 678.84]$$

$$\underline{6.5} \quad \hat{y} = 109.7 + 0.567 \text{ BDR} + 26.9 \text{ bath} + 0.239 \text{ BBR} + 0.005 \text{ Lsize}$$

$$+ 0.1 \text{ age} - 56.9 \text{ poor}, \quad R^2 = 0.85, \quad \text{SER} = 45.8$$

$$\text{a. } \Delta p = 26.9 \text{ Abath} = 26.9 \text{ (thousands)}$$

$$\text{b. } \Delta p = 26.9 \Delta \text{bath} + 0.239 \Delta \text{BBR}$$

$$= 26.9 + 0.239 \times 80 = 96.02 \text{ (thousands)}$$

C.  $\Delta p \geq -56.9$  &  $\Delta per = -56.9$  (thousands)

d.

$$R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{SSR}{TSS}$$

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-k-1} \frac{SSR}{TSS} \Rightarrow \frac{SSR}{TSS} = (\bar{R}^2) \frac{n-k-1}{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow R^2 = 1 - (1-\bar{R}^2) \frac{n-k-1}{n-1}$$

$$= 1 - (1-0.88^2) \frac{200-7-1}{200-1} \approx 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R^2 \approx 0.73$$