

Appendix

Derivation of the Linearly Forced Elastic Network Model (LFENM)

We indicate the position of the atom i by means of a vector \mathbf{r}_i with respect to a properly chosen coordinate origin O . The distance between two atoms is given by $d_{ij} = |\mathbf{d}_{ij}|$, where $\mathbf{d}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$. The equilibrium position of atom i is $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_i$ so that $\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_j$ and $\bar{d}_{ij} = |\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{ij}|$. It is convenient to define the displacements $\mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{r}_i - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_i$, $\mathbf{s}_{ij} = \mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{d}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{d}}_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = |\mathbf{s}_{ij}|$.

In order to derive our model potential we expand the Elastic Network Model potential (ENM):

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j>i} k_{ij} (d_{ij} - \bar{d}_{ij})^2 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

in terms of the displacements s_{ij} . To this end, notice that

$$d_{ij} = \sqrt{\bar{d}_{ij}^2 + 2\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ij} + s_{ij}^2} \approx \bar{d}_{ij} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ij}}{\bar{d}_{ij}^2} + \frac{s_{ij}^2}{2\bar{d}_{ij}^2} - \frac{(\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ij})^2}{2\bar{d}_{ij}^4} \right] \quad (\text{A.2})$$

from which it follows that $(d_{ij} - \bar{d}_{ij})^2 \approx \left(\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ij} \right)^2$ where the cap denotes a vector of unit modulus: $\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{e}/|\mathbf{e}|$. Neglecting terms of order greater than two we obtain the approximate potential

$$V_{wt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j k_{ij} \left(\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ij} \right)^2 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In order to facilitate the discussion below, we treat the vectors also as 3×1 column matrices and define $\mathbf{G}_{ij} = k_{ij} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij}^T$, where the superscript T stands for transpose. Notice that $\mathbf{G}_{ij} = \mathbf{G}_{ji}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{ij}^T = \mathbf{G}_{ij}$. If we rewrite equation (A.3) as

$$V_{wt} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{K}_{11} \mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{K}_{12} \mathbf{s}_2 + \mathbf{s}_2^T \mathbf{K}_{21} \mathbf{s}_1 + \dots \right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

we clearly appreciate that $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = -\mathbf{G}_{ij}$, $i \neq j$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K}_{11} &= \mathbf{G}_{12} + \mathbf{G}_{13} + \dots + \mathbf{G}_{1n} \\
\mathbf{K}_{22} &= \mathbf{G}_{12} + \mathbf{G}_{23} + \dots + \mathbf{G}_{2n} \\
\mathbf{K}_{33} &= \mathbf{G}_{13} + \mathbf{G}_{23} + \mathbf{G}_{34} + \dots + \mathbf{G}_{3n} \\
\mathbf{K}_{ii} &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{G}_{ji} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n \mathbf{G}_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mathbf{G}_{ij} = - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mathbf{K}_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

We can thus rewrite the potential (A.3) in a more compact matrix form

$$V_{wt} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^T & \mathbf{s}_2^T & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & \dots \\ \mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^T \\ \mathbf{s}_2^T \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{s} \tag{A.6}$$

where \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{K} are $3N \times 1$ and $3N \times 3N$ matrices, respectively. If \mathbf{r} and $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{wt}$ are $3N \times 1$ column matrices with the elements of \mathbf{r}_i and $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_i$, $i=1,2,\dots,N$, respectively, then the potential (A.6) is exactly of the form introduced Eq. (1) of the main article because $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r} - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{wt}$.

To model a mutation, we introduce in (A.1) a variation in the ENM parameters that represent the spring-lengths of the contacts of the mutated site: $\bar{d}_{ij} \rightarrow \bar{d}_{ij} + \delta \bar{d}_{ij}$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$\delta V = - \sum_i \sum_{j>i} k_{ij} \delta \bar{d}_{ij} d_{ij} + C \tag{A.7}$$

where C is a constant that does not have any effect on the molecule dynamics. An expansion of first order $d_{ij} \approx d_{ij}^0 + \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ij}$ leads to

$$\delta V \approx - \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \mathbf{s}_m + C \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$\mathbf{f}_m = - \sum_{j \neq m} \mathbf{f}_{jm}, \quad \mathbf{f}_{jm} = k_{jm} \delta \bar{d}_{jm} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{jm} = - \mathbf{f}_{mj} \tag{A.9}$$

The force components \mathbf{f}_m satisfy

$$\sum_m \mathbf{f}_m = \sum_m \left(\sum_{j<m} \mathbf{f}_{jm} + \sum_{j>m} \mathbf{f}_{jm} \right) = 0 \tag{A.10}$$

We thus obtain the approximate deformed potential

$$V_{mut} = V_{wt} + \delta V = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{s} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where \mathbf{f} is a $3N \times 1$ matrix constructed with the elements of all the \mathbf{f}_m . Since $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r} - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{wt}$, Eq. (A.11) is Eq. (2) of the main article.

The stiffness matrix \mathbf{K} has $3N$ eigenvectors \mathbf{q}_n with eigenvalues λ_n . We choose the first $3N-6$, $n=0,1,\dots,3N-7$ to be the normal vibrational modes so that $\lambda_n=0$ for all $n=3N-7,\dots,3N-1$ because the corresponding eigenvectors are related to the translations and rotations. It follows from

$$\mathbf{f} = - \left(\frac{\partial V_{mut}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \right)_{\mathbf{r}=\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{wt}} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

that

$$\delta \bar{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{mut} - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{wt} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{f} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where \mathbf{K}^{-1} is the pseudo inverse defined by

$$\mathbf{K}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{3N-7} \lambda_n^{-1} \mathbf{q}_n \mathbf{q}_n^T \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Clearly, $\delta \bar{\mathbf{r}}$ is orthogonal to the eigenvectors \mathbf{q}_n associated to the translations and rotations ($3N-7 < n \leq 3N-1$). Eq. (A.13) is Eq. (3) of the main article.