Modernizing the Java PathFinder Build Workflow: Migrating from Ant to Gradle

Jeanderson Barros Candido e-mail: jeandersonbc@gmail.com

August 23, 2022

1 Summary

Developers often perform recurrent tasks during the development process such as testing, managing external libraries, generating API documentation, and managing release artifacts. Build tools help to automate those error-prone and daunt tasks with scripts that abstract those tasks. This proposal aims to modernize the build workflow from the Java PathFinder (JPF) project by migrating from Ant to Gradle. Gradle is a general purpose build system and uses Groovy, a JVM language, to create flexible and highly customizable build workflows. To achieve this goal, my strategy is to have a working Gradle build coexisting with the current Ant script. Ant targets will migrate to Gradle tasks in an incremental and iterative process. By the end of the program, is expected to have the Gradle support fully integrated into the main repository.

2 Outline

The JPF project currently uses Ant to automate the build process. Unfortunately, Ant has drawbacks that hinder developer's productivity for sufficiently complex and large projects. In the context of the JPF project, there are two major issues:

- 1. Lack of automatic dependency resolution. The user needs to manually download and configure dependencies. Ant is often integrated with Ivy[3] as a complementary tool to handle external dependencies. On the other hand, Gradle[5], Maven[11], and other popular build tools resolve declared dependencies automatically out-of-the-box.
- 2. Large and verbose script file. XML has some drawbacks in the context of build automation. Tags are often long names, and, in particular, Ant targets may contain several attributes and nested elements to describe additional properties. For sufficiently large projects, it is challenging to maintain and evolve the build process due to the quick growth and the verbosity of the build script.

Many popular build tools provide features to address those issues. This proposal focuses on migrating from Ant to Gradle; it is relevant because the current build workflow may introduce barriers for maintenance and to newcomers. For further details on how and why I decided to migrate to Gradle, please, refer to the Appendix A.1.

3 Deliverables

- Gradle support on the jpf-core module;
- Gradle support on the jpf-symbc extension module;
- Updated version of the jpf-template auxiliary tool;
- Updated documentation related to the build process from the mentioned projects.
- A build migration guide for replication of this process in other JPF projects.

4 Strategy

The main strategy to succeed with this proposal is to have a working Gradle build since the beginning. This is possible due to the interoperability of Ant withing a Gradle execution [8]. Figure 1 demonstrates this feature with a simple example: the build.gradle script imports a simple build.xml file and the user can invoke the foo target as a Gradle task.

For this proposal, is not sufficient to only import the existing build script. The JPF core (jpf-core) and Symbolic PathFinder (jpf-symbc) modules have many tasks and dependencies that could be simplified with a simpler and verifiable syntax. Figure 2 illustrates the existing Ant targets and their dependencies. As we can see, critical paths involve mostly the compilation steps and must be a priority since they represent the longer paths on the build workflow. My strategy is to migrate each Ant target until the new build script supports all original targets as Gradle tasks. This is feasible because Gradle interchangeably handles Ant targets and Gradle tasks. Figure 3 demonstrates the migration from Ant to Gradle. The compile target, originally on the build.xml file, depends on the init target and it is a dependency to the dist target. After the migration, the build.gradle script imports the build.xml and implements the compile task. The new Gradle script performs the full build workflow despite being incomplete. This feature ensures that Ant and Gradle can coexist during the migration process.

```
Console output


$ gradle foo

> Task :foo
[ant:echo] Running from Ant

BUILD SUCCESSFUL in 0s
1 actionable task: 1 executed
```

```
build.gradle
ant.importBuild "build.xml"
```

Figure 1: Demonstration of Ant integration with Gradle. Source: the author.






Figure 2: Ant targets represented as directed acyclic graphs. The edges represent dependencies where the $tail\ node$ depends on the completion of the $head\ node$.

Source: the author.

build.xml

build.gradle

```
ant.importBuild "build.xml"

task compile(dependsOn: "init") {
    doLast {
        println "Running compile task"
    }
}
```

(a) Before migration

(b) After migration

Figure 3: Ant and Gradle interoperability.

Source: the author.

5 Timeline

The following schedule describes the tasks related to the migration of the jpf-core, jpf-symbc, and jpf-template projects. Depending on the performance during the execution, there is a change to cover other JPF projects, as well. This will be discussed closely with the mentor during the program.

Community Bonding

Apr/23 - May/13:

- 1. Import jpf-symbc and jpf-template on GitHub.
- 2. Cleanup and review of the jpf-core, jpf-symbc, and jpf-template projects.

Coding

Phase 1

May/14 - Jun/10:

- 1. Implement scripts for acceptance tests of the jpf-core jar files¹;
- 2. Migration of the JPF's compile subtree (see Figure 2a);
- 3. Migration of the remaining Ant targets from the JPF project;
- 4. Update JPF documentation related to Ant tasks;
- 5. Elaborate a draft to the migration guide based on the experience.

Jun/11 - Jun/15: First Evaluation.

¹This will be useful to compare jar files generated from Gradle and Ant

Phase 2

Jun/11 - Jul/08:

- 1. Implement scripts for acceptance tests of the jpf-symbc jar files as in the previous phase;
- 2. Migration of the Symbolic PathFinder's compile subtree (see Figure 2b);
- 3. Migration of the remaining Ant targets;
- 4. Update documentation related to Ant tasks;
- 5. Update the migration guide.

Jul/09 - Jul/13: Second Evaluation.

Phase 3

Jul/09 - Aug/05

- 1. Add Gradle support on the jpf-template project;
- 2. Final version of the migration guide;
- 3. Update jpf-template's documentation;
- 4. Migrate changes from jpf-core to the main repository;
- 5. Migrate jpf-symbc and jpf-template to the Java PathFinder Github user.

Aug/06 - Aug/14: Final evaluation.

A Appendix

A.1 Build Tools Evaluation

There are several build tools available in the Java community. Maven and Gradle are two mainstream tools popular in Android and web development. SBT[10] is another build tool that is becoming popular, and it was suggested in the GSOC idea's list[12]. Which one fits better to JPF's needs? To answer this question, I evaluated Maven, Gradle, and SBT in respect to the following aspects:

- Q1. How are dependencies managed? Configuring paths and jar files manually can be error-prone. Ideally, the build tool would take care of paths and dependency versions automatically.
- Q2. How brief and powerful is the build script format? XML syntax may lead to overly verbose and large files for sufficiently large projects. Ideally, the chosen build tool offers a friendly and brief syntax and provides an easy way to create user-defined tasks.

Results

- Answering Q1: One of the features introduced by Maven was the automatic management of external dependencies. By default, Maven fetches jar files from the Maven Central Repository[2] and keep them locally. In addition, Maven allows access to other repositories[1] with minor configuration. Not surprisingly, Gradle and SBT not only adopted this feature but are also compatible with Maven repositories. Therefore, all options are tied in this question.
- Answering Q2: In this aspect, Maven inherits drawbacks from Ant since it is also based on XML. On the other hand, Gradle and SBT are different from Maven: scripts not only describe the build process but also specify how to perform tasks. Build scripts are actual code. In the case of SBT and Gradle, both are compatible with Java API. This feature opens many possibilities and conveniences to create custom build processes and user-defined tasks. Therefore, SBT (Scalabased) and Gradle (Groovy-based) are both valid options.

Conclusion

Maven is a mature tool with many advantages compared to Ant. However, the build script lacks expressiveness since it is also based on XML. SBT, on the other hand, empowers the developer by specifying the build process with real code. In addition, Scala features interoperability with Java. SBT is a promising tool but it is relatively recent. The first stable release is from February 9th, 2018[9]. Gradle is a mature tool and combines the best of Maven and SBT including features like incremental building, a daemon for efficient execution[6], and also a convenient wrapper mechanism[7] for reproducible builds. One of the most important Gradle features that support directly this proposal is the interoperability with Ant build scripts[8]¹. For these reasons, Gradle seems to be a better fit for the context of JPF. A list of useful links and snippets to demonstrate some Gradle basics are available on GitHub[4] for further reference. Note that this evaluation is a sanity check and does not intend to be generalized to all contexts in Java development.

¹Section 4 elaborates how this feature is used in this proposal

A.2 Author's Resume

Jeanderson Candido

R. Dr. Ivanildo Guedes Pessoa, 184. Apt 1404 — Joao Pessoa, PB 58037-325, Brazil jbc5@cin.ufpe.br | https://jeandersonbc.github.io

Research Interests

Program Analysis, Software Testing, Software Architecture, Programming Languages

_ 1			
(110	afi	ion

$2016 - 2018^{1}$	M.S. in Computer Science, Federal University of Pernambuco (UFPE), Brazil.
$2009 - 2014^2$	B.S. in Computer Science, Federal University of Campina Grande (UFCG), Brazil.

Experience

02/16 - current	Research Assistant (Graduate). Federal University of Pernambuco. Brazil.
	Advisor: Prof. Dr. Marcelo d'Amorim

I lead a study on test suite parallelization in open-source projects, and I help our research group with other ongoing projects.

03/15 – 01/16 Full Stack Developer. *Federal University of Campina Grande. Brazil.*

Worked on the development of a management system for Compal Eletronics (Taiwan). Highlight tasks include designing the architecture of the front-end application, developing technical reports to our client, and helping my team to migrate from SVN to Git. (Hibernate/Spring Boot/KnockoutJS)

 $04/14-08/14 \quad \ \, \text{Open-Source Contributor.} \, \textit{Eclipse Foundation/Google Summer of Code.} \, \textit{Remote.}$

Mentor: Lars Vogel

I evolved the API of the JFace Framework, part of the Eclipse IDE platform, to target Java 1.6. [web]

 $08/13-08/14 \qquad \text{Research Assistant (Undergraduate)}. \textit{ Federal University of Campina Grande. Brazil.}$

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Rohit Gheyi.

I developed a tool that exhaustively generates C programs based on Alloy specifications. This tool was

designed to support a verification technique for refactoring engines in C. (Java/Alloy).

05/13 - 08/13 Research Assistant Intern (Undergraduate). University of Massachusetts, Amherst. USA.

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Yuriy Brun

I worked on an Eclipse plugin that performs continuous analysis to identify behavioral changes while

the developer is modifying the source code. (Java/Eclipse PDE).

 $08/11-04/12 \qquad \text{Research Assistant (Undergraduate)}. \textit{ Federal University of Campina Grande. Brazil.}$

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Wilkerson Andrade

I developed and implemented a Domain Specific Language as a front-end interface to support a

framework of test case generation for Real-Time Systems. (Java/JavaCC). [web]

Honors and Awards

- ACM SIGSOFT CAPS Grant. 11/17.
- Recipient of a FACEPE³ fellowship for graduate students. 2016 2018.
- Proposal accepted and successfully concluded in the Google Summer of Code program. 2014.
- Dean's List at Walsh University. Fall/13.
- Received full scholarship from the Brazil Scientific Mobility Program to study abroad in the USA for 1 year. 05/12.

Publications

ASE'17 **Jeanderson Candido**, Luis Melo, Marcelo d'Amorim. Test Suite Parallelization in Open-Source Projects: a Study on its Usage and Impact. In: *IEEE/ACM Intl. Conference on Automated Software Engineering*(ASE), pages 838-848, Urbana-Champaign (IL), US, Nov. 2017 – (accpt. 21% [65/314]) – [pdf, web]

For any further reference or questions, do not hesitate to send me a message.

Expected graduation in April, 2018.

Exchange student in Computer Science at Walsh University (Ohio, USA) from August 2012 until April 2013.

³ FACEPE is a research funding agency from the State of Pernambuco, Brazil.

References

- [1] MVN Repository. https://mvnrepository.com/.
- [2] The Central Repository. https://search.maven.org/.
- [3] Apache Ivy: The agile dependency manager. https://ant.apache.org/ivy/.
- [4] Jeanderson Candido. Gradle Labs. https://github.com/jeandersonbc/gradle-labs.
- [5] Gradle Build Tool. https://gradle.org/.
- [6] Gradle User Manual. The Gradle Daemon. https://docs.gradle.org/current/userguide/gradle_daemon.html.
- [7] Gradle User Manual. The Gradle Wrapper. https://docs.gradle.org/current/userguide/gradle_wrapper.html.
- [8] Gradle User Manual. Using Ant from Gradle. https://docs.gradle.org/current/userguide/ant.html.
- [9] SBT. Official Releases. https://github.com/sbt/sbt/releases/.
- [10] SBT: the interactive build tool. https://www.scala-sbt.org/.
- [11] The Apache Maven project. https://maven.apache.org/.
- [12] The Java PathFinder Project. GSoC 2018 Project Ideas. http://goo.gl/PAVibm.