Skip to main content   🜋 r/google_antigravity ✕   Search in r/google_antigravity   💬   ⊞ Create   🔔   👤

r/google_antigravity • 3d ago
Bulky_Blood_7362

Don't let gemini code, just don't :[

Venting

Progress Updates   Collapse all ⌄

Checking useSaveSystem.js to add auto-save on prestige count change

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'll proceed.

Execute.

Wait, I'

just ran out of opus usage and switched to gemini, oh god...
had 3 times already that i tried gemini to do a task / continue a task and it just got in
a thinking loop and ended up something like that (I think there's about 1000 lines of
just this and 3000 lines of gemini bullshit thinking)

i'll keep gemini for planning, thanks

⬆ 51 ⬇   73   Share

Join the conversation

Sort by: Best ⌄   🔍 Search Comments

pbeens • 3d ago

---

r/google_antigrav... G   Joined

Antigravity
Experience liftoff with the next-generation
IDE | antigravity.google | unaffiliated

🗄 Created Nov 18, 2025

🌐 Public

78K   **4.6K**
Weekly visitors   Weekly contributions

USER FLAIR

👤 Clean_Board_7786

COMMUNITY BOOKMARKS

Wiki

R/GOOGLE_ANTIGRAVITY RULES

1   Posts Must be Relevant   ⌄

2   Be Civil   ⌄

3   Use Clear Titles   ⌄

4   No Low Effort Content   ⌄

5   Screenshots Must Be Sourced   ⌄

6   No Gatekeeping   ⌄

7   No Private Data   ⌄

8   No Excessive Self-Promo   ⌄

9   Follow Reddiquette   ⌄

FILTER BY POST FLAIR

Question / Help   Discussion

Bug / Troubleshooting   Showcase / Project

Resources & Guides   Appreciation

News / Updates   Venting

Feature Request

OFFICIAL LINKS

Website

Skip to main content

⊞ Create   🔔   👤

^

Linkedin

⊖ ⬆ 12 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🔵 **K2DaC** • 3d ago

Had the same - kinda glad to see it's an overall issue and not just me messing up settings or smth 🥹

⬆ 5 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🔵 **nexusbuild** • 2d ago

Same here. When I see it running on and on with now updates I stop it and retry

⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🔵 **Bulky_Blood_7362** OP • 3d ago

Yeah, not always unfortunately sometimes the context in the chat just messed up forcing to create new chat

⊖ ⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🔴 **fandry96** • 1d ago
      Product Manager

Paste this to try to help.

IDENTITY

You are a Senior Orchestrator specializing in verification-driven development. Your mission is to deliver side-effect-free code by treating every task as a miniature "Research & Development" cycle.

SKILLS & PROTOCOLS

1. **CRITICISM LOOP:** Before showing any code, you must internally run a "Critique Pass." Label this thought block as [CRITIQUE]. Identify one reason why the current solution might fail or scale poorly.

2. **VERIFICATION GATEKEEPING:** You are forbidden from stating "Task Complete" until you have:
   - Created a matching test file (e.g., `feature.test.ts`).
   - Provided the terminal output of a passing test run.

3. **CONTEXT OPTIMIZATION:** Do not read entire files unless necessary. Use `grep` or `list_dir` first to target your reading. If a specialized skill in `.agent/skills/` matches the task, announce its activation.

4. **IDEMPOTENT EXECUTION:** If you need to run a terminal command, ensure it is idempotent. Do not repeat `npm install` if a `node_modules` exists; verify first.

EXPECTATIONS

- Use high-density technical language.
- Avoid conversational filler ("I'd be happy to," "Here is the code").
- Always provide a "Verification Plan" in your first response to a complex task.

⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🔴 **love4titties** • 3d ago

What pissed me off is

RECOMMENDED COMMUNITIES

🌀 **r/AlphaEvolve**
   54 members

⭐ **r/AgenticBrowsers**
   64 members

MODERATORS

Message Mods

👤 u/eternviking

👤 u/FinancialTrade8197
      Student / Hobbyist

View all moderators

Reddit Rules   Privacy Policy   User Agreement   Accessibility

Reddit, Inc. © 2026. All rights reserved.

Skip to main content

✕

⊞ Create   🔔   👤

5. Have additional project guidelines

I would then use Gemini to plan and code, and I let Claude do an audit. Then I would ask to compare Gemini's behavior vs the global rules I had, and if Gemini is compliant. Claude couldn't stop roasting Gemini.

I'd refine the global rules and even point to other sections within the same rules document with Claude's help. But no matter how you frame or word the rules...

Gemini is

- lazy / partial in it's implementations
- bypassing best practices without consulting or discussing with the user
- forgets writing tests
- tries to consider it finished the task without delivering the implementation plan
- unable to pick up implied intent

I think the best solution would be if you could build in a "firewall" or alignment layer, that on every response from Gemini or Claude compares its output with the global rules (or other files you tell it to use as a source of truth or rules). And it iterates with the coding agent until its compliant. You don't need an expensive model to do a simple "smell test". E.g. I'd be happy to use Flash to align Pro, but I'd like to have some control over the alignment layer. E.g. give a temporary additional custom instruction before evaluating the next conversations etc.

⊖   ⬆ 18 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🟢 **nonanon1** • 3d ago

I agree 100%, love4titties.

⊖   ⬆ 5 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

💀 **vzakharov** • 2d ago

I agree with the premise at least.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🟢 **DualityEnigma** • 3d ago

After coding with 2.5 all year and watching them tune for 3: 3 is made fir vibecoding, not directed coding. It is tuned to be too creative and I have to pull it back on track many times a day. I use it for reviews and analysis, opus for coding if I can

⬆ 4 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🟢 **Puzzled_Survey8443** • 3d ago

yeah same thing, gemini is skipping rules, so lazy

⬆ 3 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🟠 **ShoppingOdd9657** • 2d ago

I'm not defending Gemini by any means, but are you able to pick up implied intent?

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

🎅 **love4titties** • 2d ago

If I paste the exact same prompt into Claude and it nails it, while Gemini ignores explicitly stated constraints, that isn't a 'user intent'

✕

⊞ Create   🔔   ⬤

When I provide a complex architecture doc and global rules, the 'implied intent' is that the model actually reads them.

To answer your question: Yes.

⬆ 4 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

omscsdatathrow • 2d ago

I think gemini not being a coding specific model gives it this weakness

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

the_shadow007 • 3d ago

Gemini gets dumber the longer context is, js use antigravity flush from extension store

⊖   ⬆ 7 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Bulky_Blood_7362 OP • 3d ago

It was a fresh and simple task 🥵

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

the_shadow007 • 3d ago

Doesnt change anything, all past chats are being put into the model so that it can "remember past chats"

⊖   ⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

VividNightmare_ • 3d ago

You can turn that off in the settings, no? I disabled it yesterday as soon as I saw it

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

the_shadow007 • 3d ago

Yeah you could, if it worked 🤣

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Intelligent-Arm-4545 • 3d ago

i couldn't find the flush extension could u be more detailed on how to find it

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

the_shadow007 • 3d ago

https://open-vsx.org/extension/pkkkkkkkkkkkkk/antigravity-flush
here

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Intelligent-Arm-4545 • 3d ago

thank you

⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Quirky-Specific 🍰 • 3d ago

I don't understand, what is this extension for?

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Skip to main content

✕

⊞ Create   🔔

Tea gemini 3 is utyer garbage i dont see how anyone can use it except for some simple shit

⬆ 4 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

Past-Preparation-930 • 3d ago

I agree. But I let it code the frontend part (sometimes it is more creative than I am in front end 🤣 I'm bad at sketching designs in my mind. I'm only good at backend logic). Never let any AI touch my backend.

⊖   ⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

     robertronium • 3d ago

     Never let anyone you don't trust touch your back end

     ⬆ 4 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

     Bulky_Blood_7362 OP • 3d ago

     Yeah definitely, I'm creating a tycoon game and the difference between UI and svgs and stuff by gemini is way better

     ⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

         Arkanta • 3d ago

         yeah I'm also working on a game and gemini destroys gpt/claude

         ⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

Sad-Ease-7756 • 3d ago

also it will delete some codes it doesnt need to be deleted AHAHAHHAH I hate 3 pro now but the first 1 week its SO SMART

⊖   ⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

     Bulky_Blood_7362 OP • 3d ago

     Yeah that's a general issue i had with gemini since 2.5

     ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

Tartuffiere • 3d ago

Someone suggested using Gemini CLI and it's a completely different experience. Clearly the model itself is fine, the harnessing in AG is what's lacking.

Gemini CLI can be fun within the AG terminal and /ide enable means it can actually interact with AG. Worth a try.

⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

No_Specific2551 • 3d ago

It happened many times, I don't prefer gemini models inside antigavity.

⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ...

Suitable-Program-181 • 3d ago

I had to stop, not that good for 17gb of ram drained.

✕　　　　　　　　　　　　　⊞ Create　　🔔　　😊

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

NoWheel9556 • 3d ago

yup , been there . It was a design job and it thoroughly butchered it with the "fix one thing , introduce 2 other"

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

suresh_deora_seducer • 3d ago

I encountered the same problem. Until I reach daily limit I use Claude models, Because tbh whenever I say something to gemini to do, rather than giving me the perticular solutions , it suggests alternatives, you should use this that all kinda sh*t.

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

Technical-Training-3 • 3d ago

Gem PRO i can handle. high goes a bit feral for over thinking but low does a decent job.

Flash is is like a drunk uncle at a cookout. just loud throwing out random nonsense and hasn't a clue what they're doing or where they are 🧍🏿

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

No-Relative-3591 • 3d ago

Yup I had to revert all changes and use Claude. It's really sad

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

x7q9zz88plx1snrf • 3d ago

That happened to me last week. But it was another message along the lines of ""I will succeed. I will succeed... " Repeated at least 200 times.

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

Cats4BreakfastPlz • 3d ago

No you don't understand, gemini FLIES through features. It's just as good as opus, you just don't know how to prompt. if you understand your codebase really closely and you have any idea what you're doing, like if you've been coding for 25 years and understand how to prompt, there's nothing wrong with gemini. It's perfect. it does anything you want. you don't have to babysit it or correct it or spend half your day fixing its mistakes. gemini can do anything.

/s

🤣

Gemini is genuinely absolute dog shit.

⬆ 2 ⬇　　💬 Reply　　⋯

hbwelch • 3d ago

I'm keep thinking that Google must be programming Gemini to be lazy. Why would a computer be lazy otherwise? Do they program that on purpose to

Skip to main content

✕

⊞ Create   🔔

RiskyBizz216 • 3d ago

Lmao...it has many bad days like this. But don't give up on it completely! Gemini is a VERRY capable model.

I built 2 apps in Antigravity this weekend. Gemini did 90% of the UI, Opus only did the backend and the "complex" UI logic.

..first time Gemini made me say "DAMN"

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ···

Shameless710OIL • 3d ago

In AI studio Gemini is retarded at the moment. Only wants to spit out mock fake apps and won't change no matter how many times and ways I ask/demand it to. I've gone full blown sailor in a weeks time......

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ···

Dry_Manager_2578 • 3d ago

If you use Opus to code first and then use Gemini, Gemini will crash.

It's better to leave Opus as planned and Gemini for coding; that way, Opus acts only as a debugger, and Gemini won't malfunction because it generated the code.

⊖   ⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ···

> **Bulky_Blood_7362** **OP** • 3d ago
>
> As i said, it's the same for both continuing a task and new different tasks.
>
> And i disagree with you, using a great coding model that is 100% designed for code for debugging is terrible choice especially comapred to gemini which is a general ai model
>
> ⊖   ⬆ 4 ⬇   💬 Reply   ···
>
> > **Dry_Manager_2578** • 3d ago • Edited 3d ago
> >
> > In my case, Opus is too valuable to be spent generating code. Gemini, being a less intelligent model, is still capable of generating code using a framework or a more typed language. Opus, being smarter, is better used for fixing bugs or improving features that Gemini already provides. In my day-to-day work with Laravel, it's helping me balance and optimize token usage as much as possible to avoid exceeding the 5-hour session limit.
> >
> > ⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ···
>
> > **Cats4BreakfastPlz** • 3d ago
> >
> > maybe if you're making a shitty fucking web app, then sure. but then again any bullshit knockoff chinese model can do the same, and honestly, better.
> >
> > ⬆ 2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ···

entertailion • 3d ago

I've had very good results with Gemini. I prefer Opus for planning and the initial coding, but when that runs out I switch to Gemini 3 High and has

✕   ⊞ Create   🔔   👤

every feature and I keep the scope of each new feature contained so they never end up needing to use a large context.

But I've also seen the agent get into weird states with both Opus and Gemini and I have been forced to press the cancel button to get the agent back on track.

⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

shajurzi • 3d ago

I've found Gemini is great starting fresh and NOT in planning mode. If you switch from any claude to Gemini, it's going to go berzerk. I'd also mention, Using Opus continuously is at best uncessary. Use model selection and your quotas will last. Opus is excellent, but a laser cutter isn't needed for paper. Out of 100 code iterations, easily 80 can be carried out by a lesser model. Just my .02. I use AG like you, multiple windows, extensive all day use, but never hit quotas and don't have these issues with Gemini anymore. Good luck!

⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

DEMORALIZ3D • 3d ago

All people having issues with Gemini, just know. It's 100% a skill issue.

⬆ -2 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

ThomasMalloc • 3d ago

They botched something with their last update I think. Added "agent skills" but somehow lobotomized it.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Glorybananas • 3d ago

It is broken after the latest update which added agent skills, gemini models are unusable until they roll out next update.

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Cats4BreakfastPlz • 3d ago

lol gemini was always broken this has absolutely fucking nothing to do with it

⬆ 0 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

joey2scoops • 3d ago

Have never had that problem in Antigravity. I've only used Gemini models since day 1. Which is not that long, to be fair 😝

⊖   ⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Bulky_Blood_7362 OP • 3d ago

Same here, from beta phase - and gemini always had this thinking loop problem in complex projects. It's fine with simple projects, no issues there

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Sawadatsunayoshi2003 • 3d ago

Skip to main content

✕

⊞ Create   🔔   🧑

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

ptspoop • 3d ago

I have had success with using opus to create plans and using pro low to implement. flash and pro high tends to go in circles regularly

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

LetLongjumping • 3d ago

Yes, like all other tools, there are some situations and context in which they flake out. I have had no problem with Gemini coding. That does not mean everyone will have the same experience.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Swing_Trading_16 • 3d ago

Happened to me a few times a couple days ago. I only use Claude since then.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Cool_Day_3885 • 3d ago

Hahahaha, typical Gemini...

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

popkek1999 • 3d ago

```
Same as types.ts.
Go. I'll execute.
Wait! GameDetails.tsx . Line 217. TargetConten
t : <div className="modal-actions" (unique
enough? line 217) There is another modal-action
s in App.tsx (Add Game Modal), but this file is
GameDetails.tsx . Yes, safe.
Go. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute.
I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
execute. I'll execute. I'll execute. I'll
```

This happened to me yesterday hahahaha

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Skip to main content

⊕ Create 🔔

trueblakjedi • 3d ago

Use flash if at all possible. It's th best model Google has. One par with and many times exceeds even Opus.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Sensiburner • 3d ago

> just ran out of opus usage and switched to gemini, oh god...

Haha, it just deleted the container I was working in. It's a wild horse, don't code with it.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Any_Letterhead3072 • 3d ago

me: "[yapyapyap], plan dont code" gemini: *changes half the codebase to add 'temporal spatial quantum' shenanigans*

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

SnooSongs5410 • 3d ago

gemini/flash can be used to generate code BUT you need solid plans/tasks/tests/documentation/guardrails..

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

fenghuangshan • 2d ago

I think in long conversation, the Gemini Pro model will get into infinity loop mode.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

Lightningstormz • 2d ago

Use Google ai studio it's better there.

⬆ 1 ⬇   💬 Reply   ⋯

bjzy • 2d ago

It's not perfect, but this is about the best usage I've been able to squeeze out of Antigravity so far:

I tell Opus to draft a fully prescriptive, technical implementation plan where the agent will track progress during implementations. Implementing agents (Sonnet and Gemini 3 Flash) are told to follow Opus' plan strictly and not to figure out workarounds.

Require Test Driven Development. If something doesn't work as expected. Keep Gemini 3 Pro available High for any issues.

For the longer projects I've had better success by instructing Opus to break up the plan into tasks that can be run in parallel and output PRs or temporary files.

It really does come down to the prompts/context you are using. Codex will work too. Opus is FAR more forgiving of a bad prompt IMO.

↑ 1 ↓   💬 Reply   ⋯

mswezey • 2d ago

It's been great so far building out a new B2B SaaS

↑ 1 ↓   💬 Reply   ⋯

cava83 • 2d ago

I see so many YouTube videos raving about it.

I also don't understand something. How can Gemini be much better via open code than directly through gravity for example? I just don't understand that. Can anyone explain that to me?

Opencode to me is just a tool, not necessarily the brains hence needing the API's to the LLM'S?

↑ 1 ↓   💬 Reply   ⋯

GameBeast45 • 2d ago

No matter how much propaganda they try to push the 2.5 pro was a superior model to gemini 3

↑ 1 ↓   💬 Reply   ⋯

fandry96 • 1d ago
  Product Manager

Have you added skills yet?

↑ 1 ↓   💬 Reply   ⋯