$((\lambda_{x,x})((\lambda_{y,y})S))$ $((\lambda x.x) 5)$ () () () () () () evalv - is partial (errors (stuck terms), divergence) (5 6) (add (add 12) (add 3 4)) (add 3 (add 34)) (add (add 12) 7) -> S(on, Vo..., Vn) (ald 3 7) = L Consistency! If M=N, JL, m >>> L and N->>> L => N Church-Rosser If K > 2 M and K > 72 N, then I L. M >> L and N >> L

5-2/ compatible closure (>v) "Find any work you can"
and do it C ISWIM -> ISWIM (on Vi ... Vn) (or 8(on, Vi, ..., Vn) C> M[X←V] $(\lambda x_i m) \vee$ 1Bu ((m N)(M'N')if M C>V M' and NGV N' $(\lambda X, m)$ CAX, M') if M C>VM' (on M, ... Mn) (on M; ... mn) if Mi Lx M'; (add (add 12) (add 3 4)) (add 3 f(x,y,z) (++x, ++x, ++x) State £(1,2,3) £(21113) F(3,1,2) int main () & return 42; 3 int +0 & +0; 3 evalu (m) = Ao revalu (M) = A, => A0 = A,

Substitution Lemma: If M Cou M' and N CZN', then M[X=N] ST M'[X = N'] >> L (JX,M) N > (1X, m') 1V' different! $(\lambda X, m X)$ int f(int x) ? 3 return g(x); $(\lambda X, 5 X)$ Observational Equivalence - Do two programs do the same thing? ->> does this? I NO. (add 5 10) dist (+ 78) >> and >>vR for (i = 0 ... 50) x = i * 10a Ci]=X $(\lambda X, m)$ A) =7 x=0, for (1=0,50) (AY, N) X = X + 10X=[i] =X

```
A context == a program with a hole
   (A A)
 fill the hole in C with X, C[X]
         (X A)
                              D[N] = N
    ( ( ) ( )
                             (\lambda X, C)[N] = (\lambda X, C[N])
    1 (c m)
                             (c m) [N] = (c[N] m)
   (MC)[N] = (MC[N])
(N C) = (N C[N])
(N C) = (N C[N])
"observational equivalence" is
          Ac' C[M] = C[N]
     (图5) (图+)
                        (1x, 12) ~ (14, (2.2))
    (put it malist, reverse list, get last elem, then call it)
-> compatible closure
    C[CIXIM) V] -> C[M[X \( \nabla \)]
    C[(o^{n} V_{1},...V_{n})] \rightarrow V C[8(o^{n},V_{1},...,V_{n})]
 (+ (+78) (+12))
 (+ (+78) \bigcirc ) [(+12)] \rightarrow (+(+78) \bigcirc [3]
                               (+(+78)3)
```