[-1] Gul: Implement a VM
- on penally of plus
What does a program man?
"[+1" means "2"
"wordic" means
a semanties - english (human)
-math (universal)
- code
$xy \in \mathbb{N}' \times + y = y + x$
y iv F
70: e:= v (+ e e) (* e e) or
V:= humber CFG
"(+ 1 (x 3 y))" = Jo.e
" (+ 1 ))"
e:= V or De or De
e e e e
V:=number (f)
1/2
3 4

```
1-2/ 1/20 = new Add (new Num(1),
                        new Mut (
 class E 23
                         New Num (3),
 class Add: F C?
                          new Nm(4)))
 class Num = E {3
class Mult = E &3
  Add:: Add ( E *1 , E * 1) &
    this, 1=1; this, n=n; }
 (+1 (+ 3 4)) ___
  Semantizs = meaning of programs
  interpreter: a program in letter language M
             Had tells you the semanties of
         language O
 vinhal machine: a foost interpreter me like
  interp (u sig step semantics): e -7 v
 interp v = v
 interp (+ e, ez) = (Merpei) +v (Merpez)
 to (Nom ni) (Num nz) = Num (ni+ nz)
```

& Num = VX 1-3/ E virtual E:: inlerp () = 0; // interp v = v E\* Num: sinlerp() & return His; } // interp (+ e1 ez) = (Murp ei) +v (interp ez) E\* Add: interp() { Numa n= this, 1, interp (); Num \* nz = tys. riinterp (); neturn neu Num ( nin + nzh); 3  $(-e_1)$  =  $(*-1e_1)$  $(-e_1e_2)$  =  $(+e_1(-e_2))$ desugar (expander) [compiler] = string here string expir S-expr Sexpr = 3 tring or pair of sorpr or empty Sexpn 50 "-" = (-12)

Sexpr Jo desegan  $(-e_i) = (*-1 (desegan e_i))$ - (- e1 ez) = (+ (dei) (# (- ez)) (+) = 0 (+ e1, more) = (+ (de1) (d (+ 1 more)))  $e_i$  more (4) = 1(x e, more) = (x (d e) (d (+ more)) Ji e:= v / (if e e e) / (e e ...) Vi= number | bool prim prim:= + | x | / | - | < | < = | > } inkrp v = v Merp (if ec ex ex) = c = interp ec ex = if c et oiv ef return interpek interp (ep eo... en) = perinterp ep (most be a prim) vo ... un = interp vo ... interp un ret S(P, Vo ... Vn)

vs delta (primp, v+ vs) = if (p == 400) return new Mm ( vs [0],n + vs [1],n) of (p== LT) relun nou Dool (vs [0] in < vs [1], n] by-sten has a big problem : e ->> V - it is partal - it says nothing about "in between" - very wa -nath-like and proving - ineffectent / m help fil for implementation small step : e7e step (if there et ex) = et Step (if false et es) = es Step (P vo ... un ) = S(P, vo ... un) if step ec = ec" then sptep (if ec et ef) = (if ec' et ef) if step ei = ei ten step (eo ... ei eit ... en) = (e0 m ei ei+1 ... en)

e 7 e
step C[if true ct ec] = C[ex]
sup C[if fulk et ec] = C[ef]
step ([p vovn] = C[8(p, vovn)]
find-redex = e = Cxe
Trelex
reducible expression
when do the programs man he sure thig?
"1+2" "2+1"
" 4 billion +1 +2" " 2+1 + 4 billion"
2 C = 1
"Guicksont" "mergesont" "heapsont"
"insertions and"
¥1, "nergesor + 1" = 1" hs 1"
when $C=(BL)$
YC. C[x] = C[y] - observational
equialence
fine e = e1>ans x secs