Classical Protocols Needham-Schroeder Shared-Key Protocol

Design and Verification of Security Protocols and Security Ceremonies

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Computação Dr. Jean Everson Martina

August-November 2016





 Many existing protocols are derived from the work of Needham and Schroeder (1978);

- Many existing protocols are derived from the work of Needham and Schroeder (1978);
- Kerberos authentication protocol suite is one of the main used protocols and is derived from NSSKP;

- Many existing protocols are derived from the work of Needham and Schroeder (1978);
- Kerberos authentication protocol suite is one of the main used protocols and is derived from NSSKP;
- NSSKP is a shared-key authentication protocol designed to generate and propagate a session key which is used for subsequent symmetrically encrypted communication;

- Many existing protocols are derived from the work of Needham and Schroeder (1978);
- Kerberos authentication protocol suite is one of the main used protocols and is derived from NSSKP;
- NSSKP is a shared-key authentication protocol designed to generate and propagate a session key which is used for subsequent symmetrically encrypted communication;
- There is no public key infrastructure in place.

 The goal of the protocol is to establish mutual authentication between two parties A and B in the presence of adversary;

- The goal of the protocol is to establish mutual authentication between two parties A and B in the presence of adversary;
- A and B obtain a secret shared key though authentication server S;

- The goal of the protocol is to establish mutual authentication between two parties A and B in the presence of adversary;
- A and B obtain a secret shared key though authentication server S;
- This adversary can intercept messages, delay messages, read and copy messages and generate messages;

- The goal of the protocol is to establish mutual authentication between two parties A and B in the presence of adversary;
- A and B obtain a secret shared key though authentication server S;
- This adversary can intercept messages, delay messages, read and copy messages and generate messages;
- This adversary can not learn the secret keys of principals, which they share with the authentication server S.

Assumptions of NSSKP

 There are three principals, two named A and B, desiring mutual authentication, and S, a trusted key serve;

Assumptions of NSSKP

- There are three principals, two named A and B, desiring mutual authentication, and S, a trusted key serve;
- It is assumed that A and B already have secure symmetric communication with S using keys K_{AS} and K_{BS} , respectively;

Assumptions of NSSKP

- There are three principals, two named A and B, desiring mutual authentication, and S, a trusted key serve;
- It is assumed that A and B already have secure symmetric communication with S using keys K_{AS} and K_{BS} , respectively;
- It is assumed that the attacker can not be a legitimate party within the protocol.

Freshness Concepts of NSSKP

 NSSKP uses nonces which are randomly generated values included in messages and used only once;

Freshness Concepts of NSSKP

- NSSKP uses nonces which are randomly generated values included in messages and used only once;
- If a nonce is generated and sent by one agent in one step and returned by another in a later step, the generator knows that the message is fresh and not a replay from an earlier exchange;

Freshness Concepts of NSSKP

- NSSKP uses nonces which are randomly generated values included in messages and used only once;
- If a nonce is generated and sent by one agent in one step and returned by another in a later step, the generator knows that the message is fresh and not a replay from an earlier exchange;
- Note that a nonce is not anchored in time. The only assumption is that it has not been used in any earlier interchange, with high probability because it is random and not used twice.

 A makes contact with the authentication server S, sending identities A and B and nonce NA;

- A makes contact with the authentication server S, sending identities A and B and nonce NA;
- S responds with a message encrypted with the key of A.
 The message contains session key KAB (to be used by A and B) and certificate encrypted with B's key conveying the session key and A's identity;

- A makes contact with the authentication server S, sending identities A and B and nonce NA;
- S responds with a message encrypted with the key of A.
 The message contains session key KAB (to be used by A and B) and certificate encrypted with B's key conveying the session key and A's identity;
- A sends the certificate to B;

- A makes contact with the authentication server S, sending identities A and B and nonce NA;
- S responds with a message encrypted with the key of A.
 The message contains session key KAB (to be used by A and B) and certificate encrypted with B's key conveying the session key and A's identity;
- A sends the certificate to B;
- B decrypts the certificates and sends his own nonce encrypted by the session key to A; (nonce handshake);

- A makes contact with the authentication server S, sending identities A and B and nonce NA;
- S responds with a message encrypted with the key of A.
 The message contains session key KAB (to be used by A and B) and certificate encrypted with B's key conveying the session key and A's identity;
- A sends the certificate to B;
- B decrypts the certificates and sends his own nonce encrypted by the session key to A; (nonce handshake);
- A decrypts the last message and sends modified nonce back to B.

Goal

By the end of the message exchange both A and B share the secret key and both are assured in the presence of each other.

There are questions to ask for any step in any protocol or ceremony:

- There are questions to ask for any step in any protocol or ceremony:
 - What is the sender trying to say with this message?

- There are questions to ask for any step in any protocol or ceremony:
 - What is the sender trying to say with this message?
 - What is the receiver entitled to believe after receiving the message?

- There are questions to ask for any step in any protocol or ceremony:
 - What is the sender trying to say with this message?
 - What is the receiver entitled to believe after receiving the message?
 - Can I use less resources to achieve the same goals?

- There are questions to ask for any step in any protocol or ceremony:
 - What is the sender trying to say with this message?
 - What is the receiver entitled to believe after receiving the message?
 - Can I use less resources to achieve the same goals?
 - Isn't there anything that I did not catch?

A, B and S Agent names (Alice, Bob and Steve)

A, B and S Agent names (Alice, Bob and Steve) N_A Random number chosen by Alice (Nonce)

A, B and S Agent names (Alice, Bob and Steve)

 N_A Random number chosen by Alice (Nonce)

 K_{AS} Long term key shared between Alice and Steve

A, B and S Agent names (Alice, Bob and Steve)

 N_A Random number chosen by Alice (Nonce)

 K_{AS} Long term key shared between Alice and Steve

 $\{X\}_{K_{AS}}$ Encrypted message using K_{AS}

1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$

- 1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$
- 2. $S \rightarrow A$: $\{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$

- 1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$
- 3. $A \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$

- 1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$
- 3. $A \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$
- 4. $B \rightarrow A: \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$

- 1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$
- 3. $A \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$
- 4. $B \rightarrow A: \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$
- 5. $A \rightarrow B: \{N_B 1\}_{K_{AB}}$

NSSKP - What is being said!

1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$

1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Hi Steve, this is Alice, I want to talk to Bob, that's the identifier of my request.

- A → S: A, B, N_A
 Hi Steve, this is Alice, I want to talk to Bob, that's the identifier of my request.
- 2. $S \rightarrow A$: $\{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$

- A → S: A, B, N_A
 Hi Steve, this is Alice, I want to talk to Bob, that's the identifier of my request.
- S → A: {N_A, B, K_{AB}, {K_{AB}, A}_{KBS}}_{KAS}
 Alice I am sending you a secret which shows your identifier, Bob's identity and the key for you to talk to him. Here is a ticket to send Bob the key and relate it to your identity.

- A → S: A, B, N_A
 Hi Steve, this is Alice, I want to talk to Bob, that's the identifier of my request.
- 2. S \rightarrow A: $\{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice I am sending you a secret which shows your identifier, Bob's identity and the key for you to talk to him. Here is a ticket to send Bob the key and relate it to your identity.
- 3. $A \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$

- A → S: A, B, N_A
 Hi Steve, this is Alice, I want to talk to Bob, that's the identifier of my request.
- 2. $S \rightarrow A$: $\{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice I am sending you a secret which shows your identifier, Bob's identity and the key for you to talk to him. Here is a ticket to send Bob the key and relate it to your identity.
- 3. A \rightarrow B: $\{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$ Bob there is a ticket for you!

4. $B \rightarrow A: \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$

4. B \rightarrow A: $\{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$ I want to challenge Alice to see if she has the key on the ticket.

- 4. B \rightarrow A: $\{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$ I want to challenge Alice to see if she has the key on the ticket.
- 5. A \to B: $\{N_B 1\}_{K_{AB}}$

- 4. B \rightarrow A: $\{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$ I want to challenge Alice to see if she has the key on the ticket.
- 5. A \rightarrow B: $\{N_B 1\}_{K_{AB}}$ Challenge accepted. Take it back!

Alice Knows N_A and K_{AS}

1. $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A$

Alice Knows N_A and K_{AS}

1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A, K_{AB}, K_{BS} and K_{AS}

- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$

- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice Knows N_A , K_{AS} and K_{AB}

- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice Knows N_A , K_{AS} and K_{AB}
- 3. $A \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$

- Alice Knows N_A and K_{AS}
- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice Knows N_A , K_{AS} and K_{AB}
- 3. A \rightarrow B: $\{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$ Bob Knows N_B , K_{BS} and K_{AB}

- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice Knows N_A , K_{AS} and K_{AB}
- 3. A \rightarrow B: $\{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$ Bob Knows N_B , K_{BS} and K_{AB}
- 4. $B \rightarrow A: \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$

- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice Knows N_A , K_{AS} and K_{AB}
- 3. A \rightarrow B: $\{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$ Bob Knows N_B , K_{BS} and K_{AB}
- 4. B \rightarrow A: $\{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$ Alice Knows N_A , N_B , K_{AS} and K_{AB}

- 1. A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_A Steve Knows N_A , K_{AB} , K_{BS} and K_{AS}
- 2. $S \rightarrow A: \{N_A, B, K_{AB}, \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$ Alice Knows N_A , K_{AS} and K_{AB}
- 3. A \rightarrow B: $\{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$ Bob Knows N_B , K_{BS} and K_{AB}
- 4. B \rightarrow A: $\{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$ Alice Knows N_A , N_B , K_{AS} and K_{AB}
- 5. A \rightarrow B: $\{N_B 1\}_{K_{AB}}$

What to ask about a protocol or ceremony:

- What to ask about a protocol or ceremony:
 - Are both authentication and secrecy assured?

- What to ask about a protocol or ceremony:
 - Are both authentication and secrecy assured?
 - Is it possible to impersonate one or more of the parties?

- What to ask about a protocol or ceremony:
 - Are both authentication and secrecy assured?
 - Is it possible to impersonate one or more of the parties?
 - Is it possible to interject messages from an earlier exchange (replay attack)?

- What to ask about a protocol or ceremony:
 - Are both authentication and secrecy assured?
 - Is it possible to impersonate one or more of the parties?
 - Is it possible to interject messages from an earlier exchange (replay attack)?
 - What tools can an attacker deploy?

- What to ask about a protocol or ceremony:
 - Are both authentication and secrecy assured?
 - Is it possible to impersonate one or more of the parties?
 - Is it possible to interject messages from an earlier exchange (replay attack)?
 - What tools can an attacker deploy?
 - If any key is compromised, what are the consequences?

 Denning and Sacco pointed out that the compromise of a session key has bad consequences. An intruder can reuse an old session key and pass it off as a new one;

- Denning and Sacco pointed out that the compromise of a session key has bad consequences. An intruder can reuse an old session key and pass it off as a new one;
- Suppose Charlie has acquired K_{AB} from a past run of the protocol, and start the protocol from message 3;

- Denning and Sacco pointed out that the compromise of a session key has bad consequences. An intruder can reuse an old session key and pass it off as a new one;
- Suppose Charlie has acquired K_{AB} from a past run of the protocol, and start the protocol from message 3;

- Denning and Sacco pointed out that the compromise of a session key has bad consequences. An intruder can reuse an old session key and pass it off as a new one;
- Suppose Charlie has acquired K_{AB} from a past run of the protocol, and start the protocol from message 3;

3.
$$C \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$$

- Denning and Sacco pointed out that the compromise of a session key has bad consequences. An intruder can reuse an old session key and pass it off as a new one;
- Suppose Charlie has acquired K_{AB} from a past run of the protocol, and start the protocol from message 3;

- 3. $C \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$
- 4. $B \rightarrow C: \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$

- Denning and Sacco pointed out that the compromise of a session key has bad consequences. An intruder can reuse an old session key and pass it off as a new one;
- Suppose Charlie has acquired K_{AB} from a past run of the protocol, and start the protocol from message 3;

- 3. $C \rightarrow B: \{K_{AB}, A\}_{K_{BS}}$
- 4. $B \rightarrow C: \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$
- 5. $C \to B: \{N_B 1\}_{K_{AB}}$

Message 3 is not protected by any freshness component;

- Message 3 is not protected by any freshness component;
- There is no way for B to know if the K_{AB} it receives is current;

- Message 3 is not protected by any freshness component;
- There is no way for B to know if the K_{AB} it receives is current;
- Lack of freshness on message 3 means an intruder has unlimited time to crack an old session key and reuse it.

Bauer, et al. Attack on NSSKP

 Bauer, et al. pointed out that if key K_{AS} were compromised, anyone could impersonate A and establish communication with any other party;

Bauer, et al. Attack on NSSKP

- Bauer, et al. pointed out that if key K_{AS} were compromised, anyone could impersonate A and establish communication with any other party;
- Usually the lost of control on long term secrets affects deeply how a protocol operate;

Bauer, et al. Attack on NSSKP

- Bauer, et al. pointed out that if key K_{AS} were compromised, anyone could impersonate A and establish communication with any other party;
- Usually the lost of control on long term secrets affects deeply how a protocol operate;
- It is important to have mechanisms that could revoke keys or at least render them unusable after sometime.

Questions!

• These flaws persisted for almost 10 years before they were discovered. Why did it take that long to see that?

Questions!

- These flaws persisted for almost 10 years before they were discovered. Why did it take that long to see that?
- Ask what happens if a key is broken is a fair question?

Questions!

- These flaws persisted for almost 10 years before they were discovered. Why did it take that long to see that?
- Ask what happens if a key is broken is a fair question?
- How can you address these design faults pointed out by Denning and Sacco and Bauer et al.?

Questions????



creative commons



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

