



LCI Advanced Workshop 2025: Resource Limits

Josh Burks
HPC Systems Analyst
Arizona State University Research Computing
josh.burks@asu.edu

This document is a result of work by volunteer LCI instructors and is licensed under CC BY-NC 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/



Outline



- Explore some of the different mechanisms for controlling the different resources different users can access
- Explore how condo models interact with resource limits
- Discuss the limits we can set to
 - Maintain fairness between research and classroom workloads
 - Enforce allocations that match funding or ownership
 - Keep utilization high while maintaining predictable access
- Explore how job priority is assigned
- Explore now nodes are selected for jobs







Target	Role in Resource Limits	Example of Controls
User	Per-User Limits	MaxTRES, MaxJobs
Account	Groups users for accounting and fairshare	GrpTRES,MaxJobsPerAccount
QoS	Defines policy, priority, and quotas	MaxTRES, MaxWall, Preemption, PriorityWeightQOS
Partition	Groups nodes for scheduling and access control	MaxNodes, MaxTime, AllowAccounts, PriorityTier



Association, QoS, and Partitions



- Accounts are "billing" accounts
- Associations map users to accounts to clusters and are the primary vehicle for long-term fairshare calculator and per-group limits
- QoS's create policy around scheduling priority and overriding limits, including the impact to fairshare with per-user/account TRES limits, fairshare decay, UsageFactors
- Partitions create groups of nodes with access policies and scheduling queues with basic job-level limits



Account Limits



- Accounts define who can use resources and how much they can consume.
- Limits cascade through hierarchy:
 - User -> Account -> Cluster -> Global
- Departmental chargeback or usage tracking
- Restricting total cores, GPUs, or jobs per group
- Enforcing per-user quotas inside shared accounts

```
sacctmgr modify account research set \
GrpTRES=cpu=2048 MaxJobs=100
```



Association Limits



- Association is the mapping of User define who can use resources and how much they can consume.
- Limits cascade through hierarchy:
 - User -> Account -> Cluster -> Global

sacctmgr modify user where name=josh account=research set \
MaxJobs=10 MaxSubmitJobs=20







Parent-Child Hierarchical Limits

sacctmgr add account research parent=root GrpTRES=cpu=8000
sacctmgr add account chem parent=research GrpTRES=cpu=2000
sacctmgr add account pi_joe parent=chem GrpTRES=cpu=512
sacctmgr add user josh account=pi_joe MaxTRESPerJob=cpu=32



Departmental Hierarchy



Parent-Child Hierarchical Limits

```
# sacctmgr list association tree \
```

format=Account,User,GrpTRES,MaxTRES

Account	User	GrpTRES	MaxTRES
---------	------	---------	---------

root cpu=20000

root

research cpu=8000

college_chem cpu=2000

pi jones cpu=512 cpu=512

pi_jones josh cpu=32



QoS Fundamentals



- QoS provides flexible controls: priority weight, max jobs, max walltime, max TRES, preemption flags, partition override flags
- PriorityWeightQOS multiplies into job priority to favor or deprioritize groups (Normalized value)
- QoS can set MaxTRESPerUser, MaxTRESPerJob, MaxSubmitJobs and enforce quotas
- QoS may be configured to preempt lower-QoS jobs



QoS Resource Limits



- Classroom policy: small MaxWall (2h), limited CPUs, fewer GPUs, higher QoS priority
- Research policy: larger MaxWall, larger MaxTRES limits, higher-priority QoS, access to private nodes.

```
sacctmgr add qos research Priority=100 \
MaxWall=72:00:00
```

```
sacctmgr add qos classroom Priority=200 \
MaxWall=02:00:00 MaxSubmitJobs=2 \
```



QoS Resource Limits:



Default research QoS

sacctmgr create qos name=research







Longer run time

```
sacctmgr create qos name=long \
MaxJobsPerUser=2 \
MaxWallDurationPerJob=14-00:00:00 \
MaxTRESPerUser=cpu=512 \
Flags=PartitionTimeLimit
sacctmgr modify user where user=jeburks2 \
account=pi joe qos=+=long
```







Debugging QoS

```
sacctmgr create qos name=debug \
Priority=200000000 \
MaxJobsPerUser=2 \
UsageFactor=0.5 \
MaxWallDurationPerJob=15 \
Flags=DenyOnLimit
```

QoS Resource Limits:



Idle Cycles

```
sacctmgr create qos idlecycles \
UsageFactor=0.001 \
Flags=DenyOnLimit,NoReserve
```





Condo Node Owners

```
sacctmgr create qos pi_joe \
Priority=500000 \
Preempt=idlecycles \
UsageFactor=0 \
Flags=PartitionTimeLimit,DenyOnLimit,OverPartQOS
```

Assumes: PreemptType=preempt/qos







Classroom Limited Use

```
sacctmgr create qos name=class \
Priority=200 \
MaxJobsPerUser=2 \
MaxWallDurationPerJob=1440 \
MaxTRESPerUser=gres/gpu=1,cpu=32,mem=120G
```

Flexible Time-Based Limits



- Sometimes fixed limits are too broad
- Maybe limiting classroom users to 1 GPU is too strict
- Maybe classroom users need 4 GPUs, but for a shorter duration
- Flexible time-based limits allow users longer wall times when they use less resources
- Use MaxTRESRunMinsPU and MaxTRESRunMinsPerAccount

Maybe we want to classroom academic courses users run at most jobs combining to 16 hours (960 minutes) of GPU time

1 GPU for 16 Hours / 2 GPUs for 12 Hours / 3 GPUs for 8 Hours / 4 GPUs for 4 Hours







Classroom Limited Use

```
sacctmgr create qos name=class \
Priority=200 \
MaxJobsPerUser=2 \
MaxWallDurationPerJob=1440 \
MaxTRESRunMinsPU=gres/gpu=960,cpu=768
```

QoS in the Scheduling Formula Lo



- Job priority in Slurm is calculated from multiple weighted factors:
- Priority = Age + Fairshare + JobSize + Partition + QOS + Site
- QoS priority contributes through PriorityWeightQOS in slurm.conf.
- Example:
 - PriorityWeightQOS=1000000
 - Higher weight = stronger impact of QoS on total job priority.
 - Use sprio --long to inspect the breakdown for queued jobs.
 - Combine with PriorityTier in partitions to fine-tune scheduling order.







<pre># spriolong</pre>						
JOBID PARTITION	USER PRIORITY	AGE	FAIRSHARE PARTI	TION	QOSNAME	QOS
33553665 public	userA 10000009	10000000	10	0	public	0
34374167 highmem	userB 10000011	10000000	11	0	public	0
34374170 highmem	userB 10000011	10000000	11	0	public	0
34398154 public	userA 10000009	10000000	10	0	public	0
34556382 highmem	userC 10000228	10000000	228	0	public	0
34556395 highmem	userC 10000228	10000000	228	0	public	0
34556399 highmem	userC 10000228	10000000	228	0	public	0
34834438 general	userD 219843814	10000000	99843815	0	grp_c	110000000
34852751 general	userE 168124584	10000000	97624585	0	debug	60500000
34854466 public	userF 10632112	10000000	632113	0	public	0
34864821 highmem	userG 10261876	10000000	261876	0	public	0
34871673 highmem	user 10000000	10000000	0	0	public	0



Analyzing Priority



```
Set DebugFlags to NO CONF HASH, Priority
debug: slurmctld log levels: stderr=debug logfile=debug syslog=quiet
Set debug level to 'debug'
priority/multifactor: get fairshare priority: PRIO: Fairshare priority of job 5 for user root in acct root is
2**(-1.000000/1.000000) = 0.500000
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Weighted Age priority is 0.000000 * 10000000 = 0.00
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Weighted Assoc priority is 0.000000 * 0 = 0.00
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Weighted Fairshare priority is 0.500000 * 100000000 = 50000000.00
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Weighted JobSize priority is 0.000000 * 0 = 0.00
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Weighted Partition priority is 0.000000 * 0 = 0.00
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Weighted QOS priority is 0.000000 * 110000000 = 0.00
priority/multifactor: get priority internal: Site priority is 0
priority/multifactor: _get_priority_internal: Job 5 priority: 0 + 0 + 0.00 + 50000000.00 + 0.00 + 0.00 + 0.00 + 0 -
0 = 50000000.00
PRIO: BillingWeight: JobId=5 is either new or it was resized
PRIO: BillingWeight: JobId=5 using "CPU=1.0, Mem=.25G, gres/gpu=3.0" from partition general
PRIO: BillingWeight: JobId=5 SUM(TRES) = 1.500000
sched: slurm rpc allocate resources JobId=5 NodeList=1ci-compute-XX-2 usec=673
```



Preemption with QoS



- QoS can define who gets to reclaim resources when the system is full
- Preemption modes determine what happens to lower-priority jobs
 - REQUEUE / CANCEL / SUSPEND
- Preemption rules are controlled by PreemptMode and PreemptType:

```
PreemptType=preempt/qos
```

```
PreemptMode=REQUEUE # REQUEUE / CANCEL / SUSPEND
```



Condo Model



- PI or research group contributes hardware ('buys in') to a shared cluster
- Nodes integrated into the global system for scheduling and accounting
- Owners expect priority/guaranteed access, opportunistic use of other resources
- Admins want high utilization, enforceable policies, fairness across groups



LCI workshop on high performance clustered computing

ASU Condo Implementation

Approach:

- One 'condo' partition for all condo owners.
- Each group has a QoS with a priority boost
- Condo-owners nodes are targeted with JSP
- Non-owner jobs may run on idle condo nodes with the idlecycles QoS with preemption

Benefits:

- Simple configuration (1 partition + per-group QoS).
- Owners rarely wait on their nodes.
- Idle time minimized.

Drawbacks

 In order to enforce node restrictions via JSP, editing of submitted jobs is disabled



Other Condo Strategies



- Dedicated Partitions or QoS (per group):
 - Strict node ownership, owners only
 - Pros: Clear ownership, owners own a specific piece of hardware, simple job submission for users
 - Cons: Many partitions to manage
- Reservation-Based Model:
 - Guarantees "equivalent hardware" via reservations.
 - Pros: flexible, better utilization.
 - Cons: owners may dislike non-dedicated nodes.
- Hybrid Approaches:
 - Nodes in owner-only and shared partitions.
 - QoS ensures owners get preference
 - Balances efficiency and ownership



Preemption in Condo Models Cl Workshop Condo Models Cl Workshop Condo Models Cl Workshop Computing Condo Models Cl Workshop Condo Models Cl Worksh

- Why Preemption?
 - Ensures owners regain condo resources quickly.
 - Protects guarantees while allowing opportunistic use.
- Strategies:
 - PreemptMode=REQUEUE requeue outsider jobs.
 - PreemptMode=CANCEL cancel outsider jobs outright.
 - PreemptMode=SUSPEND pause jobs, resume later.
- QoS preemption rules owners' QoS can preempt others.
- Trade Offs:
 - REQUEUE: fair, but can frustrate users.
 - CANCEL: harsh, instant access for owners.
 - SUSPEND: jobs stay in memory
 - QoS layering: flexible but complex.





- Partitions are logical groups of nodes that define where jobs can run
- Each partition can have unique limits, scheduling behavior, and access policies
- Common parameters:
 - Nodes= list of nodes in the partition
 - Default=YES/NO jobs submitted without a -p flag will go there
 - PriorityTier= affects scheduling order relative to other partitions
 - MaxTime=, MaxNodes=, MaxCPUsPerUser= resource limits
 - AllowAccounts=, AllowQos= restrict who can submit
- Typical use cases:
 - Separate CPU, GPU, and high-memory nodes
 - Isolate public/condo nodes





- Partitions can also inherit some of the resource limits of a QoS
- Only inherits limits that can already apply to partitions
 - Does not assign jobs to the QOS
 - Does not give the job any priority characteristics of the QoS
 - Does not give the job any preemption characteristics of the QOS
 - Does not inherit accounting limits (ie, time-based limits)





- Partitions are like "queues" in PBS/Torque jobs are grouped and prioritized within each partition.
- Thinking of them as queues helps conceptualize how Slurm schedules jobs.
- The main scheduler processes partitions in order of PriorityTier.





- When it hits a high-priority job that can't start (e.g., needs 64 GPUs unavailable), it stops evaluating lower-priority jobs in that same partition for that pass
- The backfill scheduler, which runs afterward, can still start smaller or lower-priority jobs if they fit without delaying higher-priority ones
- This behavior means a single large job can block smaller jobs in the same partition.
- Creating dedicated partitions for resource-intensive hardware (e.g., GPUs, large-memory nodes) prevents these bottlenecks and improves overall throughput.





- Assuming all other factors are equal and several idle nodes can satisfy the requested resources - how does slurm pick which node to run on?
- Node "weights" in slurm.conf influence the order in which nodes are allocated
- Higher Weight = lower scheduling preference
- Useful for favoring newer, faster, or high-bandwidth nodes

```
NodeName=compute[001-199] Weight=1000 # Slow, Old Nodes
NodeName=compute[200-299] Weight=1 # Fast, New Nodes
```





- Try it on your lab VM:
- Submit a job, and notice how you land on node 1

```
[root@lci-head-XX-1 ~]# salloc -p general,
```

salloc: Granted job allocation 3

salloc: Nodes lci-compute-XX-1 are ready for job





- Try it on your lab VM:
- Update your nodes to assign different weights, and notice how you land on node 2

scontrol update nodename=lci-compute-XX-1 weight=1000

```
[root@lci-head-XX-1 ~]# salloc -p general
```

salloc: Granted job allocation 4

salloc: Waiting for resource configuration

salloc: Nodes lci-compute-XX-2 are ready for job





 To make this permanent, assign weight in /etc/slurm/slurm.conf to assign your nodes different weights

```
NodeName=lci-compute-XX-[1-2] \
Weight=1 \
CPUs=2 \
Boards=1 \
SocketsPerBoard=2 \
CoresPerSocket=1 \
ThreadsPerCore=1 \
RealMemory=7500
```





 To make this permanent, assign weight in /etc/slurm/slurm.conf to assign your nodes different weights

```
NodeName=lci-compute-XX-1 \
Weight=1000 \
CPUs=2 \
Boards=1 \
SocketsPerBoard=2 \
CoresPerSocket=1 \
ThreadsPerCore=1 \
RealMemory=7500
```

```
NodeName=lci-compute-XX-2 \
Weight=1 \
CPUs=2 \
Boards=1 \
SocketsPerBoard=2 \
CoresPerSocket=1 \
ThreadsPerCore=1 \
RealMemory=7500
```



Q & A



